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#### Abstract

We calculate them odynam ic quantities of HP lattice proteins by means of a multicanonical chain grow th algorithm that connects the new variants of the $P$ runed $E$ n riched $R$ osenbluth $M$ ethod ( nPERM ) and at histogram sam pling of the entire energy space. Since our m ethod directly sim ulates the density of states, we obtain results for them odynam ic quantities of the system for all tem peratures. In particular, th is algorithm enables us to accurately sim ulate the usually di cult accessible low-tem perature region. Therefore, it becom es possible to perform detailed analyses of the low -tem perature transition betw een ground states and com pact globules.


PAC S num bers: $05.10 .-\mathrm{a}, 87.15 \mathrm{~A}$ a, 87.15.C c

## I. INTRODUCTION

The native conform ation of a protein is strongly correlated w ith the sequence of am ino acid residues building up the heteropolym er. The sequence $m$ akes the protein unique and assigns it a speci c function w thin a biological organism. The reason is that the di erent types of am ino acids vary in their response to the environm ent and in theirm utualinteraction. It is a challenging task to revealon what generalprinciples the folding process of a protein is based. M odels di er extrem ely in their levelof abstraction, ranging from simple and purely qualitative lattice $m$ odels to highly soph isticated all-atom o -lattice form ulations w th explicit solvent that partially yield results com parable w ith experim ental data. D ue to the enorm ous com putationale ort required for sim ulations of realistic proteins, usually characteristic properties of a protein w ith a given sequence are studied in detail. M uch sim pler, but by no $m$ eans trivial, lattice $m$ odels en joy a grow ing interest, since they allow a m ore globalview on, for exam ple, the analysis of the relation betw een sequence and structure.

In this paper, we shall focus ourselves on therm odynam ic properties of lattice proteins at all tem peratures. In particular, this includes the investigation of the transitions betw een the di erent classes of states: lowestenergy states, com pact globules, and random coils. Since the ground-state\{globule transition occurs at rather low tem peratures, a powerfiul algorithm is required that in particular allows a reasonable sam pling of the low lying energy states. To this end we combined multicanonical strategies [1] [1,
 tem perature-independent and directly sim ulates the density of states. This quantity contains all energetic infor$m$ ation necessary for com puting the $m$ ean energy, free energy, entropy, and speci cheat for all tem peratures.

[^0]In the follow ing, we present results obtained from the application of th is $m$ ethod to di erent lattice proteins $w$ ith lengths up to 103 m onom ers, m odelled by the sim plest lattice form ulation for heteropolym ers, the HP m odel [ $\underline{9}_{1}^{\prime}$ ]. In this m odel, only two types of $m$ onom ens enter, hydrophobic ( H ) and polar ( P ) residues. The $m$ odel is based on the assum ption that the hydrophobic interaction is one of the fundam ental principles in protein folding. An attractive hydrophobic interaction provides for the form ation of a com pact hydrophobic core that is screened from the aqueous environm ent by a shell of polar residues. Therefore the energy function reads

$$
E=\mathrm{X}_{h i ; j<i 1 i}^{i} j \text {; }
$$

where hi; $j$ < i 1i denotes sum $m$ ation over nearest lattice neighbours that are nonadjacent along the selfavoiding chain of $m$ onom ers. A hydrophobic $m$ onom er at the ith position in the chain has $i=1$ and a polar $m$ onom er is assigned $i=0$.

The rst part of th is paper, where we explain how our new $m$ ethod works, will be of a m ore technical nature, while the second part is devoted to applications of this algorithm to heteropolym ers. In Section 'III we discuss the $m$ ain di erences of $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ ethods based on $m$ ove sets for updating conform ations and chain grow th algorithm s as well as their peculiarities. This is follow ed by Section 'IIT about the them odynam ic quantities that will be estim ated with our m ethod. Then, in Section "ㅈN', we enter into the description of the multicanonical chain grow th algorithm. This technical part is preluded by recalling the essential ingredients ofP ERM and
 up our algorithm. T hen we proceed w th the explanation ofm ulticanonical chain grow th and the determ ination of the m ulticanonical weight factors. Section $N$ to the validation of our $m$ ethod, and in Section present the results obtained w ith our algorithm. There we focus on therm odynam ic properties of heteropolym ers w ith sequences of $m$ ore than 40 m onom ers. Finally, we sum $m$ arise the $m$ ain aspects of this paper in Section iV II.

## II. M OVE SETS VS.CHA $\mathbb{I N}$ GROW TH

Polym ers fold on a lattice into conform ations that are by de nition self-avoiding. This takes into account the
nite volume and the uniqueness of the $m$ onom ers. A lattice site can hence be occupied by a single $m$ onom er only. This has the consequence that the num ber of very dense conform ations of a polym er is by orders of m agnitude lower than that of random coil states. In M onte $C$ arlo sim ulations, particular attention $m$ ust therefore be devoted to e cient update procedures which also allow the sam pling of dense conform ations. In polym er sim ulations, so-called m ove sets w ere applied w th som e sucœess to study the behaviour near the point, which denotes the phase transition, where polym ers sub ject to an attractive interaction collapse from random coils to com pact conform ations. M ove sets being widely used usually consist of transform ations that change the position of a single $m$ onom er and a single bond vector (end
ips), a single monom er position but two bonds (corner ips), two positions and three bonds (crankshaft) or m oves w ith m ore changes, and pivot rotations, where the ith $m$ onom er serves as pivot point and one of the tw o partial chains connected w ith it is rotated about any axis through the pivot [1d]. For (a-them al) self-avoiding walks the latterm ethod is know $n$ to be very e cient [1] $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$. It becom es ine cient, how ever, the $m$ ore dense the conform ation is. At low tem peratures, the acceptance rate of locally changing a dense conform ation decreases drastically and the simulation threatens to get stuck in a speci c conform ation or to oscillate betw een tw o states. Since the search for ground states is an essential aspect of studying lattioe proteins, the application ofm ove sets is not very useful, at least for chains of reasonable length.

A more prom ising altemative is the com pletely different approach based on chain grow th. The polym er grow sby attaching the nth $m$ onom er at a random ly chosen next-neighbour site of the ( $n$ 1)th m onom er. The grow th is stopped, if the total length N of the chain is reached or the random ly selected continuation of the chain is already occupied. In both cases, the next chain is started to grow from the rst monom er. This sim ple chain grow th is also not yet very e cient, since the num ber ofdiscarded chains grow s exponentially w ith the chain length. T he perform ance can be im proved w ith the R osenbluth chain grow th $m$ ethod $[1]$, where rst the free next neighbours of the ( $n$ 1) th $m$ onom er are determ ined and then the new $m$ onom er is placed to one of the unoccupied sites. Since the probability of each possibility for the next $m$ onom er to be set varies $w$ th the num ber of free neighbours, this im plies a bias given by

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{l}=2}^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{l}}} \quad ;
$$

$w$ here $m_{l}$ is the num ber of free neighbours to place the lth $m$ onom er. Thebias is corrected by assigning a R osenbluth weight factor $W{ }_{n}^{R} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1}$ to each chain that has
been generated by this procedure. Nevertheless, this $m$ ethod su ens from attrition too: If all next neighbours are occupied, i.e., the chain was running into a \dead end" (attrition point), the com plete chain has to be discarded and the grow th process has to be started anew.

