

Understanding the Fano Resonance : through Toy Models

Swamali Bandopadhyay^y, Binayak Dutta-Roy^y and H.S.Mani

^yS.N.Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences,

J.D.Block, Sector-III,

Salt Lake, Kolkata-98

Institute of Mathematical Sciences,

C.I.T. Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113

Abstract

The Fano Resonance, involving the mixing between a quasi-bound 'discrete' state of an inelastic channel lying in the continuum of scattering states belonging to the elastic channel, has several subtle features. The underlying ideas have recently attracted attention in connection with interference effects in quantum wires and mesoscopic transport phenomena. Simple toy models are provided in the present study to illustrate the basics of the Fano resonance in a simple and tractable setting.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta, 42.50.-p, 34.50.-s

I. INTRODUCTION

Electronic states of atoms and molecules are characterized in the lowest level of approximation (the Mean Field or Hartree-Fock description) in terms of electron occupancy of single particle states corresponding to motion in an average potential. Thus, for example, the ground state of the Helium atom is described as $(1s)^2\ ^1S_0$ viz two electrons in the spin singlet state in the lowest single particle orbital (in conformity with the Pauli exclusion principle). The first excited state is that of the excited configuration expressed in the standard spectroscopic notation as $(1s2s)\ ^3S_1$ and so on. Various excited states of the Helium atom may be excited from the ground state through the scattering of electrons or photons from the Helium atom and would show up as peaks (or Breit-Wigner resonances) in the scattering cross-section, as also via the excitation spectrum mapping the inelastic processes. Of course our description through the electronic configuration is only approximate and the true state is better described theoretically by considering the effect of perturbations caused by the interactions beyond the mean field resulting in the mixing of configurations. A qualitatively different situation arises, however, when we consider the excitation of a sufficiently high lying (energy wise) configuration, say for instance the $(2s2p)\ ^1P_1$ state of the Helium atom which possesses an energy above the lowest ionization threshold of Helium. Such a state if excited would be auto-ionizing viz. would decay into $He^+ + e^-$. Indeed, these manifest themselves as highly asymmetric peaks in the excitation spectrum. A qualitative understanding of this phenomenon was provided by Rice¹ and by Fano². Quantitative treatment of the process, rendered somewhat subtle by the fact that it involves the mixing between a discrete state and a continuum (with an attendant degeneracy in energy) had to await almost a quarter of a century³.

There has been renewed interest in the Fano resonance in recent years in connection with interference effects in the quantum dot⁴ and in the Aharonov-Bohm ring with a quantum dot embedded in one arm⁵. Accordingly, it is desirable to expose the essence of the mechanism underlying the Fano resonance through exactly soluble models avoiding complications existing in realistic systems inessential for a basic understanding of the highly asymmetric excitation spectrum for a quasi-bound state lying in the continuum. In order to obtain a simple realisation of such a situation consider the elastic scattering of a particle by a target system describable in terms of a potential V_g . Suppose that the target has an excited state

of energy above its ground state. When the projectile energy E is above the threshold then, apart from the elastic scattering the inelastic process is also possible. One may describe the situation in terms of two channels, the elastic and the inelastic. The latter channel corresponds to the scattering of the projectile of energy $E >$ from the excited state of the system through a potential V_e , while the two channels are coupled to each other via a potential V_c . With $|j_i\rangle$ and $|j_i\rangle$ denoting the states in the elastic and inelastic channels respectively, the system is governed by the coupled Schrodinger equations

$$(T + V_g)|j_i\rangle + V_c|j_i\rangle = E|j_i\rangle; \quad (1a)$$

$$(T + V_e)|j_i\rangle + V_c|j_i\rangle = (E - \epsilon)|j_i\rangle; \quad (1b)$$

where T is the kinetic energy operator for the projectile. Now if the projectile and excited target system interacting via the potential V_e has a bound state whose energy will naturally be below then we do have a situation where the would-be bound state of the excited channel lies in the continuum of the elastic channel, the desideratum for a Fano resonance.

We shall consider three illustrations by choosing the underlying potentials and show that the probability for the excitation of the quasi-bound auto-ionizing state (so to say) exhibits a resonance at some energy $E_p <$ with a finite width (Γ) corresponding to a complex pole of the corresponding amplitude of the form $(E - E_p + i\Gamma/2)^{-1}$ similar to what occurs in Breit-Wigner resonance. However, that apart the amplitude also possesses a concomitant zero at some real energy $E = E_0$ near the resonance energy E_p . It is the juxtaposition of a complex pole and a real zero of the excitation probability in the energy plane which gives rise to the observed highly asymmetric peak as the energy passes through that corresponding to the auto-ionizing level. The generic pole-zero structure of the amplitude can be encapsulated in the form $\frac{E - E_0}{E - E_p + i\Gamma/2} = \frac{q + i}{q - i}$ with q measuring the separation of the energy from the resonance position in units of $\Gamma/2$ viz. $q = \frac{(E - E_p)}{\Gamma/2}$ (the reduced energy variable) and the asymmetry described by the Fano parameter $q = \frac{(E_p - E_0)}{\Gamma/2}$. Whence the excitation line shapes in the neighbourhood of the Fano resonance is given by

$$f(q) = \frac{(q + \frac{1}{2})^2}{1 + q^2} \quad (2)$$

wherein to emphasize the main features the other weakly varying factors have been appropriately factored out. These line shapes³ for different values of the asymmetry parameter q

are shown in Fig. 1. The asymmetry parameter q may be positive or negative depending on the relative disposition of the pole and the zero viz. the sign of $(E_p - E_0)$. The curves for negative values of q may be obtained by changing δ to $-\delta$.

