arXiv:cond-mat/0311340v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 14 Nov 2003

Dynamic roughening and fluctuations of dipolar chains

Renaud Toussaint,[1] Geir Helgesen,[2] and Eirik G. Flekkøy[1]

[1] *Department of Physics, University of Oslo, P.O. Box 1048 Blindern, N-0316 Oslo, Norway*
[2] *Department of Physics, Institute for Energy Technology, N-2027 Kjeller, Norway*

(Dated: 22nd March 2024)

Nonmagnetic particles in a carrier ferrofluid acquire an effective dipolar moment when placed in an external magnetic field. This fact leads them to form chains that will roughen due to Brownian motion when the magnetic field is decreased. We study this process through experiments, theory and simulations, three methods that agree on the scaling behavior over 5 orders of magnitude. The RMS width goes initially as $t^{1=2}$, then as $t^{1=4}$ before it saturates. We show how these results complement existing results on polymer chains, and how the chain dynamics may be described by a recent non-Markovian formulation of anomalous diffusion.

PACS numbers: 82.70.Dd; 75.50.Mm; 05.40.-a; 83.10.Pp; 83.80.Gv

Magnetic holes [1, 2, 3] are nonmagnetic micrometre sized spheres suspended in a ferrofluid, much larger than the magnetites in suspension (nm). In an external magnetic field H , these holes acquire an effective dipolar moment equal to the opposite of the dipolar moment of the displaced ferrofluid. This is true for any non-magnetic material that makes up the spheres. When placed between two non-magnetic glass plates the spheres aquire interactions that may be fine tuned to produce well defined separation distances and inter particle forces. We have recently obtained the analytic form of these interactions and verified it experimentally [2]. Among the many intriguing structures that may be predicted from this theory are particle chains.

Collective Brownian motion in particle chains have been studied extensively [4] over the last decade. In general this motion is governed by a complex interplay of different mechanisms such as hydrodynamic particle–particle interactions, particle and fluid inertia, chain-chain interactions, Brownian forces and direct viscous drag forces. The magnetic hole chains represent a simple prototype system that is designed to eliminate all but the essential mechanisms needed to produce non-trivial collective behavior. The isolation of these mechanisms, which are Brownian fluctuations, viscous drag and an anisotropic interparticle attraction, allows a straightforward theoretical treatment of the kinetic roughening process. These predictions are confirmed both by our experiments and simulations over 5 orders of magnitude in the dynamic domain. The size of this domain along with the theoretical simplicity of the model appears to allow significantly more conclusive statements both on the scaling behavior of the chain motion and on the associated prefactors, than in existing works.

By studying dynamic roughening of initially straight chains we make contact with the theory of kinetic interface growth processes. It is shown that since the long range hydrodynamic interactions are eliminated in our setup by the presence of confining interfaces at a distance shorter than the chain length, the continuum description of the chain roughening process conforms to the Edwards-Wilkinson equation [5]. The model developped here is also a discrete generalization of a Rouse model [6], first developped to study polymer dynamics.




Figure 1: Typical dynamic roughening of dipolar chains of 52 magnetic holes of diameter 4 m after a sudden decrease of the external magnetic field. Experiments are shown in gray and simulations black and white.

In the end we will show how the Markovian N-particle description of the entire chain may be be contracted to a non-Markovian description of a single particle in the chain. This is done by integrating out all interaction degrees of freedom. What is left is a generalized Langevin equation with long term memory. It has recently been shown how such an equation may be used to predict anomalous diffusion exponents [7], and indeed these exponents coincide with our independent predictions and measurements.

The ability of particle chains to change the rheological properties of their carrier fluids has given rise to practical applications and designs such as dampers, hydraulic valves, clutches and brakes [8]. In constant fields (electric or magnetic, depending on the nature of the dipoles), these chains aggregate laterally [9] due to their thermal fluctuations. Therefore, the precise quantification and understanding of these fluctuations along an *isolated* dipolar chain is an important component to understand the aggregation phenomena in constant fields of MR [10] or ER [11] fluids, as well as in systems of magnetic holes [12].

We note that also by coating the microspheres with bio-active materials, such as streptavidin [13], they may be used for the direct manipulation of single strands of

DNA. For such application the quantitative control of Brownian fluctuations is essential.

