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#### Abstract

It has been recently proved that a slab of negative refractive index $m$ aterialacts as a perfect lens in that it $m$ akes accessible the sub-w avelength im age inform ation contained in the evanescent $m$ odes of a source. H ere we elaborate on perfect lens solutions to spherical shells of negative refractive $m$ aterial where $m$ agni cation of the near- eld im ages becom es possible. T he negative refractive $m$ aterials then need to be spatially dispersive $w$ ith " $r$ ) $\quad 1=r$ and ( $r$ ) $\quad 1=r$. W e concentrate on lens-like solutions for the extrem e near- eld lim it. T hen the conditions for the TM and TE polarized $m$ odes becom e independent of and " respectively.


## I. INTRODUCTION

The possibility of a perfect lens [1] whose resolution is not lim ited by the classicaldi raction lim it has been sub ject to intense debate by the scienti $c$ com $m$ unity during the past two years. This perfect lens could be realised by using a slab ofm aterialw th " $==1$ where " is the dielectric constant and the is the $m$ agnetic perm eability. Veselago had observed [2] that such a m aterial would have a negative refractive index of $n=P \bar{T}=1$ (the negative sign of the square root needs to be chosen by requirem ents of causality), and a slab of such a m aterialw ould act as a lens in that it would refocus incident rays from a point source on one side into a point on the other side of the slab (See Fig. 1). D ue to the unavailability ofm aterials $w$ ith sim ultaneously negative " and, negative refractive index rem ained an academ ic curiosity until recently when it becam e possible to fabricate structured $m$ eta-m aterials that have negative and [3, 4, 5]. M ost of the negative refractive $m$ aterials ( $\mathbb{N}$ RM), so far, consist of interleaving arrays of thin $m$ etallic w ires (that provide negative " [6]]) and m etallic split-ring resonators (that provide negative [7]). A though som e initial concems were expressed [8] that the observed e ects in these experim ents were dom inated by absorption, the recent experim ents of $R$ efs. [9, 10, 11, 12] have con m ed that negative refractive m aterials are today's reality.

It was dem onstrated by one of us that the NRM slab acts a lens not only for the propagating waves (for which the ray analysis of Veselago is valid) but also for the evanescent near- eld radiation I]. This phenom enon of perfect lensing becom es possible due to the surface plasm on states [13] that reside on the surfaces of the NRM slab which restore the am plitudes of the decaying evanescent waves [1, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. Indeed, it has been con m ed by
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FIG.1: R adiation from a point source on one side of a slab ofm aterialwith $i$ " $=1$ and $=1$ is refocussed into a point on the other side. T he rays representing propagating waves are bent on to the other side of the norm al at the interfaces due to the negative refractive index of the slab.
num erical (FD TD ) sim ulations that an incident pulse is tem porarily trapped at the interfaces for a considerable tim e [19]. For a detailed description of the perfect slab lens, we refer the reader to $R$ ef. [1, 17, 20]. The perfectness' of the perfect lens is lim ited only by the extent to which the constituent NRM are perfect $w$ ith the speci ed $m$ aterial param eters. Absonption in the NRM and deviations of the $m$ aterial param eters from the resonant surface plasm on conditions of the perfect lens causes signi cant degradation of the subw avelength resolution possible 14, 21, 22, 23]. $W$ e have suggested som e possible $m$ easures to am eliorate this degradation of the lens resolution by stratify ing the lens $m$ edium 24] and introducing optical gain into the system [25].

