## Cyclotron resonance of correlated electrons in sem iconductor heterostructures

Dinh Van An and Motohiko Saitoh

Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University,

1–1 M achikaneyama, Toyonaka 560–0043, Japan.

The cyclotron resonance absorption of two-dimensional electrons in semiconductor heterostructures in high magnetic elds is investigated. It is assumed that the ionized impurity potential is a dominant scattering mechanism, and the theory explicitly takes the C oulom b correlation e ect into account through the W igner phonons. The cyclotron resonance linewidth is in quantitative agreement with the experiment in the W igner crystal regime at T = 4.2K. Similar to the cyclotron resonance theory of the charge density waves pinned by short-range impurities, the present results for the long-range scattering also show the doubling of the resonance peaks. However, unlike the case of the charge density waves, our theory gives the pinning mode independent of the bulk com pressibility of the substrate materials.

Keywords: Cyclotron resonance; Sem iconductor heterostructure; Quantum transport; W igner crystal

### I. IN TRODUCTION

Two-dimensional (2D) electron system in strong magnetic elds has been studied intensively form any years'<sup>2</sup>. There are num erous experim etal and theoretical investigations concentrating on the low density electron system in high magnetic elds when the electron correlation becomes strong and the system can be considered as a Coulomb liquid or W igner lattice<sup>3,4,5,6,7,8,9</sup>. In order to study the characteristics of the 2D electrons in a magnetic eld, the cyclotron resonance (CR) is considered as one of the basic techniques, and important inform ation about the 2D electron system can be obtained from the CR. Though there are many theoretical works considering the CR in the highly correlated electron liquid and correlated electrons which form a W igner crystal on the surface of liquid helium <sup>3,4,8,9</sup>, the CR of highly correlated electrons in sem iconductor heterostructures is still. an open question.

In a magnetic eld normal to the plane, the kinetic energy of 2D electrons is quenched and electrons execute a cyclotron motion with porbit radius propotional to the magnetic length  $l_c$  = ~c=eB which decreases with increasing magnetic eld B.Once B becomes su ciently high so that  $l_c$  is small compared with the typical mutual distance between electrons, the crystallization of the electron system into a W igner crystal is expected if the electron density is su ciently low. In sem iconductor heterostructures, when the mutual C oulom b energies are dom inant, a W igner crystalor a charge density wave may be formed in the quantizing magnetic eld limit. Recently, an insulating state was observed on monolayer system s<sup>12,13,14</sup>, such a state is interpreted as a W igner crystalpinned by the disorder in the sam  $ple^{15}$ .

The early theory by Fukuyam a and Lee<sup>16</sup>, which considered the pinning of the charge density waves of the 2D electrons in heterostructures under the in uence of the magnetic eld, has predicted that the absorption lineshape of the system has double resonance peaks: one is located at the cyclotron frequency and the other related to the impurity pinning. In this theory, the magnetic eld is treated classically and the impurity potential is assumed as short-ranged ones, and the pinning mode depends on the bulk compressibility of the substrate materials.

In this work, we present the theoretical analysis of the dynam ic structure factor (DSF) and the linew idth of the CR due to ionized in purity scattering of the 2D electron systems in quantizing magnetic elds. Electrons are assumed to form a W igner crystal in which the electrons are localized and oscillate around their equilibrium positions, and the electron correlation is taken into account through the W igner phonons. The num erical results of the CR linew idth are compared with experiments in the Al<sub>x</sub>Ga<sub>1</sub> xAs heterostructures

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the basic relations for the conductivity, linew idth and D SF are presented. In Sec. III, the expression and the results of numerical calculation of the D SF are given. We then evaluate the CR linew idth in Sec. IV. A comparision with the experiment for density denpendence of the CR linew idth is also given in this section. The double peak structure similar to the case of the pinning of charge density waves<sup>16</sup> of the diagonal conductivity is shown in Sec. V. Finally, we summarize our results and give concluding remarks in Sec.VI.

