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#### Abstract

Restoration of broken circular sym metry is used to explore the characteristics of the ground states and the excitation spectra of rotating $W$ igner molecules (RW M's) form ed in two-dim ensional parabolic $N$-electron quantum dots. In high magnetic elds, the RW M 's are oppy rotors with the energies of the $m$ agic angular $m$ om entum ( $L$ ) states obeying $a L+b=L^{1=2}$. U nder such elds the ground-state energies (referenced to the kinetic energy in the lowest Landau level) approach the electrostatic energy of $N$ point charges in the classical equilibrium molecular con guration. At zero eld and strong interelectron repulsion, the RW M 's behave like quasiclassical rigid rotors whose energies vary as $L^{2}$.


PACS num bers: 73.21 La; 71.45.G m ; 71.45 Lr

The belief that the physics of two-dim ensional (2D ) sem iconductor quantum dots ( QD 's) in high m agnetic
 troduced $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$ originally for the bulk fractional quantum
 proposal that the fundam entalphysicalentity in strongly correlated QD's is a collectively rotating $W$ igner (or electron) m olecule (RW M or REM). Indeed, it has been lately found that electrons in 2D QD's can undergo in the strongly correlated regim e a spontaneous phase transition akin to the $W$ igner crystallization in the bulk,
 tures that are called $W$ igner molecules (W M 's). These geom etric structures break the rotational sym $m$ etry (for sym $m$ etry restoration, see below ) and re ect the presence of $m$ any-body crystalline correlations that are revealed, as illustrated previously, in the \hum ps" of the conditional probabilities $\left[\overline{T_{1}}, \bar{q}, \bar{q}\right]$ and of the broken-sym $m$ etry


The majority of recent studies address the properties of static W M 's (as a function of the QD param e-
 the rotating $W \mathrm{M}$. W e show through m icroscopic $m$ anybody investigations that the RW $M$ in high $B$ is a oppy rotor, while at large $R_{W}$ (and zero magnetic eld) it transform $s$ into a rigid rotor. [T he $W$ igner param $e^{-}$ ter $R_{W} \quad Q=h!{ }_{0}$, where $Q$ is the $C$ oulom b interaction
 spatial extent of the low est single-electron w ave function in the extemal parabolic con nem ent of frequency ! 0 , and is the dielectric constant.] The ability to capture the physics of the electrons in $Q D$ 's in both the high $m$ agnetic eld and the eld-free regim es is an essential dem onstration of the powerfuluni cation o ered by the RW M picture, a property not shared by other suggested approaches.

The collective rotation of the $\mathrm{W} M$ is inherent and natural to the molecular picture. In particular, we show that it is m anifested in characteristic energy-vs-
angularm om entum relations for the excitation spectra and in speci c lim iting values for the ground-state energies. These relations are im portant in the developm ent of theories of electrons in $Q D$ 's under the in uence of a $m$ agnetic- eld and in the eld-free case, and they can be em ployed as diagnostic tools for assessing the validity and applicability of altemative theoretical descriptions.

D escription of the broad variation of the collective properties ofelectrons in $2 \mathrm{D} Q \mathrm{D}$ 's requires a highly exible and accurate $m$ any-body $m$ ethod. In principle, exact diagonalization (EXD) could have been used; how ever, its com putational lim itations are a m ajor obstacle. We
 $m$ ethod ofcircular sym $m$ etry breaking at the unrestricted H artree Fock (U H F) level and of subsequent sym metry restoration via post $H$ artree $F$ ock projection techniques is an accurate and com putationally e cient approxim ation which provides a uni ed $m$ icroscopic description of the em ergent picture of RW $M$ ' $s$ in 2D QD's.

The restoration of broken circular sym $m$ etry is necessary for a proper description ofRW M's. Indeed, while the broken sym m etry UHF solutions describe static $W$ M 's
 describes the rotation of the W M 's and underlies the differentiation betw een rigid and oppy (at high B) rotors.
$T$ he tw o-step $m$ ethod. In general, the localized broken sym $m$ etry orbitals are determ ined num erically via a selfconsistent solution of the UHF equations [G]. An e cient altemative, how ever, is to approxim ate these onbitals by
 we focus here on the second step (restoration of the circular sym $m$ etry) and the case of high $B$, it w illbe su cient to approxim ate the U HF orbitals ( rst step of our procedure) by (param eter free) displaced $G$ aussian functions [1] 군]; nam ely, for an electron localized at $Z_{j}$, we use the Onoital