II. DELTA FUNCTION MODEL FOR THE FANO-RESONANCE

We consider a soluble realisation of the two channel problem with a particle of mass m moving in one dimension where we take $V_g(x) = 0$ while $V_c(x) = V_0 \delta(x)$ and $V_e(x) = V_1 \delta(x)$ with $V_0 > 0$. Taking $V_g(x) = 0$ is tantamount to saying that scattering in the elastic channel is only due to its coupling to the inelastic channel; this extreme simplification is to set forth in bold relief the Fano mechanism as in such a situation the bound state in the continuum plays a central role since but for the coupling between channels there is no effect (scattering) in the elastic channel. Defining $\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} V_0 = \gamma$, $\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} V_1 = \gamma_c$, $\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} E = k^2$ and $\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} (E - E_0) = q^2$ the system equations [vide Eq. (1a) & Eq. (1b)] become

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi(x) + \gamma \psi(x) = k^2 \psi(x); \quad (3a)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi(x) + \gamma \psi(x) + \gamma_c \psi(x) = q^2 \psi(x); \quad (3b)$$

We begin by discussing the region above the inelastic threshold ($E > E_0$) viz we have $E > E_0$. Since the Fano paper³ discusses the entire problem using the partial wave phase shifts we also prefer to cast the one dimensional transmission and reflection solutions in terms of eigen-channels⁶ (analogous to angular momentum partial waves for central potentials see Appendix A). Noting that our equations of motion Eq. (3a) & Eq. (3b) are symmetric under the operation $x \rightarrow -x$ we deduce that our solutions $\psi(x)$ & $\psi_c(x)$ may be classified into sets that are even or odd under the transformation. With an attractive delta function potential in one dimension there is always one & only one bound state which is in fact symmetric under parity. Also the odd (or anti-symmetric) function vanishes at $x = 0$ & hence the delta function potentials are ineffective. Therefore the only nontrivial solutions of our problem are the ones that are even under $x \rightarrow -x$. We observe that for $\gamma_c = 0$ (when two channels are uncoupled) the elastic channel corresponds to a free particle and has only plane wave solutions, while in the inelastic channel we have continuum solutions (taken to be box-

normalised) described by

$$\psi_0(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} [e^{iqx} + a_0 e^{-iqx}] \quad (4a)$$

& a bound state solution $\psi_0(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\tilde{q}|x|}$ (4b)

$$\text{with } a_0 = \frac{q + i\tilde{q}}{q - i\tilde{q}} \text{ and } \tilde{q} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \quad (5)$$

We only consider the nontrivial solutions even under $x \rightarrow -x$. The bound state occurs at $E = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{4}$. If $\tilde{q} > \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{4}$, the bound state in the inelastic channel (lying of course below its threshold) is in the region wherein the continuum corresponding to elastic channel occurs. Also the bound state corresponds to a pole at $q = i\tilde{q}/2$ of a_0 .

Now let us consider the effect of coupling between the two channels. With particles incident in the elastic channel we must solve Eq. (3a) & Eq. (3b) subject to the behaviour

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} [e^{ikx} + A e^{-ikx}] \quad (6a)$$

and $\psi(x) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{2}} e^{iqx}$ (6b)

since in the inelastic channel we have only outgoing waves. We obtain

$$A = \frac{q - i\tilde{q} + \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{4k}}{q - i\tilde{q} + \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{4k}} \quad (7a)$$

$$a = \frac{i\tilde{q}_c}{2(q - i\tilde{q} + \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{4k})} \quad (7b)$$

and $A + 2\tilde{q} \frac{a}{k} = 1$, which follows directly from Eq. (7a) & Eq. (7b) and is a consequence of unitarity with respect to the two channels elastic and inelastic. The factor of $\frac{a}{k}$ arising from the ratio of the currents in the inelastic and elastic channels and the factor of 2 representing particles in the inelastic channel emerging left-wards and right-wards. We may also observe that the pole of A has shifted as compared to that of a_0 as an effect of the coupling embodied by \tilde{q}_c and to find its location we have to solve a quartic equation in k . We may also note that as $\tilde{q}_c \rightarrow 0$; $A \rightarrow 1$ as it should since for simplicity we have taken $V_g = 0$. Rather than resorting to numerical results let us solve for the pole position (k_p) for small \tilde{q}_c . We find readily (see appendix B) that

$$k_p = \frac{\tilde{q}}{4} + \frac{\tilde{q}_c^4}{64} \frac{(2\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}^2)}{(\tilde{q} - \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{4})^{5/2}} - i\tilde{q}_c^2 \frac{\tilde{q}}{8(\tilde{q} - \frac{\tilde{q}^2}{4})} \quad (8)$$