#### II. BASIC RELATIONS

The absorption lineshape of the 2D electron system in a magnetic eld is characterized by the conductivity  $(!) = {}_{xx}(!) i_{xy}(!)$  which may be expressed through the memory function M (!) by:

where  $!_c = eB = mc$  is the cyclotron frequency,  $e;m;n_e$  are the charge, e ective mass and 2D density of the electrons, respectively.

W e assume that the 2D electrons form s a W igner crystal and the 2D position vector of the nth-electron is given by  $r_n = R_n + u_n$ , where  $R_n$  is the lattice site vector and  $u_n$  is the deviation from  $R_n$ . Further the scattering of electrons is caused by the static in purity potential V (r) which is long-ranged:

$$V(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{X}{\int_{R_{i}g} \frac{e^{2}}{[(\mathbf{r} - R_{i})^{2} + z_{i}^{2}]^{1-2}};$$
 (2)

where ( $R_i; z_i$ ) is the coordinate of the ith-impurity which is distributed random ly, and the dielectric constant of the substrate matter. In this case, the density-density correlation function S (q;t) is de ned as

$$S(q;t) = \bigvee_{R}^{X} e^{iq R} < e^{iq U(t)} e^{iq U(0)} >; \quad (3)$$

where  $R = R_n R_m$  and < > denotes the therm apphonon s average.

The m em ory function M  $\left( !\right)$  is related to the density-density correlation function by

M (!) = 
$$i \frac{1}{!} \frac{e^{-!}}{!} \frac{1}{A} \int_{a}^{X} U_{q} \frac{-q^{2}}{4m} S(q;!)$$
 (4)

$$+\frac{1}{A} \sum_{q}^{X} U_{q} \frac{-q^{2}}{4m} \sum_{0}^{Z} \frac{e^{-!} ( ) 1}{!} S(q; i)d;$$

where is the inverse temperature, A the area of the electron system, and S (q;!) is the Fourier transform of S (q;t)

$$S(q;!) = dte^{i!t}S(q;t);$$
 (5)

and will be called the dynamic structure factor (DSF) hereafter. In eq. (4),  $U_q$  is the Fourier transform of the random averaged correlation function of ionized in purity potentials U (r) = < V (r)V (0)  $>_r$  given by<sup>17</sup>

$$U_{q} = -\frac{e^{2}}{2} \frac{n_{i}}{q^{2}} \operatorname{erfc}(d=):$$
 (6)

Here,  $n_i$  denotes the impurity concentration and the impurity distribution is characterized by  $\langle z_i \rangle_r = d$  and  $\langle (z_i + d)^2 \rangle_r = 2 = 2$ .

The imaginary part of the memory function M (!) will be called the width function and denoted by (!) since it characteries the absorption line shape. We have:

(!) Im M (!) = 
$$\frac{1}{!} \frac{e^{-!}}{A} \frac{1}{A} U_q \frac{\sim q^2}{4m} \text{ReS } (q; !):$$
  
(7)

In order to evaluate this quantity, the calculation of the DSF (5) will be given in the next section.

# III. DYNAM IC STRUCTURE FACTOR

## A. W igner phonon spectra

In the absence of the magnetic eld and impurities, W igner phonon frequencies in the long-wavelength lim it are approximately given by  $1^{8}$ 

$$!_{k1}^{(0)} !_{0} \frac{k}{k_{0}}; \text{ (longitudinal)} (8)$$

$$P -$$

$$!_{kt}^{(0)} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{4}!_0 \frac{k}{k_0};$$
 (transverse) (9)

where  $!_0 = 2 (n_e)^{3=4} (e^2 = m_e)^{1=2}$  and  $k_0 = \frac{p_e}{4 n_e}$ . In the presence of ionized in purities, the W igner

> denotes the therm aphonon spectra m ay have a gap<sup>17</sup>

$$l_{k}^{2} = l_{k}^{(0)2} + v^{2};$$
 (10)

where gap v is given by

$$v^{2} \quad \frac{1}{A} \sum_{q} U_{q} \frac{jq}{m} \left(k\right) \frac{j}{f} \sum_{0}^{Z} S(q; i)d \quad (11)$$

in the longwavelength lim it. Here (k) is the polarization vector of the W igner phonons with wave vector k and mode in the absence of magnetic elds:

$$_{1}(k) = \frac{k}{k}; \quad t = e_{z} \quad _{1}(k);$$
 (12)

where  $e_z$  is the unit vector norm alto the electron plane.