C om bining the R osenbluth chain grow th $m$ ethod $w$ ith population control, however, as is done in PERM
 a further considerable im provem ent of the e ciency by increasing the num ber of successfully generated chains. $T$ his $m$ ethod renders particularly useful for studying the
point of polym ers, since then the R osenbluth weights of the statistically relevant chains approxim ately cancel against their Boltzm ann probability. The (a-them al) R osenbluth weight factor $W{ }_{n}^{R}$ is therefore replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{n}^{P E R M}=\mathrm{m}_{1} e^{\left(E_{1} E_{1}\right)=k_{B} T} ;  \tag{3}\\
& 2 \quad \mathrm{n} \quad N \quad\left(E_{1}=0 ; \quad W_{1}^{\text {PERM }}=1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $T$ is the tem perature and $E_{1}$ is the energy of the partial chain $X_{1}=\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{1}\right)$ created w th R osenbluth chain grow th. In PERM, population controlw orks as follow s. If a chain has reached length $n$, its weight $W{ }_{n}^{\text {PERM }}$ is calculated and com pared w ith suitably chosen upper and lower threshold values, $W_{n}^{>}$and $W_{n}^{<}$, respectively. For $W_{n}{ }_{n}^{\text {PERM }}>W_{n}^{>}$, identical copies are created which grow then independently. T he weight is equally divided am ong them. If $W_{n}^{\text {PERM }}<W_{n}{ }^{<}$, the chain is pruned $w$ ith som e probability, say $1 / 2$, and in case of survival, its weight is doubled. For a value of the weight lying between the thresholds, the chain is sim ply continued w thout enriching or pruning the sam ple.

In the recently developed new variants nPERM is $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { G/ } \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$, the num ber of copies is not constant and depends on the ratio of the weight $W_{n}^{\text {PERM }}$ com pared to the upper threshold value $W_{n}^{>}$and the copies are necessarily chosen to be di erent (the $m$ ethod of selecting the copies is based on simple sampling (ss) in nPERM ss and a kind of im portance sam pling (is) in nPERM is). This proves quite useful in producing highly com pact polym ers and therefore these new m ethods are very pow erful in deter$m$ ining low est-energy states of lattice proteins.
III. DENSITY OF STATESAND

THERMODYNAMIC QUANTITIES

In order to investigate the therm odynam ic properties of lattice proteins accurate sim ulations are necessary. D ue to the di culties $w$ th the update of conform ations at low tem peratures and a prim ary interest in detecting low est-energy conform ations, only a few results of therm odynam ic quantities are found in the literature. Nev ertheless, the understanding of the conform ational transitions and the dependence of their shaypness on the sequence is only possible with algorithm s that yield good
results for low as well as for high tem peratures. C onsequently, reasonable results can only be obtained, if the $m$ ethod allow $s$ the sam pling of the entire energy space.

A 11 energetic statistical quantities of the protein can be expressed by $m$ eans of the density of energetic states $g(E)$, since the partition function of a lattice protein $w$ ith given sequence can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\underbrace{X}_{f x g} e^{E(f x g)}=X_{i}^{X} g\left(E_{i}\right) e^{E_{i}} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=1=T$ is the inverse of the them alenergy in naturalunits. The sum in the rst representation runs over all possible realisations of self-avoiding walks on the lattioe, while in the second expression the sum is taken over all energetic states a lattice protein can adopt. Then, the expectation value of any energetic observable $O$ ( $E$ ) is sim ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ho }(E) i(T)=\frac{1}{Z}_{i}^{X} O\left(E_{i}\right) g\left(E_{i}\right) e^{E_{i}} \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $m$ ean energy as the negative logarithm ic derivative of $Z \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h E i(T)=\frac{1}{Z}_{i}^{X} E_{i g\left(E_{i}\right) e^{E_{i}}: ~}^{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ith these expressions, the speci $c$ heat $G=d h E i=d T$ obeys the uctuation form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{V}(T)=\frac{1}{T^{2}} h E^{2} i \quad h E i^{2}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover, know ing $g(E)$, the $H$ elm holtz free energy is obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(T)=T \ln _{i}^{X} g\left(E_{i}\right) \operatorname{expf} \quad E_{i} g \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the entropy can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.S(T)=\frac{1}{T} \llbracket \mathbb{E} i(T) \quad F(T)\right]: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, non-energetic structural quantities are of interest for discussing the com pactness of con form ations, such as the end-to-end distance

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\text {ee }}=\dot{x}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{1} \mathrm{j} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the radius of gyration
where $\mathrm{x}_{0}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{N}$ is the centre of $m$ ass of the conform ation ( w th all m onom ers having equal m ass). For
the calculation ofm ean values ofnon-energetic quantities O, Eq. ( ${ }_{(1)}$ ) is replaced by the general form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ho i(T) })_{\frac{1}{Z}^{X}}^{\mathrm{fxg}} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{fxg}) e^{\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{fxg})} \text { : } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the follow ing, we shall focus ourselves on the study of these them odynam ic quantities for di erent HP lattioe proteins and develop an algorithm that allow s a direct sim ulation of the density of states.

## IV. MULTICANONICALCHAIN GROW TH A LGORITHM

Before we describe the idea behind the multicanonicalchain grow th algorithm and the iterative determ ination of the m ulticanonical weights which are related to the density of states, we rst recall the canonical chain grow th variants nP ERM ${ }_{\text {is }}^{\text {ss }}$, on which our algorithm builds up.
A. C anonicalChain $G$ row th

In the original chain grow th algorithm PERM [-4] , the sam ple of chains w th length $n \leq N$ is en riched by identicalcopies if the w eight factor ( $\underline{3}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is bigger than a threshold value $W_{n}^{>}$. In order to obey correct B oltzm ann statistics, the weight is divided am ong the clones. If, how ever, $W_{n}^{\text {PERM }}<W_{n}^{<}$, the chain is pruned $w$ ith, e.g. probability $1=2$, requiring the weight of a surviving chain to be taken $t w$ ice. Then one attaches a new $m$ onom er at a random ly chosen free next-neighbour site of the previous one. This is done for all chains that still exist and the procedure is repeated until the totalchain length $N$ is reached or the grow th of a chain was term inated by a dead end or due to pruning. A fter allchains created w ithin this tree have grow $n$ until their end is reached and thus the present socalled tour is nished, a new grow th process starts from the rst $m$ onom er, i.e., a new tour begins. H aving created an appropriate num ber of chainsw ith length $n$, they will be canonically distributed at the given tem perature $T$. In fact, this is also true for all partial chains with interm ediate lengths $\mathrm{n}<\mathrm{N}$, but there are strong correlations betw een chains $w$ ith di erent lengths $n$.

In the recently proposed new PERM variants nPERM ${ }_{\text {is }}^{\text {ss }}$ (new PERM w ith sim ple/im portance sam pling ( $\mathrm{ss} / \mathrm{is}$ ) ) a considerable im provem ent is achieved by creating di erent copies, i.e., the chains are identicalin (n 1) $m$ onom ers but have di erent continuations, instead of com pletely identical ones, since identical partial chains usually show up a sim ilar evolution. Because of the different continuations, the weights of the copies can di er. Therefore it is not possible to decide about the num ber of copies on the basis of a joint weight. T he suggestion is to calculate rst a predicted weight which is then com pared $w$ ith the upper threshold $W_{n}^{>}$in order to determ ine
the num ber of clones. A nother im provem ent of P ERM being followed up since rst applications to lattice proteins is that the threshold values $W_{n}^{>}$and $W_{n}^{<}$are no longer constants, but are dynam ically adapted with regard to the present estim ate for the partition sum and to the num ber of successfiully created chains w ith length $n$. T he partition sum is proportional to the sum over the weight factors of all conform ations of chains w ith length $n$, created w ith a R osenbluth chain grow th $m$ ethod like, for instance, $n P E R M$ is :

Here, $X_{n, t}$ denotes the th generated conform ation of length n . The proportionality constant is the inverse of the num ber of successful tours, i.e., the num ber of chain grow th starts $M$ tours that led to the generation of at least one chain of length $n$. N ote that due to this norm alisation it is possible to estim ate the degeneracy of the energy states. This is in striking contrast to im portance sam pling M onte C arlo methods, where the overall constant on the r.h.s. of Eq. (13 and hence only relative degeneracies can be estim ated.

