

BCS-BEC crossover at finite temperature for superfluid trapped Fermi atoms

A. Perali, P. Pieri, L. Pisani, and G. C. Strinati

Dipartimento di Fisica, UdR INFN, Università di Camerino, I-62032 Camerino, Italy

We consider the BCS-BEC crossover for a system of trapped Fermi atoms at finite temperature, both below and above the superfluid critical temperature, by including fluctuations beyond mean field. We determine the superfluid critical temperature and the pair-breaking temperature as functions of the attractive interaction between Fermi atoms, from the weak- to the strong-coupling limit (where bosonic molecules form as bound-fermion pairs). Density profiles in the trap are also obtained for all temperatures and couplings.

PACS number(s): 03.75.Hh, 03.75.Ss

Recent experimental advances with trapped Fermi atoms enable one to reach considerably lower temperatures than obtained previously, as well as to vary the effective attraction between Fermi atoms via Fano-Feshbach resonances¹. It then becomes possible to reach conditions where Cooper pairs of Fermi atoms form in weak coupling below the superfluid critical temperature T_c , and composite bosons form and Bose-Einstein condense in strong coupling. It thus appears relevant to formulate a theory of the BCS-BEC crossover for trapped Fermi atoms for all temperatures in the broken-symmetry phase below T_c , connecting it with continuity to the results for the normal phase above T_c . This is the main task of the present paper, where a unified theoretical framework is set up for all temperatures and couplings.

Limited theoretical results are so far available for the BCS-BEC crossover in a trap. A previous study of the density profiles over the whole crossover has dealt with the zero-temperature case within a mean-field approach². Finite temperatures below T_c have been considered within mean field for a single coupling value in the weak-to-intermediate region³. Fluctuations over and above mean field have been included over the whole crossover for temperatures above T_c .^{4;5}

In the present paper, we provide a systematic study of the whole BCS-BEC crossover in a trap by including fluctuations beyond mean field, for all temperatures below and above T_c , and up to the pair-breaking temperature T^* . Below the critical temperature, our theory recovers the BCS results in weak coupling and the Bogoliubov description for the composite bosons in strong coupling, and provides an interpolation scheme in the intermediate (crossover) region where no small parameter exists for controlling the approximations of many-body theory.

Study of the BCS-BEC crossover started with the pioneering work by Eagles for low-carrier doped superconductors⁶. A systematic approach to the problem was later given by Leggett⁷, who showed that a smooth crossover from a BCS ground state of overlapping Cooper pairs to a condensate of composite bosons occurs as the strength of the fermionic attraction is in-

creased. This study was later extended to finite temperatures above T_c by Nozières and Schmitt-Rink with the use of diagrammatic methods⁸. Extension of this approach to trapped fermions has relied so far mostly on a local Thomas-Fermi (TF) approximation^{3;4;2}. This local approximation is also adopted in the present paper.

Our main results for the BCS-BEC crossover in a trap at finite temperature are the following:

- (i) We find that the critical temperature T_c increases monotonically from weak to strong coupling, reaching eventually the value of the Bose-Einstein temperature for the composite bosons in the trap. Correspondingly, no maximum is found in the intermediate-coupling region for the trapped case. The presence of this maximum was instead found with the same diagrammatic theory formulated for the homogeneous case⁸.
- (ii) We find that in the intermediate-to-strong coupling region the density profiles show a characteristic secondary peak located away from the trap center, at temperatures below but close to T_c . The occurrence of this peak is due to the combined presence of condensed and noncondensed composite bosons. We find that this peak survives up to couplings near the crossover region, such that the residual interaction between the composite bosons is strong enough for the peak to be well pronounced. In this way, this peak could be experimentally accessible, providing one with a characteristic feature of the superfluid state.
- (iii) We find that the "pairing fluctuation" region between T_c and T^* , where precursor pairing effects should occur, is considerably reduced in the trap with respect to the homogeneous case. Pseudogap phenomena are thus expected to be very much reduced for trapped Fermi atoms, with respect to what occurs for high-temperature superconductors⁹.

The system we consider is a gas of Fermi atoms confined in a trap by a harmonic spherical potential $V(r)$ (where r measures the distance from the trap center). The Fermi atoms equally populate two spin (hyperfine) states and are mutually interacting via a point-contact (s-wave) attraction. This attraction is suitably regularized via the scattering length a_F of the associated (fermionic) two-body problem. The coupling strength is then identified with the dimensionless pa-

parameter $(k_F a_F)^{-1}$, where the Fermi wave vector k_F is related to the Fermi energy $E_F = (3N)^{1/3}$ for non-interacting fermions in the trap¹⁰ by $E_F = k_F^2/(2m)$. Here, N is the total number of Fermi atoms, β the trap frequency, and m the fermion mass (we set $\hbar = 1$ throughout). In principle, $(k_F a_F)^{-1}$ corresponds to the (extreme) weak-coupling and $(k_F a_F)^{-1} \rightarrow +1$ to the (extreme) strong-coupling limit. In practice, the crossover between these limits occurs in the limited interval $-1 < (k_F a_F)^{-1} < +1$.¹¹

