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#### Abstract

W e consider a version of large population gam es whose agents com pete for resources using strategies $w$ ith adaptable preferences. D iversity am ong the agents reduces their m aladpative behavior. W e nd interesting scaling relations w ith diversity for the variance of decisions. W hen diversity increases, the scaling dynam ics is $m$ odi ed by kinetic sam pling and waiting $m$ echanism $s$.


PACS num bers: $02.50 \mathrm{Le}, 05.70 \mathrm{Ln}, 87.23 \mathrm{Ge}, 64.60 \mathrm{Ht}$
$M$ any naturaland arti cialsystem s involve interacting agents, each $m$ aking independent decisions to com pete for lim ited resources, but globally exhibit coordinated behavior through their m utual adaptation [12, [2]. Exam ples include the com petition of predators in ecology, buyers or sellers in econom ic $m$ arkets, and routers in com puter netw orks. W hile a standard approach is to analyse the steady state behavior of the system described by the N ash equilibria $\overline{3}_{1} 1$, it is interesting to consider the dynam ics of how the steady state is approached. D ynam ical studies are especially relevant when one considers the e ects of changing envirom ent, such as those in econom ics or distributed control.

The recently proposed $M$ inority $G$ am es (M G) are prototypes of such $m$ ulti-agent system $s=1]$. The dynam ical nature of the adaptive processes is revealed when the com plexity of the agents is low, where the nalstates depend on the initialconditions [4, hibits large uctuations, which are caused by the in itially zero preference of strategies for all agents. H ow ever, when the game is used to m odel econom ic system s , it is not realistic to expect that all agents enter the $m$ arket w ith the sam e preference. Besides, in gam es which use public inform ation only, this im ply that di erent agents would $m$ aintain identical preferences of strategies at all subsequent steps, which is again unlikely. Furthem ore, when the game is used to $m$ odel distributed control in m ulti-agent system s , identical preferences of strategies of the agents lead to $m$ aladaptative behavior, which refers to the bursts of the population's decisions due to their pre$m$ ature rush to certain state, com prom ising the system e ciency $\left[\underline{[16},\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]\right.$. T here w ere attem pts of im provem ent by
 strategies $\left.[1]_{1}^{1}\right]$, and random initial conditions $[$ [s] $]$. H ow ever, no system atic studies have been $m$ ade.

In this Letter, we consider the e ects of introducing random ness in the intial preferences of strategies am ong the agents, focusing on the regin e of low com plexity, where analyses assum ing vanishing step sizes are not applicable [14, agents com peting in an environm ent of lim ited resources, N being odd [2]1. Each agent $m$ akes a decision + or at each tim e step, and the $m$ inority group $w$ ins. $T$ he decisions of each agent are prescribed by strategies, which are Boolean functions $m$ apping the history of the $w$ in$n$ ing bits in the $m$ ost recent $m$ steps to decisions + or
. Before the gam e starts, each agent random ly picks s strategies. O ut of her s strategies, each agent m akes decisions according to the $m$ ost successful one at each step; the success of a strategy is $m$ easured by its virtual point, which increases (decreases) by 1 if it indicates a winning (losing) decision at a tim e step.

In contrast to early versions of the gam e, the agents $m$ ay enter the game with diverse preferences of their strategies. This is done by random ly assigning $R$ virtual points to the $s$ strategies of each agent before the gam e starts. H ence the in itial virtual point ofeach strategy obeys a $m$ ultinom ialdistribution $w$ ith $m$ ean $R=s$ and varianœ $R(s \quad 1)=s^{2}$. The ratio $\quad R=N$ is referred to as the diversity. In particular, for $s=2$ and odd $R$, no two strategies have the sam e virtual points throughout the gam $e$, and the dynam ics of the gam $e$ is determ in istic, resulting in highly precise sim ulation results useful for re ned com parison w th theories.