Since nPERM ss and nPERM is, respectively, are possible fundam ental ingredients for our algorithm, it is useful to recall in som e detail how these chain grow th algorithm swork. Them ain di erence in com parison with the originalPERM is that, if the sam ple of chains of length n 1 shall be enriched, the continuations to an unoccupied next-neighbour site have to be di erent, i.e., the weights of these chains w th length $n$ can di er. Therefore it is im possible to calculate a uniform weight like $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\text {PERM }}$ as given in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{\beta}}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ before deciding whether to enrich, to prune, or sim ply to continue the current chain of length $n$ 1. A sproposed in Ref. [ $[\underline{6} 1]$, it is therefore useful to control the population on the basis of a predicted weight $W{ }_{n}^{\text {pred }}$ which is introduced as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}^{\text {pred }}=W_{n 1}^{n P E R M_{\text {is }}^{\text {ss }}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \text { nPERM }{ }_{\text {is }}^{\text {ss }} \text {; } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{n}$ denotes the number of free neighbouring sites to continue w ith the $n$th $m$ onom er. The \im portances" nPERM is di er for nPERM SS and nPERM is. Due to its characterisation as a sim ple sam pling algorithm ( $n$ PERM ss), where all continuations are equally probable, and as a $m$ ethod $w$ ith im portance sam pling ( $n P E R M$ is), the im portances $m$ ay be de ned as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { nPERM SS }=1 ;  \tag{15}\\
& \text { nPERM is }=m_{n}^{()}+\frac{1}{2} e^{\left(E_{n}^{( }\right) E_{n}^{1)}:} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression for $n P E R M$ is involves the energy $E_{n}{ }^{(1)}$ of the choige $2\left[1 ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ for placing the $n$th $m$ onom er and the number of free neighbours $\left.m_{n}^{( }\right)$of this choige which is identical $w$ th $m_{n+1}$, provided the th continuation
w as indeed selected for placing the $n$th $m$ onom er. Since nPERM is contains inform ations beyond the nth continuation of the chain, nPERM is controls the further grow th better than nPERM ss. The predicted weight for the nth m onom er is now used to decide how the grow th of the chain is continued. If the predicted weight is bigger than the current threshold, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{pred}>\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$, and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}>1$, the sam ple of chains is enriched and the num ber of copies k is determ ined according to the em pirical rule $\mathrm{k}=$ $m$ in $\left[m_{n}\right.$;int $\left.\left(W_{n}^{p r e d}=W_{n}^{>}\right)\right]$. Thus, $2 \quad k \quad m_{n}$ di erent continuationsw illbe follow ed up. U sing nP ERM ss, the $k$ continuations are chosen random ly w ith equalprobability am ong the $m_{n}$ possibilities, while for nP ERM is the probability of selecting a certain $k$-tuple $A=f{ }_{1} ;::: ;{ }_{k} g$ of di erent continuations is given by

$$
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{P}^{2 \mathrm{P}}:
$$

C onsidering the probabilities $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{A}}$ as partial intervals of certain length, arraßging them successively in the total interval $[0 ; 1]$ (since ${ }_{A} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=1$ ), and draw ing a random num ber r $2[0 ; 1)$, one selects the tuple whose interval contains r. This tuple ofdi erent sites is then chosen to continue the chain. The corresponding weights are $[\underline{[6]}]$ :

where j $2 \mathrm{fl} ;::: ; \mathrm{kg}$ is the index of the $j$ th continuation within the tuple A. In the special case of sim ple sampling this expression reduces to $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i} \underset{j}{\operatorname{erm}}=$ $W_{n}^{n P E R M} s_{m_{n}} \exp \left[\quad\left(E_{n}^{(j)} \quad E_{n} 1\right)\right]=k$. If the predicted weight is less than the lower threshold, $W_{n}$ pred $<W_{n}{ }_{n}$, how ever, the grow th of this chain is stopped w ith probability $1=2$. In this case, one traces the chain back to the last branching point, where the grow th can be continued again, or, if there are no branching points, a new tour is started. If the chain survives, the continuation of the chain follows the sam e procedure as described above, but now with $k=1$, where Eq. ( $1 \overline{1}_{1}$ ) sim pli es to $p_{A}=\quad=\quad \operatorname{since} A=f \mathrm{~g}$. In this case the weight of the chain is taken tw ice. For $W_{n}^{<} \quad W_{n}^{p r e d} \quad W_{n}^{>}$, the chain is continued w thout enriching or pruning (once m ore w th $\mathrm{k}=1$ ).

The rst tour, where the $n$th $m$ onom er is attached for the rst tim $e$, is started $w$ ith bounds set to $W_{n}=1$ and $W_{n}^{<}=0$, thus avoiding enrichm ent and pruning. For the follow ing tours, we use

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}^{>}=C \frac{Z_{n}}{Z_{1}} \frac{C_{n}^{2}}{C_{1}^{2}} ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{1} \quad C_{1}$ is the partition function of chains $w$ th length unity and $c_{i}$ the num ber of created chains with i m onom ers. The constant C 1 is som e positive num ber
and controls the num ber of successfully generated chains per tour. For the low er bound we use $W{ }_{n}{ }^{<}=0.2 W_{n}^{>}$. All these choices are in correspondence to $R$ ef. [6] ${ }^{\prime}$ ].

## B. M ulticanonical Sam pling of $R$ osenbluth $-W$ eighted $C$ hains

The idea behind our multicanonical chain grow th m ethod is to atten the canonical energy distribution provided by nPERM is . For a given tem perature, the latter algorithm s yield accurate canonical distributions over som $e$ orders of $m$ agnitude. In order to construct the entire density of states, standard rew eighting procedures $m$ ay be applied, requiring sim ulations for different tem peratures [-3, 1 ]. The low-tem perature distributions are, how ever, very sensitive against uctuations of weights which inevitably occur because the num ber of energetic states is low, but the weights are high. T hus, it is di cult to obtain a correct distribution of energetic states, since this requires a reasonable num ber of hits of low -energy states. T herefore we assign the chains an additional weight, the m ulticanonical weight factor $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\text {at }}$, chosen such that allpossible energetic states of a chain of length $n$ possess alm ost equal probability of realisation. $T$ he rst advantage is that states having a low B oltzm ann probability com pared to others are hit $m$ ore frequently. Secondly, the multicanonical weights introduced in that m anner are proportional to the inverse canonical distribution at temperature $T, W_{n}{ }^{\text {at }}(\mathbb{E}) \quad 1=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\text {can; }}$ ( E ), respective the inverse density of states

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}^{a t}(E) \quad g_{n}^{1}(E) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for T ! 1 . Thus, only one sim ulation is required and a m ulti-h istogram rew eighting is not necessary. An im portant conceptual aspect is the fact that the multicanonical weight factors are unknow $n$ in the beginning and have to be determ ined iteratively.