The many-body diagrammatic structure for the homogeneous case is considerably simplified by the use of the above regularization¹³. In particular, in the broken-symmetry phase below T_c a diagrammatic theory for the BCS-BEC crossover can be set up¹⁵ in the spirit of the t-matrix approximation¹⁶. This theory includes fluctuation corrections to the BCS results in weak coupling and describes the composite bosons in strong coupling by the Bogoliubov theory¹⁷. In the present paper, we extend this approach to the trapped case, by adopting a local TF approximation to take into account the trapping potential. This local approximation is implemented by replacing the chemical potential μ , whenever it occurs in the single-particle self-energy and Green's functions, by the local expression $\mu(r) = \mu V(r)$. At the same time, the order parameter ψ is replaced by a local function $\psi(r)$ to be determined consistently.

Quite generally, in the BCS-BEC crossover approach the chemical potential is strongly renormalized when passing from the weak- to the strong-coupling limit. In our case, the coupled equations for the chemical potential and the local order parameter $\psi(r)$ are:

$$\mu(r) = \frac{4 a_F}{m} \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{s} \sum_s G_{12}(k; \beta_s; \psi(r); \psi(r)) \quad (1)$$

$$N = 8 \int dr r^2 \int \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{s} \sum_s e^{i\beta_s 0^+} G_{11}(k; \beta_s; \psi(r); \psi(r)) \quad (2)$$

where k is a wave vector and $\beta_s = (2s + 1) = (s \text{ integer})$ a fermionic Matsubara frequency (β being the inverse temperature). In the above expressions, $G_{12}(k; \beta_s; \psi(r); \psi(r))$ is obtained from the BCS anomalous single-particle Green's function $G = (E(k)^2 + \beta_s^2)^{-1}$ (with $E(k) = \sqrt{k^2 + \beta_s^2}$ and $k = k_F^2/(2m)$), by replacing μ with $\mu(r)$ and ψ with $\psi(r)$; the dressed normal single-particle Green's function $G_{11}(k; \beta_s; \psi(r); \psi(r))$ is obtained by a similar replacement made on the function $G_{11}(k; \beta_s)$, which contains fluctuation corrections beyond mean field.

The quantity $G_{11}(k; \beta_s)$ results from the solution of the 2 × 2 Dyson's equation in Nambu notation, with self-

energy

$$\begin{aligned} G_{11}(k; \beta_s) &= G_{22}(k; \beta_s) \\ &= \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{s} \sum_s G_{11}(q; \beta_s) G_{11}(q; \beta_s) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$G_{12}(k; \beta_s) = G_{21}(k; \beta_s) = \quad (4)$$

where $\beta_s = 2\pi s / \beta$ (s integer) is a bosonic Matsubara frequency. Here, $G_{11}(k) = (E(k) + i\beta_s) = (E(k)^2 + \beta_s^2)^{-1}$ is the BCS normal single-particle Green's function and $G_{11}(q) = G_{11}(q) = [G_{11}(q) G_{11}(q) - G_{12}(q)^2]$ is the normal pair propagator, with

$$G_{11}(q) = \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{s} \sum_s G_{11}(k+q) G_{11}(k) \quad (5)$$

$$G_{12}(q) = \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{s} \sum_s G_{12}(k+q) G_{21}(k) \quad (6)$$

and the four-vector notation $k = (k; \beta_s)$ and $q = (q; \beta_s)$.

Neglecting the diagonal elements (3) of the self-energy results in the BCS (mean-field) approximation. When extrapolated toward strong coupling, this approximation accounts for the formation of bound-fermion pairs upon lowering the temperature below T_c . Inclusion of the diagonal elements (3) of the self-energy is required to describe condensation of these pairs at the lower temperature T_c . In strong coupling, the normal pair propagator G_{11} (together with its anomalous counterpart) reduce to the propagators for composite bosons within the Bogoliubov approximation. Above T_c , the diagonal elements (3) correspond to the t-matrix approximation in the normal phase.

Figure 1 compares the temperature vs coupling phase diagram for the trapped (t) and homogeneous (h) case, where T_c and T are identified (and normalized to the respective Fermi temperature T_F for the two cases). The temperatures T_c and T are obtained by solving the coupled equations (1) and (2) when $\mu(r) = 0$, with and without inclusion of the diagonal self-energy (3), in the order.

