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#### Abstract

A tw o-body problem on the square lattice is analyzed. T he interaction potential consists of strong on-site repulsion and nearest-neighbor attraction. T he exact pairing conditions are derived for $s$-, $\mathrm{p}-$, and d -sym m etric bound states. The pairing conditions are strong functions of the total pair m om entum K . It is found that the stability of pairs increases with K.Atweak attraction, the pairs do not form at the -point but stabilize at lattice $m$ om enta close to the $B$ rillou in zone boundary. The phase boundaries in the $m$ om entum space, which separate stable and unstable pairs are calculated. It is found that the pairs are form ed easier along the ( $; 0$ ) direction than along the ( ; ) direction. $T$ his $m$ ight lead to the appearance of $\backslash$ hot pairing spots" on the $K_{x}$ and $K_{y}$ axes.


PACS num bers: 03.65.G e, 71.10 Li

## I. IN TRODUCTION

The short coherence length observed in high-tem perature superconductors has stim ulated theoretical research on real-space pairing on the lattice. Strong electronphonon and electron-electron interactions coupled w ith week screening and reduced dim ensionality lead to for$m$ ation ofpreform ed bound pairs that B ose-condense and form a super uid state ${ }^{11,1 / 2}$. The two interacting particles on a lattice constitute a class of exactly solvable quantum mechanicalproblem s. A num ber of physical characteristics can be obtained in closed analytical form $s$ : the pairing threshold, binding energy, wave function, e ective radius, and others. It is essential that the reduction of the tw o-body Schrodinger equation to a one-body Schrodinger equation occurs di erently than in a continuous space. T he underly ing lattice introduces a preferred reference fram $e$, which results in non-trivialdependencies of the physicalquantities on the total lattice $m$ om entum of the pair K .

In the present work we show that bound states on a lattice becom e m ore stable at large K. This property is opposite to the C ooper pairs in a BCS superconductor. $T$ he e ect is best seen through the $K$-dependence of the threshold value of the attractive potential. In two dim ensions, the threshold is alw ays zero if the potential is purely attractive. Therefore, it is essential to consider m ixed repulsive-attractive potentials. (Such potentials are also m ore realistic.) A natural choige is the Hub bard on-site repulsion $U$ com bined $w$ th an attraction $V$ acting on one or $m$ ore layers of nearest neighbors. The latter m im ics the overscreened C oulom b interaction resulted from electron-phonon interactionsw ith distant lattice ions $3^{3}{ }^{14}$.
$G$ eneral properties of the tw o-body states on a lattioe were reviewed by $M$ attis $5^{5^{5}}$. Lin gave the rst solution for the s-sym $m$ etric singlet ground state in the $U \quad V$ m odela. In fact, that solution was presented as the low density lim it of the $t \mathrm{~J} m$ odel. Petukhov, G alan, and Verges extended the solution to the $p$-sym $m$ etric triplet and $d$-sym $m$ etric singlet pairs, again in the fram ew ork of the $t$ J model. K omilovitch found pairing thresh-
olds for a fam ily of $U \quad V$ models, in which, attraction extended beyond the rst nearest neighbonsis. Basu, G ooding, and Leung studied pairing in a $U \quad V \mathrm{~m}$ odel w th anisotropic hopping ${ }^{\text {212 }}$. T w o-body problem swere also solved forf severalm odels w ith m ulti-band single-particle spectra $10,111_{1}^{122}$.

P revious studies w erem ostly con ned to the -point of the pair B rillouin zone. (Reference $\left[\overline{7}_{1}\right.$ ] does contain som e results for the diagonaland the boundary of the $B$ rillouin zone.) In this work we focus on the $K$-dependence of the pairs properties. $W$ e form ulate and solve the pair stability problem in its general form. W e de ne the pairing surface inside the $B$ rillouin zone, which separates the regions of pair stability from the regions of pair instability. W e also show that, in general, the shape of the pairing surface is di erent from the shape of the single-particle Ferm isurface. The di erence leads to the appearance of pairing \hot spots", which are regions in the B rillouin zone where real space pairing takes place w hile being energetically unfavorable elsew here.
II. THETWOPARTICLE SCHRODINGER EQUATION

