A sym m etric Exclusion M odel and W eighted Lattice Paths.

yD epartm ent of M athem atics and Statistics, The University of M elbourne Parkville, V ictoria 3010, A ustralia

zD epartm ent of M athem atics and Statistics, R oyal H ollow ay C ollege, U niversity of London, E gham, Surrey TW 20 0EX, England.

M arch 22, 2024

A bstract

We show that the known matrix representations of the stationary state algebra of the A sym metric Simple Exclusion Process (ASEP) can be interpreted combinatorially as various weighted lattice paths. This interpretation enables us to use the constant term method (CTM) and bijective combinatorial methods to express many forms of the ASEP normalisation factor in terms of Ballot numbers. One particular lattice path representation shows that the coeccients in the recurrence relation for the ASEP correlation functions are also Ballot numbers.

Additionally, the CTM has a strong combinatorial connection which leads to a new \canonical" lattice path representation and to the \! -expansion" which provides a uniform approach to computing the asymptotic behaviour in the various phases of the ASEP.

The path representations enable the ASEP norm alisation factor to be seen as the partition function of a more general polymer chain model having a two parameter interaction with a surface.

em ail: r.brak@ms.unimelb.edu.au, j.essam@rhul.ac.uk

PACS numbers: 05.50.+q,05.70.fh,61.41.+e

Key words: A sym metric simple exclusion process, lattice paths, constant term method, enum erative combinatorics

1 Background and notation.

The A sym metric Simple Exclusion P rocess (A SEP) is a simple hard core hopping particle model. It consists of a line segment with r sites. Particles are allowed to hop on to site 1 if it is empty, with rate . Any particles on sites 1 to r 1 hop on to a site to their right if it is empty, with rate 1. A particle on site r hops o with rate { as illustrated in gure 1. The state of the system at any time is de ned by the set of indicator variables f 1; rg, where

and the probability, P (~; s) of the system being in state ~ = ($_1$; $_r$); at time s given some initial state at s = 0, satis es a master equation (see [4] for details).



Figure 1: The ASEP model.

For a review of the extensive literature on this model see Derrida [1]. A signi cant step forward in the understanding of the mathematical aspects of the model was made with the realization, by Derrida et al [4], that a stationary state solution, P_s (~), of the master equation could be determined by the matrix product Ansatz

$$P_{S}(\sim) = hW j (iD + (1 i)E) JV i=Z_{2r}$$
 (1.1.1)
 $i=1$

with norm alisation factor Z_{2r} given by

$$Z_{2r} = hW j(DE)^r jV i \qquad (1.1.2)$$

provided that D and E satisfy the DEHP algebra

 $D + E = DE \tag{11.3 a}$

where hW jand JV i are the eigenvectors

$$hW = \frac{1}{2}hW = \frac{1}{2}JV =$$

These equations are su cient to determ ine P $_{\rm S}$ (~) but D errida et al [4] also gave several interesting matrix representations of D and E and the vectors jV i and hW j any one of which may be used to determ ine P $_{\rm S}$ (~).

The prim ary result of this paper is to show that each of the three m atrix representations of the DEHP algebra can be interpreted as a transferm atrix for a dimension weighted lattice path problem. This then allows the normalisation, correlation function and other properties of the A SEP model to be interpreted combinatorially as certain weighted lattice path con guration sum s { see section 2.0 ne of the path connections is similar to that discussed in Derrida et.al. [5]

The lattice path interpretation has two primary consequences: The rst is that it provides a starting point for a new m ethod (the \constant term " or CT m ethod) for calculating the norm alisation and correlation functions { see section 3. This reproduces several existing results (but by a new m ethod) and also provides several new results. One of note, the \! -expansion", arises from a rearrangem ent of the constant term expression which leads to form of the norm alisation in term s of the variables $!_c$ (1) and $!_d$ (1). The coe cients in this expansion are C atalan numbers, the asymptotic form of which enables a uniform approach to computing the asymptotic behaviour of Z_{2r} as r ! 1 in the various phases of the A SEP m odel { see section 5. The results agree with those found in [4], by steepest descent m ethods.

A bonus following from the lattice path interpretation of the algebra representations is that one of the lattice path interpretations (a slight variation of representation 3) has a natural interpretation as a polymer chain having a two parameter $(_1;_2)$ interaction with a surface. In this context Z_{2r} is a partition function for the \two-contact" polymer model { see section 4. We also obtain recurrence relations (on the length variable) for the partition function of this polymer model and hence also for the ASEP norm alisation.

The second primary consequence of the lattice path interpretation follows from the CT method itself, as the CT method has very natural combinatorial interpretations. For example, the normalisation can be written in several di erent polynom ial forms depending on which

3

variables you use:

$$Z_{2r} = p_{n_{fm}}^{(1) \ n \ m} \qquad (see \{ (4.4.24) \}) \qquad (1.1.4)$$

$$Z_{2r} = \int_{n,m}^{n} p_{n,m}^{(2)} c^{n} d^{m} \qquad (see \{ (4.4.31) \}) \qquad (1.1.5)$$

$$Z_{2r} = p_{n,m}^{(3)} + n m_{n,m}^{m} + 1 + 2 \qquad (see \{ (4.4.20) \}) \qquad (1.1.6)$$

$$X_{2r} = p_{n,m}^{(4)} + n m_{n,m}^{m} + 1 + 2 \qquad (see \{ (4.4.21) \}) \qquad (1.1.7)$$

where all the polynom ial ∞ cients, p⁽ⁱ⁾_{n,m}, are integers. (All the variables in these polynom ials are related by simple equations eg. = 1=, c = 1 etc. { see above and in later sections). Since, in each of these cases, the norm alisation arises from a weighted lattice con guration sum, all the above ∞ cients have a direct combinatorial interpretation as enum erating a particular subset of the paths (eg. those with exactly m steps with the rst weight and exactly n steps with the second weight).

n m

However, we show that each of the above polynom is lose cients has an alternative combinatorial interpretation which corresponds to enumerating a dierent, unweighted, set of lattice paths eg. in [4] the coe cient, $p_{m,n}^{(1)}$ was given as

$$p_{n,m}^{(1)} = \frac{m (2r m 1)!}{r!(r m)!}$$

which, with a simple rearrangem ent, can be seen to be a particular \Ballot number"

$$p_{n,m}^{(1)} = B_{2r,m} 1_{m,1}$$

where $B_{s,h}$ enumerates Ballot paths (see section 3.1 for details) of length s and height h. Thus $p_{n,m}^{(1)}$ which enumerates a special set of paths with m weights of type and n m weights of type = 1= is seen to be determined in terms of the much simpler combinatorial problem of enumerating unweighted Ballot paths of length 2r m 1 and height m 1. This correspondence between between the two combinatorial problems (one pair for each coe cient) arises as a bijection between the two path problems. This result may be turned around: If a bijection between a particular Ballot path problem and the weighted path problem can be proved then it provides an alternative derivation of the norm alisation polynom ial.

Finally, in section 6, the recurrence relations for the ASEP correlation functions derived in [4] are shown to follow from the lattice path interpretations. The coe cients of the terms in the recurrence relation are also seen to be various B allot num bers.

2 M atrix Representations and Lattice Path Transfer M atrices.

Derrida et al [4], provided three di erent matrix representations for the ASEP algebra. Representation one,

where

where

$$hW_{3}j = (1;0;0;0;:::) jV_{3}i = (1;0;0;0;:::)^{T} (2.2.6)$$

where

 $^{2} = + = 1$ cd:

Since these matrices and vectors satisfy the algebraic relations (1.1.3), the normalisation factor (1.1.2) for the ASEP model, can be evaluated using any of the three formulae

$$Z_{2r}^{(j)} = hW_{j}jO_{j}E_{j})^{r}V_{j}i; j = 1;2;3:$$
 (2.2.7)

Figure 2: a) Action of D and E matrices on the square lattice, b) the labelling of the matrix elements.

Each of these three representations can be interpreted as the transferm atrix of a particular weighted lattice path problem. If the rows of the D_j m atrix and the columns of the E_j m atrix are labelled with odd integers Z_{odd} f1;3;5; ...g and the columns of D_j and rows of E_j are labelled with even integers Z_{even} f0;2;4; ...g, then (D_j)_k, is the weight of a step from an odd height k to even height ' and (E_j)_k, is the weight of a step from an even height j to an odd height '. Since the rows and columns are labelled with non-negative integers the steps are only in the upper half of Z^2 .

Similarly, the elements of W_{j} j and y_{j} is are labelled by Z_{odd} and are the weights attached to the initial and nal vertices of the paths. The matrices D_{j} and E_{j} act successively to the left on the initial vector W_{j} j and W_{j} $(D_{j}E_{j})^{r}y_{j}$ is the weighted sum over all paths of length 2r which begin and end at odd height above the x axis. An example path for each of the three representations is shown in gure 3. Notice that for the rst representation the paths with non-zero weight begin at any odd height and end at unit height, for the second they both begin and end at any odd height and for the third they begin and end at unit height. All these paths are de ned explicitly below.



Figure 3: Examples of the paths for each of the three representations a) representation one, $\Jump step paths"$, b) representation two, $\C ross paths" and c)$ representation three, $\O ne up paths"$.

Derrida et.al. gave another expression for the norm alisation factor (see equation (39) of [4]). This form does not arise directly from any of the above three representations, how ever, we will show (corollary 6) that it is the partition function $Z_{2r}^{(5)}$, de ned in (2.2.16), corresponding to a \canonical" path representation (see gure 6 for an example). In [10] we provide a combinatorial derivation of the equivalence of the above three and a number of other path representations of the norm alisation factor to the \canonical" representation.

2.1 The Lattice Path De nitions.

We consider paths whose steps are between the vertices of the half plane square lattice = $f(x;y) jx 2 Z; y 2 Z^+ g$, where Z (resp. Z^+) is the set of integers (resp. non-negative integers).

