Transverse laser modes in Bose-Einstein condensates

Graem e W hyte, Patrik O hberg, and Johannes Courtial

¹D epartm ent of P hysics and A stronom y, U niversity of G lasgow, G lasgow G 12 8Q Q, U nited K ingdom

²Department of Physics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 0NG, United Kingdom

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

W e exam ine the Bose-Einstein-condensate (BEC) equivalent of transverse aspects of laser resonators. W e m odel num erically repeated focussing of a 2-dim ensional BEC, which could be achieved in practice by a series of far o -resonant light pulses. W e show for a range of non-linear coe cients that such a series of light pulses traps the BEC. W e also m odel a combination of repeated focussing and loss, which could be achieved with a series of light pulses, some far o -resonant, some resonant. In analogy to light repeatedly being focussed and locally absorbed by passing through a laser resonator, a sm all proportion (in our m odel up to 10%) of the BEC is shaped into H em ite-G aussian-like m odes; the rem ainder of the BEC is lost. This happens irrespective of the presence of a harm onic trap. W e show that astigm atic focussing of the resulting H em ite-G aussian-like m odes, which can be achieved by a pair of o -resonant light pulses, results in a speci c num ber of vortices.

I. IN TRODUCTION

Bose-E instein condensates (BECs) are the matterwave equivalent of lasers [1]: all the atoms in a BEC are in the same quantum state, just like all the photons in a laser beam . W hile in the creation of BEC s this property is usually ensured by the fundam ental properties of very cold bosons, in a laser it is due to the longitudinal properties of the laser resonator [2]. Just like the num – ber of photons can be coherently am pli ed in a laser, the num ber of atom s can be coherently am pli ed in a BEC [3, 4, 5].

Laser resonators also have an e ect on the transverse structure of laser light. The origin of this transverse structure has a huge literature devoted to it (for an overview see, for example, references [6, 7]), and a good understanding and control has proved interesting (see, for example, the discovery of fractal modes [8]) as well as useful. For example, the standard fam ilies of laser modes, Hermite-Gaussian (HG) and Laguerre-Gaussian (LG) modes (qure 1), have elegant m athem atical properties (for example, they are structurally stable, i.e. they do not change shape on propagation, and form com plete orthogonal sets of modes), and LG modes, because of their central vortex of arbitrary topological charge, are one of the most popular choices for optical experiments with optical vortices. M ost in portantly, how ever, certain 'stable' resonators keep the light in the proxim ity of the optical axis { transversally, they trap the light.

W e exam ine here { in the lim it of the G ross-P itaevskii equation { a process in 2-dim ensional BEC s that is analogous to the form ation of transverse laser m odes: periodic focussing and spatial litering. W e believe this could be achieved experim entally with a periodic series of light pulses. W e exam ine this scenario for BEC s in a quadratic trap and untrapped BEC s. W e dem onstrate the em ergence of HG -like m odes, and convert these into LG -like m odes using the equivalent of the G ouy phase shift. O ur m ain concern, how ever, is the question if a series of light pulses could act as a novel type of trap for BEC s.



FIG.1: Herm ite-G aussian (HG) and Laguerre-G aussian (LG) modes. Shown here are the intensity cross-sections of two HG modes, HG_{2;0} and HG_{2;1}, and the intensity and phase (grayscale representation) cross-sections of one LG mode, LG_{4;0}, which possesses a central charge-4 vortex.

II. EIGENMODE SELECTION IN LASER RESONATORS

Figure 2a sketches one round trip of a light pulse (or alternatively a 'slice' of a cw light beam) through a standard laser resonator. Most importantly, the light pulse is relected back by the two mirrors facing each other, so that after one round trip, which involves re ecting o each mirror once, the light pulse is back in the same plane where it started. One round trip is much more complicated than just some changes in propagation direction: as the m irrors are curved, each m irror re ection also focusses the light. In addition, localised loss occurs in one or several planes, for exam ple through apertures or specks of dust on m irrors. Som e light beam s { the resonator's eigenmodes { are unchanged (apart from a uniform change in intensity or phase) after one round trip through a resonator. In the case of geom etrically stable resonators, which we consider here, the eigenmodes can be divided into fam ilies (like the Herm ite-G aussian (HG) m odes and the Laguerre-G aussian (LG) m odes), whereby each fam ily form s a com plete orthogonal set, i.e. every light beam can, for example, be described as a superposition of HG modes. As already mentioned, the HG and LG modes can take on very recognisable shapes and have interesting features like high-charge vortices (see gure 1).



