

Qualms regarding “Non-extensive Hamilton systems follow Boltzmann’s principle not Tsallis statistics—phase transitions, second law of Thermodynamics” by D. H. E. Gross, *Physica A* **305** (2002) 99

B. H. Lavenda¹ and J. Dunning-Davies²

¹Università degli Studi Camerino 62032 (MC) Italy;
email: bernard.lavenda@unicam.it

² Department of Physics, University of Hull, Hull HU6 7RX
England; email: j.dunning-davies@hull.ac.uk

Abstract

A number of elementary misconceptions on the part of the author are corrected.

The author contends that all that is needed in statistical mechanics is Boltzmann’s principle [1]

$$S = k \ln W \quad (1)$$

where S is the entropy in a *microcanonical* ensemble in the space x_1, \dots, x_m , and $W dx_1 \cdots dx_m$ is the total number of microstates in the range $dx_1 \cdots dx_m$. The author boldly states “I take the principle as *the fundamental, generic definition of entropy*.” In particular, the author discards the fundamental property of concavity [2].

Yet, his stability criterion is determined by the positive definiteness of the Hessian

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E^2} \right)_{N,V} \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial N^2} \right)_{E,V} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E \partial N} \right)_V (= \lambda_1 \lambda_2) \geq 0. \quad (2)$$

Had he considered the full set of independent, extensive variables, $S = S(E, V, N)$, he would have found that the Hessian vanishes [3]. However, (2) is not sufficient to guarantee that a twice differentiable function should be concave. It is also necessary that

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E^2} \right)_{N,V} \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial N^2} \right)_{E,V} \leq 0. \quad (3)$$

Taken together, (2) and (3) imply that the quadratic form

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E^2}\right)_{N,V} u^2 + 2\left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial E \partial N}\right)_V uv + \left(\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial N^2}\right)_{E,V} v^2 \quad (4)$$

be negative for all u, v . This is a necessary and sufficient condition that $S(E, V, N)$ be concave in any two of its variables [4]. These conditions are well-known in thermodynamics [5], and show that the concavity criterion is crucial to thermodynamic stability.

The author claims that a single phase exists if $\lambda_1 < 0$ since $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2$, and this makes the Hessian, (2) positive definite. This is false since all three conditions, (2) and (3), are required. According to the author, the criterion for a transition of first-order with phase separation is $\lambda_1 > 0$. The flattening of the entropy potential at the critical point corresponds to a failure of the concavity requirements. According to the generally accepted classification of phase transitions [6], first-order phase transitions are those in which the energy and volume change discontinuously, whereas phase transitions of the second kind manifest singularities in the derivatives of the energy and volume with respect to the temperature and pressure, (*i.e.*, heat capacity at constant volume, coefficient of thermal expansion, and isothermal compressibility), while the energy and volume vary continuously.¹

The eigenvalues of the quadratic form,

$$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2}(S_{NN} + S_{EE}) \pm \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(S_{NN} - S_{EE})^2 + 4S_{NE}^2},$$

where the subscripts indicate partial derivatives, are devoid of any physical meaning. Rather, one must complete the square in order to obtain coefficients of a quadratic form that are given in terms of the principal minors of the compliance matrix [6]. Hence, the condition that $\lambda_1 = 0$ is not a condition for a critical point, contrary to what the author claims.

Gross also mentions that in the canonical ensemble the mean value of the energy is fixed by the temperature, $1/\beta$. Rather, it is β which is the estimable parameter [7, 3], and since the canonical distribution belongs to the exponential family, any energy of a sample system belonging to a population that is believed to be at uniform β , or the average of the energies of the sample systems of the entire population, is a sufficient statistic to estimate β . That is to say, a finer division of the system does not give a better estimate of β .

Furthermore, the statement that in order to agree with the microcanonical ensemble, $e^{-\beta E}W(E)$ must be sharp in E has no meaning since β is not defined in a microcanonical ensemble. Recall that there are two very distinct operations after W has been equated to the thermodynamic probability [8]. Firstly, determining the maximum of W fixes the most probable state of the isolated

¹The distinction between transitions of the second kind and those of second-order is that the latter term implies that the compliance coefficients have discontinuities at the critical temperature, but have finite values in both phases. In transitions of the second kind, the compliance coefficients become singular [6].

system *by itself*. That is, the greatest number of complexions that correspond to a single macroscopic state. Secondly, the system is placed in thermal contact with the *outside world*, and the second law $\partial S/\partial E = 1/T$ relates the thermodynamic entropy, determined by the maximum of W , to the temperature T of the outside world.

Gross also asserts that the extensivity (additivity) of the entropy is not required since Boltzmann's principle "*is all that Statistical Mechanics demands*". For a statistically independent assemblies, the joint probability reduces to the product of the individual probabilities

$$W_{12} = W_1 W_2. \quad (5)$$

Then by Boltzmann's principle (1) it follows that

$$S_{12} = S_1 + S_2. \quad (6)$$

Hence, extensivity (additivity) is already incorporated in Boltzmann's principle. The argument is based on the fact that the thermodynamic probability W is to be treated as a true probability, which it is not. Any attempt to normalize it leads to a term which for all intent and purposes is unity [8]. Moreover, we know that the entropies of two bodies add when surface interactions are neglected and both are at the same temperature. Again Fowler questions the fact that the two bodies cannot be statistically independent because one body 'knows' the temperature of the other. Yet, if we consider initially one body as isolated and place it in thermal contact with a second body which represents the outside world then the temperature of the first body will be equal to the second body, which acts as a heat reservoir, when thermal equilibrium is achieved. This is the second step in Boltzmann's argument. In any event, extensivity (additivity) is built into Boltzmann's principle through the logarithmic relation between entropy and probability.

Finally, to rely completely on Boltzmann's principle to construct a complete statistical mechanics is inadequate since Boltzmann's principle provides only part of the probability distribution. On account of the logarithmic dependence (1), this probability distribution turns out to be an error law for an extensive variable which equates the most probable value of this quantity to the arithmetic mean of the measurements [9, 3].

References

- [1] A. I. Khinchin, *Mathematical Foundations of Statistical Mechanics* (Dover Publications, New York, 1949).
- [2] B. H. Lavenda and J. Dunning-Davies, *Found. Phys. Lett.* **5** (1990) 435.
- [3] B. H. Lavenda, *Statistical Physics: A Probabilistic Approach* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1991).

- [4] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed. (Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 1952).
- [5] H. B. Callen, *Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics*, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1985).
- [6] L. Tisza, *Generalized Thermodynamics* (M.I.T. Press, Cambridge MA, 1966).
- [7] B. Mandelbrot, *IRE Trans. Inform. Theory* **IT-2** (1956) 190.
- [8] R. H. Fowler, *Statistical Mechanics* (Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, 1936).
- [9] J. M. Keynes, *A Treatise on Probability* (St. Martin's Press, New York, 1921).