In this paper we consider the sim plest $U \quad V$ modelon the square lattioe, the one that includes attraction betw een the rst nearest neighbors only. Form ore com plex attractive potentials, see Ref. [8]. The $m$ odel H am iltonian reads

$$
H=t_{n m}^{X} c_{m}^{y} c_{n}+\underbrace{X}_{n} n_{n} n n_{n \#} V_{n m}^{X} n_{m} n_{n}:(1)
$$

Here $m=n+1$ denotes a lattice site that is a nearest neighbor to $n, n_{n}=c_{n}^{y} c_{n}$, and $n_{n}=n_{n} n+n_{n \#}$. The rest of notation is standard. W e allow one spinup ferm ion and one spin-down ferm ion in the system. It is convenient to not to $x$ the perm utation sym $m e-$ try of the coordinate $w$ ave function from outset. In this way, the singlet and triplet solutions will be obtained sim ultaneously. T he system is fully described by the tight-binding am plitude $\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)$ or, equivalently, by its

Fourier transform $\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right)$ ．Fourier transform ation of the Schrodinger equation w ith H am iltonian（II）yields an equation for ：

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{E} & "\left(k_{1}\right) & \left."\left(k_{2}\right)\right] & \left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right)=U^{X} & \left(q ; k_{1}+k_{2}\right.
\end{array} \quad q\right)
$$

where $l=(1 ; 0) ;(0 ; 1)$ are the four nearest－neighbor vectors of the square lattice，$E$ is them comined en－ ergy of the particles，and $"(k)=t_{1} \exp (i k l)=$
$2 t\left(\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{x}}+\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$ is the free single－particle spectrum． The integral equation（2）is solved by introducing ve functions（ $K$ ）that depend only on the total lattice mo $m$ entum $K=k_{1}+k_{2}$ ：


The wave function is then expressed as follow s：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right)=\frac{U \quad(0 ; 0)(\mathbb{K}) \quad V^{P} \quad{ }_{1}(K) e^{i k_{1} 1}}{E \quad\left(k_{1}\right) \quad "\left(k_{2}\right)}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this solution back into the de nitions（ $\overline{\mathbf{\beta}}$ ， and（ $(\overline{4})$ ）one obtains a system of linear equations for $(0 ; 0)$ ，$(+1 ; 0)$ ，$(1 ; 0),(0 ;+1)$ ，and $(0 ; 1)$ ．The de－ term inant of that system de nes energy $E$ as a function of K ：

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
L_{0} \quad \frac{1}{U} & L_{q_{x}} & L_{q_{x}} & L_{q_{y}} & L_{q_{y}}  \tag{6}\\
L_{q_{x}} & L_{0}+\frac{1}{V} & L_{2 q_{x}} & L_{q_{x}} q_{y} & L_{q_{x}}+q_{y} \\
L_{q_{x}} & L q_{x} & L_{0}+\frac{1}{V} & L_{q_{x}} q_{y} & L_{q_{x}}+q_{y}
\end{array}=0 ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{E} ; \mathrm{K}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$



The eigenvector of the above system，upon substitution in Eq．（S⿹丁口－），de nes the pair wave function up to a nom al－ ization constant．

T he derived form ulae illustrate the general structure of two－body lattioe solutions．The spectrum equation is a determ inant，w ith the dim ension equal to the to－ tal num ber of sites $w$ th in the interaction range．In the present $m$ odel this num ber is ve，one for the $H$ ubbard term and four for the nearest－neighbor attraction．A $n$ eigenvector of the corresponding $m$ atrix determ ines the tw o－particle w ave function．T hem atrix elem ents are mo－ $m$ entum integrals of the ratio of tw o energy polynom ials $P_{n^{2}} 1(E)=P_{n^{2}}(E)$ ，where $n$ is the num ber of one－particle
energy bands．On the square lattice $n=1$ ，hence the sim ple form of the integrals $L_{p}$ ．T he copper－oxygen plane is a m ore complex case $w$ th $n=3$ ．The corresponding tw o－body lattice problem was solved in Ref．［1］［1］．