FIG.2: Laser resonator (a) and its unfolded lens-series equivalent (b). A light beam passing through the lens-series equivalent is periodically being focussed, just like light in the resonator is being focussed every time it is rejected o one of the resonator's mirrors. A series of 'lens pulses' { short, o resonant light pulses with a speci c intensity pro le { can repeatedly focus a BEC in a similar way (c). Note the role reversal: in a and b, a light pulse is being focussed (by mirrors and lenses, respectively); in c light pulses focus the BEC.

Experimentally, a pure resonator eigenmode is created in a laser by making that eigenmode the lowestloss eigenmode, i.e. by ensuring the round-trip loss of all other eigenmodes is higher than that of the desired eigenmode. This can be done, for example, by inserting absorbing cross-wires into the resonator at positions where the desired eigenmode is darker than the competing eigenmodes. For example, an absorbing wire in the central horizontal dark line of the HG_{2;1} mode shown in gure 1 would not signi cantly increase that eigenmode's round-trip loss, but it would increase that of the HG_{2;0} m ode. The fraction of the power in the undesired eigenm odes then falls of exponentially with round trip num ber, after a few round trips leaving only the pure lowest-loss eigenm ode.

III. CREATION OF HERM ITE-GAUSSIAN-LIKE MODES IN BECS

A s far as transverse eigenm ode selection is concerned, a resonator can be seen as a series of lenses separated by the length of the resonator (gure 2b). If the focal lengths of the lenses m atch those of the resonator's m irrors, and if the important localised loss is the sam e, then an innitely long lens-series equivalent of a resonator has the sam e eigenm odes [2]. Such an in nitely long lens-series equivalent of a resonator represents in nitely m any round trips. On the other hand, eigenm ode selection m ostly happens during the rst few round trips through the resonator, which can be represented by a few lenses. W e exam ine here the BEC analog of such a series of lenses.

The BEC analog of an optical resonator's lens-series equivalent can consist of repetitions of lens pulses (focaltim e f) and absorption events (gure 2c; absorption events are not shown). The delay between two repetitions, L, corresponds to the length of the resonator. W e refer to such a con guration as a light-pulse resonator. W e restrict ourselves to light-pulse resonators in which the absorption events always follows immediately after the lens pulse.

All the pictures of BECs in light-pulse resonators shown in this paper (with the exception of two frames in gure 7) are snapshots taken half-time between two lens pulses, i.e. a time L=2 after the previous lens pulse. We refer to the sequence of events acting on the BEC between L=2 after the previous lens pulse and L=2 after the next lens pulse { time evolution over a time L=2, interaction with a lens pulse, absorption event, and another time evolution through L=2 { as one period of the resonator.

We simulate time evolution according to the timedependent G ross-P itaevskii equation [9], which we write in the form [10]

$$i\hbar \frac{\theta (r;t)}{\theta t} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} r^2 + \frac{1}{2}m !^2 r^2 + V_e (r;t) + gj (r;t) j^2 (r;t);$$
(1)

where m is the mass of each atom , ! is the trap frequency, V_e is an external potential, and g is the non-linear coefficient, which is given by

$$g = 4 N \frac{a h^2}{dm} :$$
 (2)

d is the thickness of the BEC in the third dimension (the z direction), which needs to be very small for the BEC to behave 2-dimensionally. This is usually ensured by tight z con nement by a harm onic trap that satis es the condition $< h!_z$, where is the chemical potential.



FIG.3: Focussing of a BEC by a lenspulse { a far o -resonant light pulse with a quadratic intensity prole that phase-shifts the BEC locally by $r^2=(2f)$. The graph shows the num erically calculated relationship between the 'focussing time' parameter, f, and the actual focussing time, t_f , after which a BEC that was initially in the trap ground state is found to be phase- at. A nalogy with optics suggests that the BEC comes to a focus after a time f, i.e. $t_f = f$; how ever, to our surprise we nd that this is only the case for sm all values of f. Our simulations suggest that the relationship shown in the graph holds for values of g in the range 0 g 100.

