arXiv:cond-mat/0310771v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 30 Apr 2004

Information functional for quantum random matrix ensembles.

Maciej M. Duras

Institute of Physics, Cracow University of Technology, ulica Podchorążych 1, PL-30084 Cracow, Poland.

Email: mduras @ riad.usk.pk.edu.pl

AD 2003 October 31

1 Abstract

Random matrix ensembles (RME) of quantal statistical Hamiltonian operators, *e.g.* Gaussian random matrix ensembles (GRME) and Ginibre random matrix ensembles (Ginibre RME), had been applied in literature in study of following quantal statistical systems: molecular systems, nuclear systems, disordered materials, random Ising spin systems, quantal chaotic systems, and two-dimensional electron systems (Wigner-Dyson electrostatic analogy). Measures of quantum chaos and quantum integrability with respect to eigenergies of quantal systems are defined and calculated. Information functional is defined as negentropy (opposite of entropy or minus entropy). Entropy is neginformation (opposite of information or minus information. The distribution functions for the random matrix ensembles are derived from the maximum entropy principle.

2 Introduction

The Random Matrix Theory RMT considers quantum Hamiltonian operators H that are random matrix variables in given basis of eigenfunctions. Their matrix elements H_{ij} are independent random scalar variables [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. There were studied among others the following Gaussian Random Matrix ensembles GRME: orthogonal GOE, unitary GUE, symplectic GSE, as well as circular ensembles: orthogonal COE, unitary CUE, and symplectic CSE. The choice of ensemble is based on quantum symmetries ascribed to the Hamiltonian H. The Hamiltonian H acts on quantum space V of eigenfunctions. It is assumed that V is N-dimensional Hilbert space $V = \mathbf{F}^N$, where the real, complex, or quaternion field $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{H}$, corresponds to GOE, GUE, or GSE, respectively. If the Hamiltonian matrix H is hermitean $H = H^\dagger$, then the probability density function of H reads:

$$f_{\mathcal{H}}(H) = \mathcal{C}_{H\beta} \exp\left[-\beta \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(H^2)\right], \tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{H\beta} = (\frac{\beta}{2\pi})^{\mathcal{N}_{H\beta}/2},$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{H\beta} = N + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)\beta,$$

$$\int f_{\mathcal{H}}(H)dH = 1,$$
$$dH = \prod_{i=1}^{N}\prod_{j\geq i}^{N}\prod_{\gamma=0}^{D-1} dH_{ij}^{(\gamma)},$$
$$H_{ij} = (H_{ij}^{(0)}, ..., H_{ij}^{(D-1)}) \in \mathbf{F},$$

where the parameter β assume values $\beta = 1, 2, 4$, for GOE(N), GUE(N), GSE(N), respectively, and $\mathcal{N}_{H\beta}$ is number of independent matrix elements of hermitean Hamiltonian H. The Hamiltonian H belongs to Lie group of hermitean $N \times N\mathbf{F}$-matrices, and the matrix Haar's measure dH is invariant under transformations from the unitary group U(N, $\mathbf{F}$). The eigenenergies $E_i, i = 1, ..., N$, of H, are real-valued random variables $E_i = E_i^{\star}$. It was Eugene Wigner who firstly dealt with eigenenergy level repulsion phenomenon studying nuclear spectra [1, 2, 3]. RMT is applicable now in many branches of physics: nuclear physics (slow neutron resonances, highly excited complex nuclei), condensed phase physics (fine metallic particles, random Ising model [spin glasses]), quantum chaos (quantum billiards, quantum dots), disordered mesoscopic systems (transport phenomena), quantum chromodynamics, quantum gravity, field theory.