In the experiments monodisperse polystyrene spheres [14] of diameters $a = 3.0$ or 4.0 m were dispersed in a kerosene based ferrofluid [15] of susceptibility $= 0.8$ and viscosity $= 6 \quad 10^{3}$ Pa s, inside a glass cell of size 38 mm 8 mm 10 m. A pair of outside coils produced magnetic field strengths up to $H = 20$ Oe. The setup was mounted under an optical microscope with an attached video camera recording four frames per second. Low volume fractions ($< 1\%$) of microspheres were used and chains were grown [12] by applying a constant field of about $H = 18$ Oe parallel to the thin ferrofluid layer for about 20 minutes. The cell was searched for long isolated chains of 30-120 spheres. The field was then reduced to a constant value H in the range 2 Oe H 10 Oe while the motion of one long chain was recorded and analyzed. One pixel of the video image corresponded to 0.5 m, and the uncertainty in particle position could be reduced to 0.2 m by utilizing the intensity profile of the pixels showing the particle. The experiments illustrated in Fig. 1 are challenging in part because this accuracy is needed to reveal the scaling behavior of the chains.

In order to obtain an equation of motion for chains we define the lateral displacement of particle i, h_i, from the initial straight line. A particle pair at a separation r and angle to the external magnetic field, experiences a coupling energy $U = {}_f{}^2 (1 \quad 3\cos^2)/(4 r^3)$ where $= V H$ [1, 16], and V is the hole's volume and $= 3 /(3 + 2)$ takes into account the demagnetization factor of spherical particles. The ratio of the maximum interaction energy over the thermal energy $k_B T$ characteristic of the random forces due to the molecular motion in the solvent is defined as [17] $= ({}_f{}^2)/(2 a^3 kT)$. In Fig. 1 the initial value $= 866$ is reduced to $= 24$. Neglecting all magnetic interactions but the nearest neighbor ones and performing a Taylor expansion of the magnetic interaction potential around the minimal energy configuration, a straight line with spacing a, the lateral component of the magnetic force on sphere i is $F_i^M = (h_{i+1} \quad 2h_i + h_{i\ 1})$, with $= {}_f{}^2 H^2 a/12 = 6 \quad kT/a$ Since the Reynolds number in this system is very small (typically $\mathrm{Re} = 10^{\ 5}$), the hydrodynamic forces are linear in the particle velocity, and $F_i^H = \dot{h}_i$ where $= 3 \quad a$.

Newton's second law for the i-th sphere is then

$$m \ddot{h}_i = F_i^M + F_i^H + {}_i(t) \qquad (1)$$

where the fluctuating force ${}_i(t)$ is due to the molecular nature of the fluid and gives rise to the Brownian motion of the particle. At time scales exceeding the viscous damping time $t_m = m/ = a^2/18 \quad 10^{\ 7}$ s, the inertial term $m \ddot{h}_i$ is negligible. Due to the presence of confining plates the inertial motion in the fluid also decays on this time scale, so that we can neglect any non-Markovian corrections to the above equations as well as such corrections in the fluctuating force [18]. We may therefore write $\langle {}_i(t) {}_j(0)\rangle = 2 k_B T \ (t) {}_{ij}$, where the prefactor reflects the equipartition of particle kinetic energy, i.e. $k_B T = \frac{1}{2} m \langle \dot{h}_i^2 \rangle$ [19]. Combining the above equations Eq. (1) can be written

$$\dot{h}_i = \ (h_{i+1} + h_{i\ 1} \quad 2h_i) + \frac{1}{} {}_i(t) : \qquad (2)$$

For spatial scales above a and times above t_m, the above reduces to the Edwards–Wilkinson equation [5] $\partial h/\partial t = a^2 \partial^2 h/\partial^2 x^2 + {}_i(t)$; also known in polymer dynamics as the Rouse model [6].

We consider an isolated chain of N particles, and are interested in the dynamic roughening of the chain. To observe this experimentally is decreased from a value ${}_0 \quad 1$, to a finite value still greater than 1 (to ensure that the chain does not melt), and the root mean square width of the displacements along the chain is recorded.

