

Unusual heat transport in underdoped cuprates

Tianxing Ma and Shiping Feng

Department of Physics and Key Laboratory of Beam Technology and Material Modification, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

Within the t - J model, the heat transport of the underdoped cuprates is studied based on the fermion-spin theory. It is shown that at low temperatures the energy dependence of the thermal conductivity spectrum consists of two bands. The higher-energy band shows a weak peak, while the low-energy peak is located at a finite energy. This high-energy broad band is severely suppressed with increasing temperatures, and vanishes at higher temperature. It is also shown that the temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity increases monotonously with increasing temperatures, in agreement with experiments.

74.25.Fy, 74.62.Dh, 72.15.Eb

It has become clear in the past fifteen years that the cuprate superconductors are indeed fundamentally different from other conventional metals in that they are doped Mott insulators^{1,2}. The undoped cuprates are Mott insulators with the antiferromagnetic (AF) long-range-order (AFLRO). A small amount of carrier dopings to this Mott insulating state drives the metal-insulator transition and directly results in the superconducting transition at low temperatures for low carrier dopings^{1,2}. The optical conductivity in the normal state above superconducting transition temperature shows a non-Drude behavior at low energies, and is carried by x holes, with x is the hole doping concentration, while the resistivity exhibits a linear temperature behavior over a wide range of temperatures in the underdoped regime³. This unusual charge transport does not fit in the conventional Fermi-liquid theory⁴. Furthermore, a clear departure from the universal Wiedemann-Franz law for the typical Fermi-liquid behavior is observed in doped cuprates⁵. It has been argued that these anomalous features may be interpreted within the framework of the charge-spin separation^{4,6,7}, where the electron is separated into a neutral spinon and charged holon, therefore the basic excitations of doped cuprates are not fermionic quasiparticles as in other conventional metals with charge, spin and heat all carried by one and the same particles.

The heat transport, as manifested by the thermal conductivity, is one of the basic transport properties that provides a wealth of useful informations on the carriers and phonons as well as their scattering processes^{8,10}. In the conventional metals, the thermal conductivity contains both contributions from carriers and phonons⁸. The phonon contribution to the thermal conductivity is always present in the conventional metals, while the magnitude of the carrier contribution depends on the type of material because it is directly proportional to the free carrier density. In particular, the free carrier density in conventional superconducting materials is very high, then the thermal conductivity from the free carriers is usually the dominant contribution. In the cuprate materials, the physical properties in the undoped case are now quite well understood¹: here the system of interacting lo-

calized Cu^{2+} spins is well described as the conventional insulating antiferromagnet, and at low temperatures, it has the purely phonon thermal conductivity⁹. However, it has been shown from experiments^{9,11} that the phonon contribution to the thermal conductivity is strongly suppressed in the underdoped regime, and the conventional models of phonon heat transport based on phonon-defect scattering or conventional phonon-electron scattering fail to explain the experimental data¹¹. Since the electron has been separated as the holon and spinon, and therefore it has been argued that the contributions from spinons and holons may dominate the heat transport of the underdoped cuprates^{11,7}. Recently, we¹² have developed a fermion-spin theory based on the charge-spin separation to study the physical properties of doped cuprates, where the electron operator is decoupled as the gauge invariant dressed holon and spinon. Within this theory, we have discussed charge transport and spin response of the underdoped cuprates and superconducting mechanism. It has been shown that the charge transport is mainly governed by the scattering from the dressed holons due to the dressed spinon fluctuation, while the scattering from the dressed spinons due to the dressed holon fluctuation dominates the spin response^{12,13}. These dressed holons interact occurring directly through the kinetic energy by exchanging the dressed spinon excitations, leading to a net attractive force between the dressed holons, then the electron Cooper pairs originating from the dressed holon pairing state are due to the charge-spin recombination, and their condensation reveals the superconducting ground-state, where the electron superconducting transition temperature is determined by the dressed holon pair transition temperature, and is proportional to the hole doping concentration in the underdoped regime¹⁴, in agreement with the experiments. In this paper, we apply this successful approach to discuss the heat transport of the underdoped cuprates. Within the t - J model, we show that although both dressed holons and spinons are responsible for the heat transport of the underdoped cuprates, the contribution from the dressed spinons dominates the thermal conductivity.

