# On the Form Factor for the Unitary Group

#### Mirko Degli Esposti Andreas Knauf

#### November 3, 2003

#### Abstract

We study the combinatorics of the contributions to the form factor of the group U (N) in the large N  $\,$  lim it. This relates to questions about sem iclassical contributions to the form factor of quantum systems described by the unitary ensemble.

### Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Generalities on the Sym metric Group	5
3	The Linear Regime of the Form Factor	7
4	The Rank Function and the Join of Partitions	13
5	The Diagonal Contribution	15
6	D erangem ents and C ircular O rder	23
7	The Asymptotic Estimate	32
References		33

D ipartim ento di Matematica, Universita di Bologna, Piazza di Porta S.Donato, 5, I-40127 Bologna, Italy, e-mail: desposti dm. unibo.it

 $<sup>^{</sup>y}$ M athem atisches Institut der Universitat Erlangen-Numberg. Bism arckstr. 1 1/2, D-91054 Erlangen, Germ any. e-m ail: knauf@m iuni-erlangen.de

#### 1 Introduction

The form factor associated to a self{adjoint operator H is a real{valued function describing statistical properties of its spectrum. For sake of simplicity we assume that H acts on nite{dimensionalHibert space and thus has eigenvalues  $E_1; :::; E_N \ 2 \ R$ . Then we consider the Fourier transform of the measure

$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{i,k=1}}^{X^N} E_i E_k$$

and obtain the form factor Z

K (t) 
$$=$$
 exp( itE)d (E) =  $\frac{1}{N}$  tr(U (t))<sup>2</sup>;

with the unitary time evolution U (t) = exp ( iH t) generated by H.

It is an empirical fact and a physical conjecture (see Bohigas, Giannoni and Schmit [BGS] and also [Ha]) that most form factors encountered in physical quantum systems resemble the form factor associated to a so{called random matrix ensemble (see Mehta [Me]).

The simplest of these is the so{called unitary ensemble on which we shall concentrate below. This is given by the unitary group U (N) equipped with Haar probability measure  $_{\rm N}$ . Its form factor is dened as

$$K_{N}(t) := \frac{1}{N} \text{ fr}(U^{t})_{N}^{2}$$
 (1.1)

with the expectation hfi  $\inf_{N} := \frac{R}{U(N)} \text{fd}_{N}$  of a continuous function f:U(N)! C.

As the map U 7 tr(U<sup>t</sup>) is a class function on the unitary group, we can apply W eyl's integration form ula

$$Z$$
 $\int_{U(N)} f d_N = \frac{1}{N!} \int_{T^N} f^{-2} d_N$ 
(1.2)

to evaluate (1.1). In (1.2) f :U (N) ! C is assumed to be a class function.  $T^N$  U (N) is a maximal torus and may be identied with the subgroup of diagonal matrices. d  $_N$  denotes H aar measure on  $T^N$ . Finally for  $h := diag(h_1; ::: ; h_N) \ 2 \ T^n$  U (N)

is the modulus of Vanderm onde's determ inant for  $h_1$ ;:::; $h_N$ . The combinatorial factor N! is the order of the symmetric group  $S_N$  making its appearance as the Weyl group, see e.g. Fulton and Harris [FH].

With these data, the form factor is evaluated:

$$K_{N}(t) = \begin{cases} 8 \\ < N \end{cases}$$
;  $t = 0$   
 $K_{N}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } N \\ 1 \end{cases}$ ;  $0 < \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } N \end{cases}$  (t2 Z): (1.3)

This calculation is based on the eigenvalues  $h_1; \dots; h_N$  of the unitary matrix.

In [Be] Berry proposed a sem iclassical evaluation of the form factor for quantum systems, based on the periodic orbits of the principal symbol (Ham iltonian function) of the Ham iltonian operator. For the dierent random matrix ensembles he derived in the range 0 < t  $\,$  N the leading order of K  $_{\rm N}$  (t), which is linear in t=N .

More precisely, sem iclassical theory based on the Gutzwiller trace formula provides a link between spectral quantities of the quantum Hamiltonian and properties of the chaotic dynamics of the corresponding classical system. In this approach the spectral two-point correlation function and its Fourier transform, namely the form factor, are calculated by approximating the density of states using the trace formula. This formula expresses them by sums over contributions from pairs of classical periodic trajectories.

If one includes only pairs of equal or time-reversed orbits (the so called "diagonal approximation") then the form factor agrees with random matrix theory, asymptotically close to the origin (long-range correlations).

A more system atic approach will require a complete control of all the other contributions. A set step towards an understanding of the "o-diagonal" contributions have been achieved in [BK]. But only recently, beginning with the article [SR] by Sieber and Richter, contributions involving pairs of periodic orbits were system atically considered in order to explain higher order terms in t=N.

In particular, for the geodesic ow on constant negative curvature, a particular family of pairs of periodic orbits have been presented in [SR] and [Si], which turned out to be relevant for the rst correction to the diagonal approximation for the spectral form factor. These orbits pairs are given by trajectories which exhibit self-intersection with small intersection angles. This result has been generalized recently to more general uniform by hyperbolic dynamical systems [Sp].

 $<sup>^1</sup> As$  the N eigenvalues of U 2 U (N ) have m ean distance 2 =N , note that the natural argument of the form factor would be t=N instead of t. However, in order to simplify notation, we use the parameter t 2 Z .

The combinatories, however, turned out to be highly nontrivial. These combinatorial diculties in handling high order corrections to the sem iclassical expression of the form factor persist also in the context of quantum graphs, see Kottos and Smilansky [KS1, KS2], where these o -diagonal contributions have been explored up to the third order [Berl, BSW 1, BSW 2].

To our opinion the complex combinatorics should rst be studied in the simplest situation possible, that is, on the group level. Here the unitary ensemble is the simplest one, since the case of the orthogonal or symplectic ensemble involves additional elements like the Brauer algebra, see Diaconis and Evans DE1.

Now we collect the main points of the article.

We want to compare the form factor K  $_{\rm N}$  (t) with the diagonal contribution

(note that only sum overone t{tuple of indices in  $_{N}^{m ax}$ , hence the name diagonal contribution).

The expectation values of products of matrix entries in (1.4) and in (1.1) can be evaluated using the well-known formula (2.1), that is, by sum m ing class functions on the symmetric group  $S_{\rm t}$ .

So in Sect. 2 we introduce some notation concerning the symmetric group. In Sect. 3 we discuss the relation between the class functions V and N on  $S_t$  used in (2.1). As stated in Prop. 3.3 they are mutual inverses in the group algebra of  $S_t$ .

As a simple by {product, this leads to a re{derivation of Eq. (1.2) in the linear regime f N (Rem arks f 3.6).

In Sect. 4 we study the relation between a natural metric on  $S_t$  and the joint operation on the associated partition lattice  $P_t$  (Prop. 4.1).

Sect. 5 starts by a (partial) justication of our above de nition (1.4), and an estimate of its contributions in terms of formula (2.1). Here the interplay between the partition lattice and cyclic permutation becomes essential (Prop. 5.3). Although Prop. 5.3 is a statement about the N ! 1 limit, we present evidence for our conjecture 5.7 which is a uniform in N to the transformation of Prop. 5.3.

In Sect. 6 we rst prove that only derangements (that is, xed point free permutations) are involved in the diagonal approximation (Prop. 62). Then we estimate the number of contributions to  $_{\rm N}^{\rm max}$  with a given power of N (Prop. 63).

This leads us to our main result in Sect. 7: Assuming Conjecture 5.7, there exists a subinterval I := [";C "] [0;1] such that the diagonal approximation converges uniform by to the form factor if t = N 2 I (Thm . 7.1).

A cknow ledgm ent: This work has been supported by the European Comm ission under the Research Training Network (M athematical Aspects of Quantum Chaos) no. HPRN-CT-2000-00103 of the IHP Programme.

### 2 Generalities on the Symmetric Group

As already mentioned in the Introduction, the symmetric group  $S_t$  of permutations of the set  $[t] = f1; \ldots; tg$  plays an important rôle in the analysis of the unitary ensemble.