Before we discuss the technical aspects regarding our $m$ ethod, we rst explain it $m$ ore form ally. The energydependent multicanonical weights are trivially introduced into the partition sum (13) as suitable \decom position of unity" in the follow ing way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{n}=\frac{1}{M_{\text {tours }}}{ }^{X^{\prime}} W_{n}^{n P E R M}{ }_{\text {is }}^{\text {ss }}\left(X_{n ; t}\right) \\
& \quad W_{n}^{\text {at }}\left(E\left(X_{n ; t}\right)\right) W_{n}^{\text {at }}\left(E\left(X_{n ; t}\right)\right)^{1}: \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since we are going to sim ulate at in nite tem perature, we express w ith $\left.{ }^{2} \mathbf{2}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ the partition sum which then coincides $w$ th the total num ber of all possible conform ations as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}={\frac{1}{M_{\text {tours }}}}_{t}^{X} g_{n}\left(E\left(X_{n ; t}\right)\right) W_{n}\left(X_{n ; t}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the com bined weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}\left(X_{n ; t}\right)=W_{n}^{n P E R M}{ }_{i s}^{\text {ss }}\left(X_{n ; t}\right) W_{n}^{\text {at }}\left(E\left(X_{n ; t}\right)\right): \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking this as the probability for generating chains of length $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}$, leads to the desired at distribution $H_{n}(E)$, from which the density of states is obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(E) \quad \frac{H_{n}(E)}{W_{n}^{a t}(E)}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonicaldistribution at any tem perature $T$ is calculated by sim ply rew eighting the density of states to this tem perature, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\text {can } ; \mathrm{T}}(\mathbb{E}) \quad g_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathbb{E}) \exp (\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{T})$.
C. Iterative $D$ eterm in ation of the $D$ en sity of States

In the follow ing, we describe our procedure for the iterative determ ination of the $m$ ulticanonical weights, from which we obtain an estim ate for the density of states. Since there are no inform ations about an appropriate choice for the $m$ ulticanonical weights in the beginning, we set them in the zeroeth iteration for all chains 2 $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{N}$ and energies E equal to unity, $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{a t ;}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{E})=1$, and the histograms to be attened are initialised with $H_{n}^{(0)}(E)=0$. These assum ptions render the zeroeth iteration a pure nPERM is is .

Since we set $=0$ from the beginning, the accum $u$ lated histogram of all generated chains of length $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}^{(0)}(E)=X_{t}^{X} W_{n ; t}^{n P E R M}{ }_{\text {is }}^{\text {ss }} E_{t E} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a rst estim ate of the density of states. In order to obtain a at histogram in the next iteration, we update the m ulticanonical weights

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\text {at; }(1)}(E)=\frac{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\text {at; }(0)}(E)}{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}(E)} \quad 8 \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{E} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and reset the histogram, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{(1)}(\mathrm{E})=0$.
The rst and all follow ing iterations are multicanonical chain grow th runs and proceed along sim ilar lines as described above, $w$ th som emodi cations. The prediction for the new weight follows again (14), but the im portances is (15 ${ }^{5}$ ) are in the ith iteration introduced as

$$
\begin{equation*}
i s ;(i)=m_{n}^{()}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{W_{n}^{a t ;(i)}\left(E_{n}^{()}\right)}{W_{n 1}^{a t ;(i)}\left(E_{n 11}\right)}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sim ple sam pling case, we still have ${ }^{s s ;(i)}=1$. If the sam ple is enriched ( $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{pred}}>\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{>}$) the weight (18) of a chain $w$ ith length $n$ choosing the $j$ th continuation is now replaced by
where in the sim ple sam pling case (ss) $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and the bino$m$ ial factor again cancel each other. If $W_{n}^{<} \quad W_{n}^{p r e d}$
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$, an nth possible continuation is chosen (selected as described for the enrichm ent case, but w th $k=1$ ) and the weight is as in Eq. (28). A ssum ing that $W{ }_{n}^{\text {pred }}<W_{n}^{<}$ and that the chain has survived pruning (as usualwith probability $1=2$ ), we proceed as in the latter case and the chain is assigned tw ioe that w eight. T he upper threshold value is now determ ined in analogy to Eq. (1-d) via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{>}=\mathrm{C} \frac{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}^{a t}}{\mathrm{Z}_{1}{ }^{a t}} \frac{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}}{\mathrm{c}_{1}^{2}} ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}^{\text {at }}=X_{t}^{X} W_{n ; t}^{\text {ss;is }} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the estim ated partition sum according to the new distribution provided by the weights (201) for chains w ith $n$ m onom ers and $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ at $=\mathrm{Z}_{1} \quad \mathrm{C}_{1}$. W henever a new teration is started, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}$ at $, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}^{<}$are reset to zero, and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\text {r }}$ to in nity (i.e. to the upper lim it of the data type used to store this quantity). If a chain of length $n \mathrm{w}$ ith the energy E w as created, the histogram is increased by its weight:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}^{(i)}(E)=\underbrace{X}_{t} W_{n ; t}^{\text {Ss;is }} E_{t} E: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From iteration to iteration, this histogram approaches the desired at distribution $H_{n}(\mathbb{E})$ and after the nal iteration $i=I$, the density of states is estim ated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{I})}(E)=\frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(\mathrm{I})}(E)}{\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\text {at } ;(\mathrm{I})}(E)} ; \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~N} \text {; } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

in analogy to Eq. ( $2 \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) .
In our sim ulations, we usually perform ed up to 30 terations. The runs 0 to I 1 were usually term inated after $10^{5}$ \{ $10^{6}$ chains of total length N had been produced, while in the $m$ easuring run ( $i=I$ ) usually $10^{7}\left\{10^{9}\right.$ conform ations are su cient to obtain reasonable statistics. The param eter C in Eq. (2 $\overline{2} \overline{9})$ that controls the pruning/enrichm ent statistics and thus how many chains of complete length N are generated per tour, was set to $C=0: 01$, such that on average 10 com plete chains were successfully constructed within each tour. $W$ ith this choice, the probability for pruning the current chain or enriching the sam ple was about 20\%. In alm ost all started tours M at least one chain achieved its com plete length. T hus the ratio betw een started and successfully nished tours $M_{\text {succ }}$ is approxim ately unity, $M_{\text {succ }}=M \quad 1$, assuring that our algorithm perform ed w ith quite good e ciency.

Unlike typical applications of $m$ ulticanonical or at histogram algorithm s in im portance sam pling schem es, where all energetic states becom e equally probable such that the dynam ics of the sim ulation corresponds to a random walk in energy space, the distribution to be attened in our case is the histogram that accum ulates the w eights
of the conform ations. Hence, if the histogram is at, a sm all num ber of high-w eighted conform ations $w$ th low energy $E$ has the sam e probability as a large num ber of appropriate conform ations w ith energy $\mathrm{E}^{0}>\mathrm{E}$ carrying usually lower weights. Therefore the num ber of actual low -energy hits rem ains low er than the num ber of hits of states w ith high energy. In order to accum ulate enough statistics in the low -energy region, the com parative large num ber of generated conform ations in the $m$ easuring run is required.

We have also im plem ented multicanonical chain grow th sim ulations, where we were going to atten the \naked" energy distribution, i.e., we tried to equalise the number of hits for all energetic states. The problem is that this contradicts the philosophy ofR osenbluth chain grow th $m$ ethods, where the bias connected with the Rosenbluth weight controls the population of sam ples. T herefore low est-energy states w ere not \tuned" by this bias and not hit accordingly. For applications w ithout special focus to the low-tem perature region, it $m$ ay be, how ever, an appropriate altemative to the procedure described above and should be pursued further.

## V. VALIDATION AND PERFORMANCE

Before we discuss the physical results obtained with the multicanonical chain grow th algorithm, we rst re$m$ ark on tests validating the $m$ ethod. $W$ e com pared the speci $c$ heat for very short chains $w$ th data from exact enum eration and found that our $m$ ethod reproduces the exact results w ith high accuracy. For a chain with 42 m onom ers, where exact results are not availabl , we perform ed a multi-histogram reweighting []l] from canonicaldistributionsatdi erent tem peraturesobtained from originalnPERM is runs. Here, it tumed out that our $m$ ethod shows up a considerably higher perform ance (higher accuracy in spite of low er statistics at com parable CPU tim es). We also com pared with im plem entations based on sophisticated im portance sam pling $M$ onte C arlo schem es, e.g., we have also perform ed
 lations [1] ${ }^{-1}$ in com bination $w$ ith conform ational updates di erent from chain grow th (e.g. m ove sets as described in Section (יII) . For the present applications, how ever, all of these attem pts proved to be less e cient.