Denition 1 (Lattice paths). A lattice path, !, of length t 0 is a sequence of vertices $(v_0; v_1; :::; v_t)$ where v_i $(x_i; y_i)$ 2, and for t > 0, v_i v_{i-1} 2 S_i^p where $fS_i^p; i = 1; :::; tg$ is the set of allowed steps. For a particular path, !, denote the corresponding sequence of steps by $E(!) = e_1e_2 :::e_t, e_i = (v_{i-1}; v_i)$. A subpath of length k of a lattice path, !, is a path dened by a subsequence of adjacent vertices, $(v_i; v_{i+1}; :::; v_{i+k-1}; v_{i+k})$, of !.

Denition 2 (Dyck $P_{2r}^{(D)}$, Ballot $P_{th}^{(B)}$, & Cross $P_{th_1,h_2}^{(C)}$ paths). The set of length 2r Dyck paths, $P_{2r}^{(D)}$; length t and height h Ballot paths $P_{th}^{(B)}$, and length t and heights h_1 and h_2 Cross paths $P_{th_1,h_2}^{(C)}$ all have step set $S_1^D = f(1;1); (1; 1)g$. Dyck paths have $v_0 = (0;0)$ and



Figure 4: An example of a a) a Dyck path, b) a Ballot path, c) a Cross path, d) an Anchored Cross path, e) a Hovering path, f) a Separated Hovering path, g) a One Up path, h) a Jump Step path and i) a Marked separated hovering path.

 $v_{2r} = (0;0)$, Balbt paths have $v_0 = (0;0)$ and $v_t = (t;h)$ and Cross paths have $v_0 = (0;h_1)$ and $v_t = (t;h_2)$, $h_1;h_2 = 0$. Denote, $P_{2r}^{(C)} = \frac{S}{h_1;h_2 Z_{odd}} P_{2r;h_1;h_2}^{(C)}$

Denition 3 (E levated Dyck path (Bubble)). An elevated Dyck path or Bubble, is a subpath, $(v_i; :::; v_{i+k})$, k 0, for which $y_i = y_{i+k}$ and y_j yi for all i < j < i + k, k > 0. If k = 0 then the elevated Dyck path is a single vertex.

Denition 4 (Anchored Cross $P_{t;h_1;h_2}^{(aC)}$ paths). The subset $P_{t;h_1;h_2}^{(aC)} = P_{t;h_1;h_2}^{(C)}$ of Anchored Cross Paths is dened as all the paths in $P_{t;h_1;h_2}^{(C)}$ with at least one vertex in common with the line y = 1. Denote, $P_{2r}^{(aC)} = \frac{S}{h_1;h_2;Z_{odd}} P_{2r;h_1;h_2}^{(aC)}$

De nition 5 (One Up $P_{2r}^{(O)}$ paths). The set of One Up paths, $P_{2r}^{(O)}$ is the set of lattice paths of length 2r which have step set $S_1^D = f(1;1); (1; 1)g, v_0 = (0;1)$ and $v_{2r} = (2r;1)$, i.e. $P_{2r}^{(O)} = P_{2r;1;1}^{(C)}$.

De nition 6 (Jum p Step $P_{th}^{(J)}$ paths). The set of Jum p Step paths of length t, $P_{th}^{(J)}$, is the set of lattice paths which have step set

$$S_{i}^{J} = \begin{cases} f(1; 1)g & \text{for ieven} \\ f(1; 1)g[f(1;2'+1)j'2Z'+g] & \text{for i odd} \end{cases}$$

with $v_0 = (0;h)$, $h \ge Z_{odd}$, $v_t = (t;1)$. The \height", g_i , of a step $e_i = (v_{i-1};v_i)$ is defined as $y_i = y_{i-1}$. Odd steps with $g_i \ge f3;5;:::g$ will be called \jump" steps. If $g_i = 1$ (resp. $g_i = -1$) the step is called an \up" (resp. \down") step. Denote, $P_{2r}^{(J)} = \frac{S_{h \ge Z_{odd}}}{h_{2r;h}} P_{2r;h}^{(J)}$

Note: Jump step paths never visit the x-axis since such a visit can only occur on an odd step and return to y = 1 is in possible since all even steps are down.

Denition 7 (Hovering $P_{2r}^{(H)}$, Separated Hovering $P_{2r;2p}^{(sH)}$ and Marked Separated Hovering $P_{2r;2p}^{(mH)}$ path Hovering paths, $P_{2r}^{(H)}$ are One Up paths with no vertex on the x-axis. Separated Hovering paths, $P_{2r;2p}^{(sH)}$, 0 p r, are the subset of the Hovering paths which have $v_{2p} = (2p;1)$. The vertex v_{2p} is marked (with an empty circle { see gure 4f) and known as the separating vertex. Marked separated hovering paths, $P_{2r;2p}^{(mH)}$, are obtained from $P_{2r;2p}^{(sH)}$ by marking (with an solid circle { see gure 4i) subsets of the steps (or vertices) which return to y = 1.

Note: For all paths considered $x_i + y_i$ is either odd for all i or even for all i, i.e. the paths are con ned either to the odd sublattice or the even sublattice.

Denition 8 (Contacts, Returns). A vertex of a Ballot or Dyck path in common with the xaxis is called a contact. All contacts except the initial one are called returns. The polynom ial $R_t(h;) = \frac{P}{{}_{!2P_{t,h}^{(B)}}}$ (!), where (!) is the number of returns for the path !, is called the return polynom ial for Ballot paths.

2.2 W eights and lattice path representations.

For each of the three di erent representations the following lemma converts the matrix formula (22.7) for the normalization factor into a sum over one of the path sets de ned above, where the summand is a product of the step weights $w_j^{\text{step}}(e_i)$, an initial vertex weight $w_j^i(v_0)$, and a nalvertex weight $w_j^f(v_t)$.

Lem m a 1. The normalisation factor, $Z_{2r}^{(j)}$ for each of the three matrix representations, j = 1;2;3, can be written as

$$Z_{2r}^{(j)} = \bigvee_{\substack{|2P_{2r}^{(j)}| \\ |2P_{2r}^{(j)}|}}^{X} W^{(j)} (!)$$
(2.2.8)

with

where $P_{2r}^{(1)} = P_{2r}^{(J)}$, $P_{2r}^{(2)} = P_{2r}^{(C)}$, and $P_{2r}^{(3)} = P_{2r}^{(0)}$, and the weight W^(j)(!) of a particular path ! with step sequence, E(!) = $e_1 :::e_{2r}$ is de ned, for each of the three cases, as follows. For j = 1

$$w_{1}^{i} (0;2k+1) = {}^{k} k 2 Z^{+}$$

8

$${}^{i} if e_{i} = (i 1;1); (i;2k), k 2 Z^{+} and i odd$$

$${}^{step} (e_{i}) = {}^{i} 1 otherw ise$$

$$(2.2.10 b)$$

$$w_1^{f}(v_{2r}) = 1;$$
 (2.2.10 c)

for j = 2

$$w_2^i (0; 2k+1) = c^k k 2 Z^+$$
 (2.2.11 a)

$$w_2^{\text{step}}(e_i) = 1$$
 (2.2.11 b)

$$w_2^{f}$$
 (0;2k + 1) = d^k k 2 Z⁺ (2.2.11 c)

and for j = 3

$$w_{3}^{i}((0;1)) = 1 \qquad (22.12 a)$$

$$w_{3}^{step}(e_{i}) = \begin{cases} ife_{i} = (i \ 1;1); (i;2) \text{ or } e_{i} = (i \ 1;2); (i;1) \\ ife_{i} = (i \ 1;1); (i;0) \\ ife_{i} = (i \ 1;0); (i;1) \\ ife_{i} = (i \ 1;0); (i;1) \end{cases}$$

$$(22.12 b)$$

$$w_3^{f}((0;1)) = 1$$
 (22.12 c)

P roof. The above lemma is a direct consequence of the lattice path interpretation of the D $_j$ and E $_j$ m atrices as transferm atrices.

The equivalence of the di erent expressions is a consequence of the invariance of the normalisation factor under similarity transform ations relating the di erent matrix representations of D and E .



Figure 5: An example of a representation three, one up path, with the weights re-organised

In order to compute $Z_{2r}^{(3)}$, it turns out to be a little more convenient to rearrange the weights associated with representation three. If we do so we obtain the following corollary.

C orollary 1. An equivalent set of weights for Z $_{\rm 2r}^{~(3)}$, is

$$w_{4}^{i}((0;1)) = 1 \qquad (22.13)$$

$$w_{4}^{step}(e_{i}) = \frac{2}{1} = if e_{i} = (i \ 1;0); (i;1)$$

$$w_{4}^{step}(e_{i}) = \frac{2}{1} = 2 if e_{i} = (i \ 1;2); (i;1) \qquad (22.14)$$

$$w_4^{f}((0;1)) = 1$$
 (2.2.15)

Note: this rearrangement of the weights is only valid for computing $Z_{2r}^{(3)}$. For correlation functions one has less freedom in the weight rearrangement.



Figure 6: An example of a canonical path { a Separated H overing path with a W $^{(5)}$ weighting.

Later in the paper (corollary 6) we will show that the normalisation factor can also be expressed in terms of Separated Hovering paths.

$$Z_{2r}^{(5)} = \bigvee_{p=0}^{X^{r}} X W^{(5)}(!_{p})$$
(2.2.16)

where $W^{(5)}(!_p)$ is de ned by (2.2.9) with

$$w_{5}^{i}((0;1)) = 1$$

$$w_{5}^{step}(e_{i}) =$$

$$ife_{i} = (i \ 1;2); (i;1) \text{ and } i \ 2p$$

$$ife_{i} = (i \ 1;2); (i;1) \text{ and } i > 2p$$

$$(2.2.17 \text{ b})$$

$$ife_{i} = (i \ 1;2); (i;1) \text{ and } i > 2p$$

$$(2.2.17 \text{ b})$$

$$w_5^{f}((0;1)) = 1$$
 (2.2.17 c)

Thus, for any particular path, $!_p$, all the weighted steps (if any) occur to the left of vertex (2p;1) and all the weighted steps (if any) occur to the right of (2p;1). We call this combination of paths and weights the \canonical" path representation of the normalisation factor. An example is shown in gure 6.