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(z_{i} Z_{j}\right)=p \frac{1}{-} \exp \frac{\dot{\bar{z}} Z_{j}{ }^{2}}{2^{2}} \quad i^{\prime}\left(z_{;} Z_{j} ; B\right) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG. 1: T otal energies, $\mathrm{E} \quad 2 \mathrm{~h}$, for the triplet state of $\mathrm{N}=2$ electrons as a function of the m agnetic eld B. Solid line: PRJ (rotating W M ); dashed line: exact; dotted line: U H F (static W M).T he integens below the troughs of the PR J and exact lines indicate the corresponding $m$ agic angular $m o-$ m enta. The param eters are: $\mathrm{m}=0: 067 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}!0=3 \mathrm{meV}$, and $=12: 9$. H ere, aswellas in the other gures, the Zeem an contribution is not included, but can be easily added.
 frequency. T he phase guarantees gauge invariance in the presence of a penpendicularm agnetic eld and is given in the sym $m$ etric gauge by ${ }^{\prime}\left(z ; Z_{j} ; B\right)=\left(x Y_{j} \quad y X_{j}\right)=2 l_{B}^{2}$, $W$ ith $I_{B}=P \overline{h c=e B}$ being the $m$ agnetic length. $W$ e only consider the case of filly polarized electrons, which is appropriate at high $B$.
$W$ e take the $Z_{j}$ 's to coincide $w$ ith the equilibrium positions (form ing nested regular polygons [14] of N classical point charges inside an extemal parabolic connem ent of frequency ! 0 , and proceed to construct the UHF determ inant UHF [z] out of the orbitals $u\left(z_{i} ; Z_{j}\right)^{\prime} S_{\text {, }}$ i; j $=1 ;::: ; N$. C orrelated m any-body states $w$ ith good total am gularm om enta $L$ can be extracted $\left[\frac{16}{[6]}\right.$ from the UHF determ inant using projection operators; the projected energies are given by [6]

$$
E_{\text {PRJ }}(L)=Z_{2}^{Z_{2}} h() e^{i L} d \quad Z_{2} n() e^{i L} d \text {; }
$$

 h UHF (0) jUHF ( )i; where UHF ( ) is the originalUHF determ inant rotated by an azim uthal angle and $H$ is the $m$ any body H am ittonian (including the vector potential, extemalcon nem ent, and C oulom b tw o-body repulsion). W e note that the UHF energies are sim ply given by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{UHF}}=\mathrm{h}(0)=\mathrm{n}(0)$.

C om pared to an EXD calculation, the CPU time for calculating the projected energies [see Eq. (2')] increases m uch slower as a function of $L$ and $N$. In addition, the com putational e ciency of Eq. ( $\left.\overline{2}_{1}\right)_{1}^{\prime}$ is greatly enhanced by the know ledge [1] $[1]$ of the analytical form $s$ of the (in general com plex) m atrix elem ents of the single-particle


FIG. 2: PRJ (solid lines) and UHF (dashed lines) total energies, $\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{Nh}$, for the fully spin-polarized ground states of $N=3$ and $N=5$ electrons, as a function of $1=B$. The range covered is $4 \mathrm{~T} \quad \mathrm{~B} \quad 400 \mathrm{~T}$, and the zero of energy corresponds to $E_{c l}^{\text {st }}=14: 415 \mathrm{meV}$ for $\mathrm{N}=3$ and to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\text {st }}=42: 873$ meV for $\mathrm{N}=5$. The integers below the troughs of the PRJ curves indicate the corresponding m agic angular m om enta. The param eters are as in F ig. 1 .
and two-body com ponents of $H$ betw een the displaced G aussians.

To test the accuracy of our m ethod, we display in $F$ ig. 1 the totalenergies $\mathrm{E} \quad 2 \mathrm{~h}$ as a function of the $m$ agnetic eld for the triplet state of $N=2$ electrons and for all three levels of calculations, i.e., the U H F , the subsequent pro jection $\mathbb{P} R$ J, see E q. (2) $\underline{2}_{1}$ ], and the exact result [in]. W e observe that the UHF energies fare poorly com pared to the PR J ones; note that for $B>7 \mathrm{~T}$, the PR J and exact results are practically the sam $e . W$ e further note that the U H F energies do not reproduce the characteristic oscillations of the exact ones; these oscillations originate from the fact that only states $w$ ith $m$ agic angular $m$ om enta can becom e ground states (in the case of the triplet state for $N=2$, the $m$ agic angular $m$ om enta are $L=2 m+1$, $m=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::$.

