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#### Abstract

W e exam ine the e ect of interactions betw een the electrons on the LandauerButtiker conductances of som e system $s$ of quantum $w$ ires $w$ ith di erent geom etries. The system $s$ include a long w ire w ith a stub in the $m$ iddle, a long $w$ ire containing a ring $w$ hich can enclose a $m$ agnetic $u x$, and a system of four long w ires which are connected in the middle through a fth wire. E ach of the wires is taken to be a weakly interacting $T$ om onaga-Luttinger liquid, and scattering $m$ atrices are introduced at all the junctions present in the system s . U sing a renorm alization group $m$ ethod developed recently for studying the ow of scattering $m$ atrices for interacting system $s$ in one dim ension, we com pute the conductances of these system $s$ as functions of the tem perature and the $w$ ire lengths. W e present results for all three regim es of interest, nam ely, high, interm ediate and low tem perature. These correspond respectively to the therm al coherence length being sm aller than, com parable to and larger than the sm allest w ire length in the di erent system s, i.e., the length of the stub or each arm of the ring or the fth w ire. The renorm alization group procedure and the form ulae used to com pute the conductances are di erent in the three regim es. In particular, the dim ensionality of the scattering $m$ atrix e ectively changes $w$ hen the therm al length becom es larger than the sm allest w ire length. W e also present a phenom enologically m otivated form alism for studying the conductances in the interm ediate regim e where there is only partial coherence. At low tem peratures, we study the line shapes of the conductances versus the energy of the electrons near som e of the resonances; the $w$ idths of the resonances are found to go to zero $w$ ith decreasing tem perature. O ur results show that the Landauer-B uttiker conductances of various system s of experim ental interest depend on the tem perature and lengths in a non-trivial w ay when interactions are taken into account.


$$
\text { PACS num ber: } 71.10 . \mathrm{Pm}, \quad 72.10 . \mathrm{d}, \quad 85.35 \mathrm{Be}
$$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

The increasing sophistication in the fabrication of sem iconductor heterostructures and carbon nanotubes in recent years have $m$ ade it possible to study electronic transport in di erent geom etries. For instance, threearm and four-arm quantum $w$ ire system shavebeen fabricated by voltage-gate patteming on the two-dim ensional electron gas in $G$ aA s hetero junctions $\left[\begin{array}{ll}11 n \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. O ther sys tem $s$ of interest inchude $Y$ toranched carbon nanotubes
 and quantum $w$ ire system $s w$ ith stubs [1] $\left.\underline{l}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. T here have also been $m$ any theoretical studies of transport in system $s$ w ith various geom etries $\left[\frac{10}{1}\left[\begin{array}{l}12 \\ 2\end{array}\right]\right.$.

Studies of ballistic transport in a quantum $w$ ire (Q W ) have led to a clear understanding of the im portant role played by both scattering of the electrons and the interactions betw een the electrons inside the Q W [13 [1] $T$ he scattering can occur either due to im purities inside the Q W or at the contacts lying betw een the Q W and its reservoirs. A theoretical analysis using bosonization $\left[\eta_{1}\right]$ and the renorm alization group ( RG ) m ethod typically show s that repulsive interactions betw een electrons tend to increase the e ective strength of the back-scattering as one goes to longer length scales; experim entally, this
leads to a pow er-law decrease in the conductance as the tem perature is reduced or the w ire length is increased [1"']. M otivated by this understanding of the e ects of interaction on scattering, there have been several studies of the interplay betw een the e ects of interactions on one hand, and either a single junction betw een three ofm ore Q W s [19 $\left\{22_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, or $m$ ore com plicated geom etries [23, \{26] on the other. U sing a RG technique introduced in Ref. [2] $\left.]_{1}\right]$, the e ects of a junction (which is characterized by an arbitrary scattering $m$ atrix $S$ ) has been studied in som e detail $\left[21_{1}^{1}\right]$. It is now natural to extend these studies to system $s$ of QW s which are of experim ental interest and which can have w ith m ore com plicated geom etries involving $m$ ore than one junction.