Lem m a 2. Let $P_{2r;2p}^{(mH)}$ be the set of m arked separated hovering paths obtained from $P_{2r;2p}^{(sH)}$ by m arking subsets of the steps which return to y = 1 then

$$Z_{2r}^{(2)} = \frac{X^{r} X}{\sum_{p=0}^{p=0} \sum_{p \ge P_{2r;2p}^{(m,H)}} W^{(2a)}(!_{p})}$$
(2.2.18)

where the weight W $^{(2a)}(!_p)$ has a factor c for each m arked return step which occurs to the the left of v_{2p} and a factor d for the other m arked return steps.

The lemma is proved in [10] in two stages. First an involution on $P_{2r}^{(C)}$ for which $P_{2r}^{(aC)}$ is the xed set and then a bijection between $P_{2r}^{(aC)}$ and $S_{p=0}^{r} P_{2r;2p}^{(mH)}$. C orollary 1.

$$Z_{2r}^{(2)} = Z_{2r}^{(5)} = 1+c; = 1+d$$

Proof. Substituting = 1 + c; = 1 + d in the weight attached to a path $!_p 2 P_{2r;2p}^{(sH)}$ and expanding leads to a sum of terms obtained by weighting each return step to the right of v_{2p} (if any) with either 1 or d and the remaining returns (if any) by 1 or c. Marking the subsets of steps weighted c or d determ ines a set of paths belonging to $P_{2r;2p}^{(mH)}$.

3 M ethods.

In this section we brie y review methods to be used and results obtained previously [7] as they will be required in the next section. One additional new lemma is stated.

3.1 The constant term method.

De nition 9. The constant term operation, CT [], is de ned by

$$CT[f(z)] =$$
 the constant term in the Laurent expansion of $f(z)$ about $z = 0$.

The number of t step lattice paths with step set S_i^D which begin at (0;0) and end at (t;y) with no further constraint (i.e. replacing the constraint y 2 Z⁺ in the de nition of by y 2 Z) is the binom ial coe cient $\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} t \\ t \end{pmatrix}$ for which the constant term formula is

t = CT [(z + 1=z)^tz^Y]: (3.3.1)
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
(t y)

By the rejection principle [8], the number of t step Ballot paths of height h is obtained by subtracting the number of unrestricted paths which begin at (0; 2) from those beginning at (0;0), both ending at (t;h).

$$B_{t;h} \qquad \mathcal{P}_{t;h}^{(B)} j = CT[(z + 1 = z)^{t} z^{h}] \quad CT[(z + 1 = z)^{t} z^{h+2}] = CT[t^{t} z^{h} (1 z^{2})] \qquad (3.3.2)$$

where z + 1=z. Dierencing the binomial coe cients expresses B $_{t,h}$ in terms of factorials; for t+h even

$$B_{t;h} = \frac{(h+1)t!}{(\frac{1}{2}(t+h)+1)!(\frac{1}{2}(t-h))!}$$
(3.3.3)

D yok paths are Ballot paths ending at (t; 0) so the num ber D yok paths with 2r steps is

$$\mathcal{P}_{2r}^{(D)} j = CT[t(1 z^{2})] = \frac{1}{r+1} \frac{2r}{r} = C_{r}; \qquad (3.3.4)$$

a Catalan num ber.

Instead of using the relection principle the Ballot numbers may be obtained as the solution of the equations, t;h = 1,

$$B_{0;h} = _{h;0}$$
 (3.3.5 a)

$$B_{t;0} = B_{t-1;1}$$
 (3.3.5 b)

$$B_{t,h} = B_{t 1,h 1} + B_{t 1,h+1}; \qquad (3.3.5 c)$$

all of which are solved by CT [${}^{t}z^{h}(1 z^{2})$].

H istorically, B allot numbers arise in the combinatorial problem of a two candidate election. If you ask how m any ways can t votes be caste such that the rst candidate ends h votes ahead of the second candidate and at any stage of the voting never has fewer votes that the second candidate. 3.2 Partition function for the one contact m odel.

P reviously [7] we proved the following proposition concerning the return polynomial (see de nition 8).

Proposition 1. The return polynom ial for Ballot paths of length t and height h is given by

$$R_{t}(h;) = CT \left[\frac{t_{z}^{h}(1 - z^{2})}{1 - (1 - 1)z^{2}}\right]$$
(3.3.6)

Proof. For t; $h = 1, R_t(h;)$ is determined by the recurrence relations

$$R_0(h;) = h_{;0}$$
 (3.3.7 a)

$$R_{t}(0;) = R_{t 1}(1;)$$
 (3.3.7 b)

$$R_{t}(h;) = R_{t 1}(h 1;) + R_{t 1}(h + 1;): \qquad (3.3.7 c)$$

The rst and last equations are the same as for the unweighted Ballot paths and are satis ed by C T [$^{t}z^{h}(1 z^{2})g(z)$] provided that on expansion g(z) has no negative powers and g(0) = 1(which will be the case). We have introduced the factor g(z) to allow the second equation to be satis ed. But $z + 1 = z = z + (1 (1)z^{2}) = z$ and choosing $g(z) = 1 = (1 (1)z^{2})$

$$CT[^{t}(1 z^{2})g(z)] = CT[^{t}(z + 1=z)(1 z^{2})g(z)]$$
$$= CT[^{t}(1 z^{2})g(z)] + CT[^{t}(1=z z)]:$$

The result follows since the last term may be evaluated to give zero.

Corollary 2 ([7]).

$$R_{t}(h;) = \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} (t + h) & \frac{1}{2} (t + h) \\ B_{t;h+2m} & (1)^{m} = \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2} (t + h) \\ B_{t m} = 0 \end{array} \qquad B_{t m} = 0 \end{array} \qquad (3.3.8)$$

Proof. The rst equality follows by expanding (3.3.6) in powers of $(1)z^2$ and using (3.3.2). The second is obtained by rewriting the constant term form ula as

$$R_{t}(h;) = CT \left[\frac{t^{1}z^{h^{1}}(1 - z^{2})}{1 - z^{2}} \right]$$
(3.3.9)

and then expanding in powers of z=. This result suggests a bijection between Ballot paths of length t with m returns and Ballot paths of length t m 1 and height m + h 1 and hence suggests a combinatorial proof. Such a bijection was given in [9]. A Ballot path can be represented schematically as shown in gure 7. The Bubbles (see de nition 3) represent



Figure 7: a) An example of a Ballot path showing the \terraces" which de ne the Bubbles shown schematically in b) which represents of a Ballot path of length t and height h = 4.

a, possibly empty, arbitrary elevated Dyck path. Using this schematic representation, proving (3.3.8) is then straightforward. For simplicity we show the bijection in the case h = 0 in gure 8. The expansion in powers of 1 was also obtained by bijection in [9]. In this case the



Figure 8: a) Schematic representation of a Dyck path with m = 3 returns. b) All return steps deleted c) First step deleted produces a Ballot path of height m 1 and length 2r m 1.

bijection is between Ballot paths with at least m returns, m of which are marked, and Ballot paths of the same length but of height h + 2m.

The above m ethodology is typical of that used for the more complicated two parameter case in the next section. A constant term form ula will be derived and then rewritten in four dierent ways each giving rise to an expansion in pairs of dierent variables the coe cients of which are Ballot numbers and are shown, by bijection, to enumerate various types of lattice path.

In the next section we will also need the following lemma.

Lem m a 3. Let $P_{2r;2s}^{\mbox{(SH)}}$ be the set of Separated H overing paths (de nition 7) then

$$X^{r} \qquad P_{2r;2s}^{(sH)} j = P_{2r+2}^{(D)} j \qquad (3.3.10)$$

P roof. Since we can shift any H overing path down on to the x-axis to give a Dyck path with vertex (2s;0) m arked, the lemma says the number of Dyck paths with one contact m arked is



Figure 9: a) An example of a marked return Ballot path of height h = 1 with m = 2 marked returns and b) its schematic representation in terms of bubbles. c) Each marked return rotated through 90 degrees anti-clockwise (or equivalently replaced by an up step) producing a Ballot path of height 2m + h and length t.

equal to the number of (unmarked) Dyck paths two steps longer. A sketch of the bijective combinatorial proof is shown in gure 10. The construction is a bijection, since, given any length 2r + 2 Dyck path a unique length 2r marked contact Dyck path is determined by deleting the rightmost step and the rightmost step from y = 0 to y = 1 (and marking the left vertex of the latter step).



Figure 10: a) Dyck (or hovering) path with one marked contact, b) insert an up step at the mark produces a unique height one Ballot path (length 2r + 1) and c) adding a naldown step produces a unique Dyck path of length 2r + 2

4 The two contact model.

We begin by computing $Z_{2r}^{(3)}$ using the W⁽⁴⁾ weights { we will refer to this as the two contact model. We will generalise the model by allowing arbitrary starting and ending heights for the paths. In particular, let the paths be of length t, start at (0;yⁱ) with yⁱ 2 Z_{odd} and term inate at (t;y^f), t + y^f 2 Z_{odd}, i.e. Cross paths in P_{tyⁱy^f}.