In the doped cuprates, the single common feature is

the presence of the two-dimensional (2D) CuO_2 plane¹, then it is believed that the unusual physical properties are closely related to the doped CuO_2 planes. It has been argued⁶ that the essential physics of the doped CuO_2 planes is contained in the 2D t-J model,

$$H = \sum_i t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} C_i^\dagger C_j + \sum_i C_i^\dagger C_i + J \sum_i S_i \cdot S_{i+1}; \quad (1)$$

with $\hat{C}_i = \hat{x}_i \hat{y}_i \hat{z}_i (C_i)$ is the electron creation (annihilation) operator, $S_i = C_i^\dagger \tau_z C_i$ is spin operator with $\tau = (x; y; z)$ as Pauli matrices, and μ is the chemical potential. The t-J model (1) is supplemented by the single occupancy local constraint $C_i^\dagger C_i = 1$. This local constraint reflects the strong electron correlation in the doped Mott insulator⁶, and can be treated properly in analytical form within the fermion-spin theory¹² based on the charge-spin separation,

$$C_i = h_i^\dagger S_i; \quad C_{i\#} = h_{i\#}^\dagger S_{i\#}^\dagger; \quad (2)$$

where the spinful fermion operator $h_i = e^{i\phi_i} h_i$ describes the charge degree of freedom together with some effects of the spinon configuration rearrangements due to the presence of the hole itself (dressed holon), while the spin operator S_i describes the spin degree of freedom (dressed spinon), then the electron on-site local constraint for the single occupancy, $C_i^\dagger C_i = S_i^\dagger h_i^\dagger h_i S_i + S_{i\#}^\dagger h_{i\#}^\dagger h_{i\#} S_{i\#}^\dagger = h_i^\dagger h_i (S_i^\dagger S_i + S_{i\#}^\dagger S_{i\#}^\dagger) = 1$, is satisfied in analytical calculations, and the double spinful fermion occupancy, $h_i^\dagger h_i^\dagger = e^{i\phi_i} h_i^\dagger h_i^\dagger e^{i\phi_i} = 0$, $h_i h_i = e^{i\phi_i} h_i h_i e^{i\phi_i} = 0$, are ruled out automatically. It has been shown that these dressed holon and spinon are gauge invariant, and in this sense, they are real and can be interpreted as the physical excitations^{12;15}. This dressed holon h_i is a spinless fermion h_i incorporated a spinon cloud $e^{i\phi_i}$ (magnetic flux), then is a magnetic dressing. In other words, the gauge invariant dressed holon carries some spinon messages, i.e., it shares its nontrivial spinon environment due to the presence of the holon itself⁶. Although in common sense h_i is not a real spinful fermion, it behaves like a spinful fermion. In this fermion-spin representation, the low-energy behavior of the t-J model (1) can be expressed as¹²,

$$H = \sum_i H_i; \quad (3a)$$

$$H_i = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (h_i^\dagger S_i^\dagger h_{i+1}^\dagger S_{i+1}^\dagger + h_{i\#}^\dagger S_{i\#}^\dagger h_{i+1}^\dagger S_{i+1}^\dagger) + J_e \sum_i S_i \cdot S_{i+1}; \quad (3b)$$

with $J_e = (1 - x)^2 J$, and $x = h_i^\dagger h_i = h_{i\#}^\dagger h_{i\#}$ is the hole doping concentration. As a consequence, the kinetic

energy (t) term in the t-J model has been expressed as the dressed holon-spinon interaction, which dominates the essential physics of doped cuprates, while the magnetic energy (J) term is only to form an adequate spinon configuration.

Within the t-J model (3), the thermal conductivity of doped cuprates can be expressed as¹⁷,

$$\kappa(T) = \frac{1}{T} \frac{\text{Im} \chi_Q(\omega; T)}{\omega}; \quad (4)$$

with $\chi_Q(\omega; T)$ is the heat current-current correlation function, and is defined as,

$$\chi_Q(\omega) = \text{Im} \int_0^\infty dt \langle j_Q(t) j_Q(0) \rangle; \quad (5)$$

where ω and 0 are the imaginary times, T is the order operator, while the heat current density is obtained within the Hamiltonian (3b) by using Heisenberg's equation of motion as¹⁷,