In this paper, we w ill study the e ect of interactions on the Landauer-B uttiker conductances of three system $s$ of quantum w ires w th di erent geom etries. T hese system $s$ are shown in F igs. 1-3, and we will refer to them as the stub, the ring and the fourw ire system respectively. The stub system consists of two long wires, labeled as 1 and 3, w ith a stub labeled as 2 being attached to the junction of 1 and 3. The ring consists of tw o long w ires, labeled as 1 and 3, betw een which there is a ring which can possibly enclose a m agnetic ux; the two am s of the ring, labeled as 2 and 4, w illbe assum ed to have the sam e
length for convenience. T he fourw ire system consists of four long w ires labeled as 1, 2, 3 and 4. The junction of 1 and 2 is connected to the junction of 3 and 4 by a
th w ire labeled as 5. T he length of wire 2 in the stub system, the length of each of the arm s 2 and 4 in the ring system, and the length of $w$ ire 5 in the four-w ire system will allbe denoted by $L_{S}$. Each of the junctions present in the di erent system $s$ is govemed by a 3 scattering $m$ atrix $S$ which is unitary. W ew illassum e that each of the w ires in the various system $s$ can be described as a one-channelw eakly interacting $T$ om onaga-Luttinger liquid (TLL). For sim plicity, we will ignore the spin of the electrons in this paper.


FIG.1. T he stub system, show ing two long w ires labeled as 1 and 3, and a stub labeled as 2 . The lower end of the stub where three $w$ ires $m$ eet and the upper end of the stub are denoted by A and B respectively.


FIG.2. The ring system, show ing two long wires labeled as 1 and 3, the two am s of the ring labeled as 2 and 4, and tw o three-w ire junctions labeled as A and B.


F IG . 3. The four-w ire system, show ing four long wires labeled as 1, 2, 3 and 4, a connecting $w$ ire in the $m$ iddle labeled as 5, and two three-w ire junctions labeled as A and B.

In Sec. II, we will rst sum $m$ arize the $R G m$ ethod developed in Ref. [2] for studying the ow of the $S$ $m$ atrix at a junction due to the interactions in the different wires connected to that junction. W e w ill then describe our $m$ ethod for carrying out the RG analysis
of the $S m$ atrices at the various junctions of the di erent system s. In Sec. III, we w ill describe the procedure for com puting the transm ission probabilities (and conductances) of a system given the form of the $S \rightarrow m$ atrices at all its junctions. It tums out that both the RG procedure and the route from the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix to the conductances depend on the range of tem peratures that one is considering. There is a length scale, called the them al coherence length $L_{T}$, which govems the typical distance beyond which the phase of the electron w ave function becom es uncorrelated w ith its in itialphase. T he regim es of high, interm ediate and low tem peratures are govemed respectively by the condition that $L_{T}$ ism uch sm aller than, com parable to or much larger than the length scale $L_{s}$ de ned above for the three system s; correspondingly, we have com plete incoherence, partial coherence and complete coherence for the phase. The interm ediate tem perature range is the m ost di cult one to study, both for using the RG $m$ ethod and for com puting the conductances. B ased on som e earlier ideas [28, 29, $\mathbf{2}^{1}$, we w ill describe a phenom enological way of introducing partial coherence which will lead to expressions for the trans$m$ ission probabilities which interpolate sm oothly betw een the coherent and incoherent expressions.

