

# I-V curves and intergranular flux creep activation energy in the magnetic superconductor $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$

S. García

Laboratorio de Superconductividad, Facultad de Física-IMRE,  
Universidad de La Habana, San Lazaro y L, Ciudad de La Habana 10400, Cuba.

L. Ghivelder

Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro,  
C.P. 68528, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 21941-972, Brazil  
(Received text 1 November 2003)

A systematic study of I-V characteristic curves for  $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$  [Ru-(1212)] is presented, with magnetic fields up to 3 T and 5 K  $\leq T \leq 30$  K, in the region of the superconducting transition. The activation energy  $E_a(H; T)$  for flux line depinning was determined by fitting the nonlinear region of the curves using the flux creep model.  $E_a(H; T)$  was found to vary linearly with temperature, while a power-law dependence on the magnetic field was observed up to  $H = 0.1$  T, where an abrupt reduction in its decreasing rate occurs. The extrapolated value,  $E_a(0; 0) \approx 50$  meV, is twice the reported value for  $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-x}$ , but the critical current density  $J_c(0; 0) \approx 70$  A/cm<sup>2</sup> is about one order of magnitude lower. These results are explained as a consequence of the contribution of the magnetization in the grains to the effective field at the intergranular links and to a spin-orientation transition of the Ru-sub-lattice.

PACS numbers: 74.72.-h, 74.25.Fy, 74.50.+r

The ruthenate-cuprates  $\text{RuSr}_2\text{RCu}_2\text{O}_8$ , where R = Gd or Eu, are superconducting (SC) materials which exhibit long-range ferromagnetic (FM) order at a temperature  $T_M \approx 135$  K, well above the onset temperature of the SC transition, at  $T_{SC} \approx 40$  K.<sup>1</sup> Although since the early works there were evidences of interaction between the Ru moments and the charge carriers, the interplay between magnetism and the transport properties in this compound is still an open issue. Different magneto-resistive behaviors have been reported above and below  $T_M$ .<sup>2</sup> Peaks in the dc and microwave resistivity<sup>3</sup> and changes in the Hall coefficient near  $T_M$  have been also observed.<sup>4</sup> In relation to the SC transition, it has been found that the resistive curves show a quite different magnetic field dependence from that observed in the conventional cuprates.<sup>5</sup> Another important characteristic is the large SC transition width ( $T_C \approx 15$ -20 K) even in good quality polycrystalline compounds. Microwave resistance measurements in powder samples have demonstrated that this is due to a significant contribution from the intergrain network, which is assumed to be under the influence of spontaneous vortex phase formation within the grains.<sup>3</sup> Thus, a study of the magnetic field dependence of the intergrain coupling is essential to determine if the magnetization in the grains actually leaves a sizeable effect in the connectivity of the weak-link network. However, a quantitative study of the magnitudes characterizing the intergrain transport properties in the ruthenate-cuprates is still lacking.

This motivated our investigation of the I-V dissipation curves in  $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$  [Ru-(1212)]. In this work we present a systematic study of the magnetic field and temperature dependence of the characteristic I-V curves in this compound, measured in fields up to  $H = 3$  T. The

intergranular flux creep activation energy of the Josephson vortices  $E_a$  and its dependence on the applied current, magnetic field and temperature were determined by fitting the non linear region of I-V curves according to the flux creep model.<sup>6</sup> A comparison with the conventional cuprates concerning the contributions to  $E_a(H; T)$  and the behavior of the intergrain transition peak, as determined from the resistive curves, suggest that the Ru magnetization makes an important contribution to the local field at the junctions. This is strongly supported by the  $E_a(H)$  behavior, showing an abrupt reduction, by a factor of 7 times, in the decreasing rate at  $H = 0.1$  T. We argue that this is a consequence of a spin-orientation transition of the Ru moments.