Thism odel can also be thought of as a polymerm odel with two dimensions or \contacts". The path interacts with the \thickened" surface y = 0;1 via two parameters, $_1$ and $_2$ and the partition function is dened by

$$Z_{t}(y^{f} \dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ & Y^{(C)} \\ & Y^{(C)} \\ & Y^{(C)} \\ & Y^{i} \\ & Y^{i} \\ & Y^{i} \\ \end{array}$$
(4.4.1)

where the sum is over C ross paths of length t with given initial and nalheights y^{i} and y^{f} and the weight W⁽⁴⁾(!) is deneed by (2.2.9) and (2.2.13). This is a generalisation of the A SEP partition function $Z_{2r}^{(3)}$ thus

$$Z_{2r}^{(3)} = Z_{2r}(1j;;2)$$
 (4.4.2)

This generalisation allows this partition function to be determined by recurrence relations similar to those for the return polynomial of Ballot paths. By considering paths of length t 1 which can reach the point (t;y) by adding one more step the partition function $Z_t(y^f jy^i; 1; 2)$ may be seen to satisfy the equations,

$$Z_{1}(1\dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2) = 0$$
(4.4.3)

$$Z_{0}(y \dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2) = y \dot{y}^{i}$$
(4.4.4)

$$Z_{t}(0\dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2) = Z_{t}(1\dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2)$$

$$(4.4.5)$$

$$Z_{t}(1\dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2) = {}_{1}Z_{t}(0\dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2) + {}_{2}Z_{t}(2\dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2); t 2$$
(4.4.6)

and fort = 1;2;:::; y = 2;3;::: and t + y odd

$$Z_{t}(y \dot{y}^{i}; _{1}; _{2}) = Z_{t 1}(y 1 \dot{y}^{i}; _{1}; _{2}) + Z_{t 1}(y + 1 \dot{y}^{i}; _{1}; _{2}):$$
(4.4.7)

These partial di erence equations can be solved for $Z_t(y^f \dot{y}^i; _1; _2)$ by using the constant term method.

Proposition 2. With i = i 1, z = 1=z and z = z + z, for $y^i 2 Z_{odd}$ and y = 1

$$Z_{t}(\underline{y}\underline{j}_{x}^{j}; 1; 2) = CT[t(\underline{z}^{y} \underline{y}^{i} \underline{z}^{y+\underline{y}^{i}})] + (\underline{y}^{j}; 1 + 2(1 \underline{y}^{j}; 1))CT[\underline{z}^{y+\underline{y}^{i}} \underline{z}^{t}G(z)] \quad (4.4.8)$$

where

G (z) =
$$\frac{(1 z^2)z}{1 (1 + z)z^2 z^4}$$
 (4.4.9)

Note: For $y^i = 0$ and y = 1

$$Z_{t}(y_{1}); _{1}; _{2}) = _{1}Z_{t} _{1}(y_{1}); _{1}; _{2})$$
(4.4.10)

P roof. Substituting (4.4.8) into the partial di erence equations and noting that G (z) m ay be expanded in even powers of z with no inverse powers shows that (4.4.3), (4.4.4) and (4.4.7) are satis ed. (4.4.5) m ay be taken as the de nition of $Z_{t}(0)$; $_{1}$; $_{2}$) and (4.4.6) m ay then be transform ed into

$$Z_{t}(1\dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2) = {}_{1}Z_{t2}(1\dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2) + {}_{2}Z_{t1}(2\dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2); t 2$$
(4.4.11)

To verify that this equation is also satis ed we note that

$${}^{2}G(z) = (1=z z) + (1+zz)G(z)$$
 (4.4.12)

In the case $y^i = 1$ this gives

$$Z_{t}(1_{j}; 1; 2) = CT[^{t}G(z)] = CT[^{t}^{1}(1=z z)] + {}_{1}Z_{t}^{2}(1_{j}; 1; 2) + {}_{2}Z_{t}^{1}(2_{j}; 1; 2)$$

and (4.4.11) follows since the rst term is zero.

O there is $y^i = 3;5; \ldots$ in which case

$$Z_{t 1}(2\dot{y}^{i}; 1; 2) = CT[(z^{2y^{i}} z^{y^{i}})^{t 1}] + 2CT[z^{y^{i} t 1}G(z)]$$

and using this together with (4.4.12) and the fact that the rst term in (4.4.8) vanishes when y = 1

$$Z_{t}(1\dot{y}^{i}; _{1}; _{2}) = _{2}CT[z^{y^{i} 1} {}^{t}G(z)]$$

$$= _{2}CT[z^{y^{i} 1}(1=z z) {}^{t 1}] + _{1}Z_{t 2}(1\dot{y}^{i}; _{1}; _{2}) + _{2}^{2}CT[z^{y^{i} t 1}G(z)]$$

$$= _{2}CT[(z^{y^{i} 2} (1=z)^{y^{i} 2}) {}^{t 1}] + _{1}Z_{t 2}(1\dot{y}^{i}; _{1}; _{2}) + _{2}Z_{t 1}(2\dot{y}^{i}; _{1}; _{2})]$$

and again (4.4.11) follows since the rst term evaluates to zero.

An alternative constructive proof of this proposition is given in appendix B . $\hfill \square$

C orollary 3. The number of t step C ross paths which begin at $v^i = (0;h_1)$ and end at $v^f = (t;h_2)$ is given by

$$Z_{t}(h_{2},h_{1};1;1) = \begin{array}{ccc} t & t \\ \frac{1}{2}(t & h_{2} + h_{1}) & \frac{1}{2}(t & h_{2} & h_{1}) & 1 \end{array}$$
(4.4.13)

in terms of which we can write, $Z_t(\underline{y} \underline{y}^i; _1; _2)$ as

$$Z_{t}(y \dot{y}^{i}; _{1}; _{2}) = Z_{t}(y 2 \dot{y}^{i} 2; 1; 1) + (_{y^{i}; 1} + _{2}(1 _{y^{i}; 1}))Z_{t}^{a}(y \dot{y}^{i}; _{1}; _{2})$$
(4.4.14)

where

$$Z_{t}^{a}(y_{y}^{j})^{i}; _{1}; _{2}) = CT t^{t} z^{y+y^{i}} C_{t}(z)$$
(4.4.15)

is the partition function restricted to Anchored C ross paths except that for $y^i > 1$ the step leading to the rst visit to y = 1 has weight 1.

In particular

$$Z_{t}(y_{j}; _{1}; _{2}) = Z_{t}^{a}(y_{j}; _{1}; _{2}) = CT \quad {}^{t}G(z_{j})z^{y_{j}} : \qquad (4.4.16)$$

N otes:

It follows from the constant term formula that

$$Z_{t}^{a}(y_{t}^{j}y_{1}^{i}; 1; 2) = Z_{t}(y + y^{i} 1_{j}; 1; 2)$$
(4.4.17)

Setting $_1 = _2 = 1$ gives the num ber of unweighted paths starting at height 1.

$$Z_{t}(y_{1};1;1) = CT[t(1 z^{4})z^{y 1}) = CT[t^{t+1}(1 z^{2})z^{y}] = B_{t+1;y}$$

as expected since the paths biject to Ballot paths by adding an initial up step.

Proof. W ith $_1 = _2 = 1$, G (z) = 1 z^4 and substituting in (4.4.8) gives

$$Z_{t}(\underline{y},\underline{y}^{i};1;1) = CT \overset{h}{t}(\underline{z}^{y},\underline{y}^{i}, \underline{z}^{y+y^{i}+2})^{i}$$
(4.4.18)

which yields (4.4.13).

 $Z_t(y 2jy^i 2;1;1)$ is the number of C ross paths which avoid y = 1. This follows since such paths are in simple bijection with the paths starting at height $y^i 2$ and ending at height y 2,

eg. just push the whole path down (or up) two units. The second term in (4.4.14) is therefore the partition function for Anchored Cross paths. In the case $y^i > 1$ Anchored Cross paths always have a rst visit to y = 1 and the step leading to this visit has a factor $_2$. Removing this factor leaves $Z_t^a(yy^i; _1; _2)$ which is therefore the partition function for Anchored Cross paths except that for $y^i > 1$ the step leading to the rst visit to y = 1 has weight 1. Setting $y^i = 1$ in (4.4.14) gives (4.4.16) since the rst term vanishes.

Corollary 4.

$$Z_{t}^{a}(\underline{y}\underline{y}^{i}; 1; 2) = CT \left(\frac{(1 - z^{2})^{t} 1 z^{y+y^{i}} 3}{1 - (1 + z^{2})(z^{2})^{2}} \right)^{\#}$$
(4.4.19)

$$= \begin{pmatrix} t & y & X^{y^{i}} \end{pmatrix} = 2 + 1 & (t & y & X^{i}) = 2 & j + 1 \\ & & j & k & j + k \\ & & 1 & 2 & k & B_{t & 2j & k & 1_{t}y + y^{i} + k & 3 \\ & & j = 0 & k = 0 & \end{pmatrix}$$
(4.4.20)

Note:W hen $y = y^{i} = 1$ and j = t=2; k = 0 the coe cient B_{1; 1} is indeterm in the but setting B_{1; 1} = 1 gives the correct answer. This corresponds to the path which alternates between y = 0 and y = 1. Notice that replacing the factorials in the denition of B_{t,h} by G am m a functions gives B_{t,t} = 1 for all t> 1.

Proof. Rewrite G (z) in the form

G (z) =
$$\frac{1 z^2}{(z)(1 (1 + z)^2)(z=)^2}$$
;

expand in powers of 1 and 2 and use the CT formula (3.3.2) for Ballot numbers.

Equation (4.4.20) may also be proved by a combinatorial argument. To simplify the proof we only consider the ASEP case $y = y^i = 1$. The extension to generally, y^i is straightforward. Recall that, from the weight de nition, (2.2.13), the returns to y = 1 are weighted with $_1$ or $_2$ depending on whether the return is from below or from above y = 1. The binom ial coe cient corresponds to choosing a particular sequence of $_1$ and $_2$ weighted returns. For each particular sequence of returns we need to show there are $B_{t-2j-k-1;k-1}$ possible path con gurations.