$$\dot{j}_Q = i \sum_{i,j} R_{ij} [H_i, H_j] = j_Q^{(h)} + j_Q^{(s)}; \quad (6a)$$

$$j_Q^{(h)} = i \sum_{i,j} t_{ij}^2 \sum_{i \wedge \wedge 0} h_{i+1}^\dagger h_{i+1} + i \sum_{i \wedge} t_{ij}^2 \sum_{i \wedge \wedge 0} h_{i+1}^\dagger h_i; \quad (6b)$$

$$j_Q^{(s)} = i \sum_{i \wedge \wedge 0} \left(\sum_{i \wedge \wedge 0} S_i^\dagger S_{i+1} + S_{i+1}^\dagger S_i \right) + i \sum_{i \wedge \wedge 0} \left(\sum_{i \wedge \wedge 0} S_{i\#}^\dagger S_{i+1} + S_{i+1}^\dagger S_{i\#} \right); \quad (6c)$$

where R_{ij} is lattice site, $t_{ij} = 1 + 2t = J$, $\sum_{i \wedge \wedge 0} = h_i^\dagger S_{i+1}^\dagger$ is the spinon correlation function, and $\sum_{i \wedge} = h_i^\dagger h_{i+1}^\dagger$ is the dressed holon's particle-hole parameter. Although the heat current density j_Q has been separated into two parts $j_Q^{(h)}$ and $j_Q^{(s)}$, with $j_Q^{(h)}$ is the holon heat current density, and $j_Q^{(s)}$ is the spinon heat current density, the strong correlation between dressed holons and spinons still is considered self-consistently through the dressed spinon's order parameters entering in the dressed holon's propagator, and the dressed holon's order parameters entering in the dressed spinon's propagator. In this case, the heat current-current correlation function (5) can be calculated in terms of the full dressed holon and spinon Green's functions $g(k; \omega)$ and $D(k; \omega)$. Following the discussions of the charge transport^{12;13;18}, we obtain the thermal conductivity of doped cuprates as,

$$\kappa(T) = \kappa_h(T) + \kappa_s(T); \quad (7a)$$

$$\kappa_h(T) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_k \sum_{s, sk}^2 \sum_{l=1}^Z \frac{d!^0}{2} A_h(k; l^0 + l); \quad (7b)$$

$$\kappa_s(T) = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_k \sum_{s, sk}^2 \sum_{l=1}^Z \frac{d!^0}{2} A_s(k; l^0 + l); \quad (7c)$$

where $\chi_h(\mathbf{k}; T)$ and $\chi_s(\mathbf{k}; T)$ are the corresponding contributions from dressed holons and spinons, respectively, $\chi_{sk}^2 = (\sin^2 k_x + \sin^2 k_y)/4$, $\chi_h = Z t(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{Z} t \mathbf{k})$, $\chi_s = (Z J_e)^2 (2 + 2C - 4k)$, $k = (1-Z) \wedge e^{ik}$, Z is the number of the nearest neighbor sites, the spinon correlation function $C = (1-Z^2) \wedge \chi_h S_{i+}^+ \wedge S_{i+}^- \wedge i$, the dressed holon and spinon spectral functions are obtained as $A_h(\mathbf{k}; !) = 2 \text{Im} g(\mathbf{k}; !)$ and $A_s(\mathbf{k}; !) = 2 \text{Im} D(\mathbf{k}; !)$, respectively, while the full dressed holon and spinon Green's functions have been discussed in detail in Ref.¹², and can be expressed as $g^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}; !) = g^{(0)(1)}(\mathbf{k}; !)$, $\chi_h(\mathbf{k}; !)$ and $D^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}; !) = D^{(0)(1)}(\mathbf{k}; !)$, $\chi_s(\mathbf{k}; !)$, with the mean-field (MF) dressed holon and spinon Green's functions, $g^{(0)(1)}(\mathbf{k}; !) = ! - k$ and $D^{(0)(1)}(\mathbf{k}; !) = (!^2 - !_k^2) = B_k$, and the second-order dressed holon and spinon selfenergies are obtained by the loop expansion to the second-order^{12;13} as,