> A. C om parison w ith $R$ esults from Exact Enum eration

As a rst validation of our m ethod, we apply it to a set of 14 m ers w ith some interesting properties (see Table $\frac{1}{\square}$ ) regarding the relation between their groundstate degeneracy and the strength of the low -tem perature conform ational transition betw een lowest-energy states
 (pseudo-)transitions are usually identi ed through struc-

TABLE I: Sequences, hydrophobicity $n_{H}$, and global mini$m$ um energy $E_{m}$ in $w$ ith degeneracy $g_{0}^{\text {ex }}$ (w ithout rotations, reections, and translations) of the exactly enum erated 14 m ers used forvalidation ofour algorithm. T he last colum $n$ contains the predictions for the ground-state degeneracy obtained $w$ ith our $m$ ethod.

| No. | sequence | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}$ | $\mathrm{g}_{0}^{\text {ex }}$ | go |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14.1 | $\mathrm{HPHPH} 2 \mathrm{PHPH} 2_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ | 8 | 8 | 1 | 0:98 | 0:03 |
| 142 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{HPHPH} 2_{2} \mathrm{PHPH}$ | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2:00 | 0:07 |
| 14.3 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PHPHP}_{2} \mathrm{HPHPH}_{2}$ | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2:00 | 0:06 |
| 14.4 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PHP}_{2} \mathrm{HPHPH}_{2} \mathrm{PH}$ | 8 | 8 | 4 | 3:99 | 0:13 |



FIG. 1: Logarithm ic plot of the relative errors of our esti$m$ ates for the speci $c$ heats of the 14 m ers given in $\mathrm{Tabl} \frac{1}{\mathbb{W}}$.
tural peculiarities ( $m$ axim a for strong transitions or \shoulders" for weak transitions) in the tem peraturedependent behaviour of uctuations of therm odynam ic quantities. U sually, it is hard to obtain a quite accurate estim ation of the uctuations in the low -tem perature region. Thus it is a good test of our $m$ ethod to calculate uctuating quantities for the 14 m ers listed in Tablel and to com pare $w$ ith results that are still available by exactly enum erating all possible 943974510 conform ations (except translations) [13]. Therefore w e determ ined $w$ ith our $m$ ethod the densities of states for these 14 m ers and calculated the uctuations of the energy around the $m$ ean value in order to obtain the speci cheat according to Eq. (T기). W e generated $10^{9}$ chains and the results for the speci c heats tumed out to be highly accurate. $T$ his is dem onstrated in Fig. $1_{1}^{11}$, w here we have plotted for the exempli ed 14 m ers the relative errors $"(T)=j_{V}^{e x}(T) \quad C_{V}(T) j C_{V}^{e x}(T)$ ofourestim ates $C_{V}(T)$ com pared w th the speci cheats $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{V}}^{e x}(\mathrm{~T})$ obtained by the exact enum eration procedure. W e see that, except for very low tem peratures, the relative error is uniform ly sm aller than $10{ }^{3}$
B. M ultiple H istogram $R$ ew eighting

The calculation of the density of states by means of canonical stochastic algorithm s cannot be achieved by
sim ply rew eighting one canonicalh istogram, obtained for a given tem perature, to as $m$ any as necessary distributions to cover the whole tem perature region, since the overlap between the sam pled distribution and $m$ ost of the rew eighted histogram $s$ is too $s m$ all $[\underline{3}]$. A $s$ this sim ple reweighting only works in a certain region around the tem perature the sim ulation was perform ed, a multiple application of the reweighting procedure at di erent sam pling tem peratures is necessary [13']. For the sequence of 42 m er to be studied in detail in Section NA , we perform ed the m ultiple rew eighting of 5 overlapping histogram s obtained by separate nP ERM is runs at tem peratures $0: 3,0: 5,0: 8,1: 5$, and $3: 0$ in order to estim ate the density of states. The histogram $s$ as well as the resulting density of states are shown in $F$ ig. $\overline{12}$, where we have also plotted the density of states being obtained by $m$ eans of our $m$ ulticanonical sam pling algorithm . Each of the histogram s contains statistics of $8 \quad 10^{7}$ chains. This num ber was adequately chosen such that the density of states from histogram rew eighting $m$ atches $w$ ith in the error bars of the density of states obtained w ith our algorithm that also inherently supplies us with the absolute density of states. $N$ ote that these absolute values cannot be obtained by $m$ eans of the $m$ ultiple-histogram rew eighting procedure, where the norm alisation is initially arbitrary. O ur density of states was obtained by accum ulating statistics of $5 \quad 10^{7}$ chains. This $m$ eans that 8 tim es more chains were necessary to approxi$m$ ately achieve the accuracy $w$ ith the $m$ ultiple histogram rew eighting $m$ ethod. T he iterative period for the deter$m$ ination of the $m u l t i c a n o n i c a l w e i g h t s ~ i s ~ n o ~ d r a w ~ b a c k, ~$ as it takes in our im plem entation only $10 \%$ com pared to the production run. Therefore we conclude that our dynam ical $m$ ethod is $m$ ore $e$ cient and also $m$ ore elegant than a static rew eighting schem e, where also a reliable estim ation of statistical errors is extrem ely cum bersom e.


FIG. 2: $\quad \mathrm{H}$ istogram $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{T}}$ (E) obtained by single nP ERM is runs for 5 di erent tem peratures $T=0: 3,0: 5,0: 8,1: 5$, and 3:0 (dashed lines). The resulting density of states $g(E)$ obtained by m ultiple histogram reweighting (long dashed line) lies w ith in the error bars of the density of states calculated by $m$ eans of our $m$ ethod (solid line).
C. F lat-H istogram A lgorithm s w ith U pdate
M echan ism SD i erent from C hain G row th

The calculation of the density of states for heteropolym ers w ith less than 40 m onom ers does not represent a big challenge. It is still possible to com bine generalised ensem ble $m$ ethods like m ulticanonical sam pling [íl 'ílic or the $W$ ang-Landau $m$ ethod [14] w ith $m$ ove sets including pivot rotations to yield reasonable statistics in the low-energy sector. In order to avoid the sophisticated im plem entation of the $m$ ove sets, an altemative $m$ ethod can be used, for instance, where the conform ational inform ation is encoded in a string of letters denoting the directions F (orw ard), B (ackw ard), R (ight), L (eft), U (p), and $D$ (own) the walkerm ay follow in an em bedded coordinate system. T his structural sequence is then updated by sim ply changing a letter. A ll these $m$ ethods require a tim e-consum ing self-avoidance check follow ing each update. W e have tested these com bination of sam pling and update $m$ ethods for 14 m ers, where we could com pare $w$ ith exact results from enum eration, and applied it to a 30 m er w th 20 energy states w th rather high degeneracies. A ll these states w ere frequently hit such that the results w ere reasonable for all tem peratures. Rem arkably, it tumed out, how ever, that the sam pling of low -energy states becom es m ore problem atic the low er the degeneracy of these states is. E ither the algorithm got stuck after hitting such a state, or it took a long tim e to nd it for the rst time. These were indications for a \hidden" conform ational barrier that could not be circum vented w ith these procedures.

A pplying these $m$ ethods to sequences $w$ ith $m$ ore than 40 m onom ers did not yield reliable results. Low -energy states were too rarely or never hit in long-term sim ulations. P erform ing a biased sim ulation by explicitly starting from a state $w$ ith low est energy, i.e., initialising $w$ ith a very dense conform ation it took $\mathrm{m} u \mathrm{ch}^{\mathrm{c}}$ too long untila new self-avoiding conform ation w as found and accepted. $C$ om paring this $w$ ith applications of the $m$ ulticanonical chain grow th $m$ ethod to these exam ples led us to the conclusion that, in the application to lattioe proteins, chain grow th $m$ ethods are $m$ uch $m$ ore capable of avoiding such barriers.