We rst represent a particular sequence schem atically and then show any path corresponding to the schem atic can be bijected to a Ballot path with the correct height and length. Schem atically an example of a particular sequence of $_1$ and $_2$ returns is shown in gure 11.

We now perform three operations to biject a given sequence into a Ballot path.



Figure 11: Schematic representation of a one-up path corresponding to the return sequence $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

First delete all $_2$ return steps, see gure 12a). This produces a path of length t k and height k + 1.

Next, delete the rst up step above y = 1 (if any { which is the case if k > 0), see gure 12b). This produces a path of length t k 1 and height k.

Finally, delete all 2j steps originally below y = 1, see gure 12c). This produces a Ballot path of length t k 1 2j and height k 1 as required.

Given the sequence of $_1$ and $_2$ the reverse direction for the bijection is obtained by simply reversing the forward mapping { see gure 12.



Figure 12: Bijection of rst example sequence in gure 11 to a Ballot path: a) Delete all $_2$ return steps, then b) delete rst up step above y = 1, then c) delete all steps originally below y = 1, to give d) the nalBallot path.

Corollary 5.

$$Z_{t}^{a}(y\dot{y}^{i}; _{1}; _{2}) = \begin{pmatrix} t & y & \chi^{i} \\ & \chi^{y^{i}} = 2 + 1 & (t & y & \chi^{i}) = 2 & j + 1 \\ & & j & k & \\ & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & k & \\$$

Proof. Rewrite G (z) in the form

G (z) =
$$\frac{(1 z^2)z}{1 (1 + z z^2)z^2}$$
;

expand in powers of $_1$ and $_2$ and use the CT formula (3.3.2) for Ballot numbers.

As with corollary 4, the result, (4.4.21), may also be proved combinatorially as follows. Again, in order to simplify the proof we consider only the ASEP case $y = y^i = 1$. The substitution i = 1 + i means that a return which was weighted with i is now weighted with either i or 1. The factor

$$\begin{array}{c} j+k \\ k \\ k \end{array} B_{t+k+1;2j+3k+1} \tag{4.4.22}$$

is the number of paths with a subset of exactly j of the returns from below marked and exactly k of the returns from above marked corresponding to the choice of weight $_{1}$ or 1. The binom ial coe cient in (4.4.22) is the number of ways of choosing a particular sequence of $_{1}$ and $_{2}$ weighted marks (reading the path from left to right), whilst, for a given sequence, the Ballot number represents the number of paths corresponding to the sequence. The most general path



Figure 13: a) Schematic One Up path or \frying pan" with no steps marked. b) Schematic One Up path with only the last step $_1$ marked. c) Schematic One Up path with only the last step $_2$ marked. d) An example showing how the schematic frying pan represents a, possibly empty, One Up path. e) An example showing a frying pan followed by a down step and an up step (which form s a $_1$ marked return). f) An example showing a frying pan followed by an up step, then a Bubble then a naldown step (which form s a $_2$ marked return).

corresponding to a given sequence can be represented schematically by concatenating the corresponding schematic sub-paths shown in gure 13a) and 13b) with a nal/frying pan" shown in gure 13c). Examples of sub-paths corresponding to the three types of schematics are illustrated in gures 13d) { 13f). Note, the shaded regions of the schematics represent any number (possibly zero) of steps. Examples of two possible sequences are illustrated in gure 14.

Thus, for a given return sequence we need to show that there are $B_{t+k+1;2j+3k+1}$ return m arked paths. W ithout loss of generality we choose a typical sequence and represent it schem atically as shown in gure 14.



Figure 14: Schematic $_1$ { $_2$ marked hovering path. a) For the sequence $_2$ $_1$ $_1$ $_2$ and b) for the sequence $_1$ $_1$ $_2$ $_1$ $_1$.

Thus to prove the Ballot number factor in (4.4.22) we need to biject any schematic marked return sequence to a Ballot path of length t + k + 1 and height 2j + 3k + 1. We do this by bijecting each schematic in the sequence to a sub-Ballot path (plus, possibly, an extra step) and then concatenate them all together.

Thus, the last frying pan, of length say, $2r^0$, bijects to a height 1, length $2r^0 + 1$ Ballot path { see gure 15.



Figure 15: Bijection of a frying pan to a height one Ballot path. The nal path is one step longer than the original.

A marked 2 schematic of length $2r_1$ bijects to a height 2, Ballot path with an additional nalup step (see gure 16). The nallength is $2r_1 + 1$ as an extra step has to be added.



Figure 16: Bijection of a $_2$ schematic to a height two Ballot path, with a nalup step. The nalpath is one step longer than the original.

Finally, a marked $_1$ schematic of length $2r_2$ bijects to a height 1, Ballot path with an additional nalup step (see gure 17). The nallength is unchanged.



Figure 17: Bijection of a 1 schematic to a height one Ballot path, with a nalup step.

Putting these moves all together is illustrated in gure 18, which show clearly a Ballot path of length t + k + 1 and height 2j + 2k + k + 1 is obtained.

An explicit evaluation of the partition function of the canonical path representation, de ned by (2.2.16), is the case $y^i = y^f = 1$ of the following result.

Corollary 6. W ith $_1 =$ and $_2 = +$

$$Z_{t}^{a}(y^{i}jy^{f}; 1; 2) = B_{t m 1m + y^{i} + y^{f} 3} \xrightarrow{m+1 m+1} (4.4.23)$$

$$m = 0$$

$$= \begin{array}{cccc} & \frac{1}{2} (t \ y_{X}^{i} \ y^{f}) + 1 & & X^{n} \\ & & B_{t \ m \ 1, m + y^{i} + y^{f} \ 3} & & j \ m \ j \\ & & m = 0 & & j = 0 \end{array}$$
(4.4.24)

Notes:



Figure 18: Bijection between a One Up path with a given sequence of marked 1 and 2 steps and a Ballot path. a) The marked One Up path. b) A fler the application of move illustrated in gure 17. c) A fler the application of move illustrated in gure 16. e) Final Ballot path after rotating the remaining marks.

For the ASEP model ($y^{i} = y^{f} = 1; t = 2r$) with r 1, (4.4.24) reduces to the result of Derrida et al [4] equation (39)

$$Z_{2r}(1j; _{1}; _{2}) = \frac{X^{r}}{m=1} \frac{m (2r m 1)!}{r!(r m)!} \sum_{j=0}^{X^{n}} j m j = Z_{2r}^{(5)}$$
(4.4.25)

which they obtained directly from the algebra (1.1.3) ([4] appendix A1, equation (A12))

without the use of a matrix representation.

The result for the ASEP model may be written in terms of the return polynomial for Ballot paths, thus

$$Z_{2r}(1j; 1; 2) = \begin{cases} X^{r} & X^{j} \\ j & B_{2r} & j & 1j + 1 \\ j=0 & = 0 \\ X^{r} \\ = \\ R_{2r} & j & (j; 1) \end{cases} (4.4.26)$$

This form ula may also be derived using a path representation based on recurrence relations of Derrida, Dom any and Mukam el [2].

Proof. By de nition of and

1 $(_1 + _2)z^2$ $_2z^4 = [1 (1)z^2][1 (1)z^2] = z^2 (z) (z)$ (4.4.27)

and from (4.4.9)

G (z) =
$$\frac{(1 \ z^2)z}{(z)(z)} = \frac{(1 \ z^2)z^2}{1 \ z=} \frac{1}{1 \ z=} \frac{1}{1 \ z=} (4.4.28)$$

The result follows by expanding in powers of and , substituting in (4.4.15) and using the CT formula (3.3.2) for Ballot numbers.

In the ASEP case $y^i = y^f = 1$; t = 2r the coe cient B $_{2r m 1m 1}$ in (4.4.24) is equal to the number of Dyck paths with m returns to y = 0 (see (3.3.8)). The equality of Z_{2r} (1j]; 1; 2) with $Z_{2r}^{(5)}$, dened by (2.2.16), follows by raising the Dyck paths so that they become hovering paths ! 2 $P_{2r}^{(H)}$ with returns to y = 1. The factor j m j in (4.4.24) corresponds to weighting the rst j returns of ! with and the remainder with . The sum overs in (2.2.16) is obtained by partitioning the weighted paths according to the position (2s;1) of the jth return (ie. the separation vertex).

The equality of
$$Z_{2r}^{(3)}$$
 and $Z_{2r}^{(5)}$ is also shown directly in [10] by involution.

Corollary 7. Let = c + 1 and = d + 1 then $_1 = (c + 1)(d + 1)$, $_2 = 1$ cd and

$$Z_{t}^{a}(\underline{y}\underline{y}^{i}; 1; 2) = B_{t+1}\underline{y}\underline{y}^{i+2} = X^{m}$$

$$B_{t+1}\underline{y}\underline{y}\underline{y}^{i+2m} = 0$$

$$M^{m} =$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{(t-y} X^{y^{i}+2)=2} B_{t+1} Y^{y^{i}+2m} \frac{(c^{m+1} d^{m+1})}{c d}$$
(4.4.30)

in particular, in the ASEP case

$$Z_{2r}(1j;(c+1)(d+1);1 \quad cd) = Z_{2r}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} X^{r} & X^{n} \\ B_{2r+1;2m+1} & c^{j}d^{m-j} \\ m=0 & j=0 \end{cases}$$
(4.4.31)

Note: Equation (4.4.31) is not given in [4] but (34) and (35) of [4] together give the related form ula

$$\langle W j C^{r} j V \rangle = (1 \ cd)$$

 $_{i=1}^{M} x^{i} 2r 2r c^{i-1} d^{j-1}$ (4.4.32)
 $_{i=1}^{i=1} r+i j r+i+j$

This expression involves an in nite series whereas our expression is nite. By corollary 3 the coe cient in (4.4.32) is the number of C ross paths of length 2r with $h_1 = 2i$ 1 and $h_2 = 2j$ 1 and the double sum extends over $P_{2r}^{(C)}$. The factor 1 or restricts the sum to Anchored C ross paths. The equivalence of (4.4.32) and (4.4.31) is shown in [10] by constructing an involution on $P_{2r}^{(C)}$ having $P_{2r}^{(aC)}$ as is its xed point set.