The im age form ed by the NRM slab lens is identical to the object and hence there is no magni cation in the im age. Lenses are $m$ ostly used to produce $m$ agni ed or dem agni ed im ages and the lack of any magni cation is a great restriction on the slab lens on which $m$ ost of the attention in the literature has been focussed. T he slab lens is invariant in the transverse directions and conserves the parallelcom ponent of the $w$ ave-vector. To cause $m$ agni cation this tranverse invariance will have to be broken and curved surfaces necessarily have to be involved. T he perfect lens $e$ ect is dependent on the near-degeneracy of the surface plasm on resonances to am plify the near- eld, and curved surfaces in general have com pletely di erent surface plasm on spectrum 26]. It was recently pointed out by us that a fam ily of near- eld lenses (in the quasi-static approxim ation) in two-dim ensions can be generated by a conform al m apping of the slab lens [20]. Thus a cylinderical annulus w th dielectric constant $"=1 \mathrm{was}$ shown to have a lens-like property of projecting in and out im ages of charge distributions. Sim iliarly in Ref. [27] and [28], it was shown how a generalm ethod of co-ordinate transform ations could be used to $m$ ap the perfect slab lens solution for the $M$ axw ell's equations into a variety of situations including the cylinderical and spherical geom etries respectively.

In this paper, we elaborate on the perfect lens solutions in the spherical geom etry and show that m edia $w$ ith spatially dispersive dielectric constant " $(x)=\operatorname{sim} 1=r$ and $m$ agnetic perm eability $(x)=\operatorname{sim} 1=r$ can be used to fabricate a spherical perfect lens that can m agnify the near- eld im ages as well. In section-2 of this paper, we will present these perfect lens solutions of the $M$ axw ell's equations for the spherical geom etry. In section-3, we will exam ine the solutions in the extrem e near- eld lim it or the quasi-static lim it which is usefulw hen the lengthscales in the problem are allm uch sm aller than a wavelength. Then the requirem ents for $T M$ and $T E$ polarizationsdepend only on " $1=r^{2}$ or $\quad 1=r^{2}$ respectively. This is useful at frequencies where we are able to generate structures with only one of " or
negative. We will investigate the e ects of dissipation in the NRM and point out the connections to the 1-D slab lens solutions. W e w ill present our concluding rem arks in Section-4.

## II. A PERFECT SPHERICAL LENS

C onsider a spherically sym $m$ etric system show $n$ in $F$ ig. 2 consisting of a spherical shell of $N R M$ with the dielectric constant " (r) and (r) im bedded in a positive refractive materialw ith " (r) and $+(r)$. First of all we will nd the general solutions to the eld equations $w$ th spatially inhom ogeneous $m$ aterial param eters:


U nder these circum stances of spherical sym $m$ etry, it is su cient to specify the quantities ( $r$ w ill constitute a full solution to the problem. Let us now look at the TM polarised m odes r
E) and (r H ) which $\mathrm{H}=0$, im plying that only the electric elds have a radialcom ponent $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}$. O perating on Eqn . (1) by r , we have

$$
\begin{align*}
r \quad \mathrm{E} & =\mathrm{i}!\text { or } \quad[(r) H] ; \\
& =\frac{!^{2}}{c^{2}}(r) "(r) E+i!\frac{r(r)}{(r)} \quad r \quad E: \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing E qns.(2) and (3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \quad D=r \quad["(r) E]=r "(r) \quad E+"(r) r \quad E=0 ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if we assume " $(r)="(r)$ and $(r)=(r)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \quad E=\frac{"^{0}(r)}{r^{\prime \prime}(r)} r \quad E=\frac{"^{0}(r)}{r^{\prime \prime}(r)}\left(r E_{r}\right): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note the follow ing identities for later use:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \quad r \quad E=r(r \quad E){ }^{2} \mathbb{F} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.2: A spherical shell with negative " (r) $\quad 1=r$ and $(r) \quad 1=r$ im ages a source located inside the shell into the extemal region. The m edia outside have postive refractive index, but " ( $r$ ) i $1=r$ and ( $r$ ) $\quad 1=r$. The ampli cation inside the spherical shell of the otherw ise decaying eld is schem atically show $n$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}(r \quad E)=r^{2} E+2 r \quad E ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using Eqn. (6) we also note that

$$
\begin{align*}
r \quad r(r \quad E) & =r \frac{r^{\prime 0}(r)}{"(r)} E_{r} ; \\
& =r \frac{\varrho^{0}(r)}{\varrho_{r}(r)} E_{r} ; \\
& =\frac{@}{@ r} \frac{"^{0}(r)}{{ }^{0}(r)}\left(r E_{r}\right)+\frac{"^{0}(r)}{{ }^{0}(r)} E_{r}:
\end{align*}
$$