Note that T_c^t increases monotonically from weak to strong coupling, approaching the value $T_{BE} = 0.94! (N=2)^{1/3}$ of the Bose-Einstein temperature for the composite bosons in the trap¹⁰ (with the same trap frequency for fermions and composite bosons). No maximum for T_c is thus found in the intermediate-coupling region for the trapped case, contrary to the homogeneous case where a maximum occurs at $(k_F a_F)^{-1} = 0.35$. This behavior is consistent with the fact that, for a dilute Bose gas, interaction effects lead to a positive (negative) shift of the critical temperature in the homogeneous (trapped) case¹⁹. Together with the vanishing of T_c in weak coupling, this implies that (at least) one maximum must be

present for the homogeneous case, while the presence of a maximum is not required for the trapped case. It is encouraging that our approximate theory leads to curves for the critical temperature in line with these general expectations.

Note further that the "pairing fluctuation" region of the phase diagram, delimited in each case by the curves T_c and T^* ,




FIG. 1. Temperature vs coupling phase diagram for the trapped (full lines) and homogeneous (dashed lines) case, with the critical temperature T_c and the pair-breaking temperature T^* shown for the two cases. Each temperature is normalized to the respective Fermi temperature T_F . With this normalization the phase diagram is valid also for anisotropic traps.

is considerably reduced in the trap with respect to the homogeneous case. In the strong-coupling limit, the reduction of the pairing fluctuation region stems from the difference in the density of states $D(\epsilon)$ at energy ϵ for noninteracting particles, when passing from the homogeneous ($D_h(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^{1/2}$) to the trapped ($D_t(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^{3/2}$) case. This difference is, in fact, known to account for the larger value of $(T_{BE} = T_F)_t$ with respect to $(T_{BE} = T_F)_h$ ¹⁰. By a similar token, it can be shown that the same difference in the density of states accounts for the smaller value of $(T^* = T_F)_t$ with respect to $(T^* = T_F)_h$.

Figure 2 shows the density profiles $n(r) = N^{-1} \int_0^r dr' r'^2 n(r') = 1$ vs r/R_F for three characteristic couplings, from $T = 0$ to T^* (where $R_F = \sqrt{2E_F}/(\hbar^2/m^2)$ is the TF radius for noninteracting fermions in the trap). On the weak-coupling side of the crossover ($(k_F a_F)^{-1} = 1.0$), $n(r)$ depends mildly on temperature. In weak coupling, no information can thus be extracted from $n(r)$ about when the superfluid phase is entered. For intermediate couplings ($(k_F a_F)^{-1} = 0.0$), $n(r)$ starts to depend sensibly on temperature. Eventually in strong coupling ($(k_F a_F)^{-1} = -1.0$), $n(r)$ shows a marked temperature dependence. In this case, the broad density profile at T corresponds to a system of noninteracting fermions at the same temperature. Upon lowering the temperature,

the density profile shrinks considerably and $n(r=0)$ increases correspondingly, as expected for a system of weakly-interacting (composite) bosons²⁰.




FIG. 2. Density profile $n(r) = N^{-1} \int_0^r dr' r'^2 n(r')$ vs r/R_F for three coupling values and several temperatures from $T = 0$ to T^* .

Note, in addition, the presence of a secondary peak away from the trap center, that show up at temperatures below but close to T_c (this peak is most evident at about $0.7T_c$). The presence of this peak stems from the ability of our theory to recover the Bogoliubov approximation for the composite bosons. The presence of this peak was, in fact, predicted for a weakly-interacting trapped Bose gas²¹. Our results show that this peak appears not only in the strong-coupling limit (which corresponds to weakly-interacting bosons), but also in the crossover region. In this region, the residual interaction between the composite bosons is sufficiently strong for the peak to be well pronounced over the background, contrary to what occurs for weakly-interacting bosons. In this way, the presence of the secondary peak in $n(r)$ below T_c could be subject to experimental testing, providing one with a characteristic signature of the superfluid state.

It is interesting to separate the total density $n(r)$ for a given coupling into three components, namely, $n_F(r)$ for unbound fermions, $n_0(r)$ for condensed pairs, and $n^0(r)$ for noncondensed pairs. These components are obtained from expressions similar to (2), with G_{11} therein replaced, respectively, by the Green's function G_0 for non-interacting fermions and by the differences $G_{11} - G_0$ and $G_{11} - G_{11}$. By this procedure we project out from the many-body state its fermionic and bosonic character, not only in the extreme weak- and strong-coupling regimes where these components have independent physical reality, but also in the intermediate-coupling region of interest.




FIG. 3. Partial density profiles $n(r) = N(r)/N$ for $(k_F a_F)^{-1} = 0.0$ and $T = 0.8T_c$: $n_F(r) = N$ (full line), $n_0(r) = N$ (dashed line), and $n^0(r) = N$ (dotted line).