It is convenient to describe this by using the discrete space-Fourier transform along the chain $\tilde{h}_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} h_j e^{\ 2i \ nj/N}$, for $n = 0..N \quad 1$. Equation (2) then takes the form

$$\dot{\tilde{h}}_n = \ !_n \tilde{h}_n + \tilde{}_n/ \ ; \qquad (3)$$

with the dispersion relation $!_n = 2 \ (1 \ \cos(2 \ n/N))/ \ ;$ and random terms obeying $\langle \tilde{}_m(t) \tilde{}_n(0)\rangle = 2 k_B T \ (t) {}_{m\,n}/N$: Equation (3) is easily solved to give

$$\tilde{h}_n(t) = \tilde{h}_n(0) e^{\ !_n t} + \int_0^t dt' e^{\ !_n (t\ t')} \tilde{}_n(t')/ \ : \qquad (4)$$

Setting $\tilde{h}_n(0) = 0$ and taking the thermodynamic average of the square of the above equation leads to

$$\langle \tilde{h}_n(t) \tilde{h}_m(t)\rangle = \frac{k_B T}{N} \frac{1 \quad e^{\ 2!_n t}}{!_n} {}_{m\,n} : \qquad (5)$$

for $n \neq 0$, and $\langle \tilde{h}_0(t) \rangle^2 = (2 k_B T/N) t$. It is seen from Eq. (5) that each Fourier mode is initially in a free diffusion regime, $\langle \tilde{h}_n(t) \rangle^2 \ t$, for $t \quad {}_n = 1/2!_n$ and saturates when $t \quad {}_n = 1/2!_n$. The minimum and maximum saturation times are respectively ${}_{N/2} = \ = \ = 8$ and ${}_1 = N^2/ {}^2$ for the shortest and longest wavelength.

We are interested in the mean square width of the chain $W^2 = \sum_i \langle (h_i \quad \sum_j h_j/N)^2 \rangle/N = \sum_{n=1}^{N\ 1} \langle \tilde{h}_n \rangle^2$. When t all Fourier modes are in free diffusion and $W^2 \quad 2k_B T t/ \ :$ This result arises only because of the existence of a shortest wavelength a in the system. In the continuum limit $a \ ! \ 0$, $\ ! \ 0$ and this regime does not exist. Later on the modes associated with progressively longer wavelengths reach their saturated states and this is reflected in a new scaling behavior of W . By inserting Eq. (5) in the above expression for W^2 it is straightforward to show that it satisfies the Family-Vicsek scaling




Figure 2: Scaling of the random mean square width of the transverse displacements: theory, experiments and brownian dynamics result. The units are Oe, m and seconds for H, a, W and t respectively.




Figure 3: Power spectrum of the space Fourier transform of the fluctuations normalized by time: scaling of the autocorrelation function in time and space. The theory is given by Eq. (6).

form $W^2 = N F(t/N^2)$. Moreover, the exact form of F may be obtained. Along with the expressions for , and this gives the result

$$\frac{W^2 H^2 a}{N k_B T} = \begin{cases} \frac{2N}{3}\sqrt{\frac{H^2}{N^2}t} & \text{when } t \\ \frac{8}{3\pi^2}\sqrt{\frac{H^2}{N^2}t} & \text{when } t \quad N^2/\pi^2 \\ \frac{2}{\pi^2} & \text{when } t \quad N^2/\pi^2 \end{cases} :$$

The hydrodynamic coupling between the particles and the confining plates was taken into account by renormalizing the drag coefficient as $\zeta = \zeta_0 = 2/[1 - 9a/16d] \quad 1 = 1{:}40$ (1:7) for $a = 3$ m (4 m) [20]. This scaling law was checked in 15 experiments where chains of $N = 36$ to 59 spheres of diameters 3 or 4 m were allowed to evolve from states where was reduced to values between 2.7 and 267. In Fig. 2 the average of the scaled width $W H \sqrt{a/N}$ is displayed as a function of the scaled time $H^2 t/N^2$. The average is taken both over time intervals of $0.01t$ and over different experiments.

The relevance of the long-range nature of the dipolar interactions and of the linearization of the magnetic interactions was studied via Brownian dynamics simulations, where Eq. (1) without the $m h_0$ term was solved, this time with the full dipolar form of the magnetic interactions computed for every particle pair. A repulsive potential $\exp(-100r/a)$ when $r < a$ was used to prevent any significant overlaps. The average width over 100 simulations was evaluated for $N = 36$, $a = 3$ m and $H = 4$ Oe. Figure 2 demonstrates that both the simulations and theoretical results agree with experimental measurements in all three scaling regimes, although the crossover between the $t^{1/2}$ and $t^{1/4}$ regimes extend over a full decade. The $W \quad t^{1/4}$ regime is visible over roughly 2.5 decades. The high variance around the average of W in the saturated regime comes from the small number (3) of experiments that were carried out at this reduced time, along with the fact that this regime is sensitive to the longest wavelength, for which the variance of the amplitude is highest (it scales as $1/\omega_n$).