Thus for small ϵ_c the pole moves off from the real axis in the k -plane and sits in the fourth quadrant close to the real axis. Therefore, when we map onto the energy k^2 -plane this pole via the unitarity cut (with branch point at $E = 0$) lies in the fourth quadrant of the second Riemann sheet, as befits a resonance and accordingly

$$E_p - i\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\epsilon_c^2}{4} \frac{(\epsilon_c + \frac{\epsilon_c^2}{4})}{16(\epsilon_c - \frac{\epsilon_c^2}{4})^2} - i\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\epsilon_c^2}{4} \frac{1}{(\epsilon_c - \frac{\epsilon_c^2}{4})^{1/2}} \quad (9)$$

For a physical understanding of the width (Γ) of the resonance we recognize that this bound state in a continuum 'or the 'auto-ionizing' state having an unperturbed energy

$E = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\epsilon_c^2}{4}$ decays into the continuum states of the elastic channel caused by the interaction $H_{int} = \epsilon_c \delta(x)$. To obtain the rate of the decay for small ϵ_c it would suffice to use time-dependent perturbation theory or the Fermi Golden Rule viz. Rate =

$\frac{2}{\hbar} \text{Re} \langle \psi_{int} | H_{int} | \psi_i \rangle^2 \frac{d}{dE} \rho(E)$ where initial state is the unperturbed bound state described by the wavefunction $\psi_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-\epsilon_c x}$ and the final unperturbed state is $\psi_f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} e^{ikx}$ (taking box

normalisation say L) and the number of states of a free particle between momenta p and $p + dp$ is $d\rho = \frac{L dp}{h}$, which gives $\frac{d}{dE} \rho(E) = \frac{L}{h} \frac{d(k)}{dE} = \frac{L}{2} \frac{d}{dE} \frac{2mE}{\hbar^2} = \frac{L}{4} \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon_c - \frac{\epsilon_c^2}{4}} = \frac{L}{4} \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon_c - \frac{\epsilon_c^2}{4}}$.

Accordingly, the decay rate for the 'auto-ionizing' state to go into the continuum is given by $\Gamma = \frac{2}{\hbar} \text{Re} \langle \psi_f | H_{int} | \psi_i \rangle^2 \frac{d}{dE} \rho(E) = \frac{2}{\hbar} \left(\frac{1}{L} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikx} \delta(x) e^{-\epsilon_c x} dx \right)^2 \frac{L}{4} \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{\epsilon_c - \frac{\epsilon_c^2}{4}} = \frac{\hbar}{2m} \frac{\epsilon_c^2}{4} \frac{1}{\epsilon_c - \frac{\epsilon_c^2}{4}}$ in agreement with our earlier result describing the excursion of the pole into the complex plane.

Further one may use perturbation theory to verify that the shift in the real part of energy to order ϵ_c^2 is zero.

In order to understand the origin of the Fano zero we must examine the situation at energies below the onset of the inelastic channel $E < E_{in}$. In this region the system equations become,

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi(x) + \epsilon_c \psi(x) = k^2 \psi(x); \quad (10a)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi(x) + \epsilon_c \psi(x) = -k^2 \psi(x); \quad (10b)$$

when $k^2 = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} (E - E_{in}) > 0$. It needs to be emphasized that here is not an eigenvalue to be determined but it is fixed given the energy E of the incident beam. The open channel solutions $\psi(x)$ correspond to continuum states while the closed or evanescent channel function $\psi(x)$ is exponentially damped. However, since we are concerned with the mixing between $\psi(x)$ & $\psi(x)$ pertaining to a bound state in the continuum we must adopt a scheme for the

normalisation of the two functions treated at par. This is indeed what is proposed by Bayman & Meehoke⁶ where the open channels are normalized to unit flux and the closed channels with an analogous factor (which would result from analytic continuation). Thus with ψ_k & ψ_{1-j_kj} being the probability amplitudes (or mixing coefficients) to be determined,

$$\psi_k(x) = \psi_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{4}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} e^{ikxj} + A e^{ikxj}; \quad (11a)$$

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-j_kj}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{i}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-e^{-L})}} e^{ixj} \quad (11b)$$

which when substituted in the system equations (10a & 10b) yield

$$A = \frac{\tilde{\omega}^2 \frac{i\tilde{c}}{2k}}{\tilde{\omega}^2 + i\frac{\tilde{c}}{2k}} \quad (12a)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-j_kj}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} \frac{1}{\tilde{\omega}_c} e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\omega} + \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{2ik} \quad (12b)$$