We begin by introducing some notation, see Sagan [Sag] form ore inform ation. For  $2 S_t$  the cycle length of i2 [t] is the smallest n 2 N with in (i) = i. i is a xed point of if in = 1. The cycle of in = 1 is given by (i; (i);:::; in = 1 (i)), and can be interpreted as the group element of in = 1 which permutes the in = 1 in the prescribed order, leaving the other elements of in = 1 xed.

e 2 St denotes the identity element.

Writing a group element  $2 S_t$  n feg as a product of disjoint cycles = (1) , we sometimes om it the xed points.

Two lattices are associated with the symmetric group  $S_t$ :

The partition lattice  $\mathbb{R}$  of set partitions  $p=fa_1;:::_{S};a_kg$ , with atoms or blocks  $a_1$  [t]  $a_1 \setminus a_m=$ ; for  $1 \in m$ ;  $a_1 \in g$ ; and  $a_{l=1} = g$ .

p 2 P  $_{\rm t}$  is called ner than q 2 P  $_{\rm t}$  (and q coarser than p, denoted by p 4 q) if every block of p is contained in a block of q.

The meet  $p_q$  of  $p_q$  2  $P_t$  is the unique nest element coarser than  $p_t$  and  $q_t$ 

We do not the rank pjofthe partition  $p = fa_1; :::; a_k g \ 2 \ P_t$  by pj = k (note that this is called the corank in [Ai]).

The dominance order D of number partitions = (1;:::; k) 2 D t of t2 N with 12 N; 1+1 1 and  $^k_{l=1}$  1 = t . The map

$$P_t! D_t$$
 ,  $fa_1; ...; a_k g 7 (ja_1 j; ...; ja_k j)$ 

induces an order relation and a rank function on D  $_{
m t}$  .

See Aigner [Ai] form one information.

2 St partitions [t] into atoms belonging to the same Each permutation cycle of . Thus we have a map

$$S_{+} ! P_{+} , 7 ^{-}:$$

If the context is clear, we om it the hat. In particular j := k if = (1) ::: (k)is the disjoint cycle decomposition of (including xed points!).

- 1. =  $(124)(3) 2 S_4$  and =  $(142)(3) 2 S_4$  have the set partition  $^{\circ} = ^{\circ} = ff1;2;4g;f3gg 2 P_4$  and number partition [] = [] = (3;1) 2 D<sub>4</sub> (Here [] =  $f^{-1}$  j 2 S<sub>t</sub>g denotes the conjugacy class of  $2 S_t$ ).
  - 2. = (12)(34) 2  $S_4$  and = (13)(24) 2  $S_4$  have rank j = j = 2, whereas j = jff1;2;3;4ggj=1.

The in portance of the dominance order D  $_{\rm t}$  for the symmetric group is obvious, as the elements of D  $_{\rm t}$  naturally enumerate the conjugacy classes of  $S_{\rm t}$ . Thus they also enum erate the irreducible representations and their characters

$$:S_{+} ! R (2 D_{+}):$$

In the present context the importance of the partition lattice  $P_t$  com es from the following identity:

Lem m a 2.2 For allt; N ; k 2 N and \_1;:::; \_ k 2 S\_t 
$$X \qquad Y^k \qquad Y^t \qquad \qquad ! \\ X \qquad Y^k \qquad Y^t \qquad \qquad \vdots \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \qquad \vdots \\ & \qquad \vdots \\ & \qquad \vdots$$

From now on our standing assumption relating the groups  $S_t$  and U (N) is t Then the following in portant formula can be found in Samuel [Sam], see also Brouwer and Beenakker [BB]:

$$U_{a_{1}b_{1}} ::: U_{a_{8}b_{8}} \overline{U}_{1} ::: \overline{U}_{t} = \begin{cases} X \\ V_{N} \end{cases} (^{1}) \qquad Y^{t} = \begin{cases} X \\ A_{k} \end{cases} (^{k}) \qquad Y^{k} = \begin{cases} X \\ A_{k} \end{cases} (^{k}) \qquad Y^{t} = \begin{cases}$$

t the class function  $V : S_{+} ! R$  is given by where for N

$$V_{N} := \frac{X}{t!f(N)} : (2.2)$$

f is a polynomial in N of order tvanishing at certain integers:

f (N) = 
$$\frac{X}{()N^{j}} = \frac{Y^{k}}{(e)} = \frac{()N^{j}}{(e)} = \frac{Y^{k}}{(e)} = \frac{()N^{k}}{(e)} = \frac{()N^{k$$

(see Appendix A of [Sam]).

Recalling the correspondence between irreducible representations of  $S_t$  and conjugacy classes of  $S_t$ , i.e. ordered number-partitions  $= (1; :::; k) 2 D_t$ , 1 ::: k, of t, by evaluating Frobenius' Formula the dimension (e) of the representation appearing in (2.2) equals

(e) = 
$$t! \frac{Q}{Q_{j}(i + k + j)!}$$
 ( 2 D<sub>t</sub>);

see [FH], Eq. (4.11).

#### 3 The Linear Regime of the Form Factor

Next we decompose the form factor K  $_{\rm N}$  (t) into a sum of products of the class functions  $V_{\rm N}$  and N : 7 N  $^{\rm j}$  on  $S_{\rm t}$ . This will allow us to compare it with the diagonal contribution to be introduced in Section 5.

As a side e ect, we will re{derive its concrete form (1.3) for jtj N . Since  $K_N$  (0) = N  $^1$  (tr( $ll_N$ )) $^2$  = N and  $K_N$  ( t) =  $K_N$  (t), we e ectively only need to consider the regime 0  $\leq$  t N where  $K_N$  is linear.

Evaluating  $\operatorname{tr}(U^t)$  as  $\bigcup_{i \geq N} \bigcup_{k=1}^t U_{i_k i_{k+1}}$  in (1.1), we get a cyclic ordering of the sub{indices, given by the circular permutation

Conjugation of  $2 S_t$  by will be denoted by  $_+ := ^1$ . Given  $t \ge N$  and the permutation group  $S_t$ , we denote by

$$C_t = f \quad 2 \quad S_t \quad j \quad j = 1g$$

the set of circular permutations. This subset is of cardinality

$$t_+ j = (t 1)!;$$

and every  $2 C_t$  can be written in the form = 1 for a unique  $2 S_t$ .

Lem m a 3.1 The sets

$$M (; ^{0}) = (; )2 S_{t} S_{t} j = ^{1} ; ^{0} = ^{1} (; ^{0}2 S_{t})$$

are of size

and form a partition of  $S_t$   $S_t$ .

Proof:

- 1. By de nition of M ( ;  $^0)$  any given pair ( ; ) 2  $S_t$   $\,$  St lies in exactly one subset M ( ;  $^0)$   $\,$  St  $\,$  St, namely in M (  $^1$   $_+$  ;  $^1$  ).
- 2. If (; ) 2 M (;  $^{0}$ ) then  $^{0}$   $^{1}$  =  $^{1}$  2 G. Then the t di exent

$$(^{1};^{1})2S_{t}S_{t}(l=0;:::;t1)$$

are in M (; °), too. As thus there are exactly  $C_t$   $S_t = (t 1)!$  t! pairs (; °) 2  $S_t$   $S_t$  with cardinality of the corresponding atom  $s_t M$  (; °) j t, but  $S_t$   $S_t = t!$  t!, their cardinality m ust be exactly t.

Proposition 3.2 For all t N 2 N, the form factor (1.1) equals

$$K_{N} (t) = \frac{t}{N} V_{N} (^{0})N^{jj}$$

$$= \frac{t}{N} V_{N} (^{0})N^{jj}$$

$$= \frac{t}{N} V_{N} (^{0})N^{jj}$$

$$= (3.1)$$

$$= (3.2)$$

Proof: Using sub-indices (mod t),

since

In the last step of (3.3) we used Lemma 2.2. Eq. (3.1) now follows from Lemma 3.1. In (3.1) we can write  $^0 = ^1$  for a unique 2 Q, This implies the second equation.