Note that g depends not only on m and a, the s-wave scattering length, but also on the number of atom s, N, in the BEC. A sthe number of particles is contained in the parameter g, which is very convenient for the purposes of this paper, the density is norm alised according to

7

$$j(r;t)^{2}dr = 1:$$
 (3)

In our computer model we represent the BEC wave function on a square area of 16 16 dimensionless units by a 128 128 array of double-precision complex numbers. The dimensionless variables for position and time, r^0 and t^0 , are related to the corresponding variables with dimensions, r and t, through the equations

$$r^{0} = \frac{2m!}{h}r^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 (4)

and

$$t^{0} = !t:$$
 (5)

W e solve the G ross-P itaevskii equation with a fourthorder R unge-K utta m ethod (a detailed description can be found in ref. [10]).

W e model the e ect of a lens pulse by locally altering the phase of the wave function in the same way in which a lens (or a hologram of a lens) would alter the phase of passing light [11]. In principle (although it has not been demonstrated for the particular phase prole of a lens), this can be achieved experimentally using an o -resonant laser pulse with an intensity prole proportional to the desired local phase change, whose electric eld then { through the AC Stark e ect { alters the local phase of the BEC. This 'phase in printing' method [12, 13] is analogous to optical phase holography. Interestingly, the time after which the BEC comes to a focus after interaction with such a lens pulse is not what one m ight expect it to be from the optical analogy (see gure 3 and appendix).

At this point, it is perhaps worth to brie y discuss the BEC -light analogy in slightly m ore detail. The tim e evolution of an interaction-free, un-trapped, 2-dimensional, BEC according to the G ross-P itaevskii equation is form ally equivalent to spatial propagation of a light beam in the paraxial approximation. This is, of course, the basis of our specic c e orts to reproduce light-like behaviour in BECs. However, the analogous light beam is of sub-wavelength size, and the paraxial approximation describes the actual propagation of such beam s very badly (it does, for example, ignore evanescent waves). It is therefore not surprising that BECs { even in the most light-like case of no interactions and no trap { often behave quite di erently from light.

W e m odel local absorption as a straightforward reduction in amplitude; in particular we neglect any interaction between the 'absorbed' and left-over parts of the BEC. This is an idealisation of a situation which, we believe, can be approximated experimentally in dierent ways, for example by utilising a two-photon R am an process to couple out (m ove into an untrapped state) the part of the BEC which lies in the overlap region of two laser pulses [14]. To achieve the necessary exibility, our absorption pattern consists of up to two absorbing cross-hairs (the intensity cross-section is that of a narrow G aussian, in our simulation often a single pixelwide; for a typical BEC size of 50 m, this corresponds to lines of light about 500nm wide), as well as an absorbing rectangular boundary with a G aussian edge pro le.

Figure 4 shows the BEC after the rst few light pulses, starting with the trap ground state, through a light-pulse resonator of length L = 0.2 and with a focaltim eff = 0.5 (both in dimensionless time units). We use this as our 'standard' light-pulse resonator. It will be seen that this behaves in m any ways analogous to a stable optical resonator. Due to the losses, the num ber of particles changes during every period of the light-pulse resonator, which in tum changes the size of the self-interaction potential in the G ross-P itaevskii equation, gj \hat{j} (provided g \in 0). A s we keep the integral over the density norm alised in our m odel, the reduction in the num ber of particles and any corresponding change in the interaction term size is represented as a decrease in g.

As long as the value of g { and with it the potential { changes, no stationary eigenm ode can form . This means





FIG. 4: BEC after interaction with p lens pulses and absorption events of a light-pulse resonator (the value of p for each fram e is shown in the top-left corner), starting with the harmonic trap ground state (p = 0), calculated for g = 100. The pulses of focal time f = 0.5 are separated by L = 0.2 (in dimensionless time units); the density patterns shown here are calculated a time L=2 after the actual pulse, i.e. half-time between the previous and the next pulse. The curve at the bottom shows the fraction of the initial number of particles, N₀, that remains in the BEC after p periods of the light-pulse resonator. To demonstrate the loss, the density is not normalised in the frames in this gure; the full scale of grays represents in the frames for p = 1 to 4 densities between 0 and 0.2, in the remaining frames between 0 and 0.05.

that, for $g \notin 0$, no stationary eigenmode can form before the loss has become zero. In practice, the number of particles keeps dim inishing exponentially, so zero loss can never be reached with any atom s still left. However, while atom s are still left, the loss can become very sm all. Then the BEC becomes very sim ilar to the eigenmode corresponding to the eigenmode for the same value of g in the hypothetical lim it of no loss.