It may be observed that $j_kj = 1$ in view of unitarity since the inelastic channel is closed (or evanescent). Note that in the limit $\tilde{c} \rightarrow 0$ we have $j_kj \rightarrow 1$ which means that the bound state decouples and probability to be in the elastic channel is unity. When we try to access the bound state embedded in the continuum through elastic scattering (or other processes) the transition probability will involve the overlap integral. The probability for exciting the 'auto-ionizing' state described via scattering is proportional to the square modulus of the overlap integral between ψ_k & ψ_{1-j_kj} which is

$$\int_0^L |\psi_k(x) - \psi_{1-j_kj}(x)|^2 dx = 2 \frac{(1-j_kj)^2}{2+k^2} \frac{\tilde{\omega}^2}{\tilde{\omega}_c^2} \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{2} \quad (13)$$

which is seen to vanish when

$$(\tilde{\omega}^2 - 2) + \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{2} = 0 \quad (14)$$

that is when $\tilde{\omega} = \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4}$. This is the celebrated Fano zero with the experimental signature that the line shape for excitation of the auto-ionizing state vanishes at some energy E_0 . Therefore, it may also be observed that the transmission amplitude, $A + 1$ (see Appendix A) has a zero at $\tilde{\omega} = 2$. To form a picture of the composite situation that has emerged it is worthwhile to look at this again for small \tilde{c} whence we find that the Fano-zero is located at $E_0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4} + \frac{\tilde{c}^4}{16(\frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4})^2} \right)$ as compared to the resonance energy

$E_p = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}^2}{4} + \frac{\tilde{\omega}_c^2}{16(\tilde{\omega} - \frac{\tilde{\omega}_c^2}{4})^2} \right)$ and the width $= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_c^2}{2(\tilde{\omega} - \frac{\tilde{\omega}_c^2}{4})}$ with the Fano parameter $q_f > 0$. It is evident from the above discussion that the essence of the Fano zero lies in the mixing between the continuum states of the elastic channel and quasi-bound 'discrete' state of the inelastic channel. This is well expressed by Ugo Fano⁷ : "Repulsion (of levels) is familiar in discrete spectra, when a level of one configuration happens to lie in the midst of a series of levels of other configurations; configuration interaction causes the levels of the second series to be shifted away from the perturbing level. In our situation, where a discrete level of one series lies in the continuum of another channel, the levels of this continuum are also repelled, but in the sense that their oscillator strength (level densities) is thinned out in the proximity of the perturbing level" (the addition under quotes given in parentheses are ours and are provided in the interest of increased clarity). To concretise the remark in the present context we observe the effect of the coupling on the density of states (DOS) of the elastic channel. The changed DOS due to the "auto-ionizing state" is thus given by the multiplicative factor

$$j_k j_k^2 = 1 - \frac{\frac{\tilde{\omega}_c^2}{k}}{(2\tilde{\omega} - \tilde{\omega}_c^2)^2 + \frac{\tilde{\omega}_c^2}{4k^2} + \frac{\tilde{\omega}_c^2}{k}} \quad 1$$

thus reducing the density of levels via "level repulsion".

III. SPHERICAL DIRAC DELTA SHELL MODEL FOR FANO RESONANCE

To provide a three dimensional version of the problem at hand we consider a spherical shell type coupling potential $[V_c(r-a)]$ between the two channels and the same form $[V_i(r-a)]$ for the potential operative in the inelastic channel. We confine ourselves to low energy scattering so only the $l=0$ partial wave need be taken into account. Defining $\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} E = k^2$, $\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} V_c = \tilde{V}_c$, $\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} V_i = \tilde{V}_i$ and $\frac{2m}{\hbar^2} (E - V_i) = q^2$ the system equations [vide Eq. (1a) & Eq. (1b)] become

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^2 \frac{d}{dr} (r) \right] + \tilde{V}_c(r-a) (r) = k^2 (r) \quad (15a)$$

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left[r^2 \frac{d}{dr} (r) \right] + \tilde{V}_i(r-a) (r) + \tilde{V}_c(r-a) (r) = q^2 (r) \quad (15b)$$

Using $\psi(r) = \frac{v(r)}{r}$ and $\phi(r) = \frac{u(r)}{r}$ in Eq. (15a) & Eq. (15b), the system equations are transformed to

$$\frac{d^2 v(r)}{dr^2} + \tilde{c}_c(r-a)u(r) = k^2 v(r) \quad (16a)$$

$$\frac{d^2 u(r)}{dr^2} + \tilde{c}_c(r-a)u(r) + \tilde{c}_c(r-a)v(r) = q^2 u(r) \quad (16b)$$

We observed that when two channels are uncoupled (i.e. $\tilde{c}_c = 0$), similar to previous case, the elastic channel corresponds to free particle while inelastic channel can be described by continuum solutions and a bound state. In presence of coupling between two channels we must solve Eq. (16a) & Eq. (16b) subject to behaviour

$$v(r) = \begin{cases} A(e^{ikr} - e^{-ikr}); & 0 < r < a \\ C e^{ikr} + D e^{-ikr}; & a < r \end{cases} \quad (17a)$$

$$u(r) = \begin{cases} X(e^{iqr} - e^{-iqr}); & 0 < r < a \\ Y e^{iqr}; & a < r \end{cases} \quad (17b)$$

describing particle incident in the elastic channel. Note that $v(r=0) = 0$ and $u(r=0) = 0$ as required for well behaved wave functions. Using proper boundary conditions for this system (continuity of the wave functions and jump condition for the derivatives) we obtain

$$\frac{C}{D} = \frac{\frac{q}{\sin(qa)} - \tilde{c}_c e^{iqa} - \frac{\tilde{c}_c^2}{k} \sin(ka) e^{i(q-k)a}}{\frac{q}{\sin(qa)} + \tilde{c}_c e^{iqa} + \frac{\tilde{c}_c^2}{k} \sin(ka) e^{i(q+k)a}} \quad (18a)$$

$$\frac{Y}{D} = \frac{2i\tilde{c}_c \sin(ka)}{\frac{q}{\sin(qa)} + \tilde{c}_c e^{iqa} + \frac{\tilde{c}_c^2}{k} \sin(ka) e^{i(q+k)a}} \quad (18b)$$