Polycrystalline  $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$  was prepared by conventional solid-state reaction; details of sample preparation and microstructure can be found elsewhere.<sup>7</sup> The room temperature x-ray diffraction pattern corresponds to  $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$ , with no spurious lines being observed. Scanning electron microscopy revealed a relatively uniform size distribution with rounded grains of about 1-3  $\mu\text{m}$ .<sup>7</sup> Bars of 10 mm in length and 0.6 mm<sup>2</sup> cross sectional area were cut from the sinterized pellet. Resistive measurements were made with a standard four-probe technique, using silver paint with a contact resistance of 1-2  $\Omega$ . Current vs. voltage (I-V) measurements were performed at various temperatures and magnetic fields in a Quantum Design PPM S system, with  $H = 0, 0.01, 0.03, 0.05, 0.1, 0.3, 1$ , and 3 T, for 5 K  $\leq T \leq 30$  K. Since the zero resistance temperature  $T_{R=0}$  rapidly diminishes with the increase in  $H$ , the accessible temperature measuring range below  $T_{R=0}$  was about 10-15 K for  $H < 0.3$  T and 5-8 K for higher fields. For each magnetic field, a set of curves was measured with

a temperature interval  $T = 0.3$  K and a current step of  $0.45$  mA. The usual electric field criterion of  $1$  V/cm was used to determine the critical current density  $J_C$ .<sup>8,9</sup> Typically, about 30-40 points were collected in the non-linear region.

Figure 1 shows a set of experimental  $I$ - $V$  curves measured for  $H = 0.3$  T. A similar behavior was observed for other measured magnetic fields. The continuous lines are fittings described below. These curves exhibit qualitatively the same features observed for the conventional granular cuprates: a noticeable decrease of  $J_C$  with the rise in temperature and a clearly distinguishable non-linear region which smoothly evolves to a linear dependence. For  $H = 0$ , the critical current density at the lowest measured temperature ( $T = 10$  K) was only  $50$  A/cm<sup>2</sup>. A linear extrapolation to  $T = 0$  K gives  $J_C(T = 0; H = 0) = 70$  A/cm<sup>2</sup>. The non-linear region of the experimental curves was fitted using the well known expression,<sup>6</sup>

$$V(I; H; T) = aI \exp[E_a(I; H; T)/kT] \quad (1)$$

where  $I$  is the applied current,  $k$  is the Boltzmann constant, and  $E_a$  is the flux creep activation energy of the Josephson vortices. The pre-factor ( $aI$ ) allows a continuous transition to the flux flow regime. The activation energy was assumed to vary linearly with  $I$  as  $E_a(I; H; T) = E_a(H; T)(1 - I/I_0)$ . An alternative dependence,  $E_a \sim \ln(1 - I/I_0)$ , was also considered, leading to unsatisfactory fittings. For a fixed magnetic field, the  $E_a(H; T)$  values for a set of curves were determined and plotted as a function of temperature, as shown in Fig. 2. A linear behavior was obtained for  $H = 0.3$  T, where the measuring temperature intervals are larger, with slopes which regularly diminish with the increase in field. For  $H > 0.3$  T, although the studied temperature range is smaller, the data also clearly follow a linear dependence. This indicates that the intergrain links in Ru-(1212) behave as SIS-junctions. It is interesting to note that the linear behavior is observed for temperatures relatively far from  $T_{SC}$  ( $0.1 < T/T_{SC} < 0.6$ ). Due to the broad SC transition width, it is not possible to study the behavior near  $T_{SC}$ . The extrapolation to  $T = 0$  of the zero field curve gives  $E_a(0; 0) = 50$  meV. The activation energies were found to strongly depend on the intensity of the field. Figure 3 shows a log-log plot of the field dependence of  $E_a$ , measured at  $T = 10$  K. It is found that a power-law dependence,  $E_a(H) \sim H^{-n}$ , with  $n = 0.75$ , describes quite well the data up to  $H = 0.1$  T, where a noticeable reduction in the decreasing rate is observed.

A comparison of the obtained flux creep activation energies with the conventional cuprates must be performed carefully. One important point is to distinguish between the pinning potential  $U$  and the activation energy for flux line depinning<sup>13</sup>

$$E_a(H; T) = U(H; T) - JB V_b d \quad (2)$$

where  $J$  is the applied current density,  $B$  is the local macroscopic flux density,  $V_b$  is the intergranular flux bundle