W e now take a dot product of $r \mathrm{w}$ ith Eqn . (4), and use the Eqns. (6), (7), (8) and (9) to get an equation for ( $r \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}\left(r E_{r}\right)+\frac{@}{@ r} \frac{"^{0}(r)}{"(r)}\left(r E_{r}\right)+\frac{"^{0}(r)}{r^{"}(r)}\left(r E_{r}\right)+"(r)(r) \frac{!^{2}}{c^{2}}\left(r E_{r}\right)=0: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is separable and the spherical harm onics are a solution to the angular part. H ence the solution is $\left(r E_{r}\right)=U(r) Y_{l m}(;)$ where the radialpart $U(r)$ satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{@}{@ r} r^{2} \frac{@ U}{@ r} \frac{l(1+1)}{r^{2}} U+\frac{@}{@ r} \frac{"^{0}(r)}{"(r)} U+\frac{"^{0}(r)}{r^{\prime \prime}(r)} U+"(r) \quad(r) \frac{!^{2}}{c^{2}} U=0: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we choose " $(r)=r^{p}$ and $(r)=r^{q}$, we can have a solution $U(r) \quad r$ and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
[n(n+1) \quad l(l+1)+p(n \quad 1)+p]^{2}+\quad!^{2}=c^{2} r^{p+q+n}=0 ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying $p+q=2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=1=2^{\mathrm{h}} \quad(\mathrm{p}+1) \quad \mathrm{p} \frac{(p+1)^{2}+4 l(1+1) \quad 4 \quad!^{2}=c^{2}}{}{ }^{i}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence the general solution can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{n}_{+} \mathrm{A}_{\operatorname{lm}} r^{\mathrm{n}_{+}} 1+\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{\operatorname{lm}} r^{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1 \mathrm{Y}_{\operatorname{lm}}(;) ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
H(r)=\begin{align*}
& X  \tag{15}\\
& \left.A_{\operatorname{lm}} r^{n_{+}}+B_{\operatorname{lm}} r^{n} \quad\right] X_{\operatorname{lm}}(;) ; ~ ; ~ ; ~ ; ~
\end{align*}
$$

where the vector spherical harm onic

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\operatorname{lm}}(;) \quad L Y_{m}(;)=\frac{1}{i}(r \quad r) Y_{m}(;): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sim iliar solution can be obtained for the TE m odes with $r$ E $=0$.
N ow assum ing an arbitrary source at $r=a_{0}$, we can now write down the electric elds of the TM $m$ odes in the di erent regions for the negative spherical shell of $F$ ig. 2 as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{(1)}(r)=\begin{array}{ll}
X & h \\
n_{+} A_{l m}^{(1)} r^{n_{+}}{ }^{1}+n B B_{l m}^{(1)} r^{n} \quad 1^{i} Y_{l m}(;) ; \quad a_{0}<r<a_{1} ; ~
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\ln m} h \\
& E^{(2)}(r)={ }^{X} n_{+} A_{l m}^{(2)} r^{n_{+}}{ }^{1}+n B_{l_{m}^{(2)}}^{n^{n}} \quad 1^{1} Y_{l m}(;) ; \quad a_{1}<r<a_{2} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 ; m
\end{aligned}
$$