We find that $\frac{C}{D} \frac{q}{k} + \frac{Y}{D} \frac{q}{k} = 1$ which is a consequence of unitarity with respect to the two channels elastic and inelastic. As was the case for the 1D model we can see that the poles of $\frac{C}{D}$ has shifted in presence of the coupling between two channels.

When the inelastic channel is closed (evanescent) i.e. $E < 0$ or q is purely imaginary (say $q = i$) then

$$\frac{C}{D} = \frac{\frac{q}{\sinh(a)} - \tilde{c}_c e^{-a} - \frac{\tilde{c}_c^2}{k} \sin(ka) e^{(-ik)a}}{\frac{q}{\sinh(a)} + \tilde{c}_c e^{-a} + \frac{\tilde{c}_c^2}{k} \sin(ka) e^{(-ik)a}} \quad (19a)$$

$$\frac{Y}{D} = \frac{2i\tilde{c}_c \sin(ka)}{\frac{q}{\sinh(a)} + \tilde{c}_c e^{-a} + \frac{\tilde{c}_c^2}{k} \sin(ka) e^{(-ik)a}} \quad (19b)$$

and the bound-state of the inelastic channel can be degenerate with the continuum of the elastic channel. From Eq. (19a) and Eq. (19b), when two channels are uncoupled (i.e. $\gamma_c = 0$), we observe that $C = D$ and $Y = 0$ which imply that everything is transmitted out through the elastic channel. The square modulus of the overlap integral between the closed inelastic channel and open elastic channel is

$$4 \int_0^Z u(r)v(r)dr = \frac{16 \sqrt{A} j}{(\gamma^2 + k^2)^2} e^{-a} \frac{4 \gamma_c^2 e^{-a} \sinh^2(\gamma a)}{2 + \gamma(e^{2a} - 1)} \sin^2(ka) \quad (20)$$

which is seen to vanish when

$$\frac{h}{(\gamma^2 - 2)} \sim e^{2a} + \frac{\gamma_c^2}{2} e^{2a} = 0 \quad (21)$$

Since this is a transcendental equation one should take recourse to numerical calculations, but we choose for simplicity to consider the situation where γ_c is small and a is small; hence γa is close to unity. In such a circumstance we find that the overlap integral vanishes when

$$= 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma a} + \frac{\gamma_c^2 a}{\gamma} \quad (22)$$

or at an energy

$$E_0 = \frac{h^2}{2m} \left(\frac{1}{a^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma a} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{\gamma a} \gamma_c^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma a} \right) + \frac{\gamma_c^4}{\gamma^2} \right) \quad (23)$$

which is the "Fano zero".

IV. NON-LOCAL SEPARABLE MODEL FOR FANO-RESONANCE

To provide another soluble example, this time again in three dimensions, to illustrate the notion of the Fano resonance, we introduce the idea of a non-local potential. To make this article self-contained for beginning students we reconsider the Schrodinger equation for a stationary state $T + V \psi = E \psi$ for a particle of mass m moving in a potential V is in the coordinate representation $\frac{h^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \psi(\mathbf{r}') = E \psi(\mathbf{r})$. Now $\int d^3\mathbf{r}' V(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \psi(\mathbf{r}') = \int d^3\mathbf{r}' \int d^3\mathbf{r}'' \langle \mathbf{r} | V | \mathbf{r}'' \rangle \psi(\mathbf{r}'') = \int d^3\mathbf{r}'' \langle \mathbf{r} | V | \mathbf{r}'' \rangle \psi(\mathbf{r}'')$ where we have simply used the completeness of the states $|\mathbf{r}\rangle$. Usually we deal with local potentials where $\langle \mathbf{r} | V | \mathbf{r}' \rangle = V(\mathbf{r}) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$ and the potential term simply reduces to $V(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r})$. However, in general, since a particle in Quantum