In order to calculate eigenm odes for any given value of g in the no-loss lim it, we re-norm alise the integral over the density after each loss pulse without making a corresponding adjustment to g. This would correspond to either coherently replenishing the BEC after each loss pulse such that the number of atom s remains constant, or to tuning the value of g to 'simulate' this { neither of these are easily realisable experimentally. Nevertheless, the procedure gives stationary eigenm odes that correspond to the no-loss lim it.

A fler a number of periods of the light-pulse resonator the eigenm ode has form ed, that is the BEC is not signi cantly changing any more over subsequent periods (apart from a uniform factor to the wave function). As a criterion for reaching this state we dem and that the loss

FIG. 5: Density patterns of some eigenm odes of the standard light-pulse resonator with a non-linearity of g = 30. By varying the cross-hair position, di erent patterns { characterised here by their number of nodal lines in the horizontal and vertical direction, n and m, respectively { em erge, which strongly (but not exactly) resem ble optical H erm ite-G aussian m odes. These pictures show the BEC half-way between two lens pulses. A possible position of the cross-hair (white dashed lines) is shown in the two rightm ost fram es. The pictures show only the central 625 625 units of the m odelled area.

due to the aperture varied by less than 0.5%; this happened typically after about 40 iterations (light-pulse sequences), which takes severalm inutes to simulate on our desktop computer. Note that there appears to be an upper limit to the non-linear coe cient, g_{max} , above which the BEC does not converge to a stable eigenmode. The exact value of g_{max} depends on the parameters of the light-pulse resonator. We determined this value for a number of di erent resonators and obtained values between 80 $\leq g_{max} \leq 110$.

O noe the eigenm ode has form ed, the absorption due to each absorption event can be very low; we have achieved losses below 0.2% per absorption event. How ever, before the eigenm ode has form ed the losses can be very high; just how high depends on the starting conditions and the particular choice of shape of the loss pulse. Note that there is a trade-o between round-trip loss and number of round trips it takes to form eigenm ode: thinner cross wires m ean lower loss per round trip, but require m ore round trips. For well-chosen param eters, of the order of 10% of the BEC are left by the time the BEC begins to resem ble the stationary eigenm ode.

Figure 5 shows some of the stable patterns that energe for a modest non-linearity (g = 30, corresponding to approximately 10^3 atom s of 87 R b in a 20 Hz trap) by varying the localised absorption pattern { a 100% absorptive cross-hair of 1 pixel width placed in crossing node lines (see gure 5). Like all other pictures in this paper (unless otherwise stated), this gure shows only the central 625 625 units of the modelled area for clarity, and the grey values represent linear densities, ranging from 0



FIG.6:Density (top) and corresponding phase patterns (below) for various values of the non-linear coe cient, g. In all cases, the shape of the absorption region is a narrow vertical straight line.

(black) to 0.2 (w hite). The patterns look very sim ilar to H erm ite-G aussian m odes, m ore so for sm all values of the non-linear param eterg, less so for large values (gure 6). In all cases, the eigenmodes have the tell-tale phase di erence between adjacent peaks, just like the optical HG m odes.

Repeating these sinulations for a switched-o harmonic trap shows that the results are virtually indistinguishable from those obtained with the trap switched on. This is not surprising as the time scale of e ects due to the trap (1 dimensionless unit) is several times larger than the time scale of e ects due to the lens pulses (the focal time). It suggests that a series of lens pulses (a light-pulse resonator without absorption events { could act as a novel type of trap. Indeed, in the absence of absorption events, and to within the accuracy of the G ross-P itaevskii equation, a series of light pulses acts as a lossless trap. It is worth noting that, much like a stable laser resonator 'traps' not only light waves but also light rays, a light-pulse resonator could perhaps not only trap atom s in a BEC but also hotter or Ferm ionic atom s.

If the series of light pulses, as well as any other potential (in particular the harm onic trap), is switched o after a stationary eigenm ode has form ed, the eigenm ode evolves freely. Figure 7 investigates the size change of the eigenm ode during this free evolution; the shape rem ains alm ost unchanged. This property is in analogy to structural stability of the eigenm odes of stable optical resonators, which can be understood in term s of in aging of every plane into every other plane [15].