In Secs. IV $-V I$, we w ill apply the form alism outlined in the previous sections to the stub, ring and four-w ire system s respectively. In each case, the transm ission probabilities at interm ediate and low tem peratures (i.e., the partially and com pletely coherent regim es) willbe found to depend sensitively on the phase $=e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}$; here $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is the $w$ ave num ber of the electrons which are assum ed to come into or leave the QW system with a momentum which is equal to the Ferm im om entum in the reservoirs. In particular, certain values of can lead to resonanœes and anti-resonances i.e., $m$ axim a and $m$ inim a in the transm ission probabilities. In the ring system, there is another im portant phase which govems the possibility of resonance, nam ely, $e^{i e}{ }_{B}=h c$, where $B$ is the $m$ agnetic ux enclosed by the ring, and e and c are the electron charge and the speed of light respectively. In each system, we will see how the conductances vary w th the tem perature in a non-trivialw ay as a result of the interactions. This is the $m$ ain point ofourpaper, nam ely, that interactions betw een the electrons lead to certain pow erlaws in the tem perature and length dependences of the conductances of experim entally realizable quantum wire system s.

## II. RENORMALIZATION GROUP METHOD FOR SYSTEMSW ITH JUNCTIONS

In this section, wewill rst present the RG procedure developed in Ref. $\left.\left.{ }^{[2}\right]_{1}^{1}\right]$ for studying how the e ect of a single junction varies $w$ th the length scale. $W$ e w ill then describe how the RG $m$ ethod has to be $m$ odi ed when a
system has m ore than one junction.
A junction is a point where $N$ sem i-in nite w iresm eet. Let us denote the various wires by a label $i$, where $i=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; N$. Aswe approach the junction, the incom ing and outgoing one-electron wave functions on wire i approach values which are denoted by $\mathrm{Ii}^{\text {and }} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}$ respectively; we can write these m ore simply as two N dimensional colum ns $I$ and 0 . The outgoing wave functions are related to the incom ing ones by a $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ scattering $m$ atrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=S \text { I : } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C$ urrent conservation at the junction im plies that $S \mathrm{~m}$ ust be unitary. (Ifwewant the junction to be invariant under tim e reversal, S m ust also be sym $m$ etric). The diagonal entries of $S$ are the re ection am plitudes $r_{i i}$, while the - -diagonal entries are the transm ission am plitudes $t_{i j}$ to go from $w$ ire $j$ to $w$ ire i. W e will assume that the entries of $S$ do not have any strong dependence on the energy of the electrons.

Let us now consider a sim ple model for interactions between the electrons, nam ely, a short-range densitydensity interaction of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {int }}=\frac{1}{2}^{\text {Z Z }} \quad d x d y \quad(x) V(x \quad y) \quad(y) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(x)$ is a realfunction of $x$, and the density $(x)$ is given in term softhe second-quantized ferm ion eld ( $x$ ) as $=y$. TT he assum ption of a short-ranged interaction is often $m$ ade in the context of the TLL description of system sof interacting ferm ions in one dim ension.] W e de ne a param eter $g_{2}$ which is related to the Fourier transform of $V(x)$ as $g_{2}=V(0) \quad V\left(2 k_{F}\right)$. D i erent wires $m$ ay have di erent values of this param eter which wew ill denote by $g_{2 i}$. For later use, we de ne the dim ensionless constants

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=\frac{g_{2 i}}{2 h v_{F}} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assum e that the velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{\mathrm{hk} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{~m}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the same on all wires. In this work, we will be interested in the case in which the interactions are weak and repulsive, i.e., the param eters $i$ are allpositive and sm all.
$W$ e are now ready to present the RG equation for the m atrix S which was derived in Ref. [211]. It w ill be useful to brie $y$ discuss the derivation of the RG equation. A re ection from a junction, denoted by the am plitude $r_{i i}$ in w ire i, leads to Friedeloscillations in the electron density in that $w$ ire. If $x$ denotes the distance of a point from the junction, the form of the oscillation at that point is given by the in aginary part of $r_{i i} e^{i 2 k_{F} x}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & x\end{array}\right)$. A s a result of
the interactions, an electron traveling in that w ire gets re ected from these oscillations. The am plitude of the re ection from the oscillations is proportionalto ${ }_{i} r_{i i}=2$ if the electron is re ected aw ay from the junction, and to ${ }_{i} r_{i i}=2$ if the electron is re ected tow ards the junction. $T$ hese re ections renom alize the bare $S-m$ atrix which characterizes the junction at them icroscopic length scale. $T$ he entries of $S$ therefore becom e functions of the length scale L; we de ne the logarithm of the length scale as $l=\ln (L=d)$, where $d$ is a short-distance cuto such as the average interparticle spacing. It is convenient to de ne a $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ diagonalm atrix M whose entries are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i i}=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{i} r_{i i}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the RG equation for $S$ is found to be [21 $\left.{ }^{1}{ }^{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d S}{d l}=M \quad S M^{y} S \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