Mechanics is not necessarily localized we may admit non-local potentials. Furthermore, if we take a non-local but separable and central potential $h^2 V(r, r^0) = \frac{e^{-\alpha r}}{r} \frac{e^{-\alpha r^0}}{r^0}$ where we have also chosen a specific (Yukawian) form of the radial dependence for illustration, we have several simplifications. Firstly the potential is effective only in the $l=0$ state because only that component survives the onslaught of the angular integration in $\int d\Omega \int d\Omega^0 \int d^3r \int d^3r^0$. Secondly the solutions of the equation involve nothing more complicated than exponential functions. Thus choosing a model analogous to that described by the system equations (16a) & (16b) except that now we have in place of delta functions the non-local separable potentials of the chosen form, we are invited to consider the equations

$$\frac{d^2 v(r)}{dr^2} + \tilde{\gamma}_c e^{-\alpha r} \int_0^{Z-1} e^{-\alpha r^0} u(r^0) dr^0 = k^2 v(r) \quad (24a)$$

$$\frac{d^2 u(r)}{dr^2} + \tilde{\gamma} e^{-\alpha r} \int_0^{Z-1} e^{-\alpha r^0} u(r^0) dr^0 + \tilde{\gamma}_c e^{-\alpha r} \int_0^{Z-1} e^{-\alpha r^0} v(r^0) dr^0 = q^2 u(r) \quad (24b)$$

where we have written $v(r) = \frac{v(r)}{r}$ and $u(r) = \frac{u(r)}{r}$. The other symbols have meanings completely analogous to what we had earlier viz. $k^2 = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} E$, $q^2 = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} (E - \epsilon)$, $\tilde{\gamma} = 4 \frac{2m}{\hbar^2}$, $\tilde{\gamma}_c = 4 \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} c$. We have written the system equations for energies ($E > \epsilon$) viz. above the threshold where both channels are open. First putting $\tilde{\gamma}_c = 0$ we observe that the elastic channel corresponds to a free particle (so chosen as to avoid unnecessary complications we have, as earlier, taken the elastic channel to be non-trivial only through its coupling to the inelastic channel). On the other hand the inelastic channel has continuum solutions described by

$$u_0(r) = e^{iqr} s_0 e^{iqr} + (s_0 - 1) e^{-\alpha r} \quad (25)$$

corresponding asymptotically to incoming and outgoing spherical waves (the latter suffering a phase-shift $s_0 = e^{2i\delta_0}$) and the last term inserted to guarantee that $u_0(r=0) = 0$; [as $v(r) = \frac{u(r)}{r}$] and this is needed to guarantee the well-behavior of the wave function. Substituting Eq.(25) in Eq.(24b) setting $\tilde{\gamma}_c = 0$ we get

$$s_0 = \frac{\frac{1}{2} + q^2}{\frac{1}{2} + q^2} \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{\frac{1}{2} + iq} \quad (26a)$$

As before the bound state correspond to the pole of the S_0 and occurs at

$$q = i_0 = i \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{2} \quad (26b)$$

provided $\tilde{\gamma} > 4^{-3}$ & the potential is sufficiently strong to support a bound state.

Now let us consider the effect of the coupling between the two channels. With particle incident in the elastic channel we must solve equations (24a) & (24b) subject to the behaviour

$$v(r) = e^{ikr} - S e^{ikr} + (S - 1)e^{-r} \quad (27a)$$

$$u(r) = t e^{iqr} - t e^{-r} \quad (27b)$$

with only outgoing asymptotic waves in the inelastic channel, and of course $v(r = 0) = 0$ and $u(r = 0) = 0$. Substitutions into the equations (24a) & (24b) yield

$$S = \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - iq)^2 - \frac{\tilde{c}}{2} - \frac{\tilde{c}}{2}^2}{(\frac{1}{2} - iq)^2 - \frac{\tilde{c}}{2} - \frac{\tilde{c}}{2}^2} = \frac{(\frac{1}{2} + ik)^2}{(\frac{1}{2} - ik)^2} \quad (28a)$$

$$t = \frac{\frac{2ik}{\frac{1}{2} + k^2} \sim_c \frac{iq}{\frac{1}{2} + iq}}{(\frac{1}{2} - iq)^2 - \frac{\tilde{c}}{2} - \frac{\tilde{c}}{2}^2} = \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - ik)^2}{(\frac{1}{2} - ik)^2} \quad (28b)$$

Again we can verify when q is real that $\beta_j^2 + \beta_j^2 \frac{q}{k}$ and when $q = i$, $\beta_j = 1$ which are consequences of inelastic and elastic unitarity respectively. However when $(\frac{1}{2} + i)^2 = \frac{\tilde{c}}{2} + \frac{\tilde{c}}{2}^2 - \frac{k^2}{(\frac{1}{2} + k^2)^2}$ then $S = -1$. As was the case for the Dirac delta model we can see that the poles of S has shifted as compared to that of S_0 due to the coupling between the channels embodied by \tilde{c} and one similarly finds that the bound state of the inelastic channel becomes a resonance in the elastic channel corresponding to a pole located in the fourth quadrant of the second Riemann sheet in the complex energy plane.