G round states at high m agnetic eld. Fig .2 displays the ground state energies $\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{Nh}$ for $\mathrm{N}=3$ and $\mathrm{N}=5$ electrons as a function of $1=B$. Since our m ethod allow s us to reach $m$ agnetic eld values as high as $B=400$ $T$, we conclude unequivocally that, as B! ! both the PR J and U H F energies approach the lim iting value corresponding to the classicalenergy of $N$ point-like electrons at equilibrium [in the $(0, N)$ con guration for $N=2$ 5] inside an extemalparabolic con nem ent of frequency! 0 , i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{c l}^{s t}(N)=(3=8)\left(2 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}}\right)^{2=3} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N}}^{2=3} \mathrm{~h}!_{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $S_{N}=P_{j=2}^{N}(\sin [(j \quad 1)=N])^{1} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[15}\end{array}\right]$. Except for the B! 1 lim it, the UHF energies are higher in value than the pro jected ones.

T he pro jected ground states have $m$ agic angular $m o-$ $m$ enta that vary (from one trough to the other) by steps


FIG. 3: Projected total energies, $E_{\text {PRJ }} 5 h$, for the yrast rotational band (states w ith the low est energy at magic angularm om enta) of $N=5$ fully-polarized electrons at $B=60$ $T$, as a function of the angularm om enta $L$. $T$ he ground state has angularm om entum $\mathrm{L}=115$. The choice ofparam eters is: $\mathrm{m}=0: 067 \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{~h}!{ }_{0}=4 \mathrm{meV}$, and $=12: 9$. Inset: T he two contributions $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{PRJ}}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{L}) \quad 5 \mathrm{~h}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{PRJ}}(\mathrm{L})$ associated w ith the con nem ent (lower curve) and the Coulomb interaction (upper curve), respectively.
of $N$ units $(\mathbb{N}=3 ; 4 ; 5)$ in accordance $w$ th the trend found for a much smaller range of angular $m$ om enta in earlier EXD studies $\left.[\underline{[8]},]_{1}^{1}\right]$ $m$ ethod, we were able to reach rem arkably high values of $L=369 ; 690$, and 1100 for $N=3 ; 4$, and 5, respectively. The fact that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{PRJ}} \mathrm{Nh}$ and E exact Nh (see Ref. [1] ] ) tend to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{st}}(\mathbb{N})$ for $B!1$ is a signi cant nding that has not appeared in the FQHE literature [11, B ! 1 . In any case, it is doubtful that the com positeferm ion approach can conform to this rigorous lim it [1] ${ }^{1}$ ]; indeed the precise value of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{st}}(\mathbb{N})$ depends crucially on the long-range character of the C oulom b force acting betw een localized classical particles, and thus it is a result innate to the $W$ M picture.

Furtherm ore, this lim it points out to interesting experim ental rami cations. Nam ely, at high B, the addition energies (expressed by the nite second di erence ${ }_{2} E(\mathbb{N})$ ), while not conform ing to the capacitance Coulomb blockade m odel $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$, w ill tend to a constant value ${ }_{2 ; c 1}^{s t} E(\mathbb{N})=E_{c 1}^{s t}(\mathbb{N}+1)+E_{c l}^{s t}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$ $2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{st}}(\mathbb{N})$ (e.g., 3.446 m eV for $\mathrm{N}=4$ and the param eters listed in the caption ofF ig. 2); this constant value m aybe detected experim entally.

The yrast rotational band at high $B$. The yrast band consists of the low est energy states (for a given B) having m agic angular m om enta (the ground state is a m em ber of this band and the rem aining states are excited ones). The yrast band for $N=5$ calculated at $B=60 \mathrm{~T}$ is displayed in $F$ ig. 3.