To describe the $m$ acroscopic dynam ics of the system, we de ne the D-dim ensional vector A ( t ), which is the sum of the decisions of all agents responding to history
of their strategies, norm alized by $N$, where $D \quad 2^{n}$ is the num ber of histories. W hile only one of the D com ponents corresponds to the historical state ( $t$ ) of the system, the augm entation to $D$ com ponents is necessary to describe the attractor structure and the transient behavior of the system dynam ics. The inset of $F$ ig. $\overline{11}$ ir lustrates the convergence to the attractor for the visualizable case of $m=1$. The dynam ics proceeds in the direction which tends to reduce the $m$ agnitude of the com ponents of A (t) [ $\overline{[1]}]$. H ow ever, a certain am ount of $m$ aladaptation alw ays exists in the system, so that the com ponents of A ( t ) overshoot, resulting in periodic attractorsw ith period of 2D . Every state appears as historical states tw o tim es in a steady-state period, yielding the winning bits and + each exactly once. O ne occurence brings A from positive to negative, and another bringing it back from negative to positive, thus com pleting a cycle. For $m=1$, the steady state is described by the sequence $(t)=;+;+$; where both states and + are followed by and + once each. For general values ofm, the states in an attractor are given by a binary de $B$ rü̈n sequence of order $m+1$ [12'].

A s shown in Fig. in, the variance ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{N}$ of the population for decision + scales as a function of the com plexity
$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{N}$, agreeing w th previous observations ${ }^{[ }[\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{b}] . \mathrm{W}$ hen


FIG. 1: The dependence of the variance of the population for decision + on the complexity for di erent diversities at $s=2$ averaged over 128 sam ples. The horizontal dotted line is the lim it of random decisions. Inset: the state $m$ otion of a sample in the phase space for $m=1$. Solid dots: attractor states.
is sm all, gam es w ith increasing com plexity create tim e series ofdecreasing uctuations. A phase transition takes place around c $0: 3$, after which it increases gradually to the lim it of random decisions, with ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{N}=0: 25$. W hen < c, we have the sym m etric phase, in which the occurences of decisions 1 and 0 responding to a given historical state are equal, whereas in the asym $m$ etric phase above cr_the occurences are biased for at least som e history [ [13']. F igure for di erent $N$ for 1 , indicating that the variance is a function of. It is observed that the variance decreases signi cantly w ith diversity in the sym $m$ etric phase, and rem ains una ected in the asym $m$ etric phase.
$T$ he dependence of the variance on the diversity is further show $n$ in $F$ ig. focus on the physicalpicture of the dynam ics [141]. Four regim es can be identi ed:
(a) M ultinom ial regim e. W hen $\mathrm{N}^{1},{ }^{2}=\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ w ith proportionality constants dependent on m . To analyse this and other regim es, we let $S$ (!) be the num ber of agents holding strategies and (with < ), and the virtual point of strategy is initially displaced by ! w ith respect to . The average of $S$ (!) over initialcondition is proportionalto the binom ialdistribution of virtual points, i.e., hS (! )i=N C $\left.\mathrm{N}_{(\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{R}} \quad!\right)=2=2^{2 \mathrm{D}} \quad 1+\mathrm{R}$. The key to analysing the system dynam ics is the observation that the virtual points of a strategy displace by exactly the sam eam ount for allagents. H ence for a given strategy pair, the pro le of the virtual point distribution rem ains unchanged, but the peak position shifts with the gam e dynam ics. If the virtual point displacem ent of strategy at tim $t$ is $(t)$, then the agents holding strategies and $m$ ake decisions according to strategy
if ! $+\quad$ (t) $\quad$ ( $t$ ) $>0$, and ${ }^{\text {strategy }}$ otherw ise. At timet, we can write $\quad(t)=\quad k$ ( $t$ ) , where $k$ ( $t$ )