and sim iliarly for the $m$ agnetic elds. N ote that the $B_{l m}^{(1)}$ correspond to the eld com ponents of the source located at $r=a_{0}$. For causal solutions $A_{l m}^{(3)}=0$. N ow the tangential com ponents of the $m$ agnetic elds and the norm al com ponents of the displacem ent elds have to be continuous across the interfaces. U nder the conditions $p=1$, $q=1, "+\left(a_{1}\right)="\left(a_{1}\right)$ and ${ }^{+}\left(a_{2}\right)="\left(a_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{Im}}^{(1)}=0 ;  \tag{20}\\
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{lm}}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}_{1}^{2}}{ }^{\mathrm{p} \frac{!^{2}=\mathrm{c}^{2}}{1(1+1)}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lm}}^{(1)} ;  \tag{21}\\
& B_{1 m}^{(2)}=0 ;  \tag{22}\\
& B_{l m}^{(3)}=\frac{a_{2}^{2}}{a_{1}^{2}}{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{l^{(1+1)}}!^{!^{2}=c^{2}} B_{l m}^{(1)}: \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

The lens-like property of the system becom es clear by writing the eld outside the spherical shell as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}^{(3)}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{a}_{2}^{2}}{\mathrm{a}_{1}^{2}} r^{\mathrm{p} \frac{!^{2}=\mathrm{c}^{2}}{1(1+1)}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{lm}}^{(1)} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{lm}}(;): \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ence apart from a scaling factor of $1=r$, the elds on the sphere $r=a_{3}=\left(a_{2}^{2}=a_{1}^{2}\right) a_{0}$ are identical to the elds on the sphere $r=a_{0}$. There is also a spatialm agni cation in the im age by a factor of $\alpha_{2}=a_{1}^{2}$.

Let us note a couple of points about the above perfect lens solutions in the sphericalgeom etry. First, for r $>a_{3}$, i.e. points outside the im age surface the elds appear as if the source w ere located on the sphericalim age surface ( $r=a_{3}$ ). H ow ever, this is not true for points $a_{2}<r<a_{3} w$ ithin the im age surface. Second, given that " $\left(a_{2}\right)=\quad{ }^{+}\left(a_{2}\right)$, we have the perfect lens solutions if and only if $n_{+}=n$ which implies that $p=1$ in Eqn. (13). A lthough the solutions given by Eqn. (14) occur in any medium with " $1=r^{2}$, the perfect lens solutions only occurs for " $\quad 1=r$. H ere we have w ritten down the solutions for the TM m odes. The solutions for the TE $m$ odes can be sim iliarly obtained.

## III. THESPHERICALNEARFILD LENS

As it has been pointed out in the previous section, the power' solutions are good for any " (r) ip and (r) rf such that $p+q=2 . H$ ow ever the perfect lens solutions for the $M$ axw ell's equations result only for the single case of $p=q=1$. In the quasi-static lim it of! ! 0 and $1 \quad j \dot{j} \dot{q} j$ we can relax this condition. In particular, by setting " (r) $\quad 1=r^{2}$ and ( $r$ ) = constant, we can have a perfect lens for the $T M \mathrm{~m}$ odes alone. Sim iliarly, we can have a perfect lens for the TE polarization by having $\quad 1=r^{2}$ and " $=$ constant.

This extrem e near- eld lim it is both im portant and valid for situations when all lengthscales in the problem are $m$ uch $s m$ aller than a wavelength of the radiation. This becom es usefil at frequencies where we can only generate $m$ edia $w$ ith either negative " and positive, or, negative and positive ". E xam ples are the silver slab lens at optical frequencies [1], the im eta-m aterials (Sw iss rolls) used for M R I at radio-frequencies[29]. P articularly at radio- and $m$ icrow ave frequencies, we currently can practically engineer the required $m$ eta- $m$ aterials $w$ ith spatially dispersive characteristics at the corresponding length scales. Further it also lifts the restriction that the system has to have a spatially dispersive $m$ aterial param eters even outside the spherical shell of NRM. In this section we will work in this extrem e near eld lim it. Then it is su cient to solve the Laplace equation and we present lens-like solutions to the Laplace equation below .