## VI. RESULTS

In the follow ing we focus on results which we obtained w th the multicanonical chain grow th algorithm for heteropolym ers w ith HP sequences of $m$ ore than 40 m onom ers.

> A . Lattice M odel for P arallel H elix w ith 42 M onom ers

We consider a 42 mer with the sequence $\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{PHP}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PHPHP}{ }_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PHPH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{PHPH} 3_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{HPHPH} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{PHPH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{P}$ that


FIG. 3: Estim ates for the density of states $g_{42}^{(i)}$ (E) for the 42 m er after di erent levels of iteration. Since the curves would fall on top of each other, we have added, for better distinction, a suitable o set to the curves of the 1th, 6th, and 9th run. The estim ate of the 0th run is norm alised to unity.


FIG.4: M ean end-to-end distance $h R$ ee $i$ and $m$ ean radius of gyration $h R_{\text {gyr }} i$ of the 42 m er.
form sa parallelhelix in the ground state. It w as designed to serve as a lattice $m$ odel of the parallel helix of pectate lyase C [1] $m$ ake it an interesting and challenging system to which we want to apply our $m$ ethod rst. The ground-state energy is know n to be $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}=34 . \mathrm{M}$ oreover, the speci c heat has a very pronounced low-tem perature peak that indicates a (pseudo-)transition betw een the low estenergy states possessing com pact hydrophobic cores and the regim e of the globule conform ations. This transition is in addition to the usualone betw een globules and random coils.

Figure shows how the estim ate for the density of states of this 42 m er evolves w ith increasing num ber of iterations. The 0th iteration is the in itial pure nPERM is run at $=0$. This does not render, how ever, a proper im age of the abilities ofnP ERM is which w orksm uch better at nite tem peratures. Iterations 1 to 8 are used to determ ine the $m$ ulticanonical w eights over the entire energy space E 2 [ 34;0]. Then, the 9th iteration is the $m$ easuring run which gives a very accurate estim ate for the density of states covering about 25 orders of $m$ agnitude. O ur estim ate for the ground-state degeneracy is


FIG. 5: Speci c heat $C_{v}$ and derivatives w r.t. tem perature of $m$ ean end-to-end distance $h R_{\text {ee }} i$ and radius of gyration $h R_{\text {gyr }} i$ as functions of tem perature for the 42 m er. T he ground-state \{ globule transition occurs betw een $\mathrm{T}_{0}^{(1)} 0: 24$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}^{(2)} \quad 0: 28$, while the globule $\{$ random coil transition takes place betw een $\mathrm{T}_{1}{ }^{(1)} \quad 0: 53$ and $\mathrm{T}_{1}^{(2)} \quad 0: 70$ (shaded areas).


FIG. 6: Tem perature dependence of the uctuations of energy ${ }_{\mathrm{E}}^{2}$, end-to-end distance ${ }_{\text {ee }}^{2}$, and radius of gyration ${ }_{\text {gyr }}^{2}$ for the 42 m er. $N$ ote the di erent scales for ${ }_{\text {ee }}^{2}$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{gyr}}^{2}$. In the tem perature intervalplotted the variance of the radius of gyration (right scale) is m uch sm aller than the variance of the end-to-end distance (left scale), $\underset{\text { gyr }}{2}<\stackrel{2}{e e}$.
$g_{0}=3: 9 \quad 0: 4$, which is in perfect agreem ent $w$ ith the known value $g_{0}^{\text {ex }}=4$ (except translational, rotational, and re ection sym $m$ etries) "[ind].

The average structural properties at nite tem peratures can be best characterised by the $m$ ean end-toend distance $h R_{\text {ee }} i(T)$ and the $m$ ean radius of gyration $\mathrm{hR}_{\mathrm{gyr}} \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{T})$. A s these quantities carry shape in form ations, their calculation is not exclusively based on the density of states $g_{N}\left(E\left(X{ }_{N} ; t\right)\right.$ and hence Eq. ( plied. Therefore expectation values of such quantities $O$ are obtained from the time series of the $m$ easuring run of the m ulticanonical chain grow th sim ulation at in nite tem perature by using the general form ula

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{ho} i(T)={\frac{1}{Z_{N}}}^{X} O\left(X_{N ; t}\right) \\
& W_{N}\left(X_{N ; t}\right) g_{N}\left(E\left(X_{N} ; t\right)\right) e^{E\left(X_{N ; t} ;\right.} ; \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG . 7: C anonical distributions for the 42 m er at tem peratures (a) $\mathrm{T}=0: 24 ; 0: 25 ;::: 70: 30$ close to the ground-state $\{$ globule transition region betw een $\mathrm{T}_{0}{ }^{(1)} \quad 0: 24$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}{ }^{(2)} \quad 0: 28$, (b) $\mathrm{T}=0: 50 ; 0: 55 ;::: ; 1: 0$. T he high-tem perature peak of the
 the distribution has the largest width, Cf. Fig. G. G . N ear th is tem perature, the $m$ ean radius of gyration and the $m$ ean end-

$W$ ith the estim ate for the partition sum $Z_{N}=$ ${ }_{t} W_{N}\left(X_{N} ; t\right) g_{N}\left(E\left(X_{N} ; t\right)\right) \operatorname{expf} E\left(X_{N} ; t\right) g$. Our results for $h R_{e e} i(T)$ and $h R_{g y r}{ }^{i}(T)$ of the 42 m er are show $n$ in Fig. ${ }^{\prime}$ cal errors were estim ated by using the jackknife binning m ethod $\left[{ }^{[1} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right]$. The pronounced m inim $u m$ in the end-toend distance can be interpreted as an indication of the transition betw een the low est-energy states and globules: T he low num ber of ground states have sim ilar and highly sym $m$ etric shapes (due to the re ection sym $m$ etry of the sequence) but the ends of the chain are polar and therefore they are not required to reside close to each other. Increasing the tem perature allow s the protein to fold into conform ations di erent from the ground states and contacts betw een the ends becom e m ore likely. T herefore, the $m$ ean end-to-end distance decreases and the protein has entered the globule \phase". Further increasing the tem perature leads then to a disentangling of the globules and random coil conform ations w ith larger end-to-end distances dom inate. From Fig. ${ }^{1} \bar{I}_{1}, 1$, where we have plotted the speci $c$ heat and the derivatives of the $m$ ean end-to-end distance and of the $m$ ean radius of gyration $w$ ith


F IG . 8: Logarithm ic plots of the densities of states $g(E)$ and \ at" histogram s H (E) for the sequences $48.1,48.5,48.6$, and 48.7 from $T$ able III that have the sam e low est energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}=$ 32. T he norm alisation of the histogram s of these exam ples was chosen such that they coincide at $m$ axim um energy, $\log _{10} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{max}}=0\right)=1$.
respect to the tem perature,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d T} h R_{e e} i(T)=\frac{1}{T^{2}}\left(h E R_{e e} i \quad h E i h R_{e e} i\right) ;  \tag{34}\\
& \frac{d}{d T} h R_{g y r} i(T)=\frac{1}{T^{2}}\left(h E_{g y r} i \quad h E i h R_{g y r} i\right) ; \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

we estim ate the tem perature region of the ground-state \{ globule transition to be w thin $\mathrm{T}_{0}^{(1)} \quad 0: 24$ and $\mathrm{T}_{0}{ }^{(2)}$ $0: 28$. The globule \{ random coil transition takes place betw een $\mathrm{T}_{1}^{(1)} \quad 0: 53$ and $\mathrm{T}_{1}^{(2)} \quad 0: 70$.