A nalytical investigation of the spectrum and wave function $m$ ay be di cult，especially in cases w hen $m$ atrix elem ents are not calculable in closed form s ．T he pairing threshold is easier to nd because the determ inant needs to be analyzed only at one special energy point．At the sam e tim e，the threshold is a convenient and sensitive in－ dicator of pair stability．The binding condition ofm odel （11）$w$ ill be the prim e focus of the rest of this paper．
The spectrum equation（ $(\overline{\text { G }}$ ）depends on three param e－ ters：the interaction potentials $U$ and $V$ ，and the total lattice m om entum K of the pair．A binding condition is de ned as a functional relation betw een $U, V$ ，and $K$ ， when the energy of a bound state becom es equal to the low est energy of tw o free particles w the sam e com－ bined $m$ om entum $K$ ．At xed $K$ ，the $m$ inim um energy is realized when both particles have equal $m$ om enta $K=2$ ． $T$ his is because the kinetic energy of relative $m$ otion is zero．This statem ent can also be derived rigorously by m inim izing a sum of two single－particle energies．The $m$ inim um energy is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathrm{~K})=2 \prime \frac{\mathrm{~K}}{2}=4 \operatorname{tcos} \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{x}}}{2} \quad 4 \operatorname{tcos} \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{Y}}}{2}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus real－space bound states are form ed by particles m oving parallel to each other． T his should be com pared w ith the C ooper pairs，which are form ed by quasiparti－ cles w th opposite $m$ om enta．

Im agine a bound state with energy $E(K)$ just below $E_{0}(K)$ ，and let $E(K)!E_{0}(K)$ ．The spectrum equation then de nes a relationship between $U, V$ ，and $K$ ，which is the pairing condition of interest．Before attem pting general solution let us consider the－point．At $K=$ （ $0 ; 0$ ）the solution acquires additional sym $m$ etry，which sim pli es analysis of the spectrum equation．It follow s from de nitions（ $\bar{I}_{1}$ ）that $L_{q_{x}}=L_{q_{x}}=L_{q_{y}}=L_{q_{y}}$ ， $L_{2 q_{x}}=L_{2 q_{x}}=L_{2 q_{y}}=L_{2 q_{y}}$ ，and $L_{q_{x}+q_{y}}=L_{q_{x} q_{y}}=$ $L_{q_{x}}+q_{y}=L q_{x} q_{y} . N$ ext，introduced a new basis：

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =(0 ; 0) ; \\
\mathrm{s} & =\frac{1}{4}[(1 ; 0)+(1 ; 0)+(0 ; 1)+(0 ; 1)] ; \\
\mathrm{p}+ & =\frac{1}{4}[(1 ; 0) \quad(1 ; 0)+(0 ; 1) \\
\mathrm{p} & =\frac{1}{4}[(1 ; 0 ; 1)] ; \\
\mathrm{d} & =\frac{1}{4}[(1 ; 0)+(1 ; 0) \quad(0 ; 1)+(0 ; 1)] ; \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The new basis functions are eigen－functions of the sym－ $m$ etry operators of the square lattice．States of di er－ ent sym $m$ etries separate and $m$ atrix（（G）becom es block－ diagonal．We consider the blocks separately．

[^0]has the form
where
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{00}^{0}=X^{X} \frac{1}{E 2^{\prime \prime}(q)^{\prime}} ; \\
& L_{s 0}^{0}=X^{q} \frac{\operatorname{cosqx}_{x}}{E 2^{\prime \prime}(q)^{\prime}} ;  \tag{11}\\
& L_{s s}^{0}=X^{X} \frac{2 \operatorname{cosq_{x}(\operatorname {cos}q_{x}(\operatorname {cosq}_{y})}}{E} ;
\end{align*}
$$
\]

and $L_{0 \mathrm{~s}}^{0}=4 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{s} 0}^{0}$. Substitution $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{0}=8 \mathrm{t}$ leads to logarithm ic divergence of all integrals $L^{0}$. In order to circum vent this di culty, add and subtract $L{ }_{00}^{0}, 4 L_{00}^{0}$, and $4 \mathrm{~L}_{00}^{0}$ from $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s} 0}^{0}, \mathrm{~L}_{0 \mathrm{~s}}^{0}$, and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ss}}^{0}$, respectively. The di erences converge in the $\lim$ it E ! $8 t: \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s} 0}^{0} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{00}^{0}=\frac{1}{8 t}$, $\mathrm{L}_{0 \mathrm{~s}}^{0} \quad 4 \mathrm{~L}_{00}^{0}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{t}}$, and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{ss}}^{0} \quad 4 \mathrm{~L}_{00}^{0}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{t}}$. Upon expansion of the 2 determ inant the $\left(L_{00}^{0}\right)^{2}$ term $s$ cancel while the rest yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 t U \quad 8 t V \quad U V){ }_{0}^{0} \neq \quad V+2 t+\frac{U V}{8 t}=0: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation is satis ed when the coe cient at the divergent $\mathrm{L}_{00}^{0}$ is zero. This, results in the binding condition for the s-sym $m$ etric pairian