3 The Ginibre ensembles

Jean Ginibre considered another example of GRME dropping the assumption of hermiticity of Hamiltonians thus defining generic $\mathbf{F}$-valued Hamiltonian K [1, 2, 9, 10]. Hence, K belong to general linear Lie group GL(N, $\mathbf{F}$), and the matrix Haar's measure dK is invariant under transformations form that group. The distribution of K is given by:

$$f_{\mathcal{K}}(K) = \mathcal{C}_{K\beta} \exp\left[-\beta \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(K^{\dagger}K)\right], \tag{2}$$
$$\mathcal{C}_{K\beta} = (\frac{\beta}{2\pi})^{\mathcal{N}_{K\beta}/2},$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{K\beta} = N^2\beta,$$
$$\int f_{\mathcal{K}}(K)dK = 1,$$
$$dK = \prod_{i=1}^{N}\prod_{j=1}^{N}\prod_{\gamma=0}^{D-1} dK_{ij}^{(\gamma)},$$
$$K_{ij} = (K_{ij}^{(0)}, ..., K_{ij}^{(D-1)}) \in \mathbf{F},$$

where $\beta = 1, 2, 4$, stands for real, complex, and quaternion Ginibre ensembles, respectively. Therefore, the eigenenergies Z_i of quantum system ascribed to Ginibre ensemble are complex-valued random variables. The eigenenergies $Z_i, i = 1, ..., N$, of nonhermitean Hamiltonian K are not real-valued random variables $Z_i \neq Z_i^{\star}$. Jean Ginibre postulated the following joint probability density function of random vector of complex eigenvalues $Z_1, ..., Z_N$ for $N \times N$

Hamiltonian matrices K for $\beta = 2$ [1, 2, 9, 10]:

$$P(z_1, ..., z_N) = \\ = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\pi \cdot j!} \cdot \prod_{i<j}^{N} |z_i - z_j|^2 \cdot \exp(-\sum_{j=1}^{N} |z_j|^2), \tag{3}$$

where z_i are complex-valued sample points ($z_i \in \mathbf{C}$).

We emphasize here Wigner and Dyson's electrostatic analogy. A Coulomb gas of N unit charges moving on complex plane (Gauss's plane) $\mathbf{C}$ is considered. The vectors of positions of charges are z_i and potential energy of the system is:

$$U(z_1, ..., z_N) = -\sum_{i<j} \ln |z_i - z_j| + \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |z_i^2|. \tag{4}$$

If gas is in thermodynamical equilibrium at temperature $T = \frac{1}{2k_B}$ ($\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T} = 2$, k_B is Boltzmann's constant), then probability density function of vectors of positions is $P(z_1, ..., z_N)$ Eq. (3). Therefore, complex eigenenergies Z_i of quantum system are analogous to vectors of positions of charges of Coulomb gas. Moreover, complex-valued spacings $\Delta^1 Z_i$ of complex eigenenergies of quantum system:

$$\Delta^1 Z_i = Z_{i+1} - Z_i, i = 1, ..., (N-1), \tag{5}$$

are analogous to vectors of relative positions of electric charges. Finally, complex-valued second differences $\Delta^2 Z_i$ of complex eigenenergies:

$$\Delta^2 Z_i = Z_{i+2} - 2Z_{i+1} + Z_i, i = 1, ..., (N-2), \tag{6}$$

are analogous to vectors of relative positions of vectors of relative positions of electric charges.

The eigenenergies $Z_i = Z(i)$ can be treated as values of function Z of discrete parameter $i = 1, ..., N$. The "Jacobian" of Z_i reads:

$$\mathrm{Jac} Z_i = \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial i} \simeq \frac{\Delta^1 Z_i}{\Delta^1 i} = \Delta^1 Z_i. \tag{7}$$

We readily have, that the spacing is an discrete analog of Jacobian, since the indexing parameter i belongs to discrete space of indices $i \in I = \{1, ..., N\}$. Therefore, the first derivative with respect to i reduces to the first differential quotient. The Hessian is a Jacobian applied to Jacobian. We immediately have the formula for discrete "Hessian" for the eigenenergies Z_i:

$$\mathrm{Hess} Z_i = \frac{\partial^2 Z_i}{\partial i^2} \simeq \frac{\Delta^2 Z_i}{\Delta^1 i^2} = \Delta^2 Z_i. \tag{8}$$

Thus, the second difference of Z is discrete analog of Hessian of Z. One emphasizes that both "Jacobian" and "Hessian" work on discrete index space I of indices i. The spacing is also a discrete analog of energy slope whereas the second difference corresponds to energy curvature

with respect to external parameter λ describing parametric "evolution" of energy levels [11, 12]. The finite differences of order higher than two are discrete analogs of compositions of "Jacobians" with "Hessians" of Z.