to rst order in the $i_{i}$. ( $T$ his equation is therefore perturbative in the interaction strength). O ne can verify from Eq. ( $(\underline{6})$ ) that $S$ rem ains unitary under the RG ow; it also rem ains sym $m$ etric if it begins $w$ th a sym $m$ etric form. The xed points of Eq. (GG) are given by the condition $S M^{y}=M S^{y}$, i.e., $S M^{y} m$ ust be $H$ em itian.

W e can study the linear stability of a xed point by deviating slightly from it, and seeing how the deviation grows to rst order under the RG ow. Let us denote a xed point by the $m$ atrix $S_{0}$ and a deviation by $S_{1}$, where is a sm all real param eter and $S_{1}$ is a $m$ atrix; we require that the $m$ atrix $S=S_{0}+S_{1}$ is unitary up to order . (W e can think of $S_{1}$ as de ning the \direction" of the deviation). W e substitute $S$ in Eq. (GG) and then dem and that $S_{1}$ should take such a form that the RG equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dl}}=\quad ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a real num ber. $W$ e then call the direction $S_{1}$ stable, unstable and $m$ arginal (to rst order) if $\langle 0$; > 0 and 0 respectively. A 11 xed points have at least one exactly m arginal direction which corresponds to $\mathrm{m} u l t i \underline{i} \underline{\underline{\underline{y}}}-$ ing the $m$ atrix $S_{0}$ by a phase; clearly this leaves Eq. (G) invariant.

In this paper, we w ill be concemed with the RG ow of $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrices which are 2, 3 and 4 dim ensional. For convenience, we will assum e certain sym $m$ etries in each of these cases. It is useful to discuss these sym $m$ etries here, and how they lead to som e sim pli cations for the RG ows.

We rst consider a two-w ire system in which there is com plete sym $m$ etry betw een the wires which we w ill label as 1 and 3. N am ely, the interaction param eters are equal, $1=3=$, and the scattering $m$ atrix has the form

$$
S_{2 D}=\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a} \mathrm{~b}  \tag{8}\\
& \mathrm{~b} \mathrm{a}
\end{align*}:
$$

Unitarity im plies that we can param etrize $a$ and $b$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\frac{i e^{i}}{1+i} ; \\
& b=\frac{e^{i}}{1+i} ; \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

 di erentialequations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d l}=; \\
& \frac{d}{d l}=\frac{2}{1+2^{2}}: \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

There ection and transm ission probabilities jaj and bj only depend on . For $>0$, we see that there is an unstable xed point at $=0$, and a stable xed point at
$=1$. If is not zero initially (i.e., at the $m$ icroscopic length scale d), then it ow s to in nity at long distances. Hence b goes to zero as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{~L} \quad \text {; } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

a approaches 1, and the tw o w ires e ectively get cut o from each other. This is in agreem ent w ith the results obtained using bosonization $\left.[1]_{1}\right]$.