Proof. From (4.4.27)

1
$$(_1 + _2)z^2$$
 $_2z^4 = (1 cz^2)(1 dz^2)$

and substitution in (4.4.9) gives

G (z) =
$$\frac{(1 z^2)z}{(1 cz^2)(1 dz^2)}$$
 (4.4.33)

The result follows from (4.4.15) using the expansion

$$\frac{1}{(1 \text{ cz}^2)(1 \text{ dz}^2)} = \frac{1}{c \text{ d}} \frac{c}{1 \text{ cz}^2} \frac{d}{1 \text{ dz}^2} ; \qquad (4.4.34)$$

expanding in powers of z and using the CT form ula (3.3.2) for Ballot numbers.

A gain a combinatorial proof is possible which for simplicity we only give in the ASEP case. The equality with $Z_{2r}^{(2)}$ follows from corollary 1. To obtain the Ballot number form ula we obtain a bijection between $S_{s=0}^{r} P_{2r;2s}^{(mH)}$ and the set of Ballot paths of length 2r+1 and height h = 2m + 1.

An example of the schematic representation of a path $!_s 2 P_{2r;2s}^{(mH)}$ with a given number of c and d marked return steps and a separating vertex v_{2s} is shown in gure 19. As there are no steps below y = 1 we have pushed the hovering path down by unit height and consider it as a Dyck path.

As in the proof of lemma 3, inserting an up step in each path at the position of v_{2s} and taking the union over all possible positions of v_{2s} starting at the last c return and up to but



Figure 19: An example of a schematic representation of a Dyck path with a given number of c and d marked returns and a marked separating vertex v_{2s} .

not including the rst d return (or from the beginning if there are no c returns and to the end if there are no d returns) replaces the pair of Bubbles on either side of the separating vertex by the set Ballot paths of height one and one step longer, see gure 20a,b). Replacing each m arked return step with an up step (and hence increasing the height of the path by 2 each time) then produces a Ballot path of length 2r + 1 and height 2m + 1 as required, see gure 20c).



Figure 20: a) Taking the union over positions of the marked separating vertex produces a schematic height one Ballot path as in b), replacing each marked c and d return by an up step produces a Ballot path of length 2r + 1 and height 2m + 1 as in c).

Corollary 8.

$$Z_{2r}(1jl; 1; 2) = \frac{1}{2} (1 \text{ cd}) C T \frac{2r (z^2 z^2)^2}{((1+c)^2 c^2) ((1+d)^2 d^2)}$$

N ote: This converts to the integral form ula of D errida et al [4] (B 10) with $z^2 = e^i$ and and using a contour integral to pick out the constant term. It is related to the ! expansion (see later). (B 10) was obtained by noting the eigenvectors of C₂ and is therefore an evaluation of Z⁽²⁾.

Proof. With w z^2 in (4.4.33) and using (4.4.16)

$$Z_{2r}(1jl; 1; 2) = CT \frac{2r(1 w^2)}{(1 cw)(1 dw)}$$

Now symmetrize the denominator by multiplying numerator and denominator by (1 ov)(1 dw).

The result follows using

$$(1 w^2)(1 cw)(1 dw) = 1 cd w^2 + cdw^2 + (c+d)(w w)$$

Because the rest of the expression is now symmetric the contribution from the last term vanishes by replacing w by w and both w^2 and w^2 can be replaced by $(w^2 + w^2)=2$.

5 The $\!$ "expansion and phase diagram of the ASEP model.

W ith $!_c = c = (1 + c)^2$ corollary 8 m ay be written in the form

$$Z_{2r}(1_{j}; 1; 2) = \frac{!_{c} !_{d}}{c d} CT \frac{2^{r+2}(1 z^{2})}{(1 !_{c} 2)(1 !_{d} 2)} :$$
(5.5.1)

which may be expanded to give

$$Z_{2r}(ljl; _{1}; _{2}) = \frac{!_{c}Z_{2r+2}(!_{c}) \quad !_{d}Z_{2r+2}(!_{d})}{c \quad d}$$
(5.5.2)

where

$$Z_{2r}(!) = CT \frac{2r(1 z^2)}{1 ! z^2}$$
 : (5.5.3)

The asymptotic form of Z_{2r} (!) as r! 1 was obtained in [6] and will now be used to study the phase diagram for the ASEP model. First we outline the method by which the asymptotic form was obtained. Notice that expanding the factor $(1 \ ! \ ^2)^{-1}$ in (5.5.3) in powers of ! gives an in nite series which is only valid for c -1 which is the point at which ! as a function of c passes through its maximum value $\frac{1}{4}$. Instead we use (5.5.3) to obtain a recurrence relation. Thus noting that

$$\frac{! \quad 2^{r}}{1 \quad ! \quad 2} = \qquad 2^{r} \quad 2 + \frac{2^{r} \quad 2}{1 \quad ! \quad 2}$$

and substituting in (5.5.3) gives

$$! Z_{2r}(!) = C_{r 1} + Z_{2r 2}(!)$$
: (5.5.4)

where C_r is the Catalan number, (3.3.4). Solving (5.5.4) with $Z_2 = (1 + c)^2$ gives

$$Z_{2r}(!_{c}) = !_{c}^{r}(1 + c \qquad \begin{array}{c} X^{1} \\ C_{j}!_{c}^{j} \\ \end{array}) \qquad (5.5.5)$$

which on substituting for $!_c$ in terms of c must give a polynom ial in c.

For the ASEP model $!_{c} = (1)$ and

$$X^{i}_{j=0} C_{j}!_{c}^{j} = \frac{1}{2!_{c}} \frac{p_{1}}{2!_{c}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{j}{2} \frac{j}{2} \frac{j}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{j}{2} \frac{2}{1} \frac{j}{2} \frac{j}$$

SO

where (:) is the unit step function. This is [6] equation (3.61).

The asymptotic form for r! 1 was obtained using

$$C_r = \frac{4^r}{r^{3=2}}$$

and approximating the sum by an integral with the result

$$Z_{2r}(!_{c}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} 8 \\ \frac{1}{2} \\$$

and hence

$$f_{<}() = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{r_{c}^{r+1}}} < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$f_{=} = \frac{p^{2}}{r_{c}^{\frac{4^{r}}{r}}} = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$f_{>}() = 4! c^{\frac{4^{r}}{p-\frac{3}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}}} \log(\frac{1}{4!c}) > \frac{1}{2}$$
(5.5.9)

As $! \frac{1}{2}$, $\log(\frac{1}{4!c}) = \frac{(2-1)^2}{4^2}$ and these results agree with [4] equations (48)-(50). In the phase diagram there are therefore

three special regions $R_1 = f > \frac{1}{2}$; $> \frac{1}{2}g$, $R_2 = f >$; $< \frac{1}{2}g$, $R_3 = f <$; $< \frac{1}{2}g$ The partition function R_3 is obtained from that in R_2 by interchanging and .

three special lines $L_1 = f = \langle \frac{1}{2}g, L_2 = f = \frac{1}{2}; \rangle \frac{1}{2}g, L_3 = f = \frac{1}{2}; \rangle \frac{1}{2}g$ The partition function L_3 is obtained from that in L_2 by interchanging and .

a special point where the lines meet P = f = $\frac{1}{2}$; = $\frac{1}{2}$ g



Figure 21: The various regions of the ASEP phase diagram .

Table 1 shows the asymptotic form of Z_{2r} (1j1; 1; 2) for the above cases

6 R ecurrence relations for the partition function and correlation functions.

R ₃ : <u>f< ()</u>	L ₃ : <u>f</u> =	$R_1: \frac{f_{>}() f_{>}()}{f_{>}()}$
	P :4 ^r	$L_2 : \frac{f_{=}}{2}$
L ₁ : ${}^{2}f_{<}^{0}()$ $\frac{r(1 2)^{2}}{!c^{r+2}}$		R ₂ : ^{f< ()}

Table 1: A symptotic form s of Z_{2r} (1j1; 1; 2) in the regions de ned in the phase diagram in gure 21.

6.1 Recurrence relations for the partition function.

The various formulae for G (z) when substituted in (4.4.16) yield recurrence relations for $Z_t(yjl; 1; 2)$. For example, using the identity

$$\frac{1}{(1 + \frac{1}{c})^{2}(1 + \frac{1}{d})^{2}} = 1 + \frac{(\frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d})^{2}}{(1 + \frac{1}{c})^{2}(1 + \frac{1}{d})^{2}};$$

substitution in (5.5.1) and using the CT formula (3.3.4) for Catalan numbers leads to, for r = 0;1;:::

$$Z_{2r}(lj; 1; 2) = \frac{!_{c} !_{d}}{c d} C_{r+1} + (!_{c} + !_{d}) Z_{2r+2}(lj; 1; 2) !_{c}!_{d} Z_{2r+4}(lj; 1; 2): (6.6.1)$$

Substitution in term s of $_1$ and $_2$ yields, for r = 2;3; :::

$$(2 1)Z_{2r}(11; 1; 2) = (2(1+2) 2 1)Z_{2r}(11; 1; 2) + {}^{2}_{1}Z_{2r}(11; 1; 2) + {}^{2}_{1}Z_{2r}(11; 1; 2) 2C_{r} 1$$

$$(6.62)$$

which may be initialised by $Z_0(1jl; 1; 2) = 1$ and $Z_2(1jl; 1; 2) = 1 + 2$.