The energy of the yrast states is com posed [11] of tw o

TABLE I: P ro jected interaction energies $V_{P R J}(L)$ associated with yrast states at two values of $B=60 \mathrm{~T}$ and B ! 1 for $N=5$ the $(0,5)$ con guration] and $N=6$ the $(1,5)$ con guration], respectively. f $\quad \mathrm{V}_{\text {PRJ }}(\mathrm{L}) \mathrm{L}^{1=2}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}$, where $C_{V}=15: 388$ for $N=5$ and $C_{V}=18: 787$ for $N=6$. $T$ he fractional llings are calculated through $=N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=(2 \mathrm{~L})$. Energies in units of $e^{2}=$ and $e^{2}=l_{B}$ for $B=60 \mathrm{~T}$ and B! 1 , respectively. At B! $1, V(\mathrm{~L})$ is independent of $h!0$. At large nite $B, V(L)$ depends on $h!0$ through. .

| $\mathrm{N}=5$ | $\mathrm{~B}=60 \mathrm{~T}$ |  | $\mathrm{~N}=6$ | $\mathrm{~B}!$ | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L() | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{PRJ}}$ | f | L() | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{PRJ}}$ | f |
| $40(1 / 4)$ | 2.5000 | 1.02749 | $75(1 / 5)$ | 2.2196 | 1.02317 |
| $50(1 / 5)$ | 2.2216 | 1.02083 | $135(1 / 9)$ | 1.6361 | 1.01186 |
| $60(1 / 6)$ | 2.0198 | 1.01669 | $195(1 / 13)$ | 1.3561 | 1.00799 |
| $80(1 / 8)$ | 1.7409 | 1.01189 | $255(1 / 17)$ | 1.1836 | 1.00603 |
| $100(1 / 10)$ | 1.5531 | 1.00925 | $315(1 / 21)$ | 1.0636 | 1.00484 |
| $120(1 / 12)$ | 1.4154 | 1.00757 | $435(1 / 29)$ | 0.9039 | 1.00347 |
| $140(1 / 14)$ | 1.3089 | 1.00641 | $495(1 / 33)$ | 0.8470 | 1.00304 |
| $160(1 / 16)$ | 1.2233 | 1.00556 | $555(1 / 37)$ | 0.7996 | 1.00271 |
| $180(1 / 18)$ | 1.1526 | 1.00491 | $615(1 / 41)$ | 0.7594 | 1.00244 |
| $190(1 / 19)$ | 1.1216 | 1.00464 | $675(1 / 45)$ | 0.7247 | 1.00222 |

contributions; nam ely, $E(L)=E^{c}(L)+V(L)$, where $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{L})$ is the con nem ent energy associated w ith the extemalpotential (including the kinetic energy), and V (L) is the interaction energy due to the C oulomb force. For high $B$, it is well known $\left.[1],[1]_{1}^{2}\right]$ that the con nem ent energy varies linearly with $L$; in particular, $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{L})$ ! $h(\quad!c=2) L+N h$.
-W e have calculated the projected values [see Eq. $\left.\left[\bar{L}^{-}\right)\right]$for these two com ponents, nam ely, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {PRJ }}^{c}(\mathrm{~L})$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{PRJ}}(\mathrm{L})$, for $\mathrm{N}=2 \quad 6$ and a variety of B values and QD param eters. O ur ability to calculate e ciently high $L$ values allow ed us to con $m$ num erically the linear L-dependence of the con nem ent energy $E^{c}(L)$, and also the precise proportionality coe cient h(! $\quad \mathrm{c}=2$ ). $M$ oreover, rather unexpectedly, we also nd that the interaction energy behaves asym ptotically as $L^{1=2}$, i.e., $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{L})!\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{L}^{1=2}$, where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}$ is a constant given below.

TABLE $I$ lists $V_{P R J}(L)$ for $N=5$ and $B=60 T$, as well as for $N=6$ the $(1,5)$ con guration] and $B$ ! 1 ; the latter lim it can be easily taken by setting [ [i] $=I_{B} \mathrm{P} \overline{2}$ in Eq ([1]), which restricts the electrons to the low est Landau level. From TABLE I, one can see that the quantity $f \quad V_{P R J}(L) L^{1=2}=C_{V}$ approaches unity as the angularm om entum intreases, where $C_{V}=K^{3=2}\left(S_{K}=4+\right.$ K;N 1) $e^{2}=(\quad)$, w th $K=N$ or $N \quad 1$ for $W M$ 's in the $(0 ; N)$ or $(1 ; N \quad 1)$ con gurations, respectively.
T he $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{L}^{1=2}$ asym ptotic dependence of the interaction energy can be readily associated w ith a model [ig] of a classical oppy molecule rotating inside a parabolic con nem ent characterized by a frequency [87. Indeed, the energy of such a molecule is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{c 1}^{\mathrm{rot}}(\mathrm{~K})= & h^{2} L^{2}=(2 J(a))+J(a)^{2}=2 \quad h!{ }_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~L}=2 \\
& +K\left(S_{K}=4+\mathrm{K} ; N \quad 1\right) e^{2}=(\mathrm{a}) ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