Next, we w ill consider the 33 case. H ere we will assum $e$ that there is com plete sym $m$ etry betw een two of the $w$ ires, say, 1 and 2 , and that the $S$ matrix is real. Nam ely, $1=2$, and $S$ takes the form

$$
S_{3 D}=@ \begin{align*}
& r^{0} t^{0} t^{1} \\
& t^{0} r^{0} t^{A} ;  \tag{12}\\
& t t^{t}
\end{align*}
$$

where $r^{0}, t^{0}$ and $t$ are realparam eters which, by unitarity, satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& t^{0}=1+r^{0} ; \\
& r=p \frac{1}{\left(2 r^{0} ;\right.}  \tag{13}\\
& t=
\end{align*}
$$

 w ritten purely in term s of the param eter $r^{0}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d r^{0}}{d l}=\quad r^{0}\left(1+r^{0}\right)\left[1 r^{0}+3\left(1+2 r^{0}\right)\right]: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $1 ;{ }_{3}>0$, we have stable xed points at $r^{0}=0$ (where there is perfect transm ission betw een wires 1 and 2, and w ire 3 is cuto from the other tw o w ires) and 1 (where all three w ires are cut o from each other). There is also an unstable xed point at

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{0}=\frac{3}{1+23}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r^{0}$ starts $w$ ith a value which is greater than (or less than) this, then it ows to the value 0 (or 1) at large
distances. W e should point out that the xed point in which wires 1 and 2 transm it perfectly into each other and w ire 3 is cut $O$ is stable only $w$ thin the restricted space described by Eqs. (13). If we take a general unitary $m$ atrix $S_{3 D}$, then this is not a com pletely stable xed point. The only stable xed point in the general case is the one in which all three wires are cut o from each other $\left[{ }_{2}^{1} 1_{1}^{1}\right]$.]

Finally, let us consider the 44 case. Here we will be interested in a situation in which there is com plete sym $m$ etry betw een $w$ ires 1 and 2, and and betw een wires 3 and 4; further, we w ill take the values of $i$ in all the $w$ ires to be equal to . $T$ he $S m$ atrix takes the form

$$
S_{4 D}=\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & a & b & c & 1  \tag{16}\\
B & b & c & c \\
B & b & c & c & C \\
\mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{c} & \mathrm{c} & \mathrm{a} & \mathrm{~b} \\
\mathrm{~A} & \mathrm{~A}
\end{array} ;
$$

where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ and c are all com plex. U nitarity im plies that these param eters can be written in term $s$ of three independent real variables. There does not seem to be a convenient param etrization in term s of which the RG equations take a sim ple form. W e therefore have to study the RG equations in Eq. (G) num erically; the results w ill be described in Sec. V I. H ow ever, the xed points of the RG equations and their linear stabilities can be found analytically. There are three kinds of xed points.
(i) $\dot{a} j=1$, and $b=c=0$. This corresponds to all the wires being cut o from each other. This xed point is stable in two directions, and is exactly $m$ arginal in one direction (corresponding to a phase rotation of a).
(ii) $\mathrm{bj}=1$, and $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{c}=0$. This corresponds to perfect transm ission betw een w ires 1 and 2, and betw een wires 3 and 4 , but no transm ission betw een any other pair of w ires. T his xed point is unstable in one direction (where it ows to the xed point described in (i)), and $m$ arginal in tw o directions. O ne of these $m$ arginaldirections tums out to be unstable at a higher order, and the RG ow eventually takes it to the third xed point described below. T he otherm arginaldirection corresponds to a phase rotation ofb.
(iii) $\dot{a j}=1=2, b=a$, and $c=a$. This is a special point which corresponds to the maxim um possible transm ission $w$ ith com plete sym $m$ etry betw een all the four wires. This xed point is unstable in one direction (where it ow s to the xed point in (i)), stable in a second direction (where it ows in from the xed point in (ii)), and exactly $m$ arginal in the third direction (corresponding to a sim ultaneous phase rotation of $a, b$ and $c$ ). The fact that (iii) is stable in one direction and unstable in another, $m$ eans that an interesting cross-over can occur as a result of the RG ow. Nam ely, one can begin near (ii), approach (iii) for a while, and eventually go to (i). A s a result, j̇jcan rst increase and then decrease as we go to long distances. This will be discussed in more detail in Sec. V I (see Fig. 15).

