

# Comment on “Is Tsallis Thermodynamics Nonextensive?” by E. Vives and A. Planes [cond-mat/0106428]

Vladimir García-Morales\* and Javier Cervera

Department of Thermodynamics, University of Valencia, E-46100 Burjassot, Spain

We comment on letter “Is Tsallis Thermodynamics Nonextensive?” by E. Vives and A. Planes [Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 020601 (2002) cond-mat/0106428]. It is pointed out that the Euler and Gibbs-Duhem equations derived in the letter can serve to justify an appropriate form for the Lagrange parameters controlling thermal equilibrium, without need of any change of variables. This leads to a framework for Tsallis Thermodynamics which is free from recent criticisms raised by Nauenberg [Phys. Rev. E **67**, 036114 (2003) cond-mat/0210561] and Gross [Physica (Amsterdam) **305**, 99 (2002) cond-mat/0106496]. This is accomplished through a direct connection with Hill’s Nanothermodynamics.

PACS numbers: 05.70.Ln, 05.20.Gg, 05.40.-a

In a recent letter [1] Vives and Planes (VP) discuss Tsallis Thermodynamics (TT) deriving a generalization of the Gibbs-Duhem (GD) equation which reduces to the traditional one when the entropic parameter  $q$  tends to unity. The authors suggest a change of variables that allows to recover standard Thermodynamics, proposing expressions for the Lagrange parameters (LPs) which are supposed to be those controlling mutual equilibrium between thermodynamic systems. Although the approach leading to Eq. (9) in [1] is correct and insightful, the change of variables and subsequent analysis is unnecessary and leads to controversial analytical expressions for the LPs that have been the kernel of the main criticisms raised against TT [2]. We point out here that Eqs. (4), (8) and (9) in [1] can serve as a basis to justify that the LPs  $y_\alpha$  in [1] are the *physically meaningful ones* contrarily to what is suggested by the authors and other practitioners in the field (see Refs. [12] and [13] in [1]). This leads us to establish equilibrium properties for TT overcoming previous difficulties [2]. The  $y_\alpha$  can be viewed as the LPs controlling (nano)thermodynamic equilibrium and, contrarily to what is claimed by the authors, these are then intensive variables. To proceed further let us introduce Hill’s formalism of Nanothermodynamics (NT)[3, 4]. Hill’s NT is a rigorous extension of standard thermodynamics to systems that experience equilibrium fluctuations of arbitrary strenght (“small systems”) in which all quantities involved have a clear physical meaning. We provide next a connection between TT and NT. It is interesting to note that the (entropic) Euler and GD equations in NT (see Eqs. (1-72) and (1-75) in Ref. [4]) are, respectively (rewritten here in VP notation)

$$\sum_{\alpha} y_{\alpha,H} \langle X_{\alpha} \rangle_H = S - \mathcal{J} \quad (1)$$

$$-\sum_{\alpha} \langle X_{\alpha} \rangle_H dy_{\alpha,H} = d\mathcal{J} \quad (2)$$

Subindex  $H$  means “Hill’s variables” which are the physical (averaged) extensive ( $\langle X_{\alpha} \rangle$ ) and intensive ( $y_{\alpha}$ ) ones.  $S$  is the physical entropy for *one* system and  $\mathcal{J}$  is the

subdivision (entropic) potential.  $\mathcal{J}$  is an intensive variable and the number of systems  $\lambda$  is its conjugate extensive one (in our case, as in [1], we are dealing with only one system and  $\lambda = 1$  is implicitly considered in these equations). By differentiating Eq.(1) and using Eq.(2) it can be seen that the entropy for one system  $S$  satisfies the differential equation  $dS = \sum_{\alpha} y_{\alpha,H} d\langle X_{\alpha} \rangle_H$  which is formally identical to Eq.(4) in [1]. It is clear that the structure of VP equations and those of Hill is the same and the former can be obtained from the latter if  $S \equiv \mathcal{S}^*$ ,  $y_{\alpha,H} \equiv y_{\alpha}$ ,  $\langle X_{\alpha} \rangle_H \equiv \langle X_{\alpha} \rangle_q$  and the following correspondence is made

$$\mathcal{J} \equiv \mathcal{S}^* - [1 + (1 - q)\mathcal{S}^*] \frac{\ln[1 + (1 - q)\mathcal{S}^*]}{1 - q} \quad (3)$$

Here Tsallis entropy is not only the physical one: its nonextensivity property (see Eq. (2) in [1]) is also the basis for the subdivision entropic potential  $\mathcal{J}$  which is found to be necessary to explain the thermal behavior of small systems (at least). TT describes, thus, the most general thermal equilibrium, the nanothermodynamic equilibrium [5], in which the new potential  $\mathcal{J}$  plays a decisive role.  $\mathcal{J}$  vanishes for a macroscopic (extensive) system, for which one has also  $q = 1$  in Eq.(3), and is a measure of the degree of fragmentation of a system in smaller (nonextensive) subsystems. Through the correspondence established above and from Hill’s NT, it is now known that the  $y_{\alpha}$  must be equal for different systems put in contact at equilibrium. *The  $y_{\alpha}$  are then the physically meaningful LPs.* The main additional feature is that the potential  $\mathcal{J}$  must also be equal for systems at equilibrium. This implies in TT that, for two different systems  $A$  and  $B$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_A^* - \frac{1}{1 - q_A} [1 + (1 - q_A)\mathcal{S}_A^*] \ln[1 + (1 - q_A)\mathcal{S}_A^*] \\ = \mathcal{S}_B^* - \frac{1}{1 - q_B} [1 + (1 - q_B)\mathcal{S}_B^*] \ln[1 + (1 - q_B)\mathcal{S}_B^*] \end{aligned}$$

This is to be considered an additional equilibrium condition that nonextensive systems must meet. When  $q_A$

and  $q_B$  tend to unity both sides of this equation vanish and the standard thermodynamic equilibrium (controlled only by the LPs  $y_\alpha$ ) is regained. This condition, besides some VP results and the connection with NT, allows to establish equilibrium TT, which is now free from recent criticisms [2] arising from the use of inappropriate LPs.

- 
- [1] E. Vives and A. Planes, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 020601 (2002) cond-mat/0106428.
  - [2] M. Nauenberg, Phys. Rev. E **67**, 036114 (2003) cond-mat/0210561; D. H. E. Gross, Physica (Amsterdam) **305**, 99 (2002) cond-mat/0106496.
  - [3] T. L. Hill, J. Chem. Phys. **36**, 3182 (1962).
  - [4] T. L. Hill, *Thermodynamics of Small Systems* (Dover, New York, 1994).
  - [5] R. V. Chamberlin, Phys. Lett. A **315**, (3-4), 313 (2003).

---

\* Electronic address: vladimir.garcia@uv.es