$$\chi_h(\mathbf{k}; !) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Z t}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \mathbf{p}^0} (\chi_{\mathbf{p}^0 + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}}^2 + \chi_{\mathbf{p}^0 \mathbf{k}}^2) \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}^0} B_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0}}{4 !_{\mathbf{p}^0} !_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0}} \\ + \frac{F_1^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0)}{! + !_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0} !_{\mathbf{p}^0} !_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}}} + \frac{F_2^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0)}{! + !_{\mathbf{p}^0} !_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0} !_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}}} \\ + \frac{F_3^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0)}{! + !_{\mathbf{p}^0} + !_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0} !_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}}} + \frac{F_4^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0)}{! + !_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0} !_{\mathbf{p}^0} !_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}}}; \quad (8a)$$

$$\chi_s(\mathbf{k}; !) = \frac{Z t}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{p} \mathbf{p}^0} (\chi_{\mathbf{p}^0 + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}}^2 + \chi_{\mathbf{p}^0 \mathbf{k}}^2) \frac{B_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}}}{2 !_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}}} \\ + \frac{F_1^{(s)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0)}{! + !_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0} !_{\mathbf{p}^0} !_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}}} + \frac{F_2^{(s)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0)}{! + !_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0} !_{\mathbf{p}^0} + !_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}}}; \quad (8b)$$

respectively, where $B_k = [2^z (k - 1) + (k - 1)]$, $z = 2Z J_{eff}$, the spinon correlation function $z = \chi_h S_{i+}^z \wedge i$, $F_1^{(s)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0) = n_F(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0) [n_F(\mathbf{p}^0) - n_B(!_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}})] n_F(\mathbf{p}^0)$, $F_2^{(s)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0) = n_F(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0) [n_F(\mathbf{p}^0) - n_F(\mathbf{p}^0)] + [n_B(!_{\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}})] n_F(\mathbf{p}^0) - n_F(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0)$, $F_1^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0) = n_F(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) [n_B(!_{\mathbf{p}^0}) - n_B(!_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0})] + n_B(!_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0}) [n_B(!_{\mathbf{p}^0})]$, $F_2^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0) = n_F(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) [n_B(!_{\mathbf{p}^0 + \mathbf{p}}) - n_B(!_{\mathbf{p}^0})] + n_B(!_{\mathbf{p}^0}) [n_B(!_{\mathbf{p}^0 + \mathbf{p}})]$, $F_3^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0) = n_F(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) [n_B(!_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0}) + n_B(!_{\mathbf{p}^0})] + n_B(!_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0}) n_B(!_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0})$, $F_4^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{p}; \mathbf{p}^0) = n_F(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}) [n_B(!_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0}) + n_B(!_{\mathbf{p}^0})] + [n_B(!_{\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}^0})] [n_B(!_{\mathbf{p}^0})]$, $n_B(!_p)$ and $n_F(p)$ are the boson and fermion distribution functions, respectively, and the MF dressed holon and spinon spectra are given by $\epsilon_k = Z t \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$, and $!_k^2 = A_1 (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})^2 + A_2 \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + A_3$, respectively, with $A_1 = \frac{1}{2} (C^z + 1) = 2$, $A_2 = \frac{1}{2} [(C^z + 1) + (C^z + 1)] = (2Z) = (2Z)$, $A_3 = \frac{1}{2} [C^z + (C^z + 1)] = (2Z) = (2Z)$, and the spinon correlation function $C^z = (1-Z^2) \wedge \chi_h S_{i+}^z \wedge S_{i+}^z \wedge i$. In order

not to violate the sum rule of the correlation function $\chi_h S_{i+}^z \wedge i = 1/2$ in the case without AFLRO, the important decoupling parameter has been introduced in the MF calculation^{19;20}, which can be regarded as the vertex correction. All the above MF order parameters, decoupling parameter, and chemical potential are determined by the self-consistent calculation²⁰.