To further evaluate these expressions for the form factor, we remaind the reader of some general group theoretical notions.

Let G be a nite group with normalized counting measure, that is, the inner product

$$hf_1; f_2 i = \frac{1}{jG} \int_{g^2 G}^{X} \overline{f_1(g)} f_2(g)$$
  $(f_1; f_2 2 L^2(G)):$  (3.4)

The characters of the irreducible representations are orthonormalw.r.t. this inner product and form a basis of the subspace of class functions.

 $\mbox{O\,n~L}^{\,2}$  (G ) we have the unitary operators of left and right translations, given by

$$R_h f(g) = f(gh)$$
,  $L_h f(g) = f(hg)$  (g;h 2 G):

For irreducible characters ; :G! C one has

h; 
$$L_g$$
 i= h;  $R_g$  i=  $\frac{(g)}{(e)}$  (g2G); (3.5)

see Curtis and Reiner [CR], Eq. (31.16).

We now consider the group algebra K  $[S_t]$  of the symmetric group, K denoting a eld, i.e. the K {vectorspace ff:  $S_t$ ! K g, with multiplication of f; g 2 K  $[S_t]$  given by

f g(); 
$$f()$$
  $f()$   $g()$   $f()$   $f()$ 

and neutral element  $1_{e}$  2 K  $[S_{t}]$ .

M ore specifically we use the ebd K := C (N) of rational functions and denote by  $N 2 K [S_t]$  the monomial-valued function

$$N () = N^{jj} (2 S_t)$$

(which, like 11e, is a class function).

Proposition 3.3  $V = N^{-1}$ .

Proof: We have, using Eq. (2.3)

$$V() = \frac{X}{\text{t!f (N)}} = \frac{1}{\text{t!}} \frac{X}{\text{2D}_{t}} = \frac{1}{\text{2D}_{t}} \frac{X}{\text{2D}_{t}} = \frac{()((e))^{2}}{\text{2S}_{t}}$$

Thus (as j  $^{1}$  j= j ) we must prove that

$$X = \frac{P}{P!2S_{t}} = (!)N^{j!j}$$
(e))<sup>2</sup> = t\Pi\_{e}(): (3.6)

In order to show that the lhs. is in fact independent of N (if N to that the denominator does not vanish!), for  $2 S_t$  we sum over the conjugacy class [ ]  $S_t$  of , using that j  $t_t^1 = t_t^1 =$ 

M one specifically we claim the existence of a constant C ( ) such that for all 2  $S_{\rm t.}$   $\,$   $_{\rm X}$ 

$$X$$
  $(\sim) = C ()$   $(\sim)$ : (3.7)

Equivalently we show that

L () L (; ) 
$$\rightleftharpoons$$
  $X$  ( 1)

equals C () r() with r ()  $\rightleftharpoons$   $^{P}$   $_{2S_{t}}$  (  $^{1}$ ) = t! ().

Now for 
$$2 S_t$$
  $X$ 

$$L () = X (( ^1 ))$$

$$= \frac{jS_{t}j}{j[j]} \frac{X}{j[j]} \qquad ( ) = \frac{t!}{j[j]} \frac{X}{j[j]} L \qquad ( ):$$

L being a class function, we write it in the form

$$L = X$$

$$2D_t$$
(3.8)

and determ ine the coe cients d using the orthonormality relation h ; i = .By Eq. (3.5)

$$hL$$
 ;  $i = \frac{()}{(e)}$ 

which leads to

$$d = \frac{t!}{j[j]} (e) = \frac{X}{2[j]}$$

Inserting this into (3.8) we see that C ( ) in (3.7) equals

C () = 
$$\frac{1}{j[j]}$$
 (e)  $\frac{X}{2[j]}$ 

Using:

$$X$$
 ( ) $N^{jj} = C$  ( )  $X$  ( ) $N^{jj}$ ;

the lhs. of (3.6) equals

using the identity

$$X$$
 (e)<sup>2</sup> = t!

in (3.9), see Chapter 52 in [Sag] and Rains [Ra]. This proves (3.6).

We rede not the inner product (3.4) on C  $[S_t]$  om itting the factor  $1=[S_t]=1=t!$ :

$$hf_1; f_2 i = X \overline{f_1()} f_2() (f_1; f_2 : S_t ! C):$$
 (3.10)

So the irreducible characters are now of norm t!. Anyhow we are now more interested in the following sets of functions:

Instead of considering the eld C (N ) of rational functions in the variable N we will now specialize the value N 2 N, N

De ne for  $2 S_t$  the translates of N:

$$\hat{q}$$
 2 C  $[S_t]$  ,  $\hat{q}$  ( )  $=$  N  $^{j-1}$   $j$  ( 2  $S_t$ ):

Sim ilarly we de ne the translates

$$V \ 2 \ C \ [S_{+}] \ , \ V \ ( ) = V_{N} \ ( \ ^{1} \ ) \ ( \ 2 \ S_{+})$$

of  $V_N$  .

t the  $\hat{q}$ , 2  $S_t$  form a basis of the vector space C  $[S_t]$ , Lem m a 3.4 For N with dualbasis V,  $2 S_t$ .

Proof: Considered as rational functions, for ; 2 S\_t the inner product equals by ; 1 = 
$$V_N$$
 (  $^1$  )N  $^j$   $^1$   $^j$  =  $V_N$  (  $^1$  ) =  $V_N$  (

Specializing the value of N , this duality relation is true as long as the rational functions are de ned. By inspection of the de nition (22) of  $V_N$  (in particular of the f de ned in (2.3)) this is the case as long as N t. As the num ber of the V and of the  $\hat{q}$  both equals dim (C  $[S_t]$ ) = t!, these are indeed bases.

1. Corollary 3.5 allows us to regain formula (1.3), i.e. Remarks 3.6

$$K_N$$
 (t) =  $\frac{t}{N}$  for  $0 < t$  N:

Using Prop. 32 we have

2. As  $V_N$ :  $S_t$ ! R is a class function, we can also use the notation

$$V_N : D_t ! R , V_N ([ ]) = V_N ( ):$$

Then we can calculate  $V_N$  using Prop. 3.3. Som e exam ples:

for t= 1 we have 
$$V$$
 (1) =  $\frac{1}{N}$ ;

for t = 2 and denominator  $D_2 := N (N^2)$  1) we have

$$V_N (1;1) = \frac{N}{D_2}$$
 ,  $V_N (2) = \frac{1}{D_2}$ ;

for t = 3 and  $D_3 = N^3 (N^2 - 1) (N^2 - 4)$  we have

$$V_N (1;1;1) = \frac{N^4 2N^2}{D_3}$$
 ,  $V_N (2;1) = \frac{N^3}{D_3}$  and  $V_N (3) = \frac{2N^2}{D_3}$ :

3. The large N asymptotics of  $V_N$ : D<sub>t</sub>! R for =  $(1;:::;_k)$  is given by

$$V_N$$
 ( ) (  $1^t$ )  $^kN^{k-2t}$   $C_1$  (N ! 1 ) (3.11)

with the Catalan number  $C_1 := \frac{21}{1} \frac{2}{1} = 1$ , see [Sam].

#### 4 The Rank Function and the Join of Partitions

It is useful to give a geometric meaning to our estimates. For this purpose we equip the symmetric group  $S_t$  with the metric

$$d:S_t S_t! f0;1;:::;tg , d(; ) = t j ^1j$$
:

The easiest way to visualize this metric is to consider the  $\frac{t}{2}$  {regular Cayley graph ( $S_t$ ;  $E_t$ ) having the symmetric group as its vertex set, and edge set

$$E_{t} = (; ^{0}) 2 S_{t} S_{t} j ^{1} is a transposition :$$

P roposition 4.1 1.d(; ) is the distance between the vertices and or the Cayley graph  $(S_t; E_t)$ . So in particular the metric d is invariant under the left and right self-actions of  $S_t$ .

