## D issociation and D ecay of Ultra-cold Sodium M olecules

T.Mukaiyama, J.R.Abo-Shaeer, K.Xu, J.K.Chin, and W.Ketterle

Department of Physics, MIT Harvard Center for Ultracold Atoms, and Research Laboratory of Electronics,

M assachusetts Institute of Technology, C am bridge, M assachusetts 02139

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

The dissociation of ultracold molecules is studied by ramping an external magnetic eld through a Feshbach resonance. The observed dissociation energy shows non-linear dependence on the ramp speed and directly yields the strength of the atom -molecule coupling. In addition, inelastic moleculemolecule and molecule-atom collisions are characterized.

PACS num bers: 03.75 Nt, 32.80 Pj, 33.80 Ps, 34.20.Cf

Recently, it has become possible to create ultracold m olecular gases from precooled atom ic samples [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Extending the ultralow temperature regime from atoms to molecules is an important step towards controlling the motion of more complicated objects. The complex structure of molecules may lead to new scientic copportunities, including the search for a permanent electric dipole moment, with sensitivity much higher than for heavy atoms [12], and the realization of quantum uids of bosons and fermions with anisotropic interactions [13]. Furtherm ore, stable mixtures of atom ic and molecular condensates are predicted to show coherent stimulation of atom -molecule or molecule-atom conversion, constituting quantum -coherent chemistry [14].

To date, all realizations of ultracold molecules have bypassed the need for direct cooling of the molecules, which is di cult due to the complicated ro-vibrational structure. Rather, molecules were formed from ultracold atom susing Feshbach resonances [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11], where a highly-vibrational excited molecular state is magnetically tuned into resonance with a pair of colliding atom s.

In this paper, we study the dissociation and decay of such highly excited molecules. Figure 1 shows the relevant energy levels. For magnetic elds above the Feshbach resonance, the molecular state crosses the free atom ic states, shown here as discrete states in a nite quantization volume. The interaction between atoms and molecules turns these crossing into anti-crossings. W hen the magnetic eld is swept very slowly through the resonance, the molecules will follow the adiabatic curve and end up in the low est energy state of the atom s. For faster ramps, the molecular populations will partially cross some of the low-lying states, and the dissociation products will populate several atom ic states. The stronger the coupling between the molecular state and the atom ic states, the faster the molecules dissociate and the sm aller the energy release in the dissociation. Observing the atom -m olecule coupling in one-body decay (dissociation) is a new method to experimentally determine the strength of a Feshbach resonance. Previous m easurem ents used two-or three-body processes to characterize the Feshbach resonance and therefore required accurate know ledge of the atom ic density distribution.

Collisional properties of the molecules were also stud-

ied. Inelastic collisions lim it both the production of molecules and their lifetime. We observed loss of molecules by collisions both with atoms and other molecules. These two processes were studied separately because we could produce atom -molecule mixtures, as well as pure molecular sam ples, by separating atoms and molecules with short pulses of laser light [9].

To generate molecules, sodium condensates in the f;  $m_F i = j$ ; listate were prepared in an optical dipole trap. The trap frequencies of 290 Hz in the radial direction and 2.2 Hz in the axial direction yielded typical densities of 1:7  $10^{14}$  cm<sup>-3</sup> for 5 m illion atom s. A tom s were then spin- ipped using an adiabatic radio frequency sweep to the jl; listate, where a 1 G wide Feshbach resonance exists at 907 G [15].

The magnetic eld sequence used to create and detect  $Na_2$  m olecules was identical to our previous work [9]. Brie y, the axial magnetic eld was ramped to 903 G in 100 m s using two pairs of bias coils. In order to prepare the condensate on the negative scattering length side of the resonance, the eld was stepped up to 913 G as quickly as possible ( 1 s) to jump through the resonance with minimal atom loss. The eld was then ram ped back down to 903 G in 50 s to form molecules. In order to rem ove non-paired atom s from the trap, the sam plewas irradiated with a 20 spulse of resonant light. Because 903 G is far from the Feshbach resonance, the m ixing between atom ic and m olecular states was sm all, and therefore m olecules were transparent to this \blast" pulse. By ramping the eld back to 913 G m olecules were converted back to atom s. Absorption in ages were taken at high elds (either at 903 G or 913 G) after 10 to 17 m s ballistic expansion, with the imaging light incident along the axial direction of the condensate.