The thermal conductivity is one of the direct probes to observe the low energy quasi-particles through its frequency and temperature dependences^{8;10}. In Fig. 1, we present the results of the thermal conductivity $\kappa(T)$ as a function of frequency at doping $x = 0.06$ (solid line), $x = 0.10$ (dashed line), and $x = 0.12$ (dash-dotted line) for parameter $t=J = 2.5$ with temperature $T = 0.05J$. Although $\kappa(T)$ is not observable from experiments, its features will have observable implications on the observable $\rho(T)$. From Fig. 1, we find the thermal conductivity spectrum consists of two bands separated at $! = 0.5t$, the higher-energy band, corresponding to the midinfrared band in the optical conductivity, shows a weak peak at $! = 1t = 2.5J$, while the position of the lower-energy peak in the present underdoped cuprates is doping dependent, and is located at a finite energy $! = xJ$. Moreover, we also find from the above calculations that although both dressed holons and spinons are responsible for the thermal conductivity $\kappa(T)$, the contribution from the dressed spinons is much larger than these from the dressed holons, i.e., $\chi_s(!) \gg \chi_h(!)$ in the underdoped regime, and therefore the thermal conductivity of the underdoped cuprates is mainly determined by its dressed spinon part $\chi_s(!)$. For a better understanding of the heat transport of the underdoped cuprates, we have studied the frequency dependence of the thermal conductivity spectrum at different temperatures, and the results at $x = 0.10$ for $t=J = 2.5$ in $T = 0.05J$ (solid line), $T = 0.25J$ (dashed line), and $T = 0.5J$ (dash-dotted line) are plotted in Fig. 2. These results show that the high-energy band in $\kappa(T)$ is severely suppressed with increasing temperatures, and vanishes at higher temperature ($T > 0.4J$).

Now we turn to discuss the temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity $\kappa(T)$, which can be obtained from Eq. (7) as $\kappa(T) = \lim_{! \rightarrow 0} \kappa(!; T)$. The results of $\kappa(T)$ at $x = 0.10$ (solid line), $x = 0.12$ (dashed line), and $x = 0.15$ (dash-dotted line) for $t=J = 2.5$ are shown in Fig. 3 in comparison with the experimental results⁹ taken on $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ (inset). Our results show that the thermal conductivity $\kappa(T)$ increases monotonously with increasing temperatures for $T < xJ$, and is very weak temperature dependent for $T > xJ$, in good agreement with the experimental data⁹ in the normal state, where there is a shoulder around the temperature $T = xJ$ for both experimental and theoretical results, and is consistent with the position of the lower-energy peak in $\kappa(T)$. On the other hand, we have noted that the smooth evolution of $\kappa(T)$ from the superconducting state ($T = 0.02J \sim 20K$) to the normal state ($T > 0.02J$) has been observed from the experiment⁹ for

$\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$. Based on the superconducting mechanism driven by the kinetic energy¹⁴, the thermal conductivity in the superconducting state ($T = 0.02J - 20K$)




FIG. 1. The thermal conductivity as a function of frequency at $x = 0.06$ (solid line), $x = 0.10$ (dashed line), and $x = 0.12$ (dotted line) with $t=J = 2.5$ in $T = 0.05J$.




FIG. 2. The thermal conductivity as a function of frequency at $x = 0.10$ in $T = 0.05J$ (solid line), $T = 0.25J$ (dashed line), and $T = 0.5J$ (dotted line) with $t=J = 2.5$.




FIG. 3. The thermal conductivity as a function of temperature at $x = 0.10$ (solid line), $x = 0.12$ (dashed line), and $x = 0.15$ (dotted line) with $t=J = 2.5$. Inset: the experimental result of $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ taken from Ref. [9].

is under investigation now.

In the above discussions, the central concern of the thermal conductivity in the underdoped cuprates is the charge-spin separation, then the heat transport is mainly determined by the contribution from dressed spinons $s(k; T)$. Since $s(k; T)$ in Eq. (7c) is obtained in terms of the dressed spinon Green's function $D(k; !)$, while this dressed spinon Green's function is evaluated by considering the second-order correction due to the dressed holon pair bubble^{12;22}, therefore the observed unusual frequency and temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity spectrum of the underdoped cuprates is closely related to the incommensurate spin dynamics²¹. This is because that within the fermion-spin theory, the dynamical spin structure factor has been obtained^{12;22} in terms of the full dressed spinon Green's function as,