In Fig. 'G we show variances ${ }_{0}^{2}=h^{2} \mathrm{i}$ ho $\mathrm{i}^{2}$ as functions of tem perature for $O=E, R_{\text {ee }}$, and $R_{g y r}$. W e observe weak \shoulders" around $T=0: 3$ (to see this for ${ }_{\text {gyr }}^{2}$ would require, how ever, an even higher resolution of the plot), close to the interval, where the low tem perature transition is expected. T he situation is much m ore di use in the tem perature region, where the globule \{ random coil transition should take place. $T$ he variance of the energy ${ }_{E}^{2}$ has a peak at $T=0: 73$, near the tem peratures of the corresponding peaks of the
 of the end-to-end distance ${ }_{\text {ee }}^{2}$ and the radius of gyration ${ }_{\text {gyr }}^{2}$, how ever, do not exhibit at all a peak near this tem perature. O bviously, there is no unique behaviour of these quantities w hich are usually used to identify conform ationaltransitions in this tem perature region. In F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ we have plotted the canonicaldistributions $\mathrm{P}_{42}^{\mathrm{can} ; \mathrm{T}}$ (E) for di erent tem peratures in the vicinity of the two transitions. From Fig. $\bar{I}_{1}(\mathrm{a})$ we read $\circ$ that the distributions possess two peaks at tem peratures $w$ thin that region where the ground-state \{ globule transition takes place. This is interpreted as indication of a $\backslash$ rst-order-like" transition [1] [1]. The behaviour in the vicinity of the globule \{ random coiltransition is less spectacular as can be seen in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1} \overline{1}(\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{b})$, and since the energy distribution show s up one peak only, this transition could be denoted as being \second-order-like". The width of the distributions


FIG.9: M ean energy hE $i(T)$, $H$ elm holtz free energy $F(T)$, and entropy $S(T)$ for 48 m ens w ith the sequences given in T able III.
grow sw ith increasing tem perature untilit has reached its m axim um value which is located near $T \quad 0: 7, \mathrm{cf}$. Fig. i'g. For higher tem peratures, the distributions becom e narrower again.

Since nite-size scaling is impossible because of the non-continuable sequences of di erent types of m onom ers, \transitions" between classes of protein shapes are, of course, to be distinguished from phase transitions in the strict therm odynam ic sense. In conclusion, conform ational transitions for polym ers of nite size, such as proteins, are usually weak and therefore di cult to identify. Thus, these considerations are, of course, of lim ited them odynam ic signi cance. From a technicalpoint of view, how ever, it is of som e im portance asM arkovian M onte C arlo algorithm scan show up problem sw ith sam pling the entire energy space, as the probability in the gap betw een the tw o peaks can be suppressed by $m$ any orders ofm agnitude (w hat is obviously not the case in our exam ple of the 42 mer ) and tunnelings are extrem ely rare. Just for such situations, at histogram algorithm s have prim arily been developed [ill

## B. Ten D esigned 48 m ers

W e have also analysed the ten designed sequences w ith 48 m onom ers given in Ref . 2 di]. The ratio betw een the num bers of hydrophobic and polar residues is one half for these HP proteins, i.e., the hydrophobicity is $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}}=24$. In Table state properties. The m inim um energies we found coin-
 show s the densities of states for selected 48 m ers and the m ulticanonical histogram s of the production run. N ote that for R osenbluth chain grow th $m$ ethods (a-therm al or at $=0$ ) the histogram for chains of length $N$ is obtained by accum ulating their individual Rosenbluth weights $W{ }_{N}^{R}$, which explains the poorer perform ance near the $m$ inim um energy, where a sm all num ber of states enters w th big weights. This di ers from the usual procedure in algorithm $s$ w ith im portance sam pling, where


F IG . 10: H eat capacities $C_{V}(T), m$ ean end-to-end distances $h R_{e e} i(T)$, and m ean radii ofgyration $h R ~ g y r i(T)$ of the ten designed 48m ers from Table'III.

TABLE II: Properties of the 48 m ers. For each of the sequences we have listed the ground-state energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in and the groundstate degeneracy $g_{0}$ estim ated $w$ ith our algorithm. For com parison, we have also quoted the low erbounds on native degeneracies $g_{C H C C}^{〔}$ obtained by m eans of the CHCC (constrained-based hydrophobic core construction) m ethod [211] as given in Ref. [20]. In both cases the constant factor 48 from rotational and re ection sym $m$ etries of conform ations spreading into all three spatial directions was divided out.

| No . | sequence | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}$ | go | $10^{3}$ ) | $\mathrm{g}_{\text {CHCC }}^{<}\left(10^{3}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48:1 | $\mathrm{HPH}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PH}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{HPH}_{3} \mathrm{PHPH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{HPP}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 32 | 5226 | 812 | 1500 |
| 48.2 | $\mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{PH}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{HP}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{HP}_{6} \mathrm{HP}_{2} \mathrm{HP}_{3} \mathrm{HP}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PH}$ | 34 | 17 | 8 | 14 |
| 48:3 | PHPH ${ }_{2} \mathrm{PH} 6_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{HPHP}_{2} \mathrm{HPH}_{2} \mathrm{PHPHP}{ }_{3} \mathrm{HP}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{HPHP} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HP}$ | 34 | 6:6 | 2:8 | 5:0 |
| 48:4 |  | 33 | 60 | 13 | 62 |
| 48:5 | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{HP}_{3} \mathrm{HPH} 4_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{PH} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{PH} 3_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{HPHPHP} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HP}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PH} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PH}$ | 32 | 1200 | 332 | 54 |
| 48:6 | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PHPH} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{PHP}_{7} \mathrm{HPHP}_{2} \mathrm{HP}_{3} \mathrm{HP}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{PH}$ | 32 | 96 | 19 | 52 |
| 48:7 | $\mathrm{PHP} 4_{4} \mathrm{HPH}_{3} \mathrm{PHPH} 4 \mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{HPHP}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ | 32 | 58 | 21 | 59 |
| 48:8 | $\mathrm{PH} 2_{2} \mathrm{PH}_{3} \mathrm{PH} 4_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{6} \mathrm{HPH}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PHP} \mathrm{S}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PHPHPH}{ }_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ | 31 | 22201 | 6594 | 306 |
| 48:9 | $\mathrm{PHPHP} 4_{4} \mathrm{HPHPHP} 2_{2} \mathrm{HPH}_{6} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PHP} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HPH}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{HPH}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{H}$ | 34 | 1:4 | 0:5 | 1:0 |
| 48:10 | $\mathrm{PH}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PHP}_{2} \mathrm{HPH}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{HP}_{2} \mathrm{HP}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 33 | 187 | 87 | 188 |

the counter of an energy bin being hit by an appropriate state is increm ented by unity.