The eigenenergies $E_i, i \in I$, of the hermitean Hamiltonian H are ordered increasingly real-valued random variables. They are values of discrete function $E_i = E(i)$. The first difference of adjacent eigenenergies is:

$$\Delta^1 E_i = E_{i+1} - E_i, i = 1, ..., (N-1), \tag{9}$$

are analogous to vectors of relative positions of electric charges of one-dimensional Coulomb gas. It is simply the spacing of two adjacent energies. Real-valued second differences $\Delta^2 E_i$ of eigenenergies:

$$\Delta^2 E_i = E_{i+2} - 2E_{i+1} + E_i, i = 1, ..., (N-2), \tag{10}$$

are analogous to vectors of relative positions of vectors of relative positions of charges of one-dimensional Coulomb gas. The $\Delta^2 Z_i$ have their real parts $\mathrm{Re}\Delta^2 Z_i$, and imaginary parts $\mathrm{Im}\Delta^2 Z_i$, as well as radii (moduli) $|\Delta^2 Z_i|$, and main arguments (angles) $\mathrm{Arg}\Delta^2 Z_i$. $\Delta^2 Z_i$ are extensions of real-valued second differences:

$$\Delta^2 E_i = E_{i+2} - 2E_{i+1} + E_i, i = 1, ..., (N-2), \tag{11}$$

of adjacent ordered increasingly real-valued eigenenergies E_i of Hamiltonian H defined for GOE, GUE, GSE, and Poisson ensemble PE (where Poisson ensemble is composed of uncorrelated randomly distributed eigenenergies) [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. The Jacobian and Hessian operators of energy function $E(i) = E_i$ for these ensembles read:

$$\mathrm{Jac} E_i = \frac{\partial E_i}{\partial i} \simeq \frac{\Delta^1 E_i}{\Delta^1 i} = \Delta^1 E_i, \tag{12}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Hess} E_i = \frac{\partial^2 E_i}{\partial i^2} \simeq \frac{\Delta^2 E_i}{\Delta^1 i^2} = \Delta^2 E_i. \tag{13}$$

The treatment of first and second differences of eigenenergies as discrete analogs of Jacobians and Hessians allows one to consider these eigenenergies as a magnitudes with statistical properties studied in discrete space of indices. The labelling index i of the eigenenergies is an additional variable of "motion", hence the space of indices I augments the space of dynamics of random magnitudes.

4 The Maximum Entropy Principle

In order to derive the probability distribution in matrix space we apply the maximum entropy principle:

$$\max\{S_\beta(f_\mathcal{X}) : \langle 1 \rangle = 1, \langle \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X} \rangle = U_\beta\}, \tag{14}$$

which yields:

$$\max\{S_\beta(f_\mathcal{X}) : \int f_\mathcal{X}(X)dX = 1, \int \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X}(X) f_\mathcal{X}(X)dX = U_\beta\}, \tag{15}$$

where $X = H$ or $X = K$ for Gaussian or Ginibre ensembles, respectively, and $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X}(X) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(X^\dagger X)$. The maximization of entropy $S_\beta(f_\mathcal{X}) = \int(-k_B \ln f_\mathcal{X}(X)) f_\mathcal{X}(X)dX$ under two additional constraints of normalization of the probability density function, and of equality of its first momentum and intrinsic energy, is equivalent to the minimization of the following functional $\mathcal{F}(f_\mathcal{X})$ with the use of Lagrange multipliers α_1, β_1:

$$\begin{aligned} &\min\{\mathcal{F}(f_\mathcal{X})\}, \\ &\mathcal{F}(f_\mathcal{X}) = \int (k_B \ln f_\mathcal{X}(X)) f_\mathcal{X}(X)dX + \alpha_1 \int f_\mathcal{X}(X)dX \\ &+\beta_1 \int \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X}(X) f_\mathcal{X}(X)dX. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