$$
\begin{equation*}
V>V_{s}=\frac{2 U t}{U+8 t}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the weak coupling $\lim$ it $U ; V \quad t$, the threshold reduces to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{U}$. The factor 4 here is the number of nearest neighbors: the on-site repulsion needs to be 4 tim es stronger to balance the attraction acting on 4 sites at onœ. In the lim it of in nite $H$ ubbard repulsion, the attraction threshold $V_{s}(\mathrm{U}!1)=2 t$ is nite. Lim ited in uenœ of an in nite repulsive potential is explained by vanishing of the $w$ ave function at $r_{1}=r_{2}$. $W$ thout the repulsion, the attractive threshold goes to zero, as expected in two dim ensions. Thus the $H$ ubbard term raises $V_{s}$ from zero to $2 t$.
p-sym m etry. Two $1 \quad 1$ blocks describe two-particle states $w$ ith $p$ orbital sym $m$ etry. Their energy is deter$m$ ined by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{q}^{X} \frac{2 \sin ^{2} q_{x}}{E \quad 2^{\prime \prime}(q)}+\frac{1}{V}=0: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unlike the $s-s y m m$ etry case the integralconverges at $\mathrm{E}=$
8t. Integration results in the binding condition for $p-$ sym m etric pairs ${ }^{\text {n }}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V>V_{p}=\frac{2}{2} t=5: 50 t: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that neither the spectrum nor the binding condition depends on the $H$ ubbard potential $U$.


FIG. 1: Phase diagram of the two-particle model (II) at $K=(0 ; 0)$. N o bound states exist below the low est solid curve. T he phase boundaries are described by Eqs. (13), ( $\mathbf{1}^{-1}{ }^{-1}$ ), and (171). The dashed line indicates the lim it value of the s -state threshold $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{s}}=2 \mathrm{t}$ for an in nitely strong H ubbard potential.
$d$-sym $m$ etry. The last $1 \quad 1$ block describes tw o-particle states $w$ ith $d$ orbital sym $m$ etry. $T$ he spectrum equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{q}} \frac{2 \operatorname{cosq}_{x}\left(\operatorname{cosq}_{x} \quad \cos q^{2}\right)}{\mathrm{E}} 2^{\prime \prime}(q) \mathrm{l}\right)=0: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, the integralconverges in, the $\lim \operatorname{it} \mathrm{E}=8 \mathrm{t}$, which leads to the binding condition ${ }^{\eta_{1}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V>V_{d}=\frac{2}{4} t=7: 32 t: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As w ith p-symmetric pairs, the binding condition does not depend on $U$. This is because the wave functions of the $p$ and $d$ states are identically zero at $r_{1}=r_{2}$. The contact potential is not felt even at sm all V .

F igure $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$, show s the $\backslash$ phase diagram " of the tw o-particle system at the -point.
III. THEGENERALB IND $\mathbb{N} G \mathrm{C}$ CONDIT IO N

Let us retum to the fiullspectrum equation ( $\overline{-1} \overline{-1})$ and nd the binding condition at an arbitrary pairm om entum $K$. $F$ irst, using the explicit form of " (q) and shifting $q$ by
$K=2$ the integrals $L_{p}$ are transform ed as follow $S$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{0}=X_{q} \frac{1}{E+a \cos q_{x}+b \cos q_{x}} \quad M_{00} ; \\
& L_{q_{x}}=e^{i^{\frac{K_{x}}{2}} X} \frac{\cos q_{x}}{E+a \cos q_{x}+b \cos q_{x}} \quad e^{i \frac{K_{x}}{2} M_{10}} \text {; } \\
& L_{2 q_{x}}=e^{i K_{x}}{ }_{q}^{X} \frac{\cos 2 q_{x}}{E+a \cos q_{x}+b \cos q_{x}} \quad e^{j K \times M_{20}} ; \\
& L_{q_{x}+q_{y}}=e^{i^{\mathrm{K}_{x}+\mathrm{K}_{y}}{ }_{2}^{2}} \mathrm{X} \frac{\operatorname{cosq}_{x} \operatorname{cosq}_{y}}{\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{a} \cos q_{x}+b \cos q_{x}} \\
& e^{\frac{\mathrm{K}^{2}+\mathrm{K} y}{2}} \mathrm{M}_{11} \text {; } \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on, where