W hen a magnetic eld is applied norm alto the electron plane, it is well-known that the longitudinal and transverse modes of the W igner phonons are coupled and split into two modi ed modes with frequencies  $k_j$  (j = ) given by

$$_{k} = \frac{p \frac{p}{!_{c}^{2} + (!_{k1} + !_{kt})^{2}} p \frac{p}{!_{c}^{2} + (!_{k1} - !_{kt})^{2}}}{2}:$$
(13)

The Fourier transform of the displacement vector  $u_n$  and the realmomentum n are given, respectively, by

$$u(k) = \int_{j=0}^{X} \frac{\sum_{kj=0}^{n} h_{kj}}{2m_{kj}} E_{j}(k) b_{kj} + E_{j}(k) b_{kj}^{y}; (14)$$

$$(k) \quad p(k) + \frac{m \cdot e}{2} e_{z} \quad u(k)$$

$$= i \quad \frac{m \cdot kj}{2} E_{j}(k) b_{kj} \quad E_{j}(k) b_{kj}^{y}; (15)$$

where p(k) is the Fourier transform of the canonical momentum,  $b_{k\,j}$  and  $b_{k\,j}^{y}$  are the annihilation and creation operators of the phonon, respectively, and

$$E (k) = \frac{2}{\binom{k}{k} + \binom{k}{k}}{\binom{2}{k+k}} e_{1}(k) \quad i \quad \frac{2}{\binom{k}{k} + \binom{k}{k}}{\binom{2}{k+k}} e_{1}(k) :$$
(16)

## B. Dynam ic structure factor

In order to obtain the expression of the DSF, we calculate the density-density correlation function dened by eq. (3). We assume here that the correlation between two electrons at the same site is much larger than that of electrons at dimensional disregard the contributions with R  $\notin$  0 in S (q;t) (single-site approximation). Using eq. (14) we get

$$S(q;t) < e^{iq \ y(t)} e^{iq \ y(0)} >$$
  
= exp [N (q;t) N (q;0)]; (17)

where

$$W (q;t) = \begin{cases} X \\ W_{j}(q;t); \end{cases}$$
 (18)

$$W_{j}(q;t) = \frac{\sim}{2m N} \frac{X}{k} \frac{jq E(k) j}{kj}$$

$$(1 + n_{kj})e^{-i kjt} + n_{kj}e^{i kjt}; \quad (19)$$

where N is the number of the lattice sites and  $n_{kj}$  the Bose-E instein distribution function of the (kj)-phonon.

When the magnetic eld is very high such that  $\sim !_{c}$  1 and  $!_{c}$   $!_{0}$ , we have  $^{2}_{k+}$   $!^{2}_{c} + v^{2}$   $!^{2}_{c}$  and  $^{2}_{k}$   $!^{2}_{kt} !^{2}_{kl} = !^{2}_{c}$  <sup>2</sup>, and therefore

$$W_{+}(q;0) = W_{+}(q;t) = x(1 e^{i!_{c}t});$$
 (20)

W (q;0) W (q;t) = 
$$\frac{x^2}{4^2}$$
 (t<sup>2</sup> i t); (21)

where  $x = -q^2 = 2m$  ! c and is de ned by

$${}^{2} = \frac{2}{!_{c}} \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{k} + \frac{1}{k} \frac{2}{k} ; \qquad (22)$$

and will be called the broadening param eter of the DSF.

From eq. (10),  $^2$  can be expressed as the sum of two contributions from the electron-electron interaction and from the electron-in purity scattering:

$$2^{2} = \frac{2}{e} + \frac{2}{e}$$
; (23)

where  $e_{e}$  is related to the electron-electron interaction:

$${}^{2}_{e e} = \frac{2 \sim 1}{!_{c} N} {}^{X}_{k;} {}^{(0)2} = \frac{(0.85 \sim !_{0})^{2}}{\sim !_{c}}$$
(24)

and  $e_i$  describes the single-electron  $e_i$  electron-impurity scattering:

$${}^{2}_{e i} = \frac{4 \sim v^{2}}{!_{c}} = \frac{2 \sim }{!_{i}};$$
 (25)

with  $\ _{i}$  being the collision time due to the impurity scattering.