$$\begin{aligned} S(k; !) &= 2 [1 + n_B(!)] \text{Im} D(k; !) \\ &= [1 + n_B(!)] A_s(k; !) \\ &= \frac{2 [1 + n_B(!)] B_k \text{Im} s(k; !)}{[!^2 - E_k^2 + B_k \text{Re} s(k; !)]^2 + [\text{Im} s(k; !)]^2} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\text{Im} s(k; !)$ and $\text{Re} s(k; !)$ are corresponding imaginary part and real part of the dressed spinon self-energy function $s(k; !)$ in Eq. (8b). As we have shown in detail in Refs.^{12;22}, the dynamical spin structure factor (9) has a well-defined resonance character. $S(k; !)$ exhibits a peak when the incoming neutron energy $!$ is equal to the renormalized spin excitation $E_k^2 = !^2 + B_k \text{Re} s(k; E_k)$ for certain critical wave vectors k (positions of the incommensurate peaks). The height of these peaks is determined by the imaginary part of the dressed spinon self-energy $1 = \text{Im} s(k; !)$, i.e., the height of the incommensurate peaks is determined by damping, it then is fully understandable that they are suppressed as the energy and temperature are increased. Since this incoming neutron resonance energy $! = E_k$ is finite, this leads to that the lower-energy peak in (9) is located at a finite energy. Near the half-filling, the spin excitations are centered around the AF wave vector $[\pi; \pi]$, so the commensurate AF peak appears there. Upon doping, the dressed holons disturb the AF background. Within the fermion-spin framework, as a result of self-consistent motion of the dressed holons and spinons, the incommensurate antiferromagnetism is developed away from the half-filling, where the incommensurate peaks are located at $[(1-x)\pi; \pi]$ and $[\pi; (1-x)\pi]$ with the incommensurability parameter (x) defined as the deviation of the peak position from the AF wave vector $[\pi; \pi]$. This incommensurability parameter (x) increases progressively with the doping concentration at lower dopings^{12;22}, which leads to that the position of the lower-energy peak in (9) is doping dependent. Using a typical value of x in the underdoped regime obtained in the previous calculations^{12;22}, the distance of the incommensurate peaks is estimated as 20\AA . On the other hand, using a typical velocity of sound in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ of about 5 km/s and a phonon energy of 10 meV , one¹¹

obtains a wavelength for the phonons of 15\AA . This value is in qualitative agreement with the distance of the incommensurate peaks, and therefore the time scale of the dynamic incommensurate correlation is comparable to that of the lattice vibrations¹¹. In this case, the dynamic lattice modulations are induced, then the dynamic spinon modulations dominate the heat transport of the underdoped cuprates, in other words, the incommensurate spin fluctuation has been reflected in the thermal conductivity. On the other hand, these incommensurate peaks are very sharp at low temperatures and energies^{12,22}, however, they broaden and weaken in amplitude as the energy increase for low energies $\ll xJ$, and almost vanishes for high energies $\gg xJ$, which leads to the shoulder appears in $\kappa(T)$ in the temperature $T \sim xJ$. Finally, we emphasize that the present theory can describe the heat transport of the underdoped single layer cuprates, where only incommensurate spin fluctuation is observed²¹. However, both incommensurate spin fluctuation and commensurate $[\pi, \pi]$ resonance have been observed in the underdoped bilayer cuprates in the normal state²³ due to the bilayer splitting in the band structure²⁴. This resonance may lead some additional features in the thermal conductivity of the underdoped bilayer cuprates²⁵, and these and other related issues are under investigation now.

In summary, we have studied the heat transport of the underdoped single layer cuprates within the t - J model. Our results show that the frequency dependence of the thermal conductivity spectrum $\kappa(\omega)$ at low temperatures consists of two bands. The high energy band shows a weak peak, while the low energy peak is located at a finite energy. This high energy broad band is severely suppressed with increasing temperatures, and vanishes at higher temperature. Moreover, the temperature dependence of the thermal conductivity $\kappa(T)$ increases monotonously with increasing temperatures. Our results also show that although both dressed holons and spinons are responsible for the thermal conductivity, the contribution from the dressed spinons dominates the heat transport of the underdoped cuprates. On the other hand, we emphasize that although the simplest t - J model can not be regarded as a complete model for the quantitative comparison with the doped cuprates, our present results of the thermal conductivity are in qualitative agreement with the major experimental observations of the underdoped single layer cuprates⁹.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Jihong Qin for the helpful discussions. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 10125415 and 90103024, and the Grant from Beijing Normal University.