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{a} & 4 t \cos \left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{x}}=2\right) & 0 \\
\mathrm{~b} & 4 \mathrm{tcos}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{y}}=2\right) & 0: \tag{19}
\end{array}
$$

$T$ he subscripts of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ refer to the frequencies of cosnq. and cosm $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ in the num erator of the integrand. U pon substitution E ! $\mathrm{E}_{0}(\mathrm{~K})$ all $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ diverge logarithm ically. Sim ilarly to the -point case, a divergent $\mathrm{M}_{00}$ is subtracted and added to each $\mathrm{Mnm}_{\mathrm{nm}}$. The di erences converge. A straightforw ard calculation yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{10} \quad M_{10} \quad M_{00}=\frac{2}{a} \arcsin \frac{r}{a+b} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
M_{01} \quad M_{01} \quad M_{00}=\frac{2}{b} \arcsin \frac{r}{a+b} ; \\
M_{20}=\frac{4}{a} \quad \frac{a+b}{a} \arcsin \frac{a}{a+b} \quad \frac{b}{a} ;
\end{array} \\
& M_{02}=\frac{4}{b} \frac{a+b}{b} \arcsin \frac{r}{\frac{b}{a+b}} \quad \frac{r}{a} \text { ! } ; \\
& M_{11} \quad M_{11} \quad M_{00}=\frac{2}{P}:  \tag{20}\\
& \text { f1 } \mathrm{VM}_{20 \mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{f1} \mathbb{V}_{02 \mathrm{~g}} \\
& \mathrm{fUV}{ }^{2}\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{M}_{20} & \left.4 \mathrm{M}_{10}\right)\left(\mathrm{M}_{02} \quad 4 \mathrm{M}_{01}\right) & 4 \mathbb{M}_{11} & \mathrm{M}_{10} & \left.\mathrm{M}_{01}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.+U V \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
20 & M_{10}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M_{02} & M_{01}
\end{array}\right)+V^{2} 8 M_{11} \quad 2 M_{20}+M_{02}\right)+U \quad 4 V=0: \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

This is the generalbinding condition sought. It represents the $m$ ain analytical result of the paper. T he rst tw o factors correspond to the tw o p-sym m etric triplet pairs. This is best seen at the diagonal of the Brillouin zone $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{y}}$, which implies $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}$. This yields $M_{02}=M_{20}=(\quad 2)=\left(2 \operatorname{tcos}\left(K_{x}=2\right)\right)$, and the binding
conditions become

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V}>\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) & =\frac{2}{2} \operatorname{tcos}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{x}}=2\right) \\
& =5: 50 \operatorname{tcos}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{x}}=2\right): \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

At $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}=0$, the last equation reduces to E $q$. (1-5). H ence, it is identi ed $w$ th the $p$-states.

Equation (2Z2) is a particular exam ple of the general $e$ ect: the enhanced stability of bound states at large
lattice $m$ om enta. Indeed, the pairing threshold decreases w th $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and vanishes com pletely in the comer of the $B$ rillouin zone. At any $V<5: 50 t$, there exists a pairing surface in the B rillouin zone, which separates stable and unstable bound states $w$ ith $p$ orbital sym $m$ etry. T he concrete form of the pairing surface can be found num erically.