It is also possible to obtain the dynamic scaling from the equilibrium behavior. For this purpose consider the departure h_i from an arbitrary initial configuration, i.e. $h_i(t;t_0) = h_i(t+t_0) - h_i(t_0)$. Using Eq. (4) again we find that the averaged space Fourier transform of $h_i(t;t_0)$ obeys

$$\frac{\langle |\tilde{h}_n(t;t_0)|^2 \rangle}{A t} = \frac{1 - e^{-\omega_n t}}{\omega_n t} ; \qquad (6)$$

where $A = 2k_B T/3 \quad aN$. Above we have used the saturation level $\langle |\tilde{h}_n(t_0)|^2 \rangle = k_B T/N\omega_n$ from Eq. (5). Comparing this expression with Eq. (5) shows that the lateral fluctuations at equilibrium behave similarly to the lateral displacements starting from a straight chain during the non-equilibrium roughening stage, but display an amplitude $\sqrt{2}$ times larger and develop 2 times more slowly.

In Fig. 3 we have compared the theory of Eq. (6) to $N = 57$, $a = 3$ m experiments with $= 113$ and 18:1, for which $= 0{:}022$ s and 0:14 s. For each t the power spectrum $|\tilde{h}_n(t;t_0)|^2$, calculated using a Hamming window, was averaged over all possible t_0's in the 30 minutes the experiment lasted.

The agreement between these experiments and this theory, where there are no free parameters, is satisfactory. Note that ω_n was evaluated using its discrete form. Using the continuous asymptotic limit of the Rouse model, $\omega_n = \omega_1 n^2$ instead, would result in systematic deviations from this model for large wavenumbers.

Finally, we sketch the structure of the connection be-

tween the present problem and the general framework of anomalous diffusion of particles in systems with memory [7]. We consider particle $i = 0$ and use Eq. (1) to integrate out the $i \neq 0$ variables. By applying time Fourier transforms and neglecting all but the $i = 0$ mass it is possible to arrive at [21]

$$m \hat{h}_0(!) = \quad m \hat{}(!)\hat{h}_0(!) + \hat{F}(!) \tag{7}$$

where the time Fourier transform is denoted by hat symbols, $F(t)$ is the fluctuating part of the force and $\tilde{}(!) = \sqrt{(4=m)} \quad =i!$ for $1= _1 \quad ! \quad 1=$ and $\hat{}(!)$ $\sqrt{(4=m)} \quad _1=i$ for $! \quad 1= _1$. The inverse Fourier transform of Eq. (7) is a Generalized Langevin Equation of the Mori-Lee form [7],

$$m h_0(t) = \quad m \int_0^t dt_1 \quad (t \quad t_1)h_0(t_1) + F(t): \tag{8}$$

From the exact form of $F(t)$ [21] the fluctuation dissipation theorem for such a generalized Langevin equation, $hF(t)F(0)i = 2m k_B T \quad (jtj)$, can be checked directly. The memory effects and correlations in $F(t)$ come from the interaction between the rest of the chain and the fluid. According to theory [7], the random mean square width of the observed particle's displacement scales at long time as $W^2 \quad t \quad$ if $\hat{}(!) \quad !$ in the limit $! \quad 0$. In the present case, the asymptotic behavior of the response function corresponds to $= 0$ for finite chains and $t > _1$, and to $= 1=2$ for times below $_1$ ($_1 \; ! \; 1$ when $N \; ! \; 1$). The observed behavior $W^2 \quad t^{1=2}$ for $t \quad _1$ is then in agreement with this theory, and the present system is a simple experimental example validating this theory.