The following identity

$$\frac{1}{(1 \quad z=)(1 \quad z=)} = 1 + \frac{(+)z=}{(1 \quad z=)(1 \quad z=)}$$

when substituted in (4.4.28) gives, using the CT formula (3.3.2) for Ballot numbers, for y = 1;2;::: and odd t+ y = 3

$$Z_{t}(y_{1};_{1};_{2}) = B_{t_{1}y_{2}} + (+)Z_{t_{1}}(y + 1_{1};_{1};_{2}) \qquad Z_{t_{2}}(y + 2_{1};_{1};_{2}) \qquad (6.6.3)$$

which relates partition functions on lines of constant t + y. The partition function for y = 1 is determined by (6.6.1) and the following proposition then the determines $Z_t(2j; _1; _2)$ which provides the initial condition for (6.6.3).

P roposition 3. For r = 1;2; :::

$$Z_{2r 1}(2j; 1; 2) = Z_{2r}(1j; 1; 2) = Z_{2r}(1j; 2)$$

Proof. From corollary 6, for r = 1;2;:::

$$Z_{2r}^{a}(1]; 1; 2) = \begin{cases} X^{r} & X^{n} & X^{r} \\ B_{2r m 1,m 1} & i^{m} i + & B_{2r m 1,m 1} \\ m = 1 & i = 1 & m = 1 \\ \\ & X^{1} & X^{n} \\ & B_{2r m 2,m} & i^{m} i + Z_{2r}(1];0;) \\ & m = 0 & i = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the result follows from corollary 6 with t = 2r 1; y = 2.

Finally, substituting the identity

$$\frac{1}{(1 \ cz^2)(1 \ dz^2)} = 1 + \frac{(c+d)z^2 \ cdz^4}{(1 \ cz^2)(1 \ dz^2)}$$
(6.6.4)

in (4.4.33) and using (4.4.16) leads to the recurrence, for t = 0;1;2;..., and odd t + y = 1

$$Z_{t}(y_{1}; _{1}; _{2}) = B_{t+1,y} + (c+d)Z_{t}(y+2_{1}; _{1}; _{2}) \quad cdZ_{t}(y+4_{1}; _{1}; _{2}):$$
(6.6.5)

which relates partition functions along lines of constant t and m ay be initialised using the above relations to nd the partition functions for y = 1;2;3 and 4.

6.2 Recurrence relations for the correlation functions of the ASEP model. The probability of nding particles at positions $i_1; i_2; \dots; i_n$ is, using (1.1.1),

$$Pr(_{i_{1}} = 1; _{i_{2}} = 1; ...; _{i_{n}} = 1) = \frac{G_{n}(i_{1}; i_{2}; ...; i_{n}; r)}{Z_{2r}(1; 1; ; ^{2})}$$
(6.6.6)

where the un-norm alised n-point correlation function G_n $(i_1; i_2; :::; i_n; r)$ is given by

$$G_{n}(i_{1};i_{2};\ldots;i_{n};r) = \langle W | jC^{i_{1}} D C^{i_{2}} i_{1} D C^{i_{2}} \cdots D^{i_{n}} jV \rangle : \qquad (6.6.7)$$

where C = D E. This expression m ay be thought of as replacing C by D in < W $jC^r jV$ > at each of the positions $i_k; k = 1; ...; n$ which is equivalent to replacing the E_j m atrix in a $C_j = D_j E_j$

product by a unit matrix. Thus in the path representations $G_n(i_1; i_2; \dots; i_n; r)$ is obtained by modifying the allowed step de nition such that for $k = 1; \dots; r$, the step $s_k = e_{2i_k}$ (beginning at $x = 2i_k$ 1 and ending at $x = 2i_k$) is always an up step and has weight 1. We will say that s_k is a forced up step. This is illustrated in gure 22.



Figure 22: The n-point correlation path interpretation (n = 4 is shown above): each step starting at $x = 2i_k$ 1, k = 1; ...; n m ust be an up step with weight one.

6.2.1 The case = = 1

In the case = = 1, or $_1 = _2 = 1$, it is shown in [4] that

$$Z_{2r}(1_{j};1;1) < W \ t^{r} y > j_{=} = 1 = C_{r+1};$$
 (6.6.8)

a Catalan number. Thism ay also be seen in terms of the third path representation (corresponding to the third matrix representation of section 2). $\langle W_3 j (D_3 E_3)^r j V_3 \rangle j_{==1}$ is just the total number of 0 ne Up paths of length 2r and these biject to Dyck paths of length 2r + 2 by adding an up step at the beginning and a down step at the end of each path (the dotted steps in gure 22). The result follows since number of Dyck paths of length 2p is well known to be the Catalan number C_p . The result also follows from (4.4.29) by setting c = d = 0 and noting that $B_{2r+1;1} = C_{r+1}$ which is the m = 0 term.

It is also shown in [4], equation (88) that

$$G_{n}(i_{1};i_{2};\ldots;i_{n};r) = \int_{p_{n}=0}^{t_{X}i_{n}} C_{p_{n}}G_{n-1}(i_{1};i_{2};\ldots;i_{n-1};r-p_{n}-1)$$
(6.6.9)

This may be derived combinatorially as follows. Again we use the third path representation and to avoid special cases we imagine that the paths are extended to y = 0 by a further down step. For each path which contributes to $G_n(i_1; i_2; :::; i_n; r)$ we determ ine a subpath $!_n$ which starts with the last forced up step, s_n , and ends when the path returns to the same height for the rst

time. This subpath contains a Bubble which we suppose has length $2p_n$ so that the subpath has length $2p_n + 2$ { see gure 23a. Immediate return corresponds to $p_n = 0$ and the maximum value of p_n is determined by the condition, $(2i_n \quad 1) + (2p_n + 2) = 2r + 1$, that there are no further steps beyond $!_n$.



Figure 23: a) Schematic path picture for the correlation functions for = = 1, showing the bubble following the last forced up step. b) Removing the bubble leaves a shorter Dyck path

We can now de nea new path obtained by deleting $!_n$ and joining the two (possibly empty) resulting sub-paths which remain. This path contributes to G_{n-1} (i_1 ; i_2 ;:::; i_{n-1} ; $r = p_n = 1$). The result follows by partitioning the paths contributing to G_n according to the value of p_n . For a given value of p_n the number of congurations is therefore the product G_{n-1} and the number of congurations of $!_n$ which is equal to the number, C_{p_n} , of Dyck paths of length $2p_n$.

For k = 1;2;..., let

$$q_k = n \quad k + \sum_{j=k}^{X^n} p_j$$
 (6.6.10)

then as pointed out in [4], equation (6.6.9) may be iterated or, combinatorially, n Bubbles may be removed, to give the explicit form ula

$$G_{n}(i_{1};i_{2};\ldots;i_{n};r) = \begin{pmatrix} X & X \\ & C_{p_{1}}C_{p_{2}}:\ldots C_{p_{n}}C_{r} & G_{p_{1}} \\ & & C_{p_{1}}C_{p_{2}}:\ldots C_{p_{n}}C_{r} & G_{p_{1}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(6.6.11)

where the upper lim its are $p_k = r \quad i_k \quad q_{k+1}$ with $q_{n+1} = 0$.

This form ula was previously conjectured by D errida and E vans $[\beta]$ on the basis of computer calculations up to r = 10.

6.2.2 General and .

Equation (45) of [4] is the case n = 2 of the following proposition which we now prove using a lattice path representation. An algebraic proof was given in [4].

Proposition 4. For $1 i_1 < i_2 < i_1 < i_2 < i_1 < 1$

$$G_{n}(i_{1};i_{2};...;i_{n};r) = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} r X^{i_{n} & 1} \\ C_{p}G_{n & 1}(i_{1};i_{2};...;i_{n & 1};r & p & 1) \\ p=0 \\ + G_{n & 1}(i_{1};i_{2};...;i_{n & 1};i_{n & 1}) \\ B_{2r & 2i_{n} & p;p & 2 \end{array}} {\begin{array}{*{20}c} r X^{i_{n}+1} \\ B_{2r & 2i_{n} & p;p & 2 \end{array}} (6.6.12) \\ p=2 \end{array}}$$

Notes:

W hen = 1 this reduces to (6.6.9) since using

the second sum of (6.6.12) is just the missing term $p = r = i_n$ of the rst sum.

In constructing a proof it was found that the nst representation in terms of Jum p-Paths was simpler to use than the third which we used in the special case of the previous section. It was explained after de nition 6, that Jum p-Step paths (representing Z_{2r}) never intersect y = 0. However this is not the case in calculating $G_n(i_1; i_2; \dots; i_n; r)$ since the paths are modiled by the forced up steps. This allows y = 0 to be visited and then a forced up step returns the path to y = 1. Notice that the down step leading to y = 0 has a weight .

Proof: Partition the modi ed Jump-Step con gurations according as the last forced up step, s_n , which starts at height y = 2k; k 1 (case A) or y = 0 (case B) { see gure 24.

C ase A : A subpath $!_n$ m ay be identified in exactly the same way as in the case = = 1but in this case the Bubble of length 2p obtained by deleting the first and last steps of $!_n$ is not an elevated D yck path since it m ay contain jump steps. However the number of con gurations of $!_n$ is still equal to C_p (see below) for any value of k and since $!_n$ avoids y = 1 its steps are unweighted. Thus on partitioning the paths according to the length $(2p+2;p=0;1;:::r i_n 1)$ of $!_n$ a factor C_p m ay be removed from the sum over paths having the same value of p. W hen $!_n$ is deleted the remaining steps form a weighted path of length 2r 2p 2 which has only n 1 forced up steps. Summing over con gurations of this path for given k and then summing



Figure 24: a) Case A: Last forced up step starts at y = 2k;k 1. b) Case B: Last forced up step starts at y = 0. Note, the \bubbles" represent Jum p Step subpaths.

over k 1 gives $G_{n-1}(i_1;i_2;:::;i_{n-1};r-p-1)$. The rst term of the proposition formula is thus derived provided that we can show that the number of congurations of $!_n$ is C_p .