N ext, consider the last factor in Eq. (211), which describes the $s-$ and $d$-sym $m$ etric pairs. The binding condition does not factorize at arbitrary K. H ow ever, factorization occurs at the diagonal of the $B$ rillouin zone w here $M_{02}=M_{20}$ and $M_{01}=M_{10}=\left[8 t \cos \left(K_{x}=2\right)\right]^{1}$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{s}: & \mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{M}_{20}+2 \mathrm{M}_{11} \quad 8 \mathrm{M}_{10}\right)+\mathrm{U} & 4 \mathrm{~V}=0 ; \\
\mathrm{d}: & \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{M}_{20} \quad \mathrm{MM}_{11}\right)+1=0: & \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

Substitution of the integrals from Eq. $\left(2 \underline{2}_{1}^{0}\right)$ results in the follow ing binding conditions for the $s$ and $d$ states:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V>V_{S}\left(K_{x}=K_{y}\right)=\frac{2 U \operatorname{tcos}\left(K_{x}=2\right)}{U+8 \operatorname{tcos}\left(K_{x}=2\right)}  \tag{24}\\
& \begin{aligned}
V>V_{d}\left(K_{x}=K_{y}\right) & =\frac{2}{4} \operatorname{tcos}\left(K_{x}=2\right) \\
& =7: 32 \operatorname{tcos}\left(K_{x}=2\right):
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

C om paring the binding conditions on the B rillouin zone diagonalw th the -point, one observes that in both cases the hopping integralt is replaced $w$ ith $\operatorname{tcos}\left(K_{x}=2\right)$. T he $s$ binding condition approaches $2 t \cos \left(K_{x}=2\right)$ in the lim it of in nite $U$. The d binding condition does not depend on $U$ at all. A gain, the stability ofbound states in creases $w$ ith the pair $m$ om entum .

At an arbitrary pair $m$ om entum, the pairing surface can be found num erically by solving the transcendental equation ( $\left.2 \overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$. Figure $\overline{1}$, $V=1: 5 t$ and $U=50 t$. If $0<V<2 U t=(U+8 t)$, there are four pairing surfaces corresponding to the $s, t w o p$, and d pairs. At $V=2 U t=(U+8 t)$, the $s$ pairing surface shrinks to a point indicating that the $s$-Sym $m$ etrical bound pair is the ground state of the system at any $\mathrm{mo-}$ $m$ entum K.Sim ilarly, there are two $p$ and one d pairing surfaces at $2 \mathrm{U} \mathrm{t}=(\mathrm{U}+8 \mathrm{t})<\mathrm{V}<5: 50 \mathrm{t}$, only one d pairing surface at $5: 50 t<V<7: 32 t$, and no surfaces at all at V > 7:32t.

Let us discuss the evolution of pairing surfaces $w$ ith $V$ in $m$ ore detail. $F$ igure show $s$ the evolution of the $s$ surface at $U=50 t$. At sm all $V$, the pairing surface $a p-$ proaches the boundaries of the $B$ rillouin zone. For $m$ ost $K$, the strong repulsion overcom es the weak attraction and the ground state consists of tw o unbound particles. But in the very vicinity of the Brillouin zone boundary the scattering phase space shrinks, allow ing form ation of a bound state w th a binding energy $V$. The pair stability region exists for any nite V , how ever sm all. T he pairing surface never crosses the boundary of the B rillouin zone. (T he latter fact was noticed in Ref. [1] 1 .) A s $V$ increases the stability region expands. The pairing


FIG. 2: Pairing surfaces for $U=50 t$ and $V=1: 5 t$. B ound pairs are stable outside the respective surfaces. At these param eters both $p$ and $d$ surfaces term inate at the $B$ rillouin zone boundaries: $p$ at ( 0:46 ; ) and ( ; 0:46 ); d at ( $0: 41$; ) and ( ; 0:41 ). The p and d surfaces actually cross.
surface shrinks while assum ing a circular shape. F inally, at $V=2 U t=(U+8 t)$ it collapses to the -point.