All the results shown so far were calculated for our standard resonator with a time between pulses { corresponding to the resonator length { of L = 0.2, and a lens-pulse focal time of f = 0.5. Figure 8 shows n = 1, m = 0 eigenmodes from di erent light-pulse resonators. In the optical analogy, altering resonator param eters while keeping the resonator geom etrically sta-



FIG. 7: Free time evolution of an eigenmode, calculated for q = 0. If { after the eigenmode has form ed { the series of light pulses is switched o at t = 0 (the last few pulses arrive at t = 2L; L;0), the BEC approxim ately retains its shape but changes its size. The graph shows the distance from the centre of the maximum of the density, which indicates the relative size; the points do not lie on a sm ooth curve due to num erical errors. In analogy with optical higher-order H erm ite-Gaussian modes, the BEC passes through a 'focus', where it is sm allest. On either side of the focus, its size changes approximately symmetrically. The data points for t < 0 were calculated using 'backward propagation' in time. The graph is calculated for L = 0:2 (in adim ensional time units); the vertical range then represents 3 adim ensional length units. To avoid num erical artefacts in obtaining this graph, the BEC was simulated over an area larger than the standard 16 16 non-dim ensional units.



FIG.8: Density patterns for the output of di erent con gurations of resonator, calculated for g = 0. f represents the focal time of the lens pulse, L the time between subsequent pulses (both in dimensionless units). For the particular choice of boss-pulse shape, the loss per period of the light-pulse resonator for these eigenm odes is, from top left to bottom right, 1.8%, 1.3%, 5.9%, 4.4%, 10.2%, and 9.7%.



FIG. 9: Modelled density (top) and phase (bottom; greylevel representation) after a pair of cylindrical-lens pulses has passed through eigenmodes with, from left to right, n = 1;2;4, respectively, and m = 0, in a BEC with g = 50. The patterns were obtained with cylindrical-lens pulse pairs with, from left to right, focal time f = 0.23 and separation s = 0.35, f = 0.23 and s = 0.3, and f = 0.1 and s = 0.11, respectively. The phase patterns show the presence of respectively l = 1;2;4 vortices, situated at positions where all possible phases m eet (m arked by arrow s).

ble (that is, satisfying L < 4f) results in eigenm odes of a di erent size; the analogous e ect in BEC light-pulse resonators can be seen in gure 8.

IV. CONVERSION INTO LAGUERRE-GAUSSIAN-LIKE MODES

To exam ine the sim ilarities between the eigenm odes of light-pulse resonators and optical HG m odes beyond the level of appearance, we investigate here the analogy of a conversion of optical HG m odes, which have a rectangular symmetry, into circularly symmetric Laguerre-G aussian (LG) m odes under cylindrical focussing [16]. This conversion is due to a fairly subtle e ect: the G ouy phase shift, which occurs when HG m odes pass through a focus. It is interesting also because LG m odes can contain a higher-charge vortex at their centre.

In the optical case, the cylindrical focussing that converts HG m odes into LG m odes is usually perform ed with a pair of cylindrical lenses. In analogy, we use here a pair of cylindrical lense pulses, i.e. far o -resonant laser pulses with an intensity pro le that varies quadratically in one direction and which is constant in the perpendicular direction. F igure 9 shows some results that were calculated for g = 50. The density is certainly not shaped like a perfect ring; instead, m ost of the density is contained in a distorted ring. A lso instead of containing a charge-l vortex at the centre, the wave function contains l vortices of charge 1. The conversion is therefore not perfect, but there are som e indications that it is happening. In other simulations (not shown) we con m ed that this is also

the case for di erent choices of g (including g = 0), and that, in the appropriate cases, the shape of the BEC also show s signs of the multiple concentric rings characteristic of LG m odes with a radialm ode index p > 0.

In the optical case, the focal lengths of the cylindrical lenses and their positions need to be carefully m atched to the param eters of the incom ing HG m ode to achieve good conversion into a LG m ode. We tried to sim ilarly optimise the param eters of the cylindrical-lens pulses. How - ever, the sim ilarity to a pure LG m ode of the resulting density was always limited, which is not surprising as the BEC was initially not in a pure HG m ode and as the evolution of the BEC is di erent from that of light. Finally, optim isation of the pulse param eters was not m ade easier by the com plications in the focussing characteristics of a BEC m entioned earlier (gure 3).