To study the momentum distribution of the backconverted atoms, the magnetic eld was ramped up in mediately after turning o the optical trap, or for a reference, at the end of the ballistic expansion. The dimension between the energies of ballistic expansion is the released dissociation energy. Energies were obtained from the rms width of the cloud  $hx^2 ias E = 3m hx^2 i=2t^2$ , where t is the ballistic expansion time, and m is the atom ic mass. Figure 2 shows that faster eld ramps created hotter atoms.

An earlier theoretical treatment assumes a constant predissociation lifetime of the molecules and predicts a



FIG.1: Schem atic diagram of energy levels for molecules and atom s. The diabatic energy levels are shown as dashed lines. The adiabatic curves (solid lines) include the atom -molecule coupling. W hen the magnetic eld is swept from positive to negative scattering length, dissociated molecules end up in one or several atom ic states, depending on the ram p rate of the magnetic eld. The spheres represent the distribution of the population before and after the ram p.

linear relation between dissociation energy and eld ram p rate [16]. This theory predicts a much faster dissociation (and therefore sm aller dissociation energy) than was observed. Furtherm ore, our data shows a non-linear dependence. Linear behavior would be expected if the lifetime of the molecules was independent of the energy from the dissociation threshold. The fact that the slope becom es sm aller for increasing ram p rate indicates that the lifetime of molecules decreases with the ram p rate. As we will show, this can be explained by an increase of the density of atom ic states, leading to a dependence of the molecular decay rate (W igner threshold law [17]).

The decay rate () follows from Ferm is golden rule as ~ () = 2  $\frac{1}{M_{n}a}$  () $\frac{2}{J}D$  () [18], where  $V_{m,a}$  is the matrix element between atom ic and molecular states, which to leading order is independent of . The density of states D () is given by

D () = 
$$\frac{V}{(2)^2} \frac{m}{\sim^2} \stackrel{3=2}{\xrightarrow{1=2}} ;$$
 (1)

where V is the quantization volum e for free atom ic states.

An expression for the matrix element  $V_{m\ a}$  is obtained by comparing the energy shift near a Feshbach resonance with second-order perturbation theory. Assuming two atoms in a volume V, the energy shift of the low-lying continuum states due to the coupling with a bound molecular state is

$$() = \frac{y_{ma}f}{(B B_0)}; \qquad (2)$$

where is the di erence between atom ic and molecular magnetic moments, B the applied magnetic eld, and  $B_0$  the position of the Feshbach resonance.

The energy shift can also be expressed in terms of the mean eld energy 4  $^{-2}a=mV$ , where  $a = a_{bq}$  B = (B

 $B_0$ ) is the scattering length near the Feshbach resonance ( $a_{bg}$  is the background scattering length and B is the resonance width [19]):

() = 
$$\frac{4 - 2}{m V} \frac{a_{bg}}{B} \frac{B}{B} = B_0$$
 (3)

Comparing eq. (2) and eq. (3) yields

$$y_{m a} f = \frac{4 \sim^2}{m V} a_{bg} \qquad B:$$
 (4)

If the entire population is initially in the molecular state, the fraction of molecules, m (), at energy follows the rate equation,

$$n\frac{dm()}{d} = \frac{dm()}{dt} \frac{d}{dt}^{1} = ()m()\frac{d}{dt}^{1}$$
 (5)

$$= \frac{2 \, j V_{ma}() j D()}{\sim j B_{-j}} m(): \qquad (6)$$

U sing Eqs. (1) and (4), we solve the di erential equation form ( ) % f(x)=0

m () = 
$$e^{\frac{2}{3}C} = \frac{3^{-2}}{s};$$
 (7)  
C =  $\frac{2}{-B} = \frac{m}{m} = \frac{a_{bg}^2}{m^2};$ 

In the lab frame, the atom shave kinetic energy =2 and therefore the average energy of an atom after dissociation is

$$E = \sum_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{1}{2} (dm ()) = 0.591 \qquad \frac{s}{m} \frac{s}{a_{bg}^{2}} \frac{s}{2} \frac{s}{B} \qquad (8)$$



FIG.2: D issociation energy ofm olecules as a function ofm agnetic eld ram p rate. The dashed line represents the linear relation described in ref. [16], the solid line shows the result of our theory with no free parameters (using a theoretical value for B = 0.98 G), and the dotted line shows a curve with B as a tting parameter.