2. j \_ j= m in j  $^{1}$ jj 4 ( ; 2  $S_{t}$ ). So in particular

3. 
$$d(;^{0})$$
  $jj_{-}j_{-}j_{-}j_{-}j_{-}$ 

Proof:

- 1. For = 1 with disjoint cycle decomposition = 1 :::  $_k$  we have d(; ) = d(;e) = t = k =  $_{i=1}^k$  ( $i_i$  1),  $i_i$  being the length of  $i_i$ . Exactly 1 1 transpositions are needed to form a cycle of length 1.
- 2. Let  $(c_1; :::; c_m)$ ,  $c_k$  f1; :::; tg be the partition corresponding to the cycles of . We consider the graph (V; E) with vertex set  $V := fc_1; :::; c_m g$  and edges  $fc_i; c_j g \in E$  for which there are elements  $e_i \in E$   $e_j \in E$  which belong to the same cycle of .

Choose for each connected component of (V;E) a spanning tree and representatives  $fe_i;e_jg$  of its edges. Then by construction the product  $_0$  of the transpositions  $(e_i;e_j)$  meets  $_0$ 4, and j $_0$ 1 j= j $_-$ j. Any 4 can be written in the form =  $_0$  with 4. As no cycles of  $_0$ 1 can be joined by right multiplication with  $_0$ 4, the statement follows.

3. By symmetry of the metric d we assume j \_ j j^0\_ j and choose  $_0$  4 so that j^0\_ j= j^0\_0^1j. Then, again by Part 2 of the proposition

By Part 1 of the proposition

$$j_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{0}j_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}d_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}=d_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}j_{0}^{1}i_{0}^{1}$$

since multiplication by a transposition changes the number of cycles by one, and since d is invariant under right multiplication.

Rem ark 4.2 As the elements = = (12)(34),  $^0 = (13)(24)$  of  $S_4$  show, in general the inequality  $jj _ j j _ j j j j j j j j j does not hold. The reverse inequality is wrong, too in general.$ 

# 5 The Diagonal Contribution

We now de ne and study the diagonal approximation for the unitary ensemble. Setting [N] = f1; :::; Ng, the diagonal contribution is de ned by:

where per(i) denotes the period of i. In fact (see (2.1)), only those terms of the form factor \*

$$K_{N} (t) = X Y^{1} U_{i_{k} i_{k+1}} \overline{U}_{j_{k} j_{k+1}}$$

$$K_{N} (t) = X Y^{1} U_{i_{k} i_{k+1}} \overline{U}_{j_{k} j_{k+1}}$$

can be non-zero for which the sets

$$m_i(r) = fk 2 [t] ji_k = rg$$

have equal multiplicity ( $jm_i(r)j=m_i(r)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  ]).

In this case, if only multiplicatives  $jm_i(r)j = 1$  occur for i, there is a unique permutation with  $j_{(k)} = i_k$  (and = 1 with  $j_{(k)+1} = i_{k+1}$ ), but in general we have

$$K_{N} (t) = X X X V ( (1))^{1} (2) :$$

$$V ( (1))^{1} (2) : S(m; N)$$

As the dom inant (in N ) contributions are the ones with V (e), i.e. = 1 for some 1, we call the sum

the diagonal contribution.

If jm 
$$_{i}$$
 (r) j 1, then only term s V (e) occur in  $_{k=0}^{D_{Q}}$  U  $_{i_{k},i_{k+1}}$   $_{2}^{E}$  .

The number of all terms in  $P_{i_1,\dots,i_t}$  being N  $^t$ , for k  $^t$ 

$$I_k = f(i_1; \dots; i_t) ji_{1+k} = i_1g$$

is the set of term s with  $per(i_1; :::; i_t)$  }. So the number of term s with per(i) < t equals

with the M obius function. As  $jI_k j = N^k$ , this is only of order  $N^{t=2} \log (t)$  and thus negligible compared to  $jI_t j = N^t$  as N! 1.

For these reasons, we just do no and study a function similar to (5.1) but replacing the period by its maximal value t. In fact for simplicity of notation we use the constant one instead:

A basic manipulation yields:

Proposition 5.1

$$_{N}^{\text{m ax}}$$
 (t) =  $_{N}^{\text{X}}$   $V_{N}$  (  $_{N}^{\text{1}}$  )N  $_{N}^{\text{1}}$  -  $_{N}^{\text{1}}$ ; (5.4)

Proof: Using (2.1),

Lemma (2.2) now gives the result.

A rst easy observation is that for bounded t

$$_{N}^{m ax}$$
 (t) = 1 + 0 (1= $N^{2}$ ):

This follows by inserting (3.11) into (5.4).

Rem ark 5.2 In general for 0 < t N neither  $K_N$  (t) =  $\frac{1}{N}$  N (t) nor  $K_N$  (t) =  $\frac{t}{N}$  max (t), although both equations hold for N = 1 and N = 2. A leady for t = 3 N

$$_{N}$$
 (3) =  $\frac{3N^{3}}{D_{3}}$  (N<sup>4</sup>  $N^{2} + 4N + 2$ )  $\in 3 = N K_{N}$  (3)

and

$$_{N}^{m \text{ ax}}(3) = \frac{N^{3}}{D_{3}}(N^{4} \quad 3N^{2} \quad 6N + 8) \in 1 = N \frac{K_{N}(3)}{3};$$

with denominator D  $_3 = \,$  N  $^3$  (N  $^2$  1) (N  $^2$  4).

So the diagonal approximation is not exact.

According to (3.11) the terms in the sum (5.4) have uctuating sign:

$$sign V_N (^1) = (1)^{1(i)}$$
:

This makes it advisable to perform a partial sum mation before estimating terms in absolute value. We thus new rite the sum over in (5.4) in the form of an inner product:

$$_{N}^{\text{max}}$$
 (t) =  $_{2 \text{St}}^{\text{m}}$   $_{1}^{\text{max}}$  (t) =  $_{2 \text{St}}^{\text{m}}$   $_{2 \text{St}}^{\text{m}}$  with  $_{2 \text{St}}^{\text{m}}$  ( ) =  $_{2 \text{St}}^{\text{m}}$  (5.6)

Proposition 5.3 There exists a function  $C_t: S_t!$  f0;1g such that

$$hV_N$$
;  $\hat{p}$   $i_N = N^{j-+jt}(C_t() + O(1=N))$  (2  $S_t$ ):

Proof: For 2 St the symmetric group is partitioned into the sets

$$B_n = f \ 2 S_t j j _ + j = j_+ j$$
 ng  $(n = 0; :::; j j \ 1):$ 

The metric d on  $S_{t}$  is then used to introduce for  $\ 2\ B_{n}$ 

B() = 
$$2 B_k jj _ + j j _ + j = d(;)$$
:

Observe that by Part 3 of Lemma 4.1 we always have

$$0 \quad j_{-} + j \quad j_{-} + j \quad d(;)$$
: (5.7)

In particular is the only element in B ( )  $\setminus$  B (n). This enables us to de ne for n = 0; :::; j j 1

$$C_{t}() = 1$$
  $C_{t}() = 2B_{n};$  (5.8)

and the approximants

of the functions  $\hat{p}$  .

Next we prove that  $\mathbb{C}$  only takes the values 0 and 1. This follows from the de nition (5.8), if we can show that each has exactly one predecessor in

$$P = f 2 S_{+} jC_{+}() = 1q;$$

that is,  $\beta$  ( ) \ P j= 1. This is done by induction in n, with

$$2 P \setminus B_n$$
  $(n = 0; :::; j j 1)$ 

and noting that  $P \setminus B_0 = B_0$  (the 2  $B_0$  are their own predecessors so that  $C_+() = 1$ ).

For the induction step we use the directed graph (§;E) with vertex set  $S_{\rm t}$  and edges

(;) 2 E, d(;) = 1 and 2 
$$B_n$$
; 2  $B_{n-1}$  for somen 2 f1;:::;j j 1g:

By the triangle inequality for 2 B (n) the set B () contains all  $2 B_k$ ; 0 k n for which there exists a directed chain

= 
$$c_n$$
;  $c_{n-1}$ ;:::;  $c_k$  = from to with  $c_1$  2 B<sub>1</sub> and  $(c_1$ ;  $c_{n-1}$ ) 2 E (1=  $k$  + 1;:::;  $n$ ).

