## MAGNETIZATION OF CHARGE-ORDERED $La_{2-x}Sr_xNiO_{4+\delta}$

P. G. Freeman<sup>a\*</sup>, A. T. Boothroyd<sup>a</sup>, D. Prabhakaran<sup>a</sup>, D. González<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physics, Oxford University, Oxford, OX1 3PU, United Kingdom

We report magnetization measurements on  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{NiO}_{4+\delta}$  single crystals, with  $0 \le x \le 0.5$ . Glassy behaviour associated with the formation of spin-charge stripes, and a separate spin-glass phase at low temperatures were observed. We have also found a 'memory effect' in the magnetic field – temperature history, which is found to be suppressed in the low temperature spin state of the x = 0.33 crystal.

Spin and charge ordering in the form of stripes has been observed in a number of doped antiferromagnets, particularly in layered transition-metal oxides such as cuprates and nickelates[1]. The possibility that stripe correlations play an important role in the mechanism of high- $T_c$  superconductivity makes it important to understand the properties of the stripe phase.

In  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{NiO}_{4+\delta}$  (LSNO) the charges order into periodically spaced lines of charges that lie at 45° to the Ni-O bonds[2]. At lower temperatures the Ni<sup>2+</sup> spins order antiferromagnetically between the charge-stripes that act as antiphase domain walls to the magnetic order[3]. These studies have also revealed commensurability effects occurring only in the 1/3 and 1/2 doped materials[4,5]. Previous work has also found a spin glass state exists at low temperatures in LSNO[6]. Here we report magnetization measurements that reveal other types of glassy behaviour in these materials, including a field 'memory effect' in the spin glass state.

We performed magnetization measurements on single crystals of  $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{NiO}_{4+\delta}$  using a SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design). The

single crystals were grown by the floating-zone technique [7] from high purity oxides. These crystals have a typical size of  $5 \times 5 \times 2$  mm. The oxygen content was determined by thermogravimetric analysis.

Figure 1 shows a set of typical results, obtained from a sample with x=0.275 with a measuring field of 500 Oe applied parallel to the ab plane. The charge and spin ordering temperatures are indicated. A spin glass phase at low temperature  $(T_{\rm SG1}\approx 40~{\rm K})$  is signalled by a clear divergence of the zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) magnetization. However, glassy behaviour is seen to extend to much higher temperatures: a smaller ZFC/FC splitting only vanishes at a temperature  $T_{\rm SG2}$  between  $T_{\rm SO}$  and  $T_{\rm CO}$ . In the case of x=0.5, where  $T_{\rm SO}\sim 90~{\rm K}$  and  $T_{\rm CO}\sim 480~{\rm K}$ , the ZFC and FC curves did not close up until well above  $T_{SO}$ . Hence the  $T_{\rm SG2}$  feature appears to be correlated with charge-ordering.

In the spin glass phase of LSNO we observed all the materials to have an effective 'memory' of their temperature-field history in the ab plane. We observed this by cooling the sample in a field of 500 Oe to  $T_0$  ( $< T_{\rm SG1}$ ), removing the field, cooling to 2 K, and then measuring in zero field while warming. Apart from the x=0.333 sample, we observe a stable (> 1 hr) induced magnetic signal that decreased in size above  $T_0$ , and finally levels off to a constant value at  $T_{\rm SG1}$ , see figure 2. With x=0.333, the signal is small at low temperatures, but rises sharply just below  $T_{\rm SR}\approx 50$  K, corre-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>Instituto de Ciencia de Materials de Aragón, CSIC-Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

<sup>\*</sup>coressponding author. Tel: +441865 272308; +44 1865272400 E-mail p.freeman1@physiscs.ox.ac.uk URL: http://xray.physics.ox.ac.uk/Boothroyd/Default.htm We would like to thank the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council of Great Britain for their help in funding this work, and the support received from the Wohlfarth Fund.




Figure 1. A typical set of ZFC and FC data for a LSNO material, showing the convergence of the FC and ZFC magnetization between  $T_{\rm SO}$  and  $T_{\rm CO}$ . The charge ordering,  $T_{\rm CO}$ , (as confirmed by x-ray scattering[8]) spin ordering  $T_{\rm SO}$ , (as confirmed from neutron diffraction) and spin glass temperatures,  $T_{\rm SG1}$  and  $T_{\rm SG2}$ , are indicated.

sponding to a known spin reorientation[4]. The x=0.5 material also has a spin reorientation[5], but no suppression of this FC-induced signal was observed in this material, although a change in the slope can be seen at  $T_{\rm SR} \approx 57$  K, which is  $\simeq T_{\rm SG1}$  in this material. Again this shows how the commensurability of the 0.333 and 0.5 doped materials cause them to behave differently from other doping levels.

Our results further add to the knowledge of the glassy behaviour associated with charge-stripe ordering in LSNO. The existence of two apparently different glassy regimes, as well as other unusual field-temperature hysteretic effects, shows that the glassy properties of stripe phases are quite different to those observed in many other spinglass materials. Further work needs to be carried out to determine the nature of these effect in fields parallel to c.




Figure 2. The signal induced by field-cooling to the temperature  $T_0$  indicated in the key, then cooling to 2 K in zero field, and measuring while warming in zero field. The spin-reorientation temperatures of the x = 0.333 and x = 0.5 samples are indicated.

## REFERENCES

- 1. J. M. Tranquada et~al., Nature (London) 375, 561(1995).
- C. H. Chen, S-W. Cheong, and A. S. Cooper, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2461 (1993).
- H. Yoshizawa et al., Phys. Rev. B 61, R854 (2000).
- S-H. Lee et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 060405 (2001).
- P.G. Freeman et al., Phys. Rev. B 66, 0212405 (2002).
- M. Kato, Y. Maeno and T. Fujita, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 60, 1994 (1991); W-J. Jang and H. Takei, Jap. J. Applied Phys. 30, 251 (1991);
  G. H. Lander, K. Westerholt and H. Bach, Phys. Rev. B 43, 448 (1991); Th. Strangfeld et al., Physica B 183, 1 (1991); S-W. Cheong et al., Phys. Rev. B 49, 7088 (1994).
- 7. D. Prabhakaran, P. Isla, and A. T. Boothroyd, J. Cryst. Growth 237, 815 (2002).
- 8. P.D. Hatton *et al.*, Physica B 318, 289 (2002).