TABLE II: Projected total energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{PRJ}}(\mathrm{L})$ at $\mathrm{B}=0$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}}=200$ associated w th yrast states for $\mathrm{N}=5$ electrons $\left[(0,5)\right.$ con guration]. f $\quad C_{R}=C_{R}^{c l}$ (see text). Energies in units of $h!0$.

| L | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {PRJ }}$ | $\tilde{\mathrm{f}}$ | L | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {PRJ }}$ | $\tilde{\mathrm{I}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 323.3070 |  | 25 | 324.7657 | 0.988 |
| 5 | 323.3656 | 0.992 | 30 | 325.4033 | 0.986 |
| 10 | 323.5414 | 0.992 | 35 | 326.1537 | 0.983 |
| 15 | 323.8338 | 0.991 | 40 | 327.0153 | 0.981 |
| 20 | 324.2422 | 0.989 | 45 | 327.9866 | 0.978 |

where $J(a)=K m a^{2}$ is the $m$ om ent of inertia of $K$ point-like electrons located at the vertioes of a regular polygon of radiusa. The second term is the potentialenergy due to the con nem ent, and the last term is the classicalC oulom b-repulsion electrostatic energy. At given B, the radius of this oppy $m$ olecule varies $w$ ith $L$, and for large angular $m$ om entum (and/or high B ) it is given by a $\overline{L=K}$ [a! $\overline{2 h c L=(e B K)}$ for B ! 1 ]. Substitution into Eq. (4, $\overline{4}^{-1}$ ) yields the aforem entioned linear and $1=\mathrm{L}^{1=2}$ contributions, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{rot}}(\mathrm{~K}) \quad \mathrm{h}\left(\quad!\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}}=2\right) \mathrm{L}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{L}^{1=2}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sem iclassical approxim ation $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{gS}}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ of the ground-state angularm om entum $m$ in im izes Eq. (ST), yielding $L_{g s}^{c l} / B$. C onsequently, the ground-state radius $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{gs}}!r_{0}$ as B ! 1 , where $r_{0}=l_{0} R_{W}^{1=3}\left(S_{K}=4+{ }_{K} ; N \quad 1\right)^{1=3}$ is the radius of the static classicalW ignerm olecule. N ote that, in the ground state as B increases, the W M rotates faster in order to m aintain the requisite value of $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{gs}}=r_{0}$.

W e note that this inverse-square-root-of -law at constant B for the C oulom b interaction energy has also been overlooked in the FQ HE literature [11, vides a nontrivial test in that it lends further support to the RW M picture; especially since it also applies in the B ! 1 lim it (see right part of $\mathrm{A} A \mathrm{BLE}$ I), which restricts the electrons to the low est Landau level (FQ H E regin e). W e note that high L's correspond to low er fractional llings, experim entally achieveable in high-m obility sam ples.

The rigid rotor at zero $m$ agnetic eld. At $B=0$, we found it advantageous to allow the width and the positions $Z_{j}$ 's of the displaced $G$ aussians to vary in order to $m$ in im ize (for each $L$ ) the pro jected energy $\mathbb{E q}$. ( $\bar{L}_{\mathbf{L}}$ ) ]. For large $R_{W}$ 's and for $N=25$ electrons, we nd that the energies of the states in the yrast band can be approxim ated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{P R J}(L) \quad E_{P R J}(0)+C_{R} L^{2} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rigid-rotor coe cient $C_{R}$ is essentially a constant whose value is very close (see the E values) to the classical one, corresponding to point charges in their ( $0 ; \mathrm{N}$ ) equilibrium con guration inside a parabolic connem ent of frequency ! 0 ; i.e., $C_{R} \quad C_{R}^{c l}=h^{2}=\left(2 J\left(r_{0}\right)\right)$.