volume, and  $d$  is the intergranular potential range. There has been a plethora of  $U$  and  $E_a$  energy values, ranging from a few eV's to a few meV's, obtained by a number of different methods. At times, there has been a tendency to interchange freely these parameters and to bunch all the values together, regardless of whether one is dealing with single crystals or polycrystalline materials. So, we make a comparison solely with reports which make this clear distinction. Since Ru-(1212) is simply obtained from the  $YBa_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$  (YBCO) structure by full replacement of Cu(1) sites at the chains by Ru ions, which add two oxygen atoms to their neighborhoods,<sup>10</sup> this material is an ideal reference for comparison with the conventional cuprates. While the  $U(0; 0)$  values in good quality YBCO ceramic samples are in the 10-15 eV range,<sup>11,12,13</sup>  $E_a(0; 0)$  is only about 26 meV, with  $J_C(0; 0) = 600$  A/cm<sup>2</sup>.<sup>11</sup> We note that although our value of  $E_a(0; 0) = 50$  meV is twice the reported value for YBCO,  $J_C(0; 0)$  is about one order of magnitude lower. Although an independent determination of the pinning potential  $U$  is needed for an exact quantitative balance of the different contributions to  $E_a$ , it is interesting to analyze the second term in Eq. (2), since it depends on the local field at the intergrain junctions. Magnetic fields of 600-700 Oe have been measured by muon spin rotation<sup>15</sup> and Gd-electron paramagnetic resonance<sup>16</sup> in sites located in the neighborhood of the  $RuO_2$  layers. Although the dipolar field rapidly decays with distance, fields as small as a few tens of Oersted or less at the junctions, acting in the whole volume of the sample, will have a great impact in the connectivity of the weak-link network. Eventually, the effect of the local field may completely inactivate low quality junctions, in such a way that the current loops of the Josephson vortices can meander only through a fraction of high quality connections. This leads to an increase in the area and volume of the intergranular flux bundle. For polycrystalline samples,  $V_b = lA_b$ , where  $l$  is the correlation length along an intergranular flux line and  $A_b$  is the area of the flux bundle. Since  $J_C \propto (V_b)^{-1}$ ,<sup>11</sup> a well-connected granular material with high  $J_C$  should be close to the minimal values  $A_b \propto (R_g)^2$  and  $l = 2R_g$ , where  $R_g$  is the average grain radius. This assumption was proved to describe well the intergranular contribution to the ac magnetic susceptibility in good quality YBCO<sup>13</sup> and BSCCO<sup>14</sup> ceramic samples. In a network with its intergranular coupling weakened by an internal field, as we believe to occur in Ru-1212, a moderate increase in both  $l$  and in the radius of the intergranular current loop of only twice the minimal values can account for a decrease in  $J_C$  of about one order of magnitude, which is the variation observed in comparison to YBCO. The assumption of an increased  $V_b$  is supported by the fact that the intergrain transition peak for Ru-1212, as determined from the derivative of the resistive curve, is very well defined and intense, as shown in the inset of Fig. 3, indicating that the intergrain transition occurs in a narrow temperature interval across junctions of similar qualities, which "survive" the action of the magnetization of the

grains. This feature is at variance with the conventional cuprates, exhibiting an intergrain peak of small amplitude as a consequence of a broad-in-temperature phase-lock process across a wide distribution of links qualities. The idea that the magnetization of the grains plays a relevant role in the connectivity of the intergrain network is confirmed by the  $E_a(H)$  dependence. We have previously found that in Ru-(1212) the ac magnetic susceptibility in the presence of a dc bias and the intergrain transition temperature change their behavior just at  $H = 0.1-0.3$  T.<sup>7</sup> These results were explained in terms of a re-arrangement of the Ru moments, leading to a state of reduced magnetization. We recall here that a change in the neutron diffraction pattern of Ru-(1212) is observed when a magnetic field  $H = 0.4$  T is applied,<sup>17</sup> a result interpreted as due to a spin-*op* transition. Also, detailed magnetic measurements in this compound indicate that a spin-*op* transition should occur at a critical field of  $0.14$  T.<sup>18</sup> These values are near to the field at which the change in the  $E_a(H)$  behavior is observed. We believe that the reduction in the suppression rate of  $E_a$  for  $H > 0.1$  T is a consequence of a magnetic transition of the Ru-sub-lattice, leading to a decrease of the average contribution from the magnetization in the grains to the net local field at the junctions.

In summary, we have shown that the intergranular dissipation curves for  $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$  are properly described by the flux creep model. A detailed study of I-V curves in a polycrystalline samples allowed, for the first time to our knowledge, a quantitative determination of the flux creep intergranular activation energy and its temperature and magnetic field dependence in this compound. Evidence was provided indicating that the effect of the magnetization of the grains on the weak-

link network is essential to understand the differences with the conventional high temperature superconducting cuprates.