FIG. 2: The dependence of the variance of the population for decision + on the diversity at $m=1$ and $s=2$. Sym bols: sim ulation results averaged over 1024 sam ples. Lines: theory. D ashed-dotted line: scaling prediction. Inset: C om parison betw een sim ulation results (sym bols), theory with waiting effects included (lines) and excluded (dashed lines).
is the num ber of $w$ ins $m$ inus losses of decision + up to tim e $t$ when the gam e responded to pistory. C onsider the di erence $A(t) \quad A(0)=\frac{1}{N}<S(!)($
) $[(!+$ (t) (t)) (!)]. Its average can be found by introducing the average hs (!)i, writing the step function as a sum over K ronecka deltas and introducing their integral representation, using the identity $e^{i k}(\quad)=\cos S^{2} k+i \sin k \cos (\quad)+\sin ^{2} k$ and noting that $\quad=0$. The nal result is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hA (t) A (0)i }=\frac{1}{2}^{Z}{ }_{0}^{2} \underset{Y}{\cos ^{R}} \frac{\sin k}{\sin }(t) \\
& \operatorname{cosk}(\mathrm{t}) \cos ^{2} \mathrm{k} \text { ( } \mathrm{t} \text { ) : (1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\quad N^{1}$, hA $(t+1) \quad A \quad(t) i \quad O(1)$ and is self-averaging. Since A (0) is $G$ aussian $w$ ith variance $N^{1}$, the values of A $(t)$ at the attractors can be com puted, and the variance found. For exam ple, form $=1$, ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{Nh}\left[\mathrm{A} \quad{ }^{(t)}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{hA} \quad{ }^{(t)}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}\right]^{2} \mathrm{i}=4=\mathrm{N}\left[7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{R}+1}\right)^{2}\right.$ $\left.2 C_{R+1} C_{R+3}+7\left(C_{R}+3\right)^{2}\right]$, where $G_{n}=2{ }^{n} C_{n=2}^{n}$ for even integer $n$.
(b) Scaling regim e. W hen $1,{ }^{2}=\mathrm{N} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{w}$ th proportionality constants e ectively independent of $m$ for $m$ not too large. In this case ${ }_{p}$ Eq. ( $\left.\overline{11}_{11}^{1}\right)$ can be sim pli ed to hA (t) A (0)i=k (t) $2=\frac{R}{p}$. The average step size becom eshA ( $\mathrm{t}+1$ ) A ( t$) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{P} \quad \overline{2=R} \quad$ ( t$)$ O ( $\mathbb{N}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ) and is self-averaging. To intenpret this result, we note that changes in A ( t ) are only contributed by
ckle agents $w$ th $m$ arginal preferences of their strategies. That is, those with ! + ( $t$ ) $\quad(t)=1$ and $=2 \operatorname{sgn} A(t)$ for $=(t)$. For large $R$, the binom ialvirtualpoint distribution am ong agents of a given
strategy pair is e ectively a Gaussian with variance $R$. H ence the num ber of agents sw itching strategies at time $t$ sqales as the height of the $G$ aussian distribution, which is $\overline{2=R}$. Thus, by spreading the virtualpoint distribution, diversity reduces the step size and hence m aladaptation.

A s a result, each state of the attpactor is con ned in a D -dim ensional hypercube of size $\overline{2=R}$, irrespective of the initial position of the A com ponents. Starting from the in itial state A (0), the state changes in steps of size $\overline{2=R}$ until it reaches the attractor, whose 2D historical states are given by $\overline{2=R} d \quad R=2 A \quad(0)$ e and $\overline{2=R} f d \quad R=2 A(0) e \quad 1 g$, where dxe represents the decim al part of $x$. A veraging over A (0), which are G aussian num bers $w$ ith $m$ ean 0 and variance $1=\mathrm{N}$, the variance of decisions become ${ }^{2}=N=f()=2$, where $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{)}$ approaches ( $1 \quad 1=4 \mathrm{D})=3$ for $\quad 1$. N ote that $f()$ is a sm ooth function of , since ${ }^{2}=N$ depends on $m$ ainly through the step size factor $1=2$, whereas $f()$ $m$ erely provides a higher order correction to the functional dependence. T his accounts for the scaling regim e in $F$ ig. . 2 . Furthem ore, we note that $f()$ rapidly approaches $1=3$ when $m$ increases. H ence for general values ofm, ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{N}$ ! $1=6$, provided that $m$ is not too large.
(c) $K$ inetic sam pling regim e. W hen $N,{ }^{2}=N$ deviates above the scaling $w$ th $_{p} \frac{1}{}$, and is given by
${ }^{2}=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{N}$, where $\quad \overline{2 \mathrm{~N}=}$ is the kinetic step size, and $f_{m}$ is a function dependent on the $m$ em ory size m. Here A ( $t+1$ ) A ( $t$ ) scales as N ${ }^{1}$ and is no longer self-averaging. R ather, it is equal to $2=\mathrm{N}$ tim es the num ber of agents who sw itch strategiep at tim e $t$, which is P oisson distributed with a m ean of $\overline{2=R}$. H ow ever, since the attractor is form ed by steps which reverse the sign of A , the average step size in the attractor is larger than that in the transient state. To see this, we consider the probability ofP att ( A ) of step sizes