In a similar fashion examining the situation at energies below the onset of the inelastic channel ($E < \epsilon$) we may study the mixing of the quasi-bound "auto-ionizing" state and the continuum and the probability for exciting this state via scattering to discover the energy at which the overlap integral vanishes (viz. the Fano zero)

$$E_0 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\tilde{c}}{4} + \frac{p \frac{1}{\tilde{c}^2 + 4\tilde{c}^2}}{4} \right)^2 = \frac{\tilde{c}}{4} + \frac{p \frac{1}{\tilde{c}^2 + \tilde{c}^2}}{4}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (29)$$

V. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

We have attempted to explain the origin of Fano resonance through three soluble models: with one-dimensional Dirac delta potential, with Dirac-delta shell potentials and with a

non-local separable potential in three dimensions. To make the results more transparent we have taken the elastic channel to correspond to a free particle but for its coupling to the inelastic channel so that the bound state of the latter manifests itself through the typical Fano pole-zero structure. Of course one could have included a direct potential in the elastic channel as well but this would only complicate the algebra without adding anything to the understanding of the relevant mechanism. It has also been clarified how the amplitudes generate a pole in the fourth quadrant of the second Riemann sheet of the complex energy plane in the elastic unitary cut, and how the excitation functions for the "auto-ionizing" quasibound state from the elastic channel develop a zero at some real energy near the resonance. The generic reason for the occurrence of this zero is explained by Fano as arising from the fact that the wave function in the elastic channel will be of the form $e^{ikr} - e^{2i\delta} e^{+ikr}$ which is $\sin(kr + \delta)$ modulo an over-all phase and irrelevant factors. To find the excitation probability of the quasibound state we have to determine the overlap of this with some smooth function $g(k;r)$ [which varies slowly with respect to k]. Now $\int_0^R \sin(kr + \delta) g(k;r) dr = \cos \delta \int_0^R \sin kr g(k;r) dr + \sin \delta \int_0^R \cos kr g(k;r) dr$. As the energy crosses the Fano resonance δ changes from 0 to π , the overlap integral must pass through zero. In order to make the one-dimensional model to also conform to this generic pattern we have adopted the formalism of eigen-channels to introduce therein the notion of a phase-shift. We have also demonstrated the modification in the density of states due to the "bound state in the continuum".

It is perhaps necessary to add a word of caution. It may sometimes be very difficult from the data to discriminate between a Fano-resonance & the interference effects between a Breit-Wigner resonance & a slowly varying background. Thus consider the latter situation described by an amplitude $f = B + \frac{\gamma}{E - E_r + i\frac{\gamma}{2}}$ and note that $|f|^2 = B^2 + \frac{\frac{\gamma^2}{4} + B(E - E_r)}{(E - E_r)^2 + \frac{\gamma^2}{4}}$. The interference term between the resonance & the background could very well be parametrized by the Fano form with the asymmetry parameter $q = \frac{E_p - E_0}{\gamma} = \frac{1}{B}$.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to Dr. Prosenjit Singha Deo who introduced us to the idea of the Fano resonance and encouraged us to search for simple illustrations to clarify the underlying mechanism.

APPENDIX A : LOCATION OF THE TRANSMISSION ZERO

For scattering in three dimensions from spherically symmetric potentials the S-matrix is diagonal in the orbital angular momentum partial wave channels & hence unitarity forces each diagonal to be of the form $e^{2i\delta_l}$, where δ_l is the corresponding phase shift. For a one dimensional problem with particles incident from the left $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{ikx}$ we search for solutions

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= e^{ikx} + a_R^{(L)} e^{-ikx} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty \\ &= a_T^{(L)} e^{ikx} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

where $a_R^{(L)}$ is the reflection amplitude and $a_T^{(L)}$ is the transmission amplitude for the left incident particles. Similarly for particles incident from the right $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-ikx}$ we look for

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= e^{-ikx} + a_R^{(R)} e^{ikx} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty \\ &= a_T^{(R)} e^{-ikx} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow -\infty \end{aligned}$$

where $a_R^{(R)}$ is the reflection amplitude and $a_T^{(R)}$ is the transmission amplitude for the right incident particles. Thus the S-matrix has the form

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a_T^{(L)} & a_R^{(L)} \\ a_R^{(R)} & a_T^{(R)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (A1)$$

Now if $V(x)$ enjoys the symmetry $V(-x) = V(x)$ then clearly $a_R^{(L)} = a_R^{(R)} = a_R$ and $a_T^{(L)} =$

$a_T^{(R)} = a_T$ & accordingly as $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a_T & a_R \\ a_R & a_T \end{pmatrix}$ it possesses the eigenvectors $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ belonging to eigenvalues $a_T + a_R$ & $a_T - a_R$ respectively & hence with these

eigenchannels as basis the S-matrix is diagonal with $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ a_T + a_R & 0 \\ 0 & a_T - a_R \end{pmatrix}$. Noting

that for $V(x) = \delta(x)$ we have non-trivial scattering only in the even channel. Thus $a_T - a_R = 1$ and $a_T + a_R = A$ (in the notation of the paper). Therefore, $a_T = \frac{1}{2}(1 + A)$. It may be noted that some authors⁸ adopt a different definition of the S-matrix from that we have taken.