Now the paths $!_n$ of length 2p + 2 biject to $P_{2p;1}^{(J)}$ by vertical translation through distance 2k and from (2.2.10) and (2.2.13)

$$\mathfrak{P}_{2p;1}^{(J)} \mathfrak{j} = Z_{2p}^{(1)} \mathfrak{j}_{=0; = 1} = Z_{2p}^{(3)} \mathfrak{j}_{1=0; _{2}=1} = C_{p} :$$
 (6.6.13)

The last equality follows since when $_1 = 0$ the One Up paths which visit y = 0 have zero weight and the remaining paths, which have weight 1 when $_2 = 1$, biject to Dyck paths by vertical translation through unit distance. This result is also proved combinatorially in [10].

Case B: The weighted sum over paths may be factorised into three parts.

- (i) A factor which arises from the subpath consisting of the rst $2i_n = 2$ steps. The subpath ends at y = 1 and has only n = 1 forced up steps, therefore the sum over these subpaths yields $G_{n-1}(i_1;i_2;:::;i_{n-1};i_n = 1)$.
- (ii) A factor which arises from the next two steps which visit y = 0 and return to y = 1. The second of these is the last forced up step s_n having weight 1.

(iii) A factor arising from the subpath consisting of the remaining $2r = 2i_n$ steps which is a jump step path beginning and ending at y = 1, avoiding y = 0. The weighted sum over these paths is obtained by setting z = 0 in the normalising factor for paths of length $2r = 2i_n$, thus using corollary 6

$$Z_{2r \ 2i_n} j_{=0} = B_{2r \ 2i_n \ m \ 1} m \ 1; m \ 1$$

The product of these three factors yields the second term of the form ula.

7 Conclusion

We have shown that the norm alisation of the ASEP can be interpreted as various lattice path problem s. The lattice path problem s can then be solved using the constant term method (CTM). The combinatorial nature of the CTM enables us to interpret the coe cients of the norm alisation polynom ials as various un-weighted lattice path problem s { usually as Ballot paths. One particular form has a natural interpretation as an equilibrium polymer chain adsorption model. The \! " form of the norm alisation is particularly suited to noting the asymptotic expansion of norm alisation and hence the phase diagram. We also form ulate a combinatorial interpretation of the correlation functions.

The lattice path interpretations enable us to make connections with many other models. In particular, because of the strong combinatorial nature of the CTM we are able to ind a new \canonical" lattice path representation. In a further paper [11] we show that this representation leads to an understanding of a non-equilibrium model (the ASEP model) in terms of a related equilibrium polymer model. A loo, having extended the polymer chain model so that the endpoints have arbitrary displacements from the surface the method of G essel and V iennot [13], [14] (see also [15]) may be used to express the partition function of a network of non-intersecting paths as a determinant. In particular, the case of two paths gives the partition function for a vesicle model with a two parameter interaction with a surface. A bijection between these vesicles and com pact percolation clusters [12] then enables an analysis of the properties of the clusters attached to dam p wall to be made. These applications will also be the subject of a subsequent publication.

It is also of combinatorial interest to understand how the various path problem s m ight be related. C learly they are related algebraically as they are all just representations of the same

algebra (and thus related by di erent sim ilarity transform ations). In a subsequent paper, [10], we show combinatorially (using bijections and involutions) how the various path representations are combinatorially equivalent.

8 A cknow ledgem ents

Financial support from the Australian Research Council is gratefully acknowledged. JW E is also grateful for the kind hospitality provided by the University of Melbourne and RB is also grateful for the kind hospitality provided by Royal Holloway College, London University. We would like to thank Andrew Rechnitzer and Aleks Owczarek for reading the manuscript and providing useful comments.

APPEND IX

A Specim en partition functions.

$$\begin{aligned} & 2_{0}(1); 1; 2 = 1 & (A A 1) \\ & 2_{2}(1); 1; 2 = 1 + 2 & (A A 2) \\ & Z_{4}(1); 1; 2 = 1^{2} + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2^{2} & (A A 3) \\ & Z_{6}(1); 1; 2 = 1^{3} + 2 + 2 + 2 + 2^{3} + 2^{2} + 2^{2} + 3 + 2^{2} + 2^{3} & (A A 4) \\ & Z_{8}(1); 1; 2 = 1^{4} + 5 + 4 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 1^{2} + 2 & (A A 5) \\ & Z_{8}(1); 1; 2 = 1^{4} + 5 + 2 + 4 + 2^{2} + 2^{2} + 6 + 1^{2} + 2^{2} + 3 + 2^{3} + 4 + 1 + 2^{3} + 2^{4} & (A A 5) \\ & Z_{0}(3); 1; 2 = 1 & (A A 6) \\ & Z_{2}(3); 1; 2 = 1 & (A A 7) \\ & Z_{4}(3); 1; 2 = 2^{4} + 1 + 2 & (A A 5) \\ & Z_{6}(3); 1; 2 = 1^{4} + 5 + 2 + 2^{2} + 1^{3} + 9 + 2^{4} + 6 + 1 + 2^{2} + 2^{2} & (A A 9) \\ & Z_{8}(3); 1; 2 = 1^{4} + 5 + 2 + 2^{2} + 1^{3} + 9 + 2^{4} + 6 + 1 + 2^{4} + 2^{2} & (A A 9) \\ & Z_{8}(3); 1; 2 = 2^{2} + 2^{3} & (A A 10) \\ & Z_{1}(1); 1; 2 = 2^{2} + 2^{2} + 2^{3} & (A A 11) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Delta_{t}(\mathbf{L})_{i}(\mathbf{1}) = 2\Delta_{t}(\mathbf{L})_{i}(\mathbf{1}) = 2\Delta_{t}(\mathbf{L})_{i}(\mathbf{1}) = 2\Delta_{t}(\mathbf{L})_{i}(\mathbf{L$$

- $Z_{0}(3\beta; _{1}; _{2}) = 1$ (A A .12)
- $Z_2(3\beta; 1; 2) = 2$ (A A 13)
- $Z_4(3\beta; 1; 2) = 5 + 2$ (A A .14)
- $Z_{6}(3\beta; _{1}; _{2}) = 14 + 4 _{2} + _{1} _{2} + _{2}^{2}$ (A A 15)
- $Z_{8}(3\beta; 1; 2) = 42 + 14 2 + 4 1 2 + 1^{2} 2 + 5 2^{2} + 2 1 2^{2} + 2^{3}$ (A A 16)

B Constructive proof of proposition 2.

The form ula

$$Z_{t}(Y\dot{Y}^{i}; _{1}; _{2}) = CT[^{t}z^{y}(z^{y^{i}} + U(z)z^{y^{i}})]$$

clearly satis es the general equation (4.4.7) and the initial condition (4.4.4) provided that

$$C T [z^{Y^+ Y^\perp} U (z)] = 0$$
: (B B 1)

In order to satisfy the boundary condition (4.4.11)

$$CT[t^{2}(z^{y^{i}} + U(z)z^{y^{i}})] = {}_{1}CT[t^{2}(z^{y^{i}} + U(z)z^{y^{i}})] + {}_{2}CT[t^{2}(z^{y^{i}} + U(z)z^{y^{i}})]$$

or

$$CT[t^{2}z^{y^{i}}] (t^{2} - t^{2}z^{y^{i}}] = CT[t^{2}V(z)z^{y^{i}}]$$

where

V (z) =
$$(1 (_1 + _2)z^2 _2z^4)U(z)$$
:

Replacing z by z everywhere under the CT operation leaves the value unchanged so

V (z) =
$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} = z^2 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = z^2 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = z^2 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} = z^4$$

and (B B 1) is satisfied provided that $y + y^i > 2$ or $y^i > 1$ since y = 1. Hence in this case $y^i > 1$

U (z) =
$$z^2$$
 (1+ $_2$ G (z)):

W hen $y^i = 1$ the boundary condition is satis ed if

$$CT[t^{2}(2^{2} - 1) + 2t^{2})] = CT[t^{2}(z^{2} - 2t + z^{2}) + 2t^{2}] = CT[t^{2}V(z)]$$

and replacing z^2 by z^2 in the second expression gives

$$V(z) = 1 + 2 + 2z^2 z^2$$

which satis es (B.B.1) and hence

$$U(z) = z^2 (1 + G(z)):$$

References

- [1] B.Derrida, Physics Reports 301 65-83 (1998)
- [2] B.Derrida, E.Dom any and D.M ukam el, J.Stat. Phys. 69 667-87 (1992)
- [3] B.Derrida and M.R.Evans, J.Phys.IFrance 3 311-12 (1993)

- [4] B.Derrida, M.R.Evans, V.Hakim and V.Pasquier, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen. 26 1493-517 (1993)
- [5] Derrida B, Enaud C and Lebow itz J L 2003 \The asym m etric Exclusion P rocess and B row nian Excursions" cond-m at/0306078
- [6] R.Brak, JW Essam and ALOwczarek, J.Stat. Phys. 93 155-92 (1998)
- [7] R.Brak and JW Essam, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen. 34 10763-82 (2001)
- [8] D. Andre, \Solution direct du problem e resolu par M. Bertrand", C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 105 436-437 (1887)
- [9] R.Brak and J.W. Essam, J.Phys.A., 34, 10763-10782, (2001).
- [10] R.Brak and JW Essam, \The combinatorics of sim ilarity transform ations in the ASEP model".Preprint (2003)
- [11] R.Brak J.de Gier and V.Rittenberg, \Equilibrium formulation of the Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process". Preprint (2003)
- [12] E.Dom any and W.Kinzel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53 311-4 (1984)
- [13] I.M. Gessel and X. Viennot, \Determinants, Paths and Plane Partitions, 1989, preprint.
- [14] I.M. Gessel and X.Viennot, Adv.Math. 58, 300-21 (1985)
- [15] S.Karlin and J.M cG regor, Paci c Journal of M athem atics 9, 1141-64 (1959).