A in the attractor. A ssum ing that all states of the phase space ${ }_{P}$ are equally likely to be accessed, we have $P_{\text {att }}(A)=A_{A} P_{\text {att }}(A ; A)$, where $P_{\text {att }}(A ; A)$ is the probability of nding the position $A \mathrm{w}$ ith displacem ent

A in the attractor. C onsider the exam ple of $m=1$ in the inset of $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$. T he sign regersal condition im plies that $P_{\text {att }}(A ; A)^{-\quad}=P_{\text {Poi }}(A) \quad[A(A+A)]$, where $P_{P o i}(A)$ is the Poisson distrabution of step sizes, yielding $\mathrm{P}_{\text {att }}(\mathrm{A})=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Poi}}(\mathrm{A}) \quad \mathrm{A}$. Thus the attractor averages $h(A)^{2} i_{\text {att }}$, which are required for computing the variance of decisions, are given by $h(A)^{2} A^{+} A i_{p o i}=h A^{+} A i_{p o i}$. In other words, the sam pling of the step sizes is weighted by the attractor sizes due to the kinetics. The result for $m=1$ is ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{N}=\left(14^{3}+105^{2}+132+24\right)=96 \mathrm{~N}(2+1)$.

$$
\text { (d) } \mathrm{W} \text { aiting regim e. } \mathrm{W} \text { hen } \mathrm{N},{ }^{2}=\mathrm{N} \text { deviates }
$$ above the predictions of kinetic sam pling. Here the agents are so diverse that the average step size is approaching 0 . At each state in the phase space, the system rem ains stationary for $m$ any tim e steps, waiting for som e agent to reduce the m agnitude of her virtual point

until strategy sw itching can take place. This waiting effectm odi es the com position of the group of ckle agents who contribute to the state transitions, and consequently increase the step sizes and variance above those predicted by kinetic sam pling. C onsider the exam ple ofm $=1$. As show $n$ in the inset ofF ig. '11, the attractor consists ofboth vertical and horizontalhops, and detailed analysis show s that only one type of agents can com plete both hops. Since few er and few er agents contribute to the sw itching of states in the lim it N , a single agent of this type willdom inate the gam e dynam ics, and one would expect that ${ }^{2}=\mathrm{N}$ approaches $1=4 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{H}$ ow ever, when waiting is possible, agents not of th is correct type can w ait for other agents to com plete the hops in the attractor, even though one would expect that the probability of nding more than one ckle agents is drastically less than that for one. In fact, analysis show s that the attractor consists of a single ckle agent $w$ ith a probability of $1 / 11$ only, and
${ }^{2}=\mathrm{N}$ approaches $9=22 \mathrm{~N}$ rather than $1=4 \mathrm{~N}$. As shown in the inset of F ig. waiting e ects signi cantly im prove the agreem ent with sim ulations over the kinetic sam pling prediction.
$M$ any properties of the system dependent on the transient dynam ics also depend on its diversity. For exam ple, since diversity reduces the fraction of agents sw itching strategies at each tim e step, it also slow s dow n the convergence to the steady state. $H$ ence in the scaling regim $e$, the convergence time scales as ${ }^{1=2}$. Speci callu $p$ when

1 , the average convergence tim e becom es $\left(2+{ }^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{P}}-$ for $m=1$. Sim ilarly, the distribution of payo $s$ am ong the frozen agents (that is, agents w ho do not sw itch their strategies at the steady state) also depends on the transient. Since the system dynam ics reaches a periodic attractor, they have constant average payo $s$ at the steady state. H ence any spread in their payo distribution is a consequence of the transient dynam ics. $T$ hus, in the scaling regim $e$, the $m$ ean square payo scales as . Speci cally, when 1 , them ean square payo becom es for $m=1$. Sim ulation results of both the convergence tim e and the $m$ ean square payo have an excellent agreem ent w ith the theory [14].

T he results presented here can be generalized to other cases. C onsider the exogenous $M \mathrm{G}$, in which the in form ation (t) was random ly and independently draw $n$ at each tim e step $t\left[\frac{[ }{[ }\right]$. $T$ he picture that the states of the gam e are hopping betw een hypercubes in the phase space re$m$ ains valid. A the steady state, the attractor consists of hoppings am ong all vertioes of a hypenpolygon enclosing the origin in the phase space, analogous to the present endogenous case, in which a fraction ofhypenpolygon vertioes belong to the attractor. In the scaling regim $e$, the behavior depends on the scaling of the step sizes w ith diversity, rather than the actual sequence of the steps. C onsequently, the behavior is sim ilar to that of the endogenous gam e.