APPENDIX B : LOCATION OF THE RESONANCE & DETERMINATION OF THE WIDTH

It is best to look at A above E = viz. both channels open & track down its pole in k so that the sheet structure also becomes clear. Eq. (??) gives for the pole

$$q = i\frac{\tilde{c}}{2} + \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4k} = 0 : \quad (B1)$$

At $\tilde{c} = 0$ the pole is at $q = i\frac{\tilde{c}}{2}$ or $k^2 = -\frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4}$ with $\tilde{c} = \frac{2m}{h^2}$ viz. $k = \frac{q}{\tilde{c}} - \frac{\tilde{c}}{4}$. Now switch on \tilde{c} & assuming \tilde{c} is very small, we may write

$$k = \frac{\tilde{c}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4} (1 + i_1 + i_2) \right) \quad (B2)$$

where i_1 and i_2 are small & go smaller with smaller \tilde{c} .

Thus, $q^2 = k^2 - \tilde{c}^2 = -\frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4} + \tilde{c}^2 \left(\frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4} (1 + i_1 + i_2) + 1 \right)$ and

$$q = i\frac{\tilde{c}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2(\tilde{c}^2 \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4})}{\tilde{c}^2} (1 + i_1 + i_2) + \frac{8(\tilde{c}^2 \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4})^2}{\tilde{c}^4} (1 + i_1 + i_2) \right) \quad (B3)$$

Here in taking the square-root of q^2 we have carefully taken the correct sign as with $\tilde{c} \rightarrow 0$ the pole must be at $q = i\frac{\tilde{c}}{2}$.

$$\frac{1}{k} = \frac{1}{\frac{\tilde{c}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4} (1 + i_1 + i_2) \right)} = \frac{2}{\tilde{c}} \left(1 - \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4} (1 + i_1 + i_2) + \frac{\tilde{c}^4}{16} (1 + i_1 + i_2)^2 - \dots \right) \quad (B4)$$

Keeping terms up to the 2nd order in \tilde{c} in Expressions (B3) & (B4) and then using them in Eq.(B1) we note that lowest terms in \tilde{c} (with \tilde{c}^2 of the same order) in imaginary & real part of the Eq.(B1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2(\tilde{c}^2 \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4})}{\tilde{c}^2} (1 + i_1 + i_2) - \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4} \frac{1}{\frac{\tilde{c}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4} (1 + i_1 + i_2) \right)} + 8 \frac{(\tilde{c}^2 \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4})^2}{\tilde{c}^4} \sim \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{2} = 0 \\ \frac{2(\tilde{c}^2 \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4})}{\tilde{c}^2} (1 + i_1 + i_2) + \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4} \frac{1}{\frac{\tilde{c}}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{c}^2}{4} (1 + i_1 + i_2) \right)} = 0 : \end{aligned}$$

Note that while γ_1 is of $O(\gamma_c^4)$, γ_2 is of $O(\gamma_c^2)$. From above two relations

$$\gamma_2 = \gamma_c^2 \frac{\gamma}{8(\gamma - \frac{\gamma_c^2}{4})^{3/2}} \quad (\text{B } 5)$$

As γ_1 is of $O(\gamma_c^4)$ the imaginary part of the Eq.(B1) must be considered in a way so that it contains γ_1 and γ_2 which are of γ_c^4 . Thus we get

$$\gamma_1 = \gamma_c^4 \frac{(2\gamma + \gamma_c^2)}{64(\gamma - \frac{\gamma_c^2}{4})^3} \quad (\text{B } 6)$$

Using expressions (B6) & (B5) we find the pole position from Eq.(B2) as

$$k_p = \gamma - \frac{\gamma_c^2}{4} + \gamma_c^4 \frac{(2\gamma + \gamma_c^2)}{64(\gamma - \frac{\gamma_c^2}{4})^{5/2}} - i\gamma_c^2 \frac{\gamma}{8(\gamma - \frac{\gamma_c^2}{4})} \quad (\text{B } 7)$$

$$E_p - i\frac{\hbar^2 k_p^2}{2m} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[\gamma - \frac{\gamma_c^2}{4} + \gamma_c^4 \frac{(\gamma + \frac{\gamma_c^2}{4})}{16(\gamma - \frac{\gamma_c^2}{4})^2} - i\gamma_c^2 \frac{\gamma}{4(\gamma - \frac{\gamma_c^2}{4})^{1/2}} \right] \quad (\text{B } 8)$$

which are referred as Eq.(8) & Eq.(9) in the text.

¹ O.K.Rice, J.Chem.Phys. 1, 375 (1933)

² U.Fano, Nuovo Cimento 12, 156 (1935)

³ U.Fano, Phys.Rev. 124, 1866-1878 (1961)

⁴ C.Fuhner et. al., Phase measurements using two-channel Fano interference in a semiconductor quantum dot, cond-mat/0307590 (2003)

⁵ K.Kobayashi et.al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 256806 (2002); K.Kobayashi et.al., Mesoscopic Fano Effect in a Quantum Dot Embedded in an Aharonov-Bohm Ring, cond-mat/0309570 (2003)

⁶ B.F.Bayman and C.J.Mehoke, Am.J.Phys. 51, 875-883 (1983)

⁷ U.Fano and J.W.Cooper, Reviews of Modern physics, 40 No.3, 441-507 (1968)

⁸ S.Datta, Electronic Transport in Mesoscopic Systems. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pages 119-140 (1997).




FIG .1: Fano line-shape for different values of Fano parameter q