FIG.3: Tem perature of the m olecular cloud. A fter 15 m s, the tem perature saturates at 250 nK.Error bars represent the statistical error (standard deviation). The inset shows ner resolution data for holding times up to 6 m s. The solid line is a guide to the eye.

U sing theoretical values  $a_{bg} = 3.4 \text{ nm}$ , =h = 3.651.4 M H z/G, and B = 0.98 G [20, 21], our param eterfree prediction (solid line in Fig. 2) shows good agreem ent with the experim ental data. A lternatively, we may regard the width of the Feshbach resonance as a free param eter to obtain a tted value of  $B = 1.07 \quad 0.02 \text{ G}$ (dotted line in Fig. 2). Com pared to previous mean-eld m easurem ents [15, 22], our determ ination of the resonance width is more accurate and free from system atic errors associated with the determ ination of atom ic densities.

Further experiments with ultracold sodium molecules w ill critically depend on their collision properties. Therefore we also studied heating and inelastic collision processes. As shown in Fig. 3, we observed monotonic heating of the pure molecular sample over 30 m s. In addition, we observed short timescale oscillations (6 m s) in the tted tem perature (inset of Fig. 3). Such breathing oscillations were excited because the molecules were form ed over the volum e of the atom ic condensate, which was larger than the equilibrium volum e for the molecules. The absence of damping implies a collision time of at least 6 m s, or a m olecular scattering length sm aller than 17 nm (obtained using the expression for the collision rate 8  $a^2 v_{th} n_m$  where  $v_{th}$  is the therm all velocity). It is unclear whether the oscillation disappeared due to collisions or limited signal-to-noise ratio.

The temperature of the molecular cloud saturated at 250 nK after 15 ms. A possible explanation is the balance between heating due to inelastic molecular decay and the evaporative cooling caused by the nite trap depth (1.7 K). This would imply a collision time of 15 ms. However, we have no clear evidence that thermalization has occurred. Clearly, further studies of elastic collisions between ultracold molecules are necessary.

M olecules form ed via Feshbach resonances are created in high vibrational states. Therefore, one expects vibrational relaxation to be a strong, inelastic decay m echanism. V ibrational energy spacings are m uch larger than the trap depth, leading to loss of m olecules from the trap.

Figure 4(a) shows the decay of a pure molecular sam - ple. The decay was analyzed with the rate equation

$$\frac{N_m}{N_m} = K_{m m} n_m :$$
 (9)

Here  $n_m$  is the density of the molecules, and K<sub>mm</sub> is the molecule-molecule collision rate coe cient. Because of the changing size and temperature of the molecular cloud during the rst 15 ms (Fig. 3), we only t data points at later times, assuming a thermal equilibrium volume for the molecules. The decay at earlier times is slower, consistent with a larger molecular cloud. The t yields a molecule-molecule collision coe cient of K<sub>mm</sub> 5:1 10 <sup>11</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>/s, about 2 orders of magnitude larger than the typical values reported for fermions [4, 5].



FIG. 4: Decay of ultracold molecules trapped alone (a) or together with atoms (b). The solid lines in (a) and (b) are ts of eq.(9) and (10) to data, which assume vibrational relaxation in the collision of molecules (a) or collisions between molecules and atom s (b). The insets illustrate the experimental sequences.



FIG. 5: Conversion of atom s to m olecules for various ram p times. During a given time, the magnetic eld was swept by 10 G.Figures (a) and (b) show absorption in ages taken after 14 m s TOF. The molecules (bottom) were radially separated from the atom s (top) by a eld gradient of 2.8 G/cm. The molecules were converted back to atom s only 0.5 m s before in aging by ramping the magnetic eld back across the Feshbach resonance. This time was chosen to be long enough for any transient eld uctuations to dam p out, but short enough such that the size of the imaged cloud re ected the molecular tem perature, not the dissociation energy. (a) The atom s remained in the trap. (b) The atom s were rem oved by a resonant laser pulse immediately after the magnetic eld ramp. (c) Number of molecules as a function of ramp time for (a) (open circles) and (b) (closed circles).