The three components are plotted in Fig. 3 for the coupling value $(k_F a_F)^{-1} = 0.0$ and the temperature $0.8T_c$. A layered structure results for these densities, from an inner core of Bose superfluid to an outer layer of normal Fermi liquid, in agreement with recent arguments for a dilute atomic-molecular Fermi cloud²². Note that, for this intermediate coupling, the component $n^0(r)$ obtained by including fluctuations dominates over the condensate component $n_0(r)$ that results from mean field. This implies that, already in the intermediate-coupling region, it is not possible to rely only on a mean-field calculation³ to obtain density profiles for trapped fermions at finite temperature.

In conclusion, with a single theory that includes fluctuations beyond mean field, both below and above the critical temperature, we have studied the BCS-BEC crossover for a system of trapped Fermi atoms at finite temperature. Novel features, peculiar to the trapped case, have been contrasted with the results for the homogeneous case.

We are indebted to G. Modugno for discussions. Financial support from the Italian MIUR under contract COFIN 2001 Prot. 2001023848 is gratefully acknowledged.

edged.

- ¹ K. M. O'Hara et al., *Science* 298, 2179 (2002); A. Simoni et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 90, 163202 (2003); S. Gupta et al., *Science* 300, 1723 (2003); C. A. Regal et al., *Nature* 424, 47 (2003); K. E. Strecker et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91, 080406 (2003); J. Cubizolles et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91, 240401 (2003); S. Jochim et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91, 240402 (2003); M. Greiner et al., *Nature* 426, 537 (2003).
- ² A. Perali, P. Pieri, and G. C. Strinati, *Phys. Rev. A* 68, 031601(R) (2003).
- ³ M. L. Chiofalo et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 090402 (2002).
- ⁴ Y. Ohashi and A. Gri n, *Phys. Rev. A* 67, 033603 (2003); *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89, 130402 (2002).
- ⁵ J. N. M. Istein, S. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, and M. J. Holland, *Phys. Rev. A* 66, 043604 (2002).
- ⁶ D. M. Eagles, *Phys. Rev.* 186, 456 (1969).
- ⁷ A. J. Leggett, in *Modern Trends in the Theory of Condensed Matter*, ed. by A. Pekalski and R. Przystawa, *Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 115* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980), p. 13.
- ⁸ P. Nozières and S. Schmitt-Rink, *J. Low. Temp. Phys.* 59, 195 (1985).
- ⁹ T. Tink and B. W. Statt, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 62, 61 (1999); A. Damascelli, Z. Hussain, and Z.-X. Shen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 75, 473 (2003).
- ¹⁰ C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002).
- ¹¹ Arguments have been suggested to perform the BCS-BEC crossover in reverse, starting from a condensate of composite bosons and reaching eventually a BCS state of fermions. These include theoretical (since a description of composite bosons in strong coupling contains also the BCS state in weak coupling^{12,13}, while the vice versa is usually not true) and experimental arguments (as to achieve a highly degenerate Fermi gas in a trap more efficiently¹⁴).
- ¹² F. Pistolesi and G. C. Strinati, *Phys. Rev. B* 53, 15168 (1996).
- ¹³ P. Pieri and G. C. Strinati, *Phys. Rev. B* 61, 15370 (2000).
- ¹⁴ L. D. Carr, G. N. Shlyapnikov, and Y. Castin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 92, 150404 (2004).
- ¹⁵ P. Pieri, L. Pisani, and G. C. Strinati, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 92, 110401 (2004).
- ¹⁶ The many-body t-matrix depends on the energy and the wave vectors of the incoming particles, as well as on temperature T and chemical potential μ . In this way, its value does not coincide with the two-body expression $4a_m$ and thus does not diverge when the two-body resonance is crossed. The t-matrix self-energy is thus "unitarity" limited in the many-body sense.
- ¹⁷ The Bogoliubov description of the composite bosons is here associated with the value $a_B = 2a_F$ for the bosonic scattering length a_B , which amounts to the Born approximation for the scattering between composite bosons¹³. A complete treatment of the composite boson scattering problem leads to a reduction of a_B by about a factor of $3^{13,18}$. Owing to the very slow dependence of the density profile on a_B in strong-coupling, we expect this difference to make no significant change in this quantity.

- ¹⁸ D . S . Petrov, C . Salom on, and G . V . Shlyapnikov, cond-m at/0309010.
- ¹⁹ M . Holzm ann, J N . Fuchs, G . Baym , J P . Blaizot, and F . Lalbe, cond-m at/0310460.
- ²⁰ F . D alfovo, S . G iorgini, L P . P itaevskii, and S . Stringari, Rev. M od. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- ²¹ D A W . Hutchinson, E . Zaremba, and A . G ri n, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1842 (1997).
- ²² A . Bulgac, cond-m at/0309358.