- ¹ See, e.g., M. A. Kastner, R. J. Birgeneau, G. Shiran, and Y. Endoh, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 897 (1998), and references therein.
- ² See, e.g., *Proceedings of Los Alamos Symposium*, edited by K. S. Bedell, D. Coey, D. E. Meltzer, D. Pines, and J. R. Schrieffer (Addison-Wesley, Redwood city, California, 1990).
- ³ J. Orenstein, G. A. Thomas, A. J. Millis, S. L. Cooper, and D. H. Rapkine, *Phys. Rev. B* **42**, 6342 (1990); H. Takagi, B. Batlogg, H. L. Kao, J. Kwo, R. J. Cava, J. J. Krajewski, and W. F. Peck, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2975 (1992).
- ⁴ See, e.g., P. W. Anderson, *The Theory of Superconductivity in the High- T_c Cuprates* (Princeton, New Jersey, 1997), and references therein.
- ⁵ R. W. Hill, C. Proust, L. Taillefer, P. Fournier, and R. L. Greene, *Nature* **414**, 711 (2001).
- ⁶ P. W. Anderson, in *Frontiers and Borderlines in Many Particle Physics*, edited by R. A. Broglia and J. R. Schrieffer (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987), p. 1; *Science* **235**, 1196 (1987).
- ⁷ A. Houghton, S. Lee, and J. B. Marston, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 220503 (2002).
- ⁸ C. Uher, in *Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors III*, edited by D. M. Ginsberg (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992), p. 159, and references therein.
- ⁹ Y. Nakamura, S. Uchida, T. Kimura, N. Motohira, K. Kishio, K. Kitazawa, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, *Physica C* **185-189**, 1409 (1991).
- ¹⁰ X. F. Sun, J. Takeya, S. Komiyama, and Y. Ando, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 104503 (2003).
- ¹¹ O. Baberski, A. Lang, O. Maldonado, M. Hucker, B. Buchner, and A. Freimuth, *Europhys. Lett.* **44**, 335 (1998).
- ¹² Shiping Feng, Jihong Qin, and Tianxing Ma, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **16**, 343 (2004); Shiping Feng, Tianxing Ma, and Jihong Qin, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **17**, 361 (2003); Shiping Feng, Z. B. Su, and L. Yu, *Phys. Rev. B* **49**, 2368 (1994).
- ¹³ Shiping Feng and Zhongbing Huang, *Phys. Lett. A* **232**, 293 (1997); Feng Yuan, Jihong Qin, Shiping Feng, and Wei Yeu Chen, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 134505 (2003).
- ¹⁴ Shiping Feng, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 184501 (2003).
- ¹⁵ R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1726 (1997); J. Low. Tem. *Phys.* **99**, 443 (1995).
- ¹⁶ G. B. Martins, R. Eder, and E. Dagotto, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, R3716 (1999); G. B. Martins, J. C. Xavier, C. Gazza, M. Voja, and E. Dagotto, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 014414 (2000); G. B. Martins, C. Gazza, J. C. Xavier, A. Feiguin, and E. Dagotto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5844 (2000).
- ¹⁷ G. D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics* (Plenum Press, New York, 1990).
- ¹⁸ Y. Bang and G. Kotliar, *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 9898 (1993).
- ¹⁹ J. Kondo and K. Yamaji, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **47**, 807 (1972).
- ²⁰ Shiping Feng and Yun Song, *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 642 (1997).
- ²¹ S. W. Cheong, G. Aeppli, T. E. Mason, H. Mook, S. M. Hayden, P. C. Canfield, Z. Fisk, K. N. Clausen, and J. L. Mar-

- tinez, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1791 (1991); K. Yamada, C. H. Lee, K. Kurahashi, J. Wada, S. Wakimoto, S. Ueki, H. Kikura, Y. Endoh, S. Hosoya, and G. Shirane, Phys. Rev. B 57, 6165 (1998), and references therein.
- ²² Feng Yuan, Shiping Feng, Z. B. Su, and L. Yu, Phys. Rev. B 64, 224505 (2001).
- ²³ P. Dai, H. A. Mook, R. D. Hunt, and F. Dogan, Phys. Rev. B 63, 54525 (2001).
- ²⁴ Shiping Feng, Feng Yuan, Z. B. Su, and L. Yu, Phys. Rev. B 66, 064503 (2002).
- ²⁵ K. Takenaka, Y. Fukuzumi, K. Mizuhashi, S. Uchida, H. Asaka, and H. Takei, Phys. Rev. B 56, 5654 (1997).