A fier perform ing integration with respect to k and t, we obtain the nalexpression of the  $D SF^{19}$ 

$$S(q; !) = \frac{2^{p} - x^{d}}{n} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \frac{x^{n}}{n!} e^{-x(! n!_{c})=2}$$

$$exp \quad x \quad 1 + \frac{2^{l}}{4} = \frac{x^{n}}{n!} e^{-x(! n!_{c})^{2}} (26)$$

It is interesting to see that the structure of the DSF (26) is quite sim ilar to the DSF of the highly correlated electron liquid by M onarkha et al.<sup>9</sup>. How ever, it diers from ours in that the expression of  $_{cqin}$  ref. 9 corresponds to our expressions by  $_{c} = x^{-1} \frac{2}{e}_{i} + x^{-2}_{e}_{e}$ . M onarkha et al. introduced the correlation e ect phenom enologically by assuming the D oppler shift due to the ultra-fast electrons. Moreover, the factor exp [~(! n!\_c)=2 x(=4)<sup>2</sup>] is missing in their results, leading to an overestimation of the contributions from the higher Landau levels n > 1.




FIG. 1: Contour map of S (q;!) using material constants of GaAs/A GaAs at B = 7:4 T, T = 4.2 K and  $n_e = 2 \quad 10^{10} \text{ cm}^2$ . Impurity concentration is set to be  $n_1 = 0.1 n_e^{-1}$ , and d= = 1:70.

In the limit q ! 0, the DSF (26) has a singularity S (q;!) / (!) which is consistent with K ohn theorem <sup>20</sup>. At  $q \in 0$ , the DSF has a series of peaks at points (!  $n!_c + x^2 = 4$ ~; x n = 1=2) as shown in Fig.1 and the peak widths are broadened not only by the electron interaction but also by the impurity scattering through the parameter . The peak height deceases with increasing x and becomes very small at x 1. Hence the most importance contributions into DSF come from the terms s with n 1.

In the extrem ely high magnetic elds and  $! = !_{c}$  (cyclotron resonance regin e) when can be considered

very sm all, all electrons at the low est Landau level, and thus the term with n = 1 dom inates the D SF. In this case, our theory reproducts the results obtained previously by D ykm an<sup>3</sup>. O therw ise, the contributions of higher Landau levels m ust be taken into account.

## IV. CYCLOTRON RESONANCE LINEW IDTH

U sing eq. (26), we rewrite the CR linewidth of the 2D correlated electrons under quantizing magnetic elds in more explicit form

$$(!_{c}) = \frac{\frac{2}{e} i}{\sim} \sinh \frac{\sim !_{c}}{2}$$

$$\frac{\chi^{d}}{n = 0} \frac{e^{n - 1} e^{-2}}{n ! (2^{-2})^{n + \frac{1}{2}}} F_{n} \frac{2 - !_{c} j 1 n j}{(2^{-2})^{n + \frac{1}{2}}} F_{n}$$
(27)

where =  $\frac{P}{1 + (z^2)^2}$  and the function  $F_n(z)$  is dened by

$$F_{n}(z) = \begin{cases} \circ p_{\pm}; & \text{(for } n = 1); \\ 2 \\ \vdots \\ p_{\pm} z^{n+1=2} K_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(z); & \text{(for } n \neq 1): \end{cases}$$
(28)

Here, K  $\ (z)$  stands for the modi  $\mbox{ ed Bessel function of the second kind.}$ 




FIG.2: (!c) vs.electron density for the 2D electrons in heterostructure G aA s/A is aA s at T = 42 K, B = 7.4 T. The crosshairs are taken from the experim ental results of C hou et al<sup>6</sup>.