In Fig. $\overline{1}{ }_{1}$ we have plotted the $m$ ean energy, free energy, and entropy as functions of tem perature for these lattice proteins. These results were obtained by $m$ eans of the density of states as sam pled w ith our algorithm. For T ! 0 the curves for both $\mathrm{hE} i$ and $\mathrm{F} m$ erge into the four di erent values of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=34,33,32$,
31) while the entropy exhibits the ground-state degeneracies, $S!\ln g_{0}$. O ur estim ates for the degeneracies $g_{0}$ of the ground-state energies, and for com parison, the lower bounds $g_{\text {C н с с }}^{<}$given in Ref. listed in Table ! II. The lower bounds were obtained w th the constrained-based hydrophobic core construction ( С H C C) m ethod [1]. Our values lie indeed above these lower bounds or include it $w$ ithin the range of statistical errors. N otice that for the sequences $48.1,48.5$, and 48.8 , our estim ates for the ground-state degeneracy are $m u c h$ higher than the bounds $g_{C H C C}^{<}$. In these cases the sm allest fram e contain ing the entire hydrophobic core is rather large (cube containing $4 \quad 3 \quad 3=36 \mathrm{~m}$ onom ers $w$ ith surface area $A=32[b o n d \text { length }]^{2}$ ) such that enum eration of th is fram e is cum bersom e. For 48.5 and 48.8 , we further found ground-state conform ations lying in less compact fram es ( 48.5 : $A=32 ; 40 ; 42 ; 48 ; 52 ; 54$ [bond length $]^{2}, 48.8: A=32 ; 40 ; 42$ [bond length ${ }^{2}$ ) and those conform ationsw ould require stillm oree ort to be identied w th the C H CC algorithm, which w as designed to locate globalenergy m inim a and therefore starts the search beginning from the $m$ ost com pact hydrophobic fram es. $T$ he ground-state energies of these exam ples are rather high ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}=31$ for 48.8 , and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}=32$ for 48.1 and 48.5 ) and therefore a higher degeneracy seem $s$ to be natural. This is, how ever, only true, if there does not exist a conform ational barrier that separates the compact H -core low -energy states from the general com pact globules. C om paring the ground-state degeneracies and the low -tem perature behaviour of the speci cheats for
the sequences $48.1,48.5,48.6$, and 48.7 (all of them having globalenergy $m$ inim a w ith $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=32$ ) as show n in
 w ith rather low ground-state degeneracy actually possess a pronounced low -tem perature peak in the speci cheat, while the higher-degenerate proteins 48.1 and 48.5 only show up a weak indication of a structural transition at low tem peratures. T he HP proteins $482,48.3$, and 48.9, which have the lowest m inim um energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}=34$ am ong the examples in Table III, have also the low est ground-state degeneracies. T hese three candidates seem indeed to exhibit a rather strong ground-state \{ globule transition, as can be read o from the associated speci c heats in F ig. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\prime}$.
$W$ e have again $m$ easured the $m$ ean end-to-end distances and $m$ ean radii of gyration which_are also plotted as functions of tem perature into F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{10}$. . B oth quantities usually serve to interpret the conform ational com pactness of polym ers. For HP proteins, the end-to-end distance is strongly in uenced, how ever, by the types of m onom ers attached to the ends of the chain. It is easily seen from the gures that the 48 m ers w ith sequences starting and ending $w$ th a hydrophobic residue (48.1, 48.2, and 48.6) have a sm aller m ean end-to-end distance at low tem peratures than the other exam ples from Ta ble $\frac{1 I T}{L I}$. T he reason is that the ends can form hydrophobic contacts and therefore a reduction of the energy can be achieved. Thus, in these cases contacts betw een ends are usually favourable and the $m$ ean end-to-end distance is close to the $m$ ean radius of gyration. Interestingly, there exists indeed a crossover region, where $h R_{\text {ee }}{ }^{i}<h R_{\text {gyr }} i$. $C$ om paring $w$ th the behaviour of the speci $c$ heat, this interval is close to the region, where the phase dom inated by low -energy states crosses over to the globulefavoured phase. T he hydrophobic contact betw een the ends is strong enough to resist the them al uctuations in that tem perature interval. The reason is that, once such a hydrophobic contact betw een the ends is estab-
lished, usually other in-chain hydrophobic $m$ onom ers are attracted and form a hydrophobic core surrounding the end-to-end contact. Thus, before the contact betw een the ends is broken, an increase of the tem perature rst leads to a $m$ elting of the surrounding contacts. The entropic freedom to form new conform ations is large since the low -energy states are all relatively high degenerate and do not possess sym $m$ etries requiring an appropriate am ount of heat to be broken. For sequences possessing $m$ ixed or purely polar ends, the $m$ ean end-to-end distance and $m$ ean radius of gyration di er much stronger, as there is no energetic reason, why the ends should be next neighbours.

In conclusion, we see that for longer chains the strength of the low-tem perature transition not only depends on low ground-state degeneracies as it does for short chains [ $\left.{ }^{[15} 1\right]$ ]. R ather, the in uence of the higher-excited states cannot be neglected. A striking exam ple is sequence 48.4 w th rather low ground-state degeneracy, but only weak signals for a low tem perature transition.

$$
\text { C. Beyond } 100 \mathrm{M} \text { onom ers ... }
$$

The nal exam ple we applied our algorithm to was a 103 m er as proposed in Ref. [2] i$]$. Until recently, the \ground state" was believed to have energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=$

49 [23]. The up-to-now best estim ate was found with nPERM is to be $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}=54$ [G] and, w th an additional bias suppressing contacts between $H$ and $P$ monom ers, even $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=55[\underline{1}, \mathrm{~T}$. O ur algorithm not only decreased the low est-energy value to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}=56$ (see F ig. . ${ }^{\prime} 1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), , but also enabled us to obtain results for the them odynam ic quantities as in the previous exam ples. Figure 12 show $s$ the density of states which coversm ore than 50 orders of $m$ agnitude from_which we determ ined the speci c heat shown in Fig. 13. The degeneracy of the low est-energy state $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}=56 \mathrm{was}$ determ ined to be of order $10^{16}$ such that it seem s likely that there exist still one orm ore even low er-lying energetic states.


F IG .11: C on form ation of the 103m er w ith the low est energy found, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=56$.


F IG . 12: D ensity of states for the 103 m er, ranging over m ore than 50 orders ofm agnitude.

## VII. SUM M ARY

In order to study heteropolym ers at very low tem peratures $w$ th reasonable accuracy, we developed a multicanonical chain grow th algorithm based on recently im proved variants of PERM. Com paring with exact enu$m$ eration data for $H P$ lattioe proteins $w$ th 14 m onom ers, we validated that ourm ethod is suitable to accurately determ ine them odynam ic quantities for all tem peratures. Then, we applied this algorithm to lattice proteins with sequences of $m$ ore than 40 m onom ers known from literature. A dditionally, we determ ined statistical properties for all tem peratures for exam ples w ith up to 103 $m$ onom ers. Since our algorithm allow s the estim ation of the degeneracy of the energy states, we determ ined for all sequences the ground-state degeneracy as it is an indication for the \uniqueness" of the native state.

In particular, we presented a detailed investigation of a sequence of 42 m onom ers that has interesting characteristic properties, e.g., a quite low ground-state degeneracy. Since som e results regarding the ground states and therm odynam ic properties were available [1] [id it was a good candidate for testing our algorithm and for checking the perform ance of our $m$ ethod. For this sequence we analysed in detail the tem perature-dependent behaviour of


FIG.13: Speci c heat of the 103 m er.
radius of gyration, end-to-end distance, as well as their uctuations, and com pared it w th the speci c heat in order to elaborate relations betw een characteristic properties of these curves (peaks, \shoulders") and conform ational transitions not being transitions in a strict ther$m$ odynam ic sense due to the im possibility to form ulate a them odynam ic lim it for proteins. Therefore, we identied tem perature regions, where global changes of protein conform ations occur.

Furtherm ore, we studied energetic and conform ational therm odynam ic quantities for the fam ous list of ten 48 m ers given in Ref. [2d] in great detail. These are nioe exam ples as they allow for the com parison of lattice proteins w ith sim ilar properties (sam e num ber ofm onom ers, identicalhydrophobicity) but sequences that di er in the
order of hydrophobic and polar m onom ers. This also allow ed us to study how conform ational properties and the strength of shape transitions depend on the protein sequence. As an interesting by-product, we not only con m ed the know $n$ global-m inim um energies for these exam ples, but we even found a new $m$ inim um for the 103 m er being the longest sequence under consideration.
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