Figure $\overline{4} \overline{4}$ ' show $s$ the $V$-evolution of one of the p-states pairing surfaces. At sm all V the surface term inates at som e points along the $K_{y}=$ boundaries. $T$ he $K_{x}$ coordinate of the end points is given by the condition $2 \operatorname{tcos}\left(K_{x}=2\right)=V$. There are no end points along the $K_{x}=$ boundaries. At $V=2 t$, the two pairs of end points $m$ erge at ( 0 ; ) resulting in an elliptically shaped critical surface. A s V increases further, the critical surface shrinks to the origin while retaining the elpiptic shape. The ratio of the two axes of the ellipse is (3 8) $=(4 \quad)=1: 29$. The pairing surface for the second $p$-sym $m$ etric bound state is obtained by rotating the plots of Fig.
$T$ he d-state pairing surface is sym $m$ etric under the $K_{x} \$ K_{y}$ exchange, as evident from $F$ ig. are four pairs of end points $w$ th the non-trivial mo$m$ entum coordinate determ ined by the condition $2 t(\mathrm{U}$ $2 \mathrm{~V}) \cos \left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}}=2\right)=\mathrm{UV}$. At $\mathrm{V}=2 \mathrm{U} \mathrm{t}=(\mathrm{U}+4 \mathrm{t})$, the end points $m$ erge at $(0 ;)$ and $(; 0)$. At larger $V$ the pairing surface assum es the circular shape sim ilar to the s-surface shown in $F$ ig. origin at $V=7: 32 t$.

$$
\text { IV . } \quad \mathrm{D} \text { ISC U SSIO N }
$$

In continuous space the intemal (binding) energy of a com posite non-relativistic particle is independent of its m om entum, and the e ective m ass is independent of the


FIG. 3: The pairing surfaces of the s-sym $m$ etrical bound state for $U=50 t$ and severalV, obtained by solving Eq. (21). G oing from outside inward, the plots correspond to $V=0 \overline{2} t$, $0: 5 t, 1: 0 t, 1: 3 t, 1: 5 t, 1: 6 t$, and $1: 7 \mathrm{t}$. T he surface shrinks to the origin at $V=2 \mathrm{U} t=(\mathrm{U}+8 \mathrm{t})=1: 724 \mathrm{t}$. T he pairing surface never crosses the boundaries of the $B$ rillou in zone.


FIG. 4: The pairing surface of one of the two p-sym m etric bound states for $U=50 t$. Going from outside inward, the lines correspond to $V=1: 0 t, 2: 0 t, 3: 0 t, 4: 0 t, 5: 0 t$, and $5: 4 \mathrm{t}$. The four end points of the $V=1: 0 \mathrm{t}$ plot are indicated by sem icircles. W hen $V$ ! $5: 50 t$, the surface shrinks to the origin but rem ains elliptic $w$ ith the eccentricity $\left.e=2^{p} \frac{(3)=(3}{} 8\right)=0: 63$. The second fam ily of the $p$ pairing surfaces is obtained by rotating the plots by $=2$.


FIG. 5: The pairing surface com pared $w$ th a single particle Ferm isurface. The thin solid line is the Ferm isurface de ned by the condition " $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)=2: 1 \mathrm{t}$. The thick solid line is the sam e Ferm i surface $m$ ultiplied by 2 . T he dashed line is the $s-$ sym $m$ etric pairing surface for $U=50 t$ and $V=0: 8 t$. $N$ otice the \binding hot spots" along the $x$ and $y$ axes $w$ here the double Ferm i surface extends beyond the pairing surface.
intemal energy. In contrast, on a lattice the totalm ass increases w ith the intemalbinding energyt. In this paper, we established another unusual property of lattice pairs: the stability of a bound state increases $w$ ith its m om entum. A though the total pair energy grow s w ith the $m$ om entum, the corresponding energy oftw ofree particles grows faster. A s a result, at the B rillouin zone boundary the energy of the bound state alw ays lies below the continuum of single-particle states. A the boundary, the periodicity of the pairw ave function is com $m$ ensurate w th the lattice. T he particles could choose to coherently \see" only one com ponent of the potential. At the sam e tim $e$, the kinetic energy of the relative $m$ otion is suppressed because of shrinking of the phase space available for $m$ utual scattering. Thus as long as the interaction potential has at least one attractive region the particles w illbind.

In two dim ensions the e ect is som ew hat hidden by the fact that an arbitrary w eak attractive potentialbinds particles even at the -point. As K increases no qualitative changes happen and the pair rem ains stable. T he situation is di erent when the potentialhas strong repulsive pieces. At the -point the repulsion overcom es the attraction resulting in a non-bound ground state. But at the Brilloiun zone boundary the ground state is alw ays bound. By continuity, a pairing surface $m$ ust exist that separates stable from unstable bound states. T he shape and size of the pairing surface is a sensitive characteristic of the potential. E xam ples of such surfaces w ere given in the previous section.