Conversely all 2 B ( ) are of that form . Namely for 2 B ( ) \  $B_k$  we know that d(; ) = n k so that there exist  $c_i$ :::; $c_k$  2  $S_t$  with  $c_k$  = ;  $c_k$  = and d( $c_{l-1}$ ; $c_{l}$ ) = 1. As  $jjc_{l-1-1}$  + j  $jc_{l-1}$  + jj d( $c_{l-1}$ ; $c_{l}$ ) = 1 and  $jc_{n-1}$  + j = n;  $jc_{k-1}$  + j = k, we conclude  $jc_{l-1}$  + j = 1 so that ( $c_{l}$ ; $c_{l-1}$ ) 2 E .

This shows that 2 P if there does not exist an edge (;) 2 E, and thus B() P = 1 (as every directed chain starting at ends som ewhere).

We model this by considering for given 2 Sthe directed multigraph

$$G = (V ; E)$$

associated to  $2 S_t$ . The vertex set of G ( ) equals  $V := f^+_{j,1}; \dots; f^+_{j,m} g_j$ with  $f^+_{j,1}; \dots; f^+_{j,m}$  N ] the blocks of the set partition  $f^+_{j,2}; \dots; f^+_{j,m}$ 

The multiplicity of the directed edge  $(^+_{i,i},^+_{i,j})$  2 V is given by

E: 
$$V V N_0$$
;  $E (^+_{;i},^+_{;j}) = f(u,v) 2 ^+_{;i} ^+_{;j} j (u) = vg$ :

The in- and outdegrees of the blocks  $^+$ ;  $_i$  coincide, that is  $E^+$  ( $^+$ ;  $_i$ ) =  $E^-$  ( $^+$ ;  $_i$ ) for

$$E^{+} (^{\hat{}}_{+;i}) := \begin{array}{c} X \\ E (^{\hat{}}_{+;i};^{\hat{}}_{+;j}) & , E (^{\hat{}}_{+;i}) : \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \end{array} E (^{\hat{}}_{+;i};^{\hat{}}_{+;j}) :$$

Henceforth we omit the superscripts and  $\sin p \ln p$  refer to the degree E  $(^+_{+,i}) = E (^+_{+,i})$  of the block.

All ancestors 2 P have multigraphs G which have two{connected components, that is, the number of connected components cannot be increased by reducing a single degree E ( $^{^{}}_{+}$ ;i) by one. This can be seen by noticing that for every 2 S<sub>t</sub> the number of connected components of G equals j \_ + j and using that the 2 P don't have neighbors with j \_ + j= j \_ + j+ 1.

To prove  $\beta$  ( ) \ P j= 1, we assume that  $^{(1)}$ ;  $^{(2)}$  2 B ( ) \ P . So there exist directed chains  $= c_n^{(i)}; c_{h-1}^{(i)}; \dots; c_{k}^{(i)} = ^{(i)}$  (with  $c_1^{(i)}; c_{1-1}^{(i)}$  2 E ) from to  $^{(i)}$ ; i=1;2, and we are to show that  $^{(1)}$  =  $^{(2)}$ . In each step the number  $\dot{p}_1^{(1)}$  \_ +  $\dot{j}$  =  $\dot{p}_1^{(2)}$  \_ +  $\dot{p}$  = 1 of connected components of the

multigraphs  $G_{c_1^{(i)}}$  is reduced by one. That is, all connected components of the multigraph G are broken into their two {connected subcomponents:

E (i) E and E (i) 
$$(^{+}_{+;j}) \in 1$$
 (i= 1;2; j= 1;:::;m):

In fact this shows that  $E_{(1)} = E_{(2)}$  so that the multigraphs of  $^{(1)}$  and  $^{(2)}$  coincide.

The multiplicity E  $(^+,_i^-,_j^+,_j^-)$  of a directed edge of G is reduced only if E  $(^+,_i^+,_j^+,_j^+) = 1$ . So not only E  $_{(1)}(^+,_i^+,_j^+,_j^-) = E_{(2)}(^+,_i^+,_j^+,_j^-)$  but the chains connecting with  $_{(1)}^{(1)} = _{(2)}^{(2)}$ .

 $P^{W}$  e now know that C ( ) only takes the values 0 and 1, and that  $P={}_{2P}$  N  $^{j}-{}^{+}{}^{j}{}^{t}\hat{q}$  . This implies

$$hV_N$$
;  $p_i i_N = {X \atop XP} N^{j-+jt} hV_N$ ;  $q_i i_N = C_t()N^{j-+jt}$ :

It remains to show that

$$hV_N$$
;  $\dot{p}$   $\dot{I}_N = hV_N$ ;  $\dot{p}$   $\dot{I}_N + O$  (N  $^{j-+jt}$ ):

But, denoting the unique predecessor of  $2 S_t \text{ by P ( )}$  (that is fP ( )g = B ( ) \ P), we have

$$P(^{1}) = X \\ N^{j-+jd(;)} \\ = N^{P()-+jd(P();)} + X \\ N^{j-+jd(;)} \\ \vdots$$

By de nition of B ( ) the exponent of the rst term equals

$$P()_{+}$$
  $dP(); = j_{+}j;$ 

whereas the exponents of the second term are smaller:

$$j_{+}j_{+}d(;)< j_{+}j_{-}$$

on the other hand

$$\hat{p}$$
 ( 1 ) = N  $^{j} - ^{+j}$ ;

proving the claim.

If  $2 S_t$  consists of a single nontrivial cycle, the estimate of Prop. 5.3 can be replaced by an identity (Prop. 5.5 below).

We prepare this by a sum rule for the class function N:

Lem m a 5.4 For all k 2 N

Proof: For k=1 both sides equal N . So assume the form ula to hold for k=1, so that

X
$$N^{j \sim j} = N^{k} Y^{2}$$
 $(N + 1)$ :
 $(5.10)$ 

The group elements  $2 S_k$  either have k as a xed points or can uniquely be written in the form

$$= (1;k) \sim$$

with 12 f1;:::;k 1g and  $\sim$  (k) = k. As in the second case j j=  $j\sim$  j 1,

$$\stackrel{\text{t}}{X}^{1} \quad X \qquad \qquad \stackrel{\text{t}}{Y}^{2} \\
N^{j \rightarrow j} = (k \quad 1) \quad (N + 1): \qquad (5.11)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{l}}{=} 1 \sim 2 S_{k}; \sim (k) = k \qquad \qquad \stackrel{\text{l}}{=} 0$$

Adding the contributions (5.10) and (5.11) yields (5.9).

We now decompose pointhe form

Proposition 5.5 For a cycle =  $(i_1 + 1; \dots; i_k + 1)$  2  $S_t$  (and  $_+ = (i_1; \dots; i_k)$ )

$$\hat{p} = (N + 1) \quad \hat{q}_{0} \quad (5.12)$$

Proof: We evaluate both sides on  $\sim 2$  § and write  $\sim$  as  $\sim =$  to  $\sin$  plify expressions. Then

$$\hat{p}$$
 ( ) =  $N^{j-1} - \hat{j}$  and  $\hat{q} \circ$  ( ) =  $N^{j-1} \circ j$ : (5.13)

Next we write as a product of disjoint cycles z and note that

if  $z_i$  and + are disjoint. We thus can reduce to a product of cycles intersecting

So we assume w log that all cycles z of intersect  $+ = (i_1; ...; i_k)$ :

$$z_{i} = i_{(1)}; z_{1}; \dots; z_{2}; i_{(2)}; z_{3}; \dots; z_{2s-2}; i_{(s)}; z_{2s-1}; \dots; z_{2s}$$

with  $z_n$  2 f1;:::tgnfi<sub>1</sub>;:::;i<sub>k</sub>g. Then

$$i_{(s)}; i_{(s-1)}; \dots; i_{(1)} z_j = i_{(1)}; z_1; \dots; z_2 i_{(2)}; z_3; \dots; z_4 \dots i_{(s)}; z_{2s-1}; \dots; z_{2s}$$

is a product of disjoint cycles intersecting the cycle  $_{+}$  only at i  $_{(n)}$ . Furtherm ore

so that the map

sin ply perm utes the simple intersections.