The present results can be extended to higher values of $m$ [ 141 . For $m=2$, analysis using the de Bruijn sequence explicitly yields excellent results. For higher m,
we approxim ate the attractor of the exogenous gam e by a hyperpolygon enclosing the origin of the phase space. U sing a generating function approach, and taking into account the scaling of step sizes and kinetic sam pling, the com puted variance of decisions agrees qualitatively $w$ ith sim ulations, except for values of close to $c$.

W e can also m ake qualitative predictions about the transition from the sym $m$ etric to asym $m$ etric phase $w$ hen the complexity increases [1] 근. From Eq. (II), the average displacem ent in the phase space is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Rightarrow \frac{2}{\left(R+2 D h^{2} i\right)} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $h k^{2} i$ represents the $m$ ean of $k(t)^{2}$ for all $D$. For 1, it can be veri ed that A (t) A (0) is self-averaging. Suppose the gam e dynam ics leads to an attractor near the origin, w ith hA (t)i! 0. N oting that hA $(0)^{2} \mathrm{i} \quad 1=\mathrm{N}$, we obtain the self-consistent relation $\mathrm{hk}^{2} \mathrm{i}=2(\mathrm{c} \quad$ ), where $\mathrm{c}=1=0: 318$. This $m$ eans that when approaches $c$, the average step size appraoches 0 in the asym ptotic $\lim$ it. There is a critical slow dow $n$ since the convergence tim e diverges. W hen
exceeds $c$, the average step size vanishes before the system reaches the attractor near the origin, so that the state of the system is trapped at locations w ith at least som e com ponents being nonzero. T he interpretation is that when is large, the distributions of strategies becom e so sparse that $m$ otions in the phase space cannot be achieved by the sw itching of strategies. $N$ ote that the value of $c$ is close to the value of 0.337 obtained by the continuum approxim ation [ $\left[\frac{4}{4}\right]$ or batch update $\left[\frac{[ }{[1]}\right]$.

From the perspective of gam e theory, it is natural to consider w hether the introduction of diversity assists the game to reach a N ash equilibrium . It has been veri-
ed that $N$ ash equilibria consist of pure strategies $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{[4]}\right]$. H ence all frozen agents have no incentives to sw itch their strategies. In fact, since the dynam ics in the attractor is periodic, the payo s of all strategies becom e zero when averaged over a period. Thus, the N ash equilibrium is approached in the sense that the fraction of ckle agents decreases $w$ ith increasing diversity. In the extrem ely
diverse lim it, it is probable that only one ckle agent sw itches strategy at each step in the attractor. In this case, even the ckle agent cannot increase her payo since on sw itching she alw ays rem ains on the majority side and loses. T hen a N ash equilibrium is reached exactly. For $m=1$, for exam ple, a N ash equilibrium is reached in this way w ith probability $7=11$.

In sum $m$ ary, we have studied the e ects of diversity in the initial preference of strategies on a gam ew ith adaptive agents com peting for nite resources. Scaling of step sizes accounts for the behavior of the variance of decisions in the scaling regime ( 1). At high diversity, we nd that the scaling $m$ echanism is supplem ented by kinetic sam pling, a m echanism self-im posed by the requirem ent to stay in the attractor. In extrem ely diverse system $s$, we discover further a waiting $m$ echanism, when agents w ho are unable to com plete the attractor dynam ics alone w ait for other agents to collaborate w ith them . Together, these $m$ echanism syield theoretical predictions w ith excellent agreem ent $w$ ith sim ulations over 9 decades of data. By introducing diversity, the variance of decisions in the sym $m$ etric phase decreases, show ing that the m aladaptive behavior is reduced.

The com bination of scaling, kinetic sam pling and waiting in accounting for the steady state properties of the system illustrates the im portance of dynam ical considerations in describing the system behavior. W e anticipate that these dynam icale ects will play a crucial role in explaining the system behavior in the entire sym m etric phase, since when increases, the state $m$ otion in a high dim ensional phase space can easily shift the tail of the virtual point distributions to the verge of strategy sw itching, leading to the sparseness condition where kinetic sam pling and waiting e ects are relevant. D ue to the generic nature of these e ects, we expect that they are relevant to $m$ inority gam esw ith di erent payo functions and updating rules, as well as other m ulti-agent system $s$.
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