C onsider the spherical shell in F ig 2 to be led with a material with $2(r) \quad C=r$ with the inner and outer regions lled with constant dielectrics of " 1 and "3 respectively. Let $=1$ everywhere. N ow place a charge $+q$ at the centre of the concentric spheres and a charge $q$ at a distance af from the centre inside region-1. W e will consider the $z$-axis to be along the dipole axis and $m$ ake use of the azim uthal sym $m$ etry here, although it is clear that our results do not depend on any such assum ption of azim uthal sym $m$ etry. Thus all our charge and their im ages $w$ ill now lie along the Z -axis.

N ow we will calculate the potentials in the three regions that satis es the Laplace equation and the continuity conditions at the interfaces. T he potentialin region $-1\left(r<a_{1}\right)$ can be calculated to be (using the azim uthalsym $m$ etry) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(r)=\frac{q}{4}^{X^{1}} \quad A_{11} r^{1} P_{1}(\cos )+\frac{a_{0}^{1}}{r^{1+1}} P_{1}(\cos ) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the second term in the above expansion arises due to the dipole within the sphere. It can be shown (see appendix-1), that the general form of the potential in region-2 ( $a_{1}<r<a_{2}$ ), where the dielectric constant varies as $1=r^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}(r)=\frac{q}{4}^{X^{1}} A_{21} r^{(l+1)} P_{1}(\cos )+\frac{B_{21}}{r^{l}} P_{1}(\cos ): \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In region-3 $\left(r>a_{2}\right)$, the potential is given by:

N ow we m ust $m$ atch the potentials at the interfaces at $r=a_{1}$ and $r=a_{2}(\mathbb{P u t} 0=1)$ to determ ine the $A$ and $B$ coe cients. The conditions of continuity of the potential and the nom al com ponent of $D$ at the interfaces are

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{1}\left(a_{1}\right)=V_{2}\left(a_{1}\right) ; & V_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)=V_{3}\left(a_{2}\right) ;  \tag{28}\\
1 \frac{@ V_{1}\left(a_{1}\right)}{@ r}={ }_{2} \frac{@ V_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)}{@ r} ; & 2 \frac{@ V_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)}{@ r}=3 \frac{@ V_{3}\left(a_{2}\right)}{@ r} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

W e determ ine the coe cients from these conditions to be:

U nder the perfect lens conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)="_{1} ; \text { and } \quad "_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)="_{3} ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11}=0 ;  \tag{35}\\
& A_{21}=\frac{1}{n_{1}} \frac{a_{0}^{1}}{a_{1}^{2(l+1)}} ;  \tag{36}\\
& B_{21}=0 ;  \tag{37}\\
& B_{31}=\frac{"_{3}}{"_{1}}{\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}}^{2(l+1)} a_{0}^{1}: \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ence the potential outside the spherical shell for $r>a_{2}$ is
which is the potential of a dipole $w$ ith the positive charge at the origin and the negative charge at $a_{3}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}={\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}}^{2} a_{0} ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and of strength

$$
\begin{equation*}
q={\frac{"_{3}}{n_{1}}}_{\frac{a}{2}^{a_{1}}} \quad \underline{q}=q ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $"_{3}="_{1}=\left(a_{1}=a_{2}\right)^{2}$. Thus, on one side of the im age (the region $\left.r>a_{3}\right)$ the elds of a point charge located at as are reproduced. H ow ever it should be pointed out that there is no physical charge in the im age location and, the elds on the other side of the im age (i.e. in the region $a_{2}<r<a_{3}$ ) do not converge to the elds of the ob ject and cannot do so in the absence of a charge in the im age. Further there is no change in the strength of the charge either. There is a $m$ agni cation in the im age form ed by a factor of $\left(a_{2}=a_{1}\right)^{2}$.