We thus assume w.lo.g. that the cycles z in the decomposition of intersect  $_{+}$  exactly in one point, say  $i_{i}$ . Under this assum ption, by Lem m a 5.4 and (5.13)

proving the assertion.

Corollary 5.6 For a cycle =  $(i_1; :::; i_k)$  2  $S_t$  of length k

Proof: This follows from Prop. 5.5 with  $hV_N$ ;  $\dot{q}$   $i=_{e}$ ; (Lem m a 3.4), rem arking that only for k=1 or k=t there is a  $^04_+$  with  $^0=e$ .

This result and num erical experiments support the following conjecture (compare with P rop. 5.3):

Conjecture 5.7 There exists a constant  $C_1$  1 such that for all t N 2 N

$$\mathcal{W}_N$$
;  $\hat{p}$   $i_N$   $j$   $C_1 N^{j-+jt}$  ( 2  $S_t$ ):

# 6 Derangements and Circular Order

We now show that, apart from the identity, only the derangements, that is the xed-point free permutations

$$D_t = f \ 2 S_t j \ (k) \in k$$
 for all  $k \ 2 f1; :::; tgg$ 

contribute in the sum (5.6).

This will follow from a statement of independent interest:

Proposition 6.1 For k = 1; :::; t+1 denote by  $S_t^{(k)}$  the subgroup

$$S_t^{(k)} := f \ 2 S_{t+1} j \ (k) = kg;$$

and by  $\mathbf{I}_k: \mathbf{S_t} \; ! \quad \mathbf{S_t}^{(k)}$  the isom orphism induced by the injection

$$I_k : f1; :::; tg , f1; :::; t+ 1g ,  $I_k (i) =$   $i : 1 : i < k : i+ 1 : i : k:$$$

Then for  $= I_{k+1} (\sim)$  and

$$\hat{p}_{\sim} = X \qquad c_{\sim} \hat{q}_{\sim}$$

we have

$$\hat{p} = X C_{-} \hat{q}_{I_{k}(\sim)}$$
:

Proof: For  $2 \S^{(k)}$   $S_{t+1}$ , that is  $= I_k (^{\sim})$  with  $^{\sim} 2 S_t$ 

$$\hat{p} \quad ( ) \quad = \quad N^{\frac{1}{2} J_{k}} (\hat{\ })_{-} + \hat{J} = N^{\frac{1}{2} J_{k}} (\hat{\ })_{-} (\hat{\ }_{k+1} (\hat{\ }))_{+} \hat{J} = N^{\frac{1}{2} J_{k}} (\hat{\ })_{-} \hat{\ }_{k} (\hat{\ })_{-} \hat{\ }_{k} (\hat{\ })_{-} \hat{\ }$$

$$= \quad N^{\frac{1}{2} J_{-} - + \frac{1}{2} J_{1}} = N \hat{\ } \hat{\ }_{+} (\hat{\ })_{-} \hat{\ }$$

and sim ilarly

$$\hat{Q}_{I_{k}}(\sim)$$
 ( ) =  $N^{\hat{I}_{k}}(\sim)(I_{k}(\sim))^{-1}\hat{j} = N^{\hat{I}_{k}}(\sim^{-1})\hat{j} = N^{\hat{I}_{c}}(\sim^{-1})\hat{j} = N^{\hat{I}_{c}}$ 

The other elements of  $\S_{+1}$  can be uniquely written as a product of a transposition (l;k) 2  $S_{t+1}$  and  $= I_k$  (~) 2  $S_t$  (%). In that case a similar argument leads to

$$\hat{p}$$
 ( ) =  $\hat{p}$ . (~~) and  $\hat{q}_{k}$ . ( ) =  $\hat{q}$ . (~~):

So in any case the proportionality factor does not depend on  $\sim$  .

Proposition 6.2 Forallt N 2 N

$$hV_N$$
;  $\dot{p}$   $i = 0$  for  $2 S_t nD_t$ ;  $\epsilon$  e: (6.1)

Proof: Lemma 3.4 in plies the formula

$$hV_N$$
;  $\hat{q}$   $i =$ :

So (6.1) is equivalent to show that for these in the base decomposition

$$\hat{p} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ c \hat{q} \end{array}$$

of  $\hat{p}$  the coe cient c  $_{1}$  equals zero. These have a xed point k+1 (m od t) which has the additional property that k (m od t) is not a xed point. So  $= I_{k+1}$  (~) with  $_{\tilde{P}} 2 S_{t-1}$ ;  $\sim$  (k)  $\in$  k. The base decomposition  $\hat{p}_{*} = {}_{\sim 2 S_{t-1}} C_{*} \hat{q}_{*} \sim 1$  leads to  $\hat{p} = {}_{\sim 2 S_{t-1}} C_{*} \hat{q}_{*} \sim 1$ .

Thus if the ~ 2  $S_{t-1}$  term in (6.1) would be non{zero, it would be of the form  $hV_N$ ;  $\hat{p}$  i=c. for ~ 2  $S_{t-1}$  with  $I_k$  (~) =  $I_{k+1}$  (~  $I_k$ ) or  $I_{k+1}$  (~) =  $I_k$  (~  $I_k$ ). But this would in  $I_k$   $I_k$  (~  $I_k$ ) =  $I_k$ , contradicting the assumption.

It is known that

$$\mathcal{D}_{t}j = \frac{\mathcal{D}_{t}j}{e}$$
 as t! 1:

So it could seem that we would only gain an unimportant factor 1=e by restricting the summation in (5.6) to the derangements (and the identity).

This is not so, since we can use the structure of the derangements under the action in our estimation.

For that purpose we now partition the derangements D t by setting

$$D_{t}(k) := f \ 2 D_{t} j j _{+} j = kg$$
 (k = 1;:::;t):

So D  $_{t}(k) =$ ; for k > t=2, and we estimate the cardinalities of these sets.

Proposition 6.3 There exists a C<sub>2</sub> 1 such that for all t 2 N

$$\mathcal{D}_{t}(k)$$
 j  $kC_{2}^{k}(t + 1)!$   $(k = 1; :::; bt=2c):$ 

Proof: Remark that the statement becomes trivial for k = 1 so that in the proof we assume k = 2.

Each 2 D (k) induces a set partition

$$B ( ) B = (B_1; :::; B_k)$$

of f1;:::;tg into the blocks of \_ + which is unique if you assume  $\beta_{l+1}j$   $\beta_{l}j$  and m in  $(\beta_{l})$  m in  $(\beta_{l+1})$  if  $\beta_{l+1}j = \beta_{l}j$ . As each  $\beta_{l}$  contains at least one cycle of (or rather the block corresponding to the cycle in the partition of ), we have  $\beta_{l}j$  2.

Next we consider the intersections

$$C_{l,m} := B_1 \setminus B_m^+$$
 (l;m 2 f1;:::;kg)

with the atom  $s B_m^+ := (B_m) = fj + 1 jj 2 B_m g$  of the shifted set partition  $B^+$ . We thus get a set partition

$$C ( ) C = (C_{1:1}; :::; C_{k:k})$$

off1;:::;tg which is ner than B and B  $^+$  but m ay contain empty atom s C  $_{l,m}$ . However, as is a derangement, we know that if C  $_{l,m}$  ( ) is nonempty, it is a union of cycles of so that in any case  $\mathcal{C}_{l,m}$  ( )  $j \in \mathbb{C}$ 

We now estimate 12 (k) jby

Y (b) = 
$$jf$$
 2 D<sub>t</sub>  $j \not B_1$ ( ) $j = b_i$ ;  $l = 1; ...; kgj$ :

This quantity, in turn is estimated by

where now  $c_{l,m}$  2 f0;:::; $b_{l}$ gnf1g w ith  $P_{m=1}^{k} c_{l,m} = b_{l}$  and

$$X (c) = B = (B_1; ...; B_k) j t_{lm} j = c_{lm}$$
:

Here  $fB_1; \ldots; B_k g$  is an arbitrary set partition of  $f1; \ldots; tg$  with enum eration xed by demanding 2  $B_1 j$   $\ldots$   $B_k j$  and, again, m in  $(B_1)$  m in  $(B_{l+1})$  if  $B_1 j = B_{l+1} j$ . Denoting as before by  $C_{lm}$  the intersection  $B_1 \setminus B_m^+$  form u.a. (6.2) follows by our above remark that all  $2 D_t$  with  $C_{lm}$  ( ) =  $C_{lm}$  have a cycle partition ner than  $C = (C_{1;1}; \ldots; C_{k;k})$  and there are  $c_{lm}$ ! ways to perm ute the set  $C_{lm}$ .