The electron density dependence of the CR linew idth is shown in Fig. 2. The CR linew idth monotonously decreases with increasing electron density. Our theoretical result is in quantitative agreement with the experiment in the region of the electron density 2  $10^{\circ}$  cm<sup>2</sup>  $n_e$  6  $10^{\circ}$  cm<sup>2</sup> (the lling factor = 2  $n_e$  (c~=eB) varies from 0.08 to 0.34), where the electron system is expected to crystalize into a W igner crystal.




FIG.3:  $(!_{c})=!_{c}$  vs.  $n_{e}$  at B = 10 T and for several tem – peratures T = 500 m K (solid curve), 150 m K (dashed curve), and 100 m K (dotted curve).

Figure 3 illustrates the density dependence of the CR linew idth at lower tem peratures. It is easy to see that the density denpendence of the CR linewidth is sensitive to the change of the tem perature. At relatively 500 mK), the CR linewidth dehigh tem peratures (T creases m onotonically with ne. For lower tem peratures 150 m K), the C R linew idth has a shallow m in im um (T 3 10 cm<sup>2</sup> and then increases slightly. At around n<sub>e</sub> su ciently low temperatures (T < 150 m K), where  $e_{e}$ can be considered smaller than e i due to impurities, e i, the role of impurities becomes most so that im portant.

Figure 4 shows the magnetic eld dependence of the ratio  $(!_c)=!_c$  at  $n_e = 3$  10 cm<sup>2</sup> for di erent tem – peratures. The di erent behavours in the magnetic eld dependence of the CR linewidth at several values of tem – peratures are clearly seen in this gure. At su ciently high tem peratures (T > 200 mK),  $(!_c)=!_c$  increases monotonically with B. At T = 200 mK,  $(!_c)=!_c$  rst decreases, and then slightly increases with B. At T = 100 mK,  $(!_c)=!_c$  becomes nearly constant for large B (B > 9 T). This means that CR linewidth is proportional to B at T 100 mK. For T < 100 mK,  $(!_c)=!_c$  decreases for a whole range of B.




FIG.4:  $(!_{c})=!_{c}$  vs.m agnetic eld at  $n_{e} = 3 \ 10^{10}$  cm<sup>2</sup> for several tem peratures: 500 m K (dotted cuve), 200 m K (dashed curver), 100 m K (solid curve) and 50 m K (dash-dotted curve).

# V. DOUBLE PEAK STRUCTURE OF THE CONDUCTIVITY

Next, we turn to the diagonal conductivity. It is determ ined from eq. (1) by

$$(!) = \frac{1}{2}(+(!) + (!)):$$
 (29)

Figure 5 exhibits the real part of the diagonal conduc-




FIG . 5: Frequency dependence of the diagonal conductivity for several lling factors at B = 6:15 T and T = 1 K .

tivity Re  $_{xx}$  (!) as a function of !=! c for several Landau

lling factors . Two peaks are visible in the conductivity. It is well-known that W igner phonon spectra in a magnetic eld split into two modi ed modes as given by eq. (13). In a high magnetic eld case, from eq. (13)  $v^2 = !_{c}$  $(2_i)^{-1}$ . The peak wehave + !<sub>c</sub> and at  $! = !_{c}$  corresponds to the ordinary CR, and one at lower frequency ! =is related to the pinning mode due to impurities. This behavour is similar to the case of the charge density wave pinned due to impurities in the presence of magnetic elds. Fukuyam a and Lee developed the CR theory for the charge density waves with the short-ranged pinning potential<sup>16</sup>. The magnetic eld was treated sem iclassically and their result for is depends on the bulk com pressibility of the material. In our case, the pinning is determined by the ionized impurities which is long-ranged, and the pinning mode independent of material constants of the sem iconductor substrate where electrons are located.

### VI. CONCLUSION

W e have presented in this paper a theoretical analysis of the cyclotron resonance of the 2D electron system in heterostructures under quantizing magnetic elds at

nite temperature. We studied the DSF and the CR linewidth when the electron correlation e ect is taken into account through W igner phonons. We have expressed the DSF as a summation over all Landau levels and obtained the analystic expression of the CR linewidth. By taking the electron correlation into account through W igner phonons, the single-electron and many-electron e ects are considered simultaneously not phenom enologically as was done in previous theories<sup>9</sup>. The electron density dependence of the CR linewidth is shown to be in the quantitative agreement with experimental results by Chou et al<sup>6</sup> in the regime where the crystallization of electrons is expected.