It follows, that the first variational derivative of $\mathcal{F}(f_\mathcal{X})$ must vanish:

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{F}(f_\mathcal{X})}{\delta f_\mathcal{X}} = 0, \tag{17}$$

which produces:

$$k_B(\ln f_\mathcal{X}(X) + 1) + \alpha_1 + \beta_1 \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X}(X) = 0, \tag{18}$$

and equivalently:

$$\begin{aligned} &f_\mathcal{X}(X) = \mathcal{C}_{X\beta} \cdot \exp\left[-\beta \cdot \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X}(X)\right] \\ &\mathcal{C}_{X\beta} = \exp[-(\alpha_1 + 1) \cdot k_B^{-1}], \beta = \beta_1 \cdot k_B^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

The variational principle of maximum entropy does not force additional condition on functional form of $\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{X}(X)$. The quantum statistical information functional I_β is the opposite of entropy:

$$I_\beta(f_\mathcal{X}) = -S_\beta(f_\mathcal{X}) = \int (+k_B \ln f_\mathcal{X}(X)) f_\mathcal{X}(X)dX. \tag{20}$$

Information is negentropy, and entropy is neginformation. The maximum entropy principle is equivalent to minimum information priciple.

References

[1] F. Haake, *Quantum Signatures of Chaos* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1990), Chapters 1, 3, 4, 8, pp 1-11, 33-77, 202-213.

[2] T. Guhr, A. Müller-Groeling and H. A. Weidenmüller: *Phys. Rept.* **299**, 189-425 (1998) [cond-mat/9707301].

[3] M. L. Mehta, *Random matrices* (Academic Press, Boston 1990), Chapters 1, 2, 9, pp 1-54, 182-193.

[4] L. E. Reichl, *The Transition to Chaos In Conservative Classical Systems: Quantum Manifestations* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992), Chapter 6, p. 248.

[5] O. Bohigas, in *Proceedings of the Les Houches Summer School on Chaos and Quantum Physics* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991), p. 89.

[6] C.E. Porter, *Statistical Theories of Spectra: Fluctuations* (Academic Press, New York, 1965).

[7] T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey and S. S. M. Wong, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **53**, 385 (1981).

[8] C. W. J. Beenakker, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **69**, 731 (1997) [cond-mat/9612179].

[9] J. Ginibre, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 440 (1965).

[10] M. L. Mehta, *Random matrices* (Academic Press, Boston 1990), Chapter 15, pp 294-310.

[11] J. Zakrzewski and D. Delande, *Phys. Rev.* E **47**, 1650 (1993).

[12] J. Zakrzewski, D. Delande, and M. Kuś, *Phys. Rev.* E **47**, 1665 (1993).

[13] M. M. Duras and K. Sokalski, *Phys. Rev.* E **54**, 3142 (1996).

[14] M. M. Duras, *Finite difference and finite element distributions in statistical theory of energy levels in quantum systems* (PhD thesis, Jagellonian University, Cracow, 1996).

[15] M. M. Duras and K. Sokalski, *Physica* **D125**, 260 (1999).

[16] M. M. Duras, *Description of Quantum Systems by Random Matrix Ensembles of Large Dimensions*, in *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Squeezed States and Uncertainty Relations, 24 May-29 May 1999, Naples, Italy* (NASA, Greenbelt, Maryland, at press 2000) [cond-mat/0211676].

[17] M. M. Duras, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **2**, 287 (2000) [cond-mat/0211667].