V. CONCLUSIONS

The emergence of Herm ite-Gaussian-like modes in a BEC is a very graphical further illustration of the sim – ilarity between BECs and laser light. However, any experimental veri cation of our scheme would have to take into account the high losses associated with the absorption events.

A lternatively, the absorption events could be replaced by far o -resonant pulses that locally disturb the BEC in places where the local density of the desired m ode is zero, thereby e ectively transferring a sm all part of the BEC in an undesired m ode into a variety of otherm odes, am ongst them the desired m ode. No simulations of this scheme have been performed to date, so the magnitude of any loss saving is unknown.

O ur results indicate that a series of lens pulses acts as a novel trap. A lthough analogy with optical resonators, in agreem ent with our approxim ate description with the G ross-P itaevskiiequation, would suggest that such a trap is loss-less, a more detailed m icroscopic description of the BEC predicts nite losses during the interaction with the lens pulses and when the density gets high due to m echanism s such as 3-body recombination [17, 18].

As described in this paper, a light-pulse resonator connes a BEC in two dimensions. It should be possible to achieve con nement in all three dimensions by a combination of two orthogonal light-pulse resonators or similar arrangements. A lternatively, the rapid fall-o of the intensity away from the focal plane due to extremely tight focussing of the lens pulses, which leads to an approximately quadratic Stark potential along the propagation axis, could perhaps be used to extend the con nement to all three dimensions.

We are currently investigating the BEC analogy to holographic light shaping. In this way, a BEC can be shaped in two and three dimensions. This could, for example, be useful in quantum computation with arrays of trapped atoms [19]. The analogy can even be extended to the extrem ely versatile volum e hologram s, whereby the BEC analog of a controllable volum e phase hologram { a far o -resonant light pulse with a controllable tim e-varying intensity { m ight even be easier to realise than the original.

A cknow ledgm ents

JC is a Royal Society University Research Fellow, PO acknow ledges support from the Royal Society of Edinburgh. Financial support is also gratefully acknow ledged from the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC).

APPENDIX A: ANALYTICAL FOCUSSING RESULTS

Let us brie y discuss the focussing dynamics in two dimensions. For low densities or small scattering lengths we can assume a non-interacting gas, i.e. g = 0. Using the propagator for the now linear Schrödinger equation with a harmonic external potential we obtain the full dynamics of the condensate,

$$(r;t) = \exp \frac{\frac{r^2}{4} \cot(!t)}{\frac{1 + i(\cot(!t))}{1 + i(\cot(!t))}} \exp \frac{\frac{r^2}{4 \sin^2(!t)(1 + i(\cot(!t)))};}{(A 1)}$$

where we have used the initial state

$$(r;t=0) = \exp - \frac{1}{4}r^2 - \frac{1}{4}r^2$$
; (A2)

This initial state is a ground state whose phase has been altered by a lens pulse of focussing time f. All lengths are in units of $(h=2m !)^{1=2}$ and the energy is in units of h!. From the minimum of the width of the Gaussian j (r;t) j² we obtain the actual focussing time

$$t_{f} = \frac{1}{!} \frac{1}{2} \arctan (2f)$$
: (A 3)

If f = 4. In the opposite limit, f = 1, $t_f = 1$, $t_f = 1$, in accordance with gure 3.

We can also calculate an analytical expression for the focussing time when the interactions between the atom s are not negligible and the inequality =h! 1 (where

is the chem ical potential) is satis ed { the Thom as-Ferm i regime. During the focussing the condensate is structurally stable, i.e. the shape of the cloud is preserved and only the time and length scales change during the evolution. We rewrite the Gross-Pitaevskii equation in the hydrodynam ic form

$$\frac{\frac{\theta}{\theta t}}{m \frac{\theta}{\theta t}} + r \quad (v) = 0 \qquad (A4)$$

$$m \frac{\theta}{\theta t} = r \frac{1}{2}m v^{2} + \frac{1}{2}m !^{2}r^{2} + g \qquad \frac{h^{2}}{2m} \frac{r^{2}p}{p-};$$
(A5)