N ow let us consider the case of a point source placed at $a_{3}$ in the outer region. A gain assum ing the $z$-axis to pass through $a_{3}$, we can write the potentials in the three regions as

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{1}(r)={\frac{+q}{4 "_{1}}}_{l=0}^{X^{1}} A_{11} r^{1} P_{1}(\cos ) \quad 8 \quad r<a_{1} ;  \tag{42}\\
& V_{2}(r)=\frac{+q}{4}^{X^{1}} A_{21} r^{1+1}+\frac{B_{21}}{r^{1}} P_{1}(\cos ) \quad 8 \quad a_{1}<r<a_{2} \text {; }  \tag{43}\\
& V_{3}(r)={\frac{+q}{4 ~_{3}}}^{X^{1}}{\frac{r^{1}}{a_{3}^{1+1}}+\frac{B_{31}}{r^{1+1}} P_{1}(\cos ) ; \quad 8 \quad a_{2}<r<a_{3} ; ~ ; ~}_{\text {; }} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

where the rst term in $V_{3}(x)$ com es from the point source at $a_{3}$. N ow applying the conditions of continuity at the interfaces, we can sim iliarly obtain for the coe cients asbefore. In the lim iting case of " $\left(a_{1}\right)=\quad "_{1}$ and $"_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)=\quad "_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11}=\frac{n_{1}}{n_{3}}{\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}}^{21} \frac{1}{a_{3}^{1+1}} ;  \tag{45}\\
& A_{21}=0 ;  \tag{46}\\
& B_{21}=\frac{1}{n_{3}} \frac{a_{2}^{21}}{a_{3}^{21+1}} ;  \tag{47}\\
& B_{31}=0: \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

H ence the potential inside the inner sphere is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}(r)=\frac{q}{4 ~ "}_{X_{1}^{1}}^{X_{1=0}^{1}}{\frac{" 1}{n_{3}}}_{\frac{a}{2}^{a_{1}}}^{21} \frac{r^{1}}{a_{3}^{1+1}} P_{1}(\cos ) ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., that of a point charge of strength $q_{1}=\left("_{1}="_{3}\right)\left(a_{1}=a_{2}\right)^{2}=q$ at $a_{0}=a_{3}\left(a_{1}=a_{2}\right)^{2}$. A s before, for the inner region of $r<a_{0}$, the system behaves as if there were a single charge of strength $q$ located at $r=a_{0}$. Thus the shell has a lens-like action. W e note that there is a dem agni cation of $\left(a=a_{2}\right)^{2}$ in this case.
A. Sim iliarities to the $1-D$ slab lens

Let us point out the sim iliarities to the planar slab lens. In both cases, the electrom agnetic eld grows in am plitude across the negative $m$ edium when the perfect lens conditions are satis ed at the interfaces: as an exponential (exp $\left[+k_{x} z\right]$ ) in the planar lens and as a power of the radial distance $r^{1}$ in the spherical lens. The decaying solution aw ay from the source is absent in the negative $m$ edium in both cases. Further, when the perfect lens conditions are $m$ atched at both the interfaces, there is no re ected wave in both the planar slab as well as the spherical lens: i.e. the im pedance $m$ atching is perfect as $w e l l$. In addition this $m$ apping preserves the strength of the charge.

The key di erences, how ever, are the di erent dielectric constants on either sides of the sphericalshell of the negative $m$ edium. This is a direct consequence of the spatial $1=r^{2}$ dependence of the negative dielectric constant which relates the two positive dielectric constants to be ${ }_{1}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{1}=\mathrm{a}_{2}\right)^{2}{ }_{3}$. B ut this need not be a particular restriction as we can use the ideas of the asym $m$ etric lens to term inate the di erent positive $m$ edia at som e radiibeyond I7]. T he net result is that the i age can now be $m$ agni ed (or dem agni ed) when the i age of the charge (source) is pro jected out of (or into) the spherical shell, which is true in the 2D cylinderical lens as well [20].