#### Acknowledgments

S.G. acknowledges financial support from FAPERJ. We thank J.M.usa for sample preparation.

#### I. FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure. 1. Experimental I-V curves measured for  $\text{RuSr}_2\text{GdCu}_2\text{O}_8$  [Ru-(1212)] with  $H = 0.3$  T and  $7.7$  T  $\sim 11.9$  K. The temperature step between the selected curves in the graph is  $0.6$  K. The continuous lines are fittings according to the flux creep model.

Fig. 2. Temperature dependence of the flux creep activation energy for different applied magnetic fields. The magnetic fields are, from right to left,  $H = 0, 0.01, 0.03, 0.05, 0.1, 0.3$ , and  $3$  T. The curve for  $H = 1$  T was omitted for clarity. The continuous lines are linear fittings.

Fig. 3. A log-log plot of the magnetic field dependence of the flux creep activation energy at  $T = 10$  K. The continuous line is a guide to the eyes. The dotted line is an extrapolation of the behavior for  $H < 0.1$  T, emphasizing the change in the decreasing rate for higher fields. Inset: intra- ( $T_1$ ) and intergrain ( $T_2$ ) transitions for Ru-(1212), observed in the derivative of the resistive curve for  $H = 0$ .

- 
- <sup>1</sup> J.L. Tallon, C. Bernhard, M.E. Bowden, P.W. Gilbert, T.M. Stoto, and Pringle, IEEE Trans. Appl. Supercond. 9, 1696 (1999).
  - <sup>2</sup> J.E. McRone, J.R. Cooper, and J.L. Tallon, J. Low Temp. Phys. 117, 1199 (1999).
  - <sup>3</sup> M. Pozek, A. Dulcic, D. Paar, G.V.M. Williams, and S. Kramer, Phys. Rev. B 64, 064508 (2001).
  - <sup>4</sup> M. Pozek, A. Dulcic, D. Paar, A. Hamzic, M. Basletic, E. Tafra, G.V.M. Williams, and S. Kramer, Phys. Rev. B 65, 174514 (2002).
  - <sup>5</sup> X.H. Chen, Z. Sun, K.Q. Wang, S.Y. Li, Y.M. Xiong, M. Yu, and L.Z. Cao, Phys. Rev. B 63, 064506 (2001).
  - <sup>6</sup> Y. Yeshurun, A.P. Malozemov, and A. Shaulov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 911 (1996).
  - <sup>7</sup> S. Garcia, J.E. MUSA, R.S. Freitas, and L. Ghivelder, Phys. Rev. B 68, 144512, (2003).
  - <sup>8</sup> R.L. Peterson and J.W. Ekin, Phys. Rev. B 37, 9848 (1988).
  - <sup>9</sup> E. A. Itshuler, R. Cobas, A.J. Batista-Leyva, C. Noda, L.E. Flores, C. Martinez, and M.T.D. Orlando, Phys. Rev. B 60, 3673 (1999).
  - <sup>10</sup> A.C. McLaughlin, W. Zhou, J.P. Attfield, A.N. Fitch, and J.L. Tallon, Phys. Rev. B 60, 7512 (1999).
  - <sup>11</sup> M. Nikolo, Physica B 194-196, 2129 (1994).
  - <sup>12</sup> M. Nikolo and R.B. Goldfarb, Phys. Rev. B 39, 6615 (1989).
  - <sup>13</sup> K.-H. Müller, Physica C 168, 585 (1990).
  - <sup>14</sup> K.-H. Müller, M. Nikolo, and R. D river, Phys. Rev. B 43, 7976 (1991).
  - <sup>15</sup> C. Bernhard, J.L. Tallon, Ch. Niedermayer, Th. Blasius, A. Golnik, E. Brucher, R.K. Kramer, D.R. Noakes, C.E. Stronach, and E.J. Ansaldo, Phys. Rev. B 59, 14099 (1999).
  - <sup>16</sup> A. Fainstein, E. Winkler, A. Butera, and J. Tallon, Phys. Rev. B 60, R12597 (1999).
  - <sup>17</sup> J.W. Lynn, B. Keimer, C. Ulrich, C. Bernhard, and J.L. Tallon, Phys. Rev. B 61, R14964 (2000).
  - <sup>18</sup> A. Butera, A. Fainstein, E. Winkler, and J. Tallon, Phys. Rev. B 63, 054442 (2001).