We bound X (c) by considering the directed multigraph G=G (c) with vertex set V:=f1;:::;kg and  $c_{l,m}$  unlabeled directed edges from vertex 1 to m . Then

$$X(c) X_G(c);$$
 (6.3)

where X  $_{\text{G}}$  (c) is the number of closed Euler trails on G . This can be seen as follows:

- 1. The length of any closed Euler trail equals  ${\overset{P}{}}_{l_{jm}\,=\,1}\,c_{l_{jm}}\,=\,t_{\bullet}$
- 2. Any closed directed Euler trail on G (shortly called trail from now on) is uniquely characterized by the sequence  $(v_1; :::; v_t)$  of vertices  $v_j \ge V$  it visits. This is due to our assumptions that the edges from 1 to m are unlabeled, and that the beginning of the closed trail is marked.
- 3. A set partition  $B = (B_1; \dots; B_k)$  of f1; ...; tg gives rise to a sequence  $(v_1; \dots; v_t)$  of vertices  $v_i \ge V$ , where  $v_i := j$  if  $i \ge B_j$ . Using a t{periodic notation with  $v_{t+1} = v_1$ , we have

$$fii2 f1;:::;tg j (v_i;v_{i+1}) = (1;m)gj = c_{l,m}$$
 (1;m 2 f1;:::;kg):

Thus B gives rise to a trail in G (c) rooted at  $v_1 2 V$ .

It may be remarked that we have equality in (6.3) if the vertices of the directed multigraph G (c) can be discerned by their outdegree, that is  $b_1 < \dots < b_k$ . Then, given an Euler trail with sequence  $(v_1;\dots;v_t)$ , we de ne the partition  $(B_1;\dots;B_k)$  by setting  $B_j := fi2\ f1;\dots;tg\ jv_i = jg$ .

To get an upper bound on  $X_6$  (c) we select a root vertex j 2 V and consider the Euler trails in G (c) beginning at j. By the BEST form ula their num ber equals

$$b_{j}^{R} T_{j} (c) = \frac{Q_{k}}{Q_{k}^{l=1} (b_{l} 1)!}$$

$$\lim_{lm=1} c_{lm} !$$
(6.4)

where  $T_j$  (c) is the number of directed spanning trees rooted at j. (6.4) is derived from Thm . 13 of Chapter I of [Bo] by noting that, unlike here, Bolbas considers directed multigraphs with labeled edges. Here the reduced outdegree

The number of directed spanning trees rooted at j equals the (k 1) (k 1) (m inor of the k degree m atrix

diag 
$$(b_1^R; ...; b_k^R)$$
  $(c_{1,m}^R)_{1,m=1}^k$ 

obtained by deleting the j{th row and the j{th column. This number is known to be independent of j, and we call it  $(c^R)$ .

By this remark and (6.4)

$$X_{G}$$
 (c)  $t$  (c)  $t$  (d)  $t$  (e)  $t$  (e)  $t$  (e)  $t$  (f)  $t$  (f)

$$\label{eq:since} \text{since}^{\ P}_{\ \ j=1}^{\ k}b_j^R \qquad {}^P_{\ \ j=1}^{\ k}b_j = \text{t.}$$

From 6(2) and (6.5) we obtain the estimate

Y (b) 
$$t$$
 (b<sub>1</sub> 1)!  $t$  (c<sup>R</sup>): (6.6)

A bound on  $(c^R)$  only depending on the reduced outdegrees  $b_1^R$ ;:::; $b_k^R$  can be found in [GM]. We use it in the slightly weakened version

$$(c^{R})$$
  $\frac{Y^{k}}{\frac{1}{2}}$   $b_{1}^{R}$ 

and thus get from (6.6)

Y (b) 
$$\frac{t}{2} \stackrel{Q}{=}_{1=1}^{k} b_{1}^{R} (b_{1} 1)! \stackrel{P}{=}_{c} 1:$$
 (6.7)

The cardinality  $_{c}1$  of number partitions  $c=(c_{1;1};:::;c_{k;k})$  compatible with the number partition  $(b_{1};:::;b_{k})$  of t is calculated as follows:

But

( 
$$(c_{l;1};:::;c_{l;k})$$
  $jc_{l;m} \in 1$  and  $c_{l;m} = b_l$ 

$$= \begin{array}{c} X & n \\ (c_{l;1};:::;c_{l;k}) \ jc_{l;m} & 2 \ \text{if m 2 U and } c_{l;m} = 0 \\ & & X & 0 \\ &$$

so that (6.7) reduces to

Y (b) 
$$\frac{t}{2} \int_{1}^{2} 4b_{1}^{R} (b_{1} - 1)! \int_{1}^{2} \frac{b_{1} r}{r} \int_{1}^{1} (k_{r}^{R})^{5}$$
 (6.8)

We bound the sum s appearing in (4.8), depending on the relative size of k and  $b_1$ . Remember our assumption k 2.

We set  $\hat{b} = bb = 2c$ .

1. For all k; b 2 we have the estim ate

2. For all k b 2 and r  $\hat{b}$  we use the inequality  $\binom{k}{r}$   $\binom{k}{\hat{b}}$   $\binom{k}{k}$  to show

with the golden mean  $g := \frac{1+\frac{p}{5}}{2}$ , since the sum of the binomials equals the Fibonacci numbers.

The reduced outdegree to is bounded by

$$b_{1}^{R} = \sum_{m=1}^{X^{k}} c_{1,m}^{R} \quad \text{m in } (k \hat{b}_{1}) \quad \frac{2k \hat{b}_{1}}{k + \hat{b}_{1}} :$$
 (6.11)

Instead of sum ming (6.8) over the ensemble of  $b=(b_1; \dots; b_k)$  with 2  $b_1$   $\cdots$   $b_1$  and  $b_1$   $b_2$  and set for k k

$$Y_{k} (b_{1}; ::: ; b_{k}; t) := \frac{t}{2} k^{k} \sum_{l=1}^{R} b_{1}^{R} (b_{1} + 1)! \sum_{r=1}^{m} \frac{i \chi^{(k; \hat{b}_{1})}}{r \cdot 1} (t_{r}^{k});$$

with  $\hat{b}_1 := \frac{b_1}{2} + 1$  and  $b_1^R$  is rede ned as m in  $(k; \hat{b}_1)$ .