where (r;t) is the density with the velocity v de ned as v = (h=m)r (r;t) and r (r;t) is the gradient of the condensate phase. In the Thom as Ferm i approximation we neglect the last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (A 5). The density will now take the form

$$(\mathbf{r};t) = \frac{1}{gb(t)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}m ! (\frac{\mathbf{r}}{b(t)})^2 \end{bmatrix};$$
 (A 6)

where is the chemical potential at t = 0. If we choose the phase to be quadratic in r,

$$(r;t) = \frac{m}{2h}r^2q(t);$$
 (A7)

and insert this into Eqs. (A 4) and (A 5) together with the parabolic density (r;t), we see that the dynam ics is completely described by the parameter b(t) if and only if b(t) fulls

$$b = !^{2} (\frac{1}{b^{3}} b)$$
 (A8)

with q(t) = b and the initial conditions b(0) = 1 and b(0) = 1=f. Equation (A.8) can be solved exactly to give

$$b(t) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{2f^2}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{f^4} + \frac{4}{f^2} \sin 2t + \arctan(\frac{1}{2f})$$
(A 9)

The focussing time, dened by b = 0, is consequently

$$t_{f} = \frac{1}{!} \frac{1}{2} \arctan (2f)$$
 (A 10)

As can be seen from Eqs. (A 3) and (A 10) the focussing time is the same both for the noninteracting case and in the Thomas-Ferm i limit. This is a coincidence and holds only in the self-similar situation and in 2D since the radial excitation frequencies are given by = 2n!for the ideal gas and $= ! 2n^2 + 2nm + 2n + m$ [20] for the Tomas-Ferm i cloud. For n = 1 corresponding to the lowest breathing mode, i.e. focusing, the frequencies are the same.

[1] S.L.Rolston and W .D.Phillips, Nature 416, 219 (2002).

[2] A.E. Siegman, Lasers (University Science Books, Mill

Valley, California, 1986).

- [3] S.Inouye, T.Pfau, S.Gupta, A.P.Chikkatur, A.Gorlitz, D.E.Pritchard, and W.Ketterle, Nature 402, 641 (1999).
- [4] M.K. and Yoichi Suzuki, Y. Torii, T. Sugiura, T.Kuga,
 E.W. Hagley, and L.Deng, Science 286, 2309 (1999).
- [5] A.P.Chikkatur, Y.Shin, A.E.Leanhardt, K.Kielpinski, E.Tsikata, T.L.Gustavson, D.E.Pritchard, and W.Ketterle, Science 296, 2193 (2002).
- [6] A.E. Siegman, Lasers (University Science Books, M ill Valley, California, 1986), chap. 14-23.
- [7] A.E.Siegman, IEEE J.Selected Topics in Quant. Electron. 6, 1389 (2000).
- [8] G.P.Karman, G.S.M cD onald, G.H.C.New, and J.P. W oerdman, Nature 402, 138 (1999).
- [9] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2002).
- [10] B.M. Caradoc-Davies, PhD. thesis, University of O tago, D unedin, New Zealand (2000).
- [11] J. W. Goodman, Introduction to Fourier Optics

(M cG raw -H ill, New York, 1968).

- [12] L. Dobrek, M. Gajda, M. Lewenstein, K. Sengstock, G.Birkl, and W. Ertmer, Phys. Rev. A 60, R 3381 (1999).
- [13] J.Denschlag, J.E.Sim sarian, D.L.Feder, C.W. Clark,
 L.A.Collins, J.Cubizolles, L.Deng, E.W. Hagley,
 K.Helmerson, W.P.Reinhardt, et al., Science 287, 97 (2000).
- [14] M.Edwards, D.A.Griggs, P.L.Holman, C.W. Clark, S.L.Rolston, and W.D.Phillips, J.Phys.B 32, 2935 (1999).
- [15] A.Forrester, M.Lonnqvist, M.J.Padgett, and J.Courtial, Opt.Lett. 27, 1869 (2002).
- [16] M.W.Beijersbergen, L.Allen, H.E.L.O. van der Veen, and J.P.W oerdman, Opt. Commun. 96, 123 (1993).
- [17] Y.Kagan, A.Muryshev, and G.Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 933 (1998).
- [18] P. Fedichev, M. Reynolds, and G. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev.Lett. 77, 2921 (1996).
- [19] C.Monroe, Nature 416, 238 (2002).
- [20] S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. A 87, 2385 (1998).