W e see that the only com pletely stable xed point is given by (i). A swe approach this point at large distances, b and c go to zero as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { b; c } \quad \text { L } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the ratio $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{c}$ approaches a constant.
Let us now consider the three system $s$ show $n$ in $F$ igs. 1-3. In all the system s , there are four length scales of interest. $F$ irst, there is the $m$ icroscopic length scale $d$ which will be assum ed to be much sm aller than all the other length scales. $T$ hen there is the length $L_{S}$ of the various sub-system s , such as the stub in F ig. 1, each arm of the ring in F ig. 2 and the fth wire in Fig . 3. Next, we have the therm al coherence length $L_{T}$ de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{\mathrm{h} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the tem perature. A sm entioned before, wew ill be interested in three di erent regim es, nam ely, the ratio $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ being much sm aller than 1 (high tem perature), com parable to 1 (interm ediate tem perature), and $m$ uch larger than 1 (low tem perature). Finally, we have the length $L_{w}$ of the long wires, nam ely, wires 1 and 3 in Figs. 1 and 2, and wires 1, 2, 3 and 4 in $F$ ig. 3. W e w ill assume that $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{w}}$ is much longer than both $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $L_{T}$. $T$ he long w ires will be assum ed to be connected to som e reservoirs beyond the distance $L_{w}$. H ow ever, we will not need to consider the reservoirs explicitly in this paper, and the length scale $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{w}$ ill not enter anyw here in our calculations.
$T$ he interpretation of $L_{T}$ is that it is the distance beyond which the phase of an electron w ave packet becom es uncorrelated. This can be understood as follow s. We recall that if the bias which drives the current through a QW system is in nitesim al, then the electrons coming into the $Q W$ from the reservoirs have an energy $E_{F}=h^{2} k_{F}^{2}=(2 m)$, where $E_{F}$ is the Ferm i energy in the reservoirs. At a tem perature T , the electron energy will typically be sm eared out by an am ount of the order of $k_{B} T$. $T$ he uncertainty in energy is therefore given by $k_{B} T=E=h v_{F} k_{F}$, where we have used Eq. (4'). Hence, $k_{F}=k_{B} T=\left(h V_{F}\right)=1=L_{T}$. If an electron $w$ ith one particular w ave num ber $k_{F}$ travels a distance $L$, the phase of its $w$ ave function changes by the am ount $k_{F} L$. H ence, the phases of di erent electrons w hose w ave num bers vary by an am ount $k_{F}$ willdi er by about (and can therefore be considered to be uncorrelated) if they travela distance of about $L_{T} . H$ ence $L_{T}$ (or $L_{T}$ ) can be thought of as the phase relaxation length of a wave packet [ 3 Od

W e can now discuss in broad term sthe RG procedure that we will use for the various system s. In each case, we will begin at the $m$ icroscopic length scale $d w$ ith certain values for the entries of the $3 \quad 3 \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{~m}$ atrioes at the various junctions. W ewill use Eq. (G) to evolve all the S m atrices. W e will follow this evolution till we get to
the length scale $L_{S}$ or $L_{T}$, whichever is shorter. Two possibilities arise at this stage.
(i) If $L_{T}$ is less than $L_{S}$, we will stop the RG ow at the length scale $L_{T}$, and then calculate the transm ission probabilities as discussed in Sec. III.
(ii) If $_{T}$ is larger than $L_{S}$, wew illstop the RG ow of the 33 m atrices at the length scale $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}$. M uch beyond that length scale, the various system s show n in $F$ igs. 1-3 look rather di erent since it no longerm akes sense to consider the di erent junctions (and their $S-m$ atrioes) separately. In particular, the stub and the ring system s look like tw o long w ires joined at one point, while the four-w ire system looks like four long w ires joined at one point. T hus they all look like system $s$ w ith only one junction as indicated in F ig. 4. This junction is described by an e ective $\mathrm{S} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ atrix which is 22 for the stub and ring system s , and 44 for the four-w ire system. A s we w ill discuss for the di erent system $s$ in Secs. IV $-V I$, the e ective $S$ $m$ atrix is obtained by appropriately com bining the 3 $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{m}$ atrioes at the various junctions at the length scale $L_{S}$; we can think of this process as \integrating out" the sub-system $s$ of length $L_{S}$. Then we will continue the RG ow beyond the length scale $L_{s}$, but now w th the e ective $S-m$ atrices. This will continue till we reach the length scale $L_{T}$. At that point, we stop the RG ow and com pute the transm ission probabilities as shown in Sec. III.
[T he reason for stopping the RG ow at $\mathrm{L}_{T}$ in allcases is that the am plitudes of the various Friedel oscillations and the re ections from them (caused by interactions) and from the junctions are not phase coherent $w$ ith each other beyond that length scale. Hence all these re ections will no longer contribute coherently to the renor$m$ alization of the scattering am plitudes described by the various S -m atrioes.]