Then for b<sub>1</sub> 0

$$Y_G (b_1 + 2; ...; b_k + 2) Y_k (b_1; ...; b_k; t) (6.12)$$

and our aim is to nd a C 1, independent of k and t, such that the recursion

in K holds true. Assuming (6.13), we obtain from (6.12)

$$X$$
 
$$Y_{G} (b_{1}; ::: ; b_{k}) \qquad \text{ke } (ce)^{k-1} (t \qquad k+1) !;$$
  $b_{1} \ldots b_{k} \atop b_{1-1} b_{1} = t$ 

since

$$Y_k$$
 (t  $2k$ ;t)  $\frac{t}{2}k^k$  m in  $k$ ;  $\frac{t}{2}$   $k+1$  (t  $2k+1$ )!  $\frac{k+t}{k}$   $2k$ 

$$= \frac{t}{2}\frac{k^k}{k!}$$
 m in  $k$   $\frac{t}{2}$   $k+1$  (t  $k$ )!
$$k^k$$
 (t  $k+1$ )!:

Using (6.9), (6.13) follows from the recursion

with  $\hat{1} = \frac{1}{2} + 1$ . this is equivalent to the claim

$$\hat{X}^{1} = 0 \qquad 1$$

$$\hat{X}^{1} = 0 \qquad 1$$

$$1 = 0 \qquad 1$$

$$1 = 1 \quad 1$$

Depending on the relative size of k and b, we estimate the lhs. of (6.14) in two ways:

Using (1.9), we get the uppper bound for the lh.s. of (6.14)

$$\hat{X}^{1} = \hat{1}(1+1)! \xrightarrow{k+1}_{k=1}^{k+1} b^{1} = \frac{1}{k+b} = \frac{1}{k+b} = \frac{\hat{X}^{1}}{k+b} = \frac{\hat{X}^{1}}{k+b} = \frac{\hat{X}^{1}}{k+b} = \frac{1}{k+r} = \frac{\hat{X}^{1}}{k+b} = \frac{1}{k+r} = \frac{1}{k+r}$$

Wewrite the product in (6.15) in the form

$$\frac{Y^{1}}{k+b} \frac{k+r}{r+1} = \exp \left( \frac{X^{1}}{g(r)} \right) \quad \text{with } g(r) = \ln \left( \frac{k+r}{k+b} \right) :$$

For  $r = l \cdot b = 1$  not only g(r) = 0 and g(r) = g(r = 1) but also (for all real such r)

$$g^{(0)}(r) = \frac{1}{(k+b-r+1)^2} \frac{1}{(k+r)^2} = 0$$
:

So  $\Pr_{r=1}^{p} g(r)$  lg  $\frac{1+1}{2}$  lg  $\frac{\hat{b}}{2}$  or

$$\frac{Y^{1}}{k+b} \frac{k+r}{r+1} = \frac{k+\frac{\hat{b}}{2}}{k+b+\frac{\hat{b}}{2}+1} : \quad (6.16)$$

For k b we have the uniform bound  $\frac{3}{4}$ .

Inserting (6.16) in (6.15) and noting that  $10^{1}$  1  $10^{1}$  and  $10^{1}$   $10^{1}$  with

$$C := \frac{X^1}{2} + 1 \frac{3}{4} = 10$$
:

For k b we insert6(10) in the lhs. of (6.14) which is thus bounded by

$$\hat{X}^{1} = \hat{I}(1+1) \cdot y^{1} \cdot \hat{I}^{1} \cdot y^{1} \cdot y^{1} \cdot \frac{1}{k+b-r+1}$$

$$= \hat{X}^{1} \cdot \hat{I}^{1} \cdot y^{1} \cdot \hat{I}^{1} \cdot y^{1} \cdot \frac{1}{k+b-r+1}$$

$$= \hat{X}^{1} \cdot \hat{I}^{1} \cdot y^{1} \cdot y^{1} \cdot \frac{r+1}{k+b-r+1}$$

By an argument similar to the one leading to (6.16)

$$\hat{X}^{1} = 1 \text{ and } 1 \text{ and$$

assum ing k = 4 and treating k = 2 and k = 3 separately.

## 7 The Asymptotic Estimate

Now we are ready to present our asymptotic result.

Theorem 7.1 Under the assumption of Conjecture 5.7 the form factor K  $_{\rm N}$  is approximated by the diagonal contribution in the following sense:

For all " > 0 uniform ly in  $\frac{t}{N}$  2 ";  $\frac{e}{C_2}$  (1 ")

$$K_{N}$$
 (t)  $\frac{t}{N}$   $\frac{m \text{ ax}}{N}$  (t) ! 0 (N ! 1):

P roof: AsK  $_{\rm N}$  (t) =  $\frac{\rm t}{\rm N}$  for the t{values under consideration,

$$_{N}^{\text{max}}$$
 (t) =  $_{2 \text{St}}^{\text{X}}$   $hV_{N}$  ;  $\hat{p}$  i

(Eq. (5.6)), and  $h\!V_N$  ;  $\hat{p}_e i$  = 1 (Cor. 5.6), we need to show that

$$W_{N}$$
;  $\hat{p}$  i! 0 (N ! 1):

2 Stnfeg

Using Prop. 6.2 this amounts to show

$$N_{N}$$
;  $\hat{p}$  i! 0 (N ! 1);

which is in plied by the asymptotic vanishing of

$$\overset{\text{k}=2c}{X} \quad X \qquad \qquad \overset{\text{k}=2c}{X} \\ \text{jhW}_{N} \text{;pij} \quad C_{1} \qquad kC_{2}^{k} \text{(t} \qquad k+1) N^{k-t} \text{;} \\ k=1 \quad 2D_{t} \text{(k)} \qquad \qquad k=1$$

using Conjecture 5.7 and Prop. 6.3. Under our assum ptions for t=N

proving the theorem.

#### References

- [Ai] M. Aigner: Combinatorial Theory, Classics in Mathematics, Springer 1997
- [Berl] G. Berkolaiko: Form factor for large quantum graphs: evaluating orbits with time-reversal, arXiv:nlin.CD/0305009 (2003)
- [BSW 1] G.Berkolaiko, H.Schanz, R.S.W hitney: Leading o -diagonal correction to the form factor of large graphs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 104101 (2002)
- [BSW 2] G. Berkolaiko, H. Schanz, R.S. Whitney: Form Factor for a Family of Quantum Graphs: An Expansion to Third Order, J. Phys. A 36, 8373 (8392 (2003)

- Be] M. Berry: Sem iclassical theory of spectral rigidity. Proc. R. Soc. London A 400, 229 (251 (1985)
- BK] EB.Bogom only and JP.Keating: Gutzwiller's trace form ula and spectral statistics: beyond the diagonal approximation, Physical Review Letters 77, 1472 (1475 (1996)
- [BGS] O.Bohigas, M.J. Giannoni and C. Schmit: Characterization of chaotic quantum spectra and universality of level uctuation, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1{4 (1984)
- Bo] B. Bollobas: Modern Graph Theory. Springer, 1998.
- [BB] P.W. Brouwer, C.W. J. Beenakker: Diagram matic method of integration over the unitary group, with applications to quantum transport in mesoscopic systems. J.M. ath. Phys. 37, 4904 (4934 (1996)
- [CR] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner: Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras, Wiley 1966
- DE] P. Diaconis, S.N. Evans: Linear Functionals of Eigenvalues of Random Matrices, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 353, No. 7, 2615{2633 (2001)
- [FH] W .Fulton, J. Harris: Representation Theory. Graduate Texts in M athem atics 129. Springer 1991
- [GM] R.Grone, R.M. erris: A bound for the complexity of a simple graph. Discrete M athematics 69, 97 (99 (1988)
- [Ha] F. Haake: Quantum Signatures of Chaos. Springer 2000
- [KS1] T.Kottos and U.Smilansky: Quantum Chaos on Graphs. Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 4794 (4797 (1997)
- [KS2] T. Kottos, U. Smilansky: Periodic Orbit Theory and Spectral Statistics for Quantum Graphs, Annals of Physics 274, 76 (124 (1999)
- Me] M. L. Mehta: Random matrices. Academic Press 1991
- Ra] EM. Rains: Increasing Subsequences and the Classical Groups, J. Comb. 5, 181 (188 (1998)

- [Sag] B E. Sagan: The Symmetric group. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 203. Springer 2000
- [Sam ] S.Sam uel: U (N ) Integrals, 1=N , and de W it—'t Hooft anom alies, J.M ath. Phys. 21, 2695{2703 (1980)
- [Si] M. Sieber: Leading o -diagonal approximation for the spectral form factor for uniform ly hyperbolic systems, Journal of Physics A: Math. Gen 35, L613(L619 (2002)
- [SR] M. Sieber, K. Richter: Correlations between Periodic Orbits and their Rôle in Spectral Statistics, Physica Scripta. T 90, 128 (133 (2001)
- [Sp] D. Spehner: Spectral form factor of hyperbolic systems: leading o -diagonal approximation, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36, 7269 (7290 (2003)