Inelastic collisions between m olecules and atom s were also observed by keeping atom s in the trap (Fig. 4(b)). The decay was analyzed assuming that the loss of m olecules occurred m ainly due to collisions with atom s, resulting in an exponential decay:

$$\frac{N_{m}}{N_{m}} = K_{am} n_{a}:$$
(10)

- [1] R.W ynaret al, Science 287, 1016 (2000).
- [2] E.A.D on ley et al., Nature (London) 417, 529 (2002).
- [3] C.A.Regalet al, Nature (London) 424, 47 (2003).
- [4] S.Jochim et al., cond-m at/0308095 (2003).
- [5] J.Cubizolles et al., cond-m at/0308018 (2003).
- [6] K.E.Strecker et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91, 080406 (2003).
- [7] S.Durr et al, cond-m at/0307440 (2003).
- [8] C.Chin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 033201 (2003).
- [9] K.Xuetal, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91 210402 (2003).

Here N<sub>m</sub> is the number of the molecules,  $n_a$  is the density of atom s, and K<sub>am</sub> is the atom -molecule collision rate coe cient. From the t, we extract a lifetime of 106 s and a rate coe cient K<sub>am</sub> 5.5 10 <sup>11</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>/s, which agrees well with theoretical predictions [20, 21].

The inelastic losses determ ine the maximum conversion e ciency from atom s to molecules. For an adiabatic ram p, one expects close to 100% conversion e ciency. Indeed, in experiments with fermionic atom s, e ciencies up to 85% have been observed [5]. Figure 5 shows the results for magnetic eld ram ps of di erent durations. The two sets of im ages show that applying the blast pulse dram atically im proved the molecular number and temperature. W ithout it, a slower ram p time (4 m s) appeared to be more favorable for molecules were observed for a 50 s ram p time. However, with the blast pulse, nearly the same number of molecules was obtained for all ram p times between 50 s to 4 m s (closed circles in Fig. 5 (c)).

W e interpret our data as the interplay of two competing processes. The adiabatic condition requires a relatively slow eld ramp for e cient conversion. How ever, this m eans that the atom s and m olecules spend m ore time near or at the Feshbach resonance, where inelastic collision rates are enhanced. In contrast to Fig.5 (b), the absence of m olecular signal in Fig. 5 (a) for 50 s ramp time re ects that the atom ic density reduction due to the m ean- eld expansion is too slow for the m olecules to survive the inelastic collisions with the atom s.

In conclusion, we observed a W igner threshold behavior in the dissociation of ultracold molecules. We were able to characterize a Feshbach resonance using a onebody decay (dissociation) process. The rapid decay of the molecules due to collisions with atoms and other molecules imposes a severe limit to further evaporative cooling for bosons. This also explains the low conversion e ciency (4%), in contrast to recent experiments with ferm ions.

The authors would like to thank D.E.M iller for experim ental assistance and A.E.Leanhardt, M.Saba and D.Schneble for their critical reading of the manuscript. We also thank P.S.Julienne, B.J.Verhaar, and V.A.Yurovsky for useful discussion. This research is supported by NSF, ONR, ARO and NASA.

- [10] M .G reiner et al, cond-m at/0311172 (2003).
- [11] S. Jochim, et al., Science Express Reports, 1093280 (2003).
- [12] J.J.Hudson et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 23003 (2002).
- [13] M.Baranov et al, cond-m at/0201100 (2002).
- [14] D.J.Heinzen et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 5029 (2000).
- [15] S. Inouye et al, Nature (London) 392, 151 (1998).
- [16] F.A. van Abeelen, and B.J. Verhaar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1550 (1999).

- [17] E.P.W igner, Phys. Rev. 73, 1002 (1948).
- [18] F.H.Miesetal, Phys. Rev. A 61, 022721 (2000).
- [19] E.Tim m erm ans et al., Phys. Rep., 315, 199 (1999).
- [20] V.A.Yurovsky and A.Ben-Reuven, Phys. Rev. A 67, 043611 (2003).
- [21] V.A.Yurovsky, A.Ben-Reuven et al, Phys.Rev.A 62, 043605 (2000).
- [22] J. Stenger et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2422 (1999).