## B . P ossibility of the asym $m$ etric lens

In the case of a planar slab, it was possible to have the perfect lens e ect by satisfying the required conditions at any one interface - not necessarily at both interfaces [17]. P articularly, in the lim it of very large parallelw ave vectors the lensing is indeed perfect, although the im age intensity di ered from the source by a constant factor. Sim iliarly let us now investigate the ects of having the perfect lens conditions in the case of the spherical lens at only one of the interfaces.

Let us consider rst, the case of pro jecting out the im age of a point source from inside the spherical shell to outside and enable the perfect lens conditions only at the outer interface $2\left(r=a_{2}\right)=3$ and have an arbitrary $1_{1}$. N ow the A and B coe cients com e out to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11}=\frac{a_{0}^{1}}{a_{1}^{2 l+1}} \frac{(l+1)\left["_{1}+"_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)\right]}{l_{1}(l+1) "_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)}  \tag{50}\\
& A_{21}=\frac{(2 l+1) a_{0}^{1}}{l_{1}(l+1) "_{2}\left(a_{1}\right) a_{1}^{2 l+2}}  \tag{51}\\
& B_{21}=0  \tag{52}\\
& B_{31}=\frac{(2 l+1) "_{3} a_{0}^{1}}{l_{1}\left(a_{1}=a_{2}\right)^{2}+(l+1) "_{3}} \frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}} \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Only the grow ing solution within the negative spherical shell rem ains. The coe cient of the decaying solution ( $\mathrm{B}_{21}$ ) rem ains strictly zero. Thus, am pli cation of the decaying eld at least is possible in this case as well. But there is a nite re ectivity in this case. H ow ever, the solution outside for $r>\geqslant$ is not the exact im age eld of the point source as the coe cient $B_{31}$ has an extra dependence on lthrough the dependence on the dielectric constants. M oreover, the process does not preserve the strength of the charge due to the di erent dielectric constants involved. This should be com pared to the solution of the planar asym $m$ etric slab lens where, at least in the electrostastic lim it, the system behaved as a perfect lens. In this case, the system behaves as a spherical asymmetric perfect lens only in the lim it of large l! 1 . The solution outside the spherical shell is the sam ewhen wem eet the perfect lens condition on the inner interface \{ just as in the case of the planar slab lens. H ow ever, the re ection coe cient is again non-zero, but di erent to the earlier case. In either case, the elds are largest at the interface where one $m$ eets the perfect lens conditions or the interface on which the surface plasm ons are excited.
C. E ects of dissipation
$M$ edia $w$ th negative real part of the dielectric constant are absonptive (as allm etals are), and hence we can write the dielectric constant " $(r)=C=r^{2}+i "_{i}(r)$ (N ote that $"_{i} \quad 1=r^{2}$ as well for us to be able to w rite the solution in the follow ing form ). C onsider the rst case of pro jecting out the im age of a dipole located w ithin the spherical shell where the potential outside the shell is given by Equation (27) and $B_{31}$ is given by Equation (33). W hen we have a dissipative negative $m$ edium and have the perfect lens conditions at the interfaces on the real parts of the dielectric constant alone, $"_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)="_{1}+i "_{i}\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $"_{2}\left(a_{2}\right)="_{3}+i "_{i}\left(a_{2}\right)$. In parallelw th the case of the planar lens, we
note that the denom inator of $B_{31}$ consists of tw o term $s$, one containing a power of the (sm aller) radius $a_{1}$ and the other containing a pow er of the (larger) radius $\mathrm{a}_{2}$. C rucially the am pli cation of the evanescent elds depends on the possibility that the sm aller pow er dom inates by $m$ aking the coe cient of the larger term as close as possible to zero. $T$ he presence of the im aginary part of the dielectric constant would not allow the coe cient to be zero and the im age restoration is good only as long as the term containing $a_{1}$ dom inates in the denom inator of $B_{31}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(1+1) "_{i}\left(a_{1}\right) "_{i}\left(a_{2}\right) a_{2}^{2 l+1} \quad\left[(2 l+1) "_{1} \quad i(1+1) "_{i}\left(a_{1}\right)\right]\left[\quad(2 l+1) "_{3}+i "_{i}\left(a_{2}\right)\right] a_{1}^{21+1} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ence a usefulestim ate of the extent of im age resolution can be obtained by noting the multipole lfor which the tw o term $s$ in the denom inator are approxim ately equal[14]. W e obtain for this value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln _{\ln \text { ax }}, \frac{\ln f 3 "_{1} "_{3}=\left["_{i}\left(a_{1}\right) "_{i}\left(a_{2}\right)\right] g}{2 \ln \left(a_{2}=a_{1}\right)}: \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Higher order multipoles are essentially unresolved in the im age. We can sim iliarly obtain the sam ecriterion by considering the second case of tranferring the im age of a charge located outside the spherical shell into the inner region. A gain, we can consider the e ects of deviating from the perfect lens conditions on the real part of the dielectric constant as well and obtain a sim iliar lim it for the im age resolution due to those deviations.