Im agine now a nite density of ferm ions. As lling increases, particles $w$ ith large $m$ om enta becom $e$ available. At some lling, the double Ferm i surface crosses the pairing surface. (T he single-particle Fem i surface should bem ultiplied by a factor of2 for adequate com parison.) In general the tw o surfaces have di erent shapes. $T$ herefore crossing begins at som e \hot" segm ents of the Ferm i surface. The phenom enon is illustrated in F igure $\overline{S_{1}}$. The pairing surface for $U=50 t$ and $V=0: 8 t$ is com pared w th the double Ferm i surface corresponding to a Ferm ienergy $2: 1 \mathrm{t}$ ( $1 \mathrm{lling}=0.35$ particles per unit cell). N otice how the pairing surface is elongated along the diagonals of the B rillouin zone while the Ferm i surface is elongated along the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{y}}$ axes. The overlay of the surfaces creates four regions near ( ;0), ( 0 ; ) where pairing correlations are enhanced. Under such conditions a particle with a $m$ om entum close to ( $=2 ; 0$ ) or ( $0 ;=2$ ) can pick up a second particle w th the sam em om entum and form a bound state. Such a pairing $m$ echanism is in contrast $w$ ith the $C$ ooper pairing. O bviously, the presence of lled states $m$ odi es the low -energy scattering dynam ics of the pair. A nalysis of
the $m$ any-body m odel $\left[\begin{array}{l}[1]) \\ 1\end{array}\right)$ near a paring instability is a di cult problem, which warrants a separate investigation. A $n$ interesting question is $w$ hether the $\backslash U$-negative V " m odel possesses a state where bound pairs w ith nonzero m om enta \oat" atop a ferm ion sea. A part from academ ic interest, such a state $m$ ay be of interest for the pseudogap phenom enon in high-tem perature superconductors. (For the latter see, e.g., R ef. [131].) O ne possible approach to the problem would be the scattering $m$ atrix approxim ation, which has been, successfiully applied to the negative $H$ ubbard $m$ odel $1^{41} 1^{51}$.

In conclusion, we have considered a two-particle lattice problem w ith on-site repulsion and nearest-neighbor attraction. The stability of the bound states increases w ith the pair's totalm om entum, which is a consequence of the lattice discreteness. The e ect is conveniently described in term s of the pairing surface that separates stable from unstable pairs. E xact pairing surfaces for $s-, p-$, and $d-$ sym $m$ etric bound states have been found and analyzed. At nite llings, the appearance of $\backslash$ hot pairing spots" is possible, caused by the di erence in shapes betw een the pairing and Ferm isurfaces.
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## APPENDIX A: BOUND STATES IN ONE D IM ENSION

In this appendix we present, for reference, the twobody solution of m odel (1) is one dim ension. The spectrum equation is a 3 determ inant

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{L}_{0} & \frac{1}{\mathrm{U}} & \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{q}}  \tag{A1}\\
\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{q}} & \mathrm{~L}_{0}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~V}} & \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{q}} \\
\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{q}} & \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{q}} & \mathrm{~L}_{0}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~V}}
\end{array}=0 ;
$$

where
and " $(k)=2$ tcosk. The $m$ atrix elem ents are readily calculated. E xpansion of the determ inant then yields tw o solutions.

P ositive spatial parity (singlet bound state). The singlet energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}<0$ is determ ined from the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}} \begin{array}{l}
16{\mathrm{E} \cos ^{2}(\mathrm{~K}=2)}^{\mathrm{P}} \frac{\mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \quad 16 \mathrm{E}^{2} \cos ^{2}(\mathrm{~K}=2)} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{S}} \\
\\
\end{array} \quad 2 \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=0: \quad \text { (A 3) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The bound state stabilizes at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}>\frac{2 \mathrm{U} \operatorname{tcos}(\mathrm{~K}=2)}{\mathrm{U}+4 \mathrm{t} \cos (\mathrm{~K}=2)}: \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Negative spatial parity (triplet bound state). The triplet energy is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{t}=V \frac{4 t^{2}}{V} \cos ^{2}(K=2): \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he triplet is stable when $V>2 \operatorname{tcos}(K=2) . N$ otice that in the $U$ ! $1 \lim$ 辻, $E_{s}=E_{t}$.


[^0]:    s－symmetry．The 22 block that mixes 0 and $s$