For chains in an unbounded medium, the Zimm model predicts $W \; ' \; t^{1=3}$ [6], and experiments carried on various MR fluids report $W \; ' \; t^{0:35 \quad 0:05}$ [4]. This behavior is attributed to either hydrodynamic- or long rang dipolar interactions. In our system hydroynamic interactions are suppressed by the walls. This may be understood by noting that the flow in our system is described by a simple Darcy law at length scales above d. This implies that a local flow perturbation decays as $1=r^2$ which is faster than the $1=r$ decay, given by the Oseen tensor in an unbounded medium [6]. Since the chain length is typically much larger than d, hydrodynamic interactions are local and do not affect the longer wavelengths of our chain motion. On the other hand we note that if our experimental and simulation data were truncated as $\log_{10}(H^2 t=N^2) \quad 1$ they could support the $W \; ' \; t^{3=8}$ interpretation made by Furst & Gast [4]. As these authors attribute their exponent $3=8$ to long range dipolar interactions, our results may indicate that such interactions do play a role, but only in a crossover regime to the $W \; ' \; t^{1=4}$ behavior.

In conclusion, we have established the scaling behavior of confined dipolar chains over 5 orders of magnitude by matching experiments, theory and Brownian dynamics simulations. In passing we have made contact with nearby theories of both polymer models and non-Markovian formulations of anomalous diffusion. These results are attractive in particular because of the versatility and easy control of the experiments.

Electronic address: Renaud.Toussaint@fys.uio.no

[1] A. Skjeltorp, Phys. Rev. Lett. **51**, 2306 (1983).

[2] R. Toussaint *et al.*, Phys. Rev. E (2003), in press.

[3] A. Skjeltorp and G. Helgesen, Physica A **176**, 37 (1991).

[4] E. M. Furst and A. P. Gast, Phys. Rev. E **62**, 6916 (2000); Phys. Rev. E **58**, 3372 (1998); S. Cutillas and J. Liu, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 011506 (2001); A. S. Silva, R. Bond, F. Plourbaoué, and D. Wirtz, Phys. Rev. E **54**, 5502 (1996).

[5] S. F. Edwards and D. R. Wilkinson, Proc. R. Soc. London A **381**, 17 (1982).

[6] A. Y. Grosberg and A. R. Khokhlov, *Statistical physics of macromolecules* (AIP Press, New York, 1994).

[7] R. Morgado, F. A. Oliveira, G. G. Batrouni, and A. Hansen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 100601 (2002).

[8] W. A. Bullough, ed., *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Electro-rheological Fluids, Magneto-rheological Suspensions and Associated Technology* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1996).

[9] T. C. Halsey and W. Toor, J. Stat. Phys. **61**, 1257 (1990); Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 2820 (1990); T. C. Halsey, J. Colloid Interface Sci. **156**, 335 (1993); J. E. Martin, J. Odinek, and T. C. Halsey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1524 (1992).

[10] J. E. Martin, K. M. Hill, and C. P. Tigges, Phys. Rev. E **59**, 5676 (1999); J. Liu, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 2828 (1995); M. Fermigier and A. P. Gast, J. Colloid Interface Sci. **154**, 522 (1992); G. Helgesen, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 1736 (1988).

[11] S. Fraden, A. J. Hurd, and R. B. Meyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 2373 (1989); J. E. Martin, J. Odinek, T. C. Halsey, and R. Kamien, Phys. Rev. E **57**, 756 (1998).

[12] J. Cernak, G. Helgesen, and A. T. Skjeltorp, (2003), preprint.

[13] D. Wirtz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2436 (1995).

[14] J. Ugelstad and P. Mork, Adv. Colloid. Int. Sci. **13**, 101 (1980), produced under the trade name Dynospheres by Dyno Particles A.S., N-2001 Lillestrøm, Norway.

[15] Type EMG 909, produced by FerroTec, 40 Simon St., Nashua, NH 03060-3075.

[16] B. Bleaney and B. Bleaney, *Electricity and Magnetism* (Oxford:OUP, 1978).

[17] P. G. De Gennes and P. Pincus, Phys. Kondens. Mater. **11**, 1970 (1970).

[18] E. G. Flekkøy and D. H. Rothman, Phys. Rev. E **53**, 1622 (1996); Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 260 (1995).

[19] F. Reif, *Fundamentals of statistical and thermal physics* (Mc Graw-Hill, Singapore, 1965).

[20] L. Faucheux and A. Libchaber, Phys. Rev. E **49**, 5158 (1994).

[21] R. Toussaint, E. G. Flekkøy, and G. Helgesen, (2003), preprint.