## IV . CONCLUSION S

In conclusion, we have presented a spherical perfect lenswhich enables magni cation of the near- eld im ages. T he perfect lens solution requires $m$ edia with " (r) $\quad 1=r$ and ( $r$ ) $\quad 1=r$ and the conditions " $\left(a_{1 ; 2}\right)=\quad$ " $\left(a_{1 ; 2}\right)$ and
$\left(a_{1 ; 2}\right)=\quad+\left(a_{1 ; 2}\right)$ at the interfaces of the spherical shell of the NRM.W e have show $n$ that in the quasi-static lim it ofsm all frequencies (! ! 0) and high-orderm ultipoles $1 \quad j j$ th is condition can be relaxed and the tw o polarizations (TE and TM modes) decouple. Thus a shellw ith negative dielectric constant " (r) $\quad 1=r$ with $\quad$ constant can act as a near- eld lens for the TM polarization while (r) $\quad 1=$ r w ith " $(r)=$ constant acts as a near- eld lens for the TE m odes. W e have shown that dissipation in the lens m aterial, how ever, prevents good resolution of higher order m ultipoles. Thus while the near- eld lenses work best for the higher ordermultipoles, dissipation cuts-o the higher order $m$ ultipoles. Further the spherical lens works in the asym $m$ etric $m$ ode only in the lim it of high order m ultipoles. Thus one has to nd an interm ediate regim e where dissipation does not $w$ ipe out the near- eld im age inform ation and yet the $m$ eta-m aterials work. $T$ his is the design challenge involving these near- eld lenses.

A ppendix: Solution of the Laplace equation in a spatially varying $m$ edium
W e have to solve the $M$ axw ells equations in $m$ aterialm edia

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \quad D=0 ; \quad) \quad r(" E)=0 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{rV}$, where $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$ is the electrostatic potentialwe have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(r) r^{2} V+r "(r) \quad r V=0 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

If " ( $r$ ) has only a radialdependence (as in our case $\quad 1=r^{2}$ ), then $r$ " $(r)=\hat{Y}(@ "=@ r)$ and we can separate the solution $\operatorname{as} V(r)=\frac{U(r)}{r} Y_{l m}(;)$ where the $Y_{l m}$ is the sphericalharm onic and the radialpart $U(r)$ satis es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
"(r) \frac{d^{2} U}{d r^{2}} \quad \frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}} U+\frac{d "}{d r} \frac{d U}{d r} \quad \frac{U}{r}=0 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

To have a solution as a single power of $r$, the only choiges possible for the dielectric constant are either " = C , a constant \{ the usual case, or $"=C=r^{2}$. In the latter case the solution is $U(r) \quad r^{+2}$ or $\left.U(r) \quad r{ }^{(1)} 1\right)$. The fill solution can then be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=X^{X^{1}} A_{l m} r^{l+1}+B_{\operatorname{lm}} r^{l} Y_{l m}(;): \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$
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