In Table "III, we list calculated $E_{P R J}(L)$ values for $\mathrm{N}=5 \mathrm{when} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{W}}=200$. The fact that $\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{R}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{cl}} \quad 1$ for L 45 illustrates that the RW M behaves as a quasiclassical rigid rotor. For sm aller values of $R_{W}$, the rigidIty of the RW M is progressively reduced [T] 1 . W e note that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{PRJ}}(0) \mathrm{Nh}!0$ is very close to the classicalelectrostatic


C onclusions. $U$ sing the $m$ ethod of restoration of broken circular sym $m$ etry, we have show $n$ that the RW M 's in $Q D$ 's exhibit characteristic properties as a result of their collective rotation: (I) in high B, they behave like oppy rotors and the energies of the $m$ agic states in the lowest rotational (yrast) band, associated w th m agic angular $m$ om enta, obey $a L+b=L^{1=2}$. In addition, the ground-state energies (referenced to the kinetic energy in the lowest Landau level) approach the lim it of the electrostatic energy of $N$ point charges in their classical equilibrium molecular con guration; (II) at $B=0$ and strong interelectron repulsion, the RW M 's behave like quasiclassical rigid rotors whose yrast band exhibits an $\mathrm{L}^{2}$-dependence. The rotating-W igner-m olecule picture uni es the description of the various physical regin es of strongly correlated electrons in 2D QD's. This uni cation is achieved through the com putationally powerful $m$ ethod of restoration of broken sym $m$ etries via pro jection techniques.

This research is supported by the U S.D O E. (G rant No. FG 05-86ER 45234).
[1] J.K. Jain and T. K awam ura, Europhys. Lett. 29, 321 (1995).
[2] A L. Jacak, P. H aw rylak, and A.W ojs, Q uantum D ots (Springer, Berlin, 1998), in particular Ch.4.5.
[3] J.K. Jain, Phys. Rev.B 41, 7653 (1990).
[4] C. Y annouleas and U .Landm an, Phys.R ev.B 66, 115315 (2002); 68, 035326 (2003).
[5] C. Yannouleas and U. Landm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 5325 (1999); Phys. Rev.B 61, 15895 (2000).
[6] C.Y annou leas and U .Landm an, J.P hys.: C ondens.M atter 14, L591 (2002); Phys. Rev.B 68, 035325 (2003).
[7] C. Yannouleas and U. Landm an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1726 (2000).
[8] P A. M aksym, Phys. Rev.B 53, 10871 (1996).
[9] B. R eusch, W . H ausler, and H. G rabert, P hys. Rev. B 63, 113313 (2001).
[10] J. K ainz, S A .M ikhailov, A . W ensauer, and U . R ossler, Phys. Rev.B 65,115305 (2002).
[11] PA. Sundqvist, S.Yu. Volkov, Yu.E. Lozovik, and M. W illander, Phys. Rev.B 66, 075335 (2002).
[12] B . Szafran, S. Bednarek, and J. A dam ow ski, J. P hys.: C ondens. M atter 15, 4189 (2003).
[13] For the use of $G$ aussians $w$ ith variational param eters, see the case of $B=0$ below .
[14] ( $0 ; \mathrm{N}$ ) denotes a single regular polygon with N vertices; ( $1 ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1$ ) denotes a regular polygon w ith $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ vertices and one electron at the center.
[15] For the sam e param eters as those in $F$ ig. 2, we have also calculated the ground-state energies EPRJ $4 h$ for $N=$ 4 electrons in the range $4 \mathrm{~T} \quad \mathrm{~B} \quad 400 \mathrm{~T}$, and found that they converge to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cl}}^{\mathrm{st}}(4)=26: 921 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{eV}$.
[16] For the exact ground-state energy, this is_ a rigorous $m$ athem atical result; see J. Y ngvason, m ath_ph/9812009' and E.H. Lieb, JPh. Solovej, and J. Yngvason, Phys. Rev.B 51, 10646 (1995).
[17] S R E.Yang, A H .M acD onald, and M D. Johnson, P hys.

Rev. Lett. 71, 3194 (1993).
[18] N ote the capital . T he low B value ( 20 T ) and lim ited L range ( $<50$ ) of the EXD calculations ofR ef. [lopl, how ever, did not o er convincing evidence regarding the relevance of th is classical-rotating-m olecule m odelfor $Q$ D's in high B , see, e.g., Ref. [2] and S M . R eim ann and M .M anninen, Rev. M od. Phys. 74, 1283 (2002).