Similar to the case of the charge density waves with the short-ranged pinning potential<sup>16</sup>, our results of the conductivity also show the doubling of the resonance peaks. But unlike the charge density wave case, the pinning mode in our theory is independent of the bulk com pressibility of the substrate materials.

We have studied also other range of parameters than experiments. The numerical calculations shows that the CR linewidth monotonously decreases with increasing electron density at su ciently high temperatures. On the other hand, the density dependence at low temperatures (T < 150 m K) is quite di erent: a sharp decrease in the very low density region ( $n_e = 2 = \frac{10}{10}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>) and a slight increase for higher densities. The dependence of the CR linewidth on the magnetic eld is also evaluated. Specifically, at su ciently high temperatures (T > 100 m K), the cyclotron resonance is broadened considerably when the magnetic eld becom es high, and at low er temperatures the broadening of the CR peak becom es very sm all. A cknow ledgm ents

One of authors (V.A.D inh) acknow ledges the nan-

cial support from the M inistry of E ducation and Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

- E lectronic address: divan@ cm p.sanken.osaka-u.ac.p; P resent address: D epartm ent of C ondensed M atter P hysics, Institute of Scienti c and Industrial R esearch, O saka U niversity, M ihogaoka 8-1, Ibaraki, O saka 567-0047, Japan
- <sup>1</sup> S.T.Chuieds, Physics of the Electron Solid, International Press, USA (1994).
- <sup>2</sup> D.C.Tsui, Rev.M od. Phys. 71, 891 (1999).
- <sup>3</sup> M.I.Dykman, J.Phys.C 15, 7397 (1982).
- <sup>4</sup> M.Saitoh, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.56, 706 (1987).
- <sup>5</sup> L.W ilen and R.G ianetta, Phys.Rev.Lett.60,231 (1988).
   <sup>6</sup> M.I.Chou, D.C.Tsui and G.W eim ann, Phys.Rev.B 37,848 (1988).
- <sup>7</sup> C. C. Li, W. Engel, D. Shahar, D. C. Tsui and M. Shayegan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1353 (1997).
- <sup>8</sup> E.Teske, Yu.P.M onarkha, M.Seck and P.W yder, Phys. Rev.Lett. 82, 2772 (1999).
- <sup>9</sup> Yu.P.M onarkha, E.Teske and P.W yder, Phys.Rev.B 62, 2593 (2000).
- <sup>10</sup> Yu.P.M onarkha, E.Teske and P.W yder, Phys.Reports 370, 1 (2002).

- <sup>11</sup> E.W igner, Phys. Rev. 46, 1002 (1934).
- <sup>12</sup> R.L.W illett, H.L. Storm er, D.C.Tsui, L.N.Pfei er, K.W.West and K.W.Bakdwin, Phys.Rev.B 38, R7881 (1989).
- <sup>13</sup> V.J.Goldman, M. Santos, M. Shayegan and J.E.Cunningham, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 2189 (1990).
- <sup>14</sup> F.I.B.W illiam s, P.A.W right, R.G.Clark, E.Y.Andrei, G.Deville, D.C.G lattli, O.Probst, B.Etienne, C.Dorin, C.T.Foxon and J.J.Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 3285 (1991).
- <sup>15</sup> R.Chitra, T.G iam m archi and L.Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. B 65, 035312 (2001).
- <sup>16</sup> H.Fukuyam a and P.A.Lee, Phys. Rev.B 18, 6245 (1978).
- <sup>17</sup> M. Saitoh, Physica B 249-251, 788 (1998).
- <sup>18</sup> L.Bonsalland M aradudin, Phys. Rev. B 15, 1959 (1977).
- <sup>19</sup> V.A.D inh and M.Saitoh, Proc. of the 23rd Intern. Conf. on Low Temperature Physics. (Hiroshima, 2002). To be published in Physica E.
- <sup>20</sup> W .Kohn, Phys. Rev. 123, 1242 (1961).