(a)

(b)

FIG.4. E ective descriptions of the various system $s$ at low tem perature, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{t}} \gg \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}$. The stub and ring system seectively reduce to a two-w ire system with a junction as in (a), while the four-w ire system reduces to a four-w ire system with a junction as in (b).

To sum m arize, we will carry out the RG ow in one stage from the length scale $d$ up to the length scale $L_{T}$, if $L_{T}<L_{S}$. If $L_{T}>L_{S}$, we will study the $R G$ ow in two stages; the rst stage $w$ ill be $w$ ith one kind of $S \rightarrow m$ atrix from $d$ to $L_{S}$, while the second stage $w i l l$ be $w$ ith a di erent kind of $S m$ atrix from $L_{S}$ to $L_{T}$. The tw o kinds of $S \cdot m$ atrices $w i l l$ be connected to each other
at the length scale $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}$ as discussed in Secs. IV -V I. In all cases, when we nally stop the RG ow (after one stage or two), we will com pute the transm ission probabilities. The procedure for doing this w illle discussed in the next section. [In reality, we expect a sm ooth crossover from one stage of the RG procedure to the other at som e length scale which is of the order of $L_{S}$. For the sake of com putational sim plicity, how ever, we are adopting an RG procedure which changes abruptly exactly at $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$. We should also note that the RG procedures that we will follow are really only valid for $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}} \ll \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $L_{T} \gg L_{S}$. H ow ever, we will assume for convenience that it is a reasonable approxim ation to use the same procedures all the way up to $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$.]

In all the num erical results presented in Secs. IV $-V I$, we willtake the interaction param eter $=0.2$ on all the w ires, and the ratio $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{d}=10$. W e w illalw ays begin the RG ow at the length scale $L_{T}=d$, i.e., $L_{T}=L_{S}=0: 1$. $T$ he values of $r^{0}$ that wew illquote in the di erent gures w ill be the values at $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}=0: 1$.

## III. LANDAUER-BUTTIKER CONDUCTANCE

In this section, we will discuss how to calculate the conductances of the various system $s$ in the three di erent regim es oftem perature. A sm entioned already, we assum e that in each of the system $s$, the long w ires are eventually connected to reservoirs through som e contacts. For a single channelof spin less ferm ions, there is a resistance of $\mathrm{e}^{2}=\mathrm{h}$ at the contacts [B]]. A though the contacts can them selves scatter the ferm ions [1] ], we w ill ignore such e ects here. W e are also assum ing that the $Q W$ s are free of im purities. So the only souroes of scattering in our system s are the junctions.]

W e take the ferm ions in all the reservoirs to have the sam e Ferm i energy $E_{F}$, and the net current on all the w ires to be zero in the absence of any applied voltage on the leads. N ow suppose that the voltage in reservoir i is changed by a sm all am ount $V_{i}$; here $i=1 ; 3$ for the stub and ring system s , and $i=1$; ;4 for the fourw ire system. For $\exists_{i} j \mathrm{jm}$ uch sm aller than all the other energy scales in the problem, such as $E_{F}$ and $k_{B} T$, the net current ow ing into wire i (from reservoir i) will satisfy the linear relationship [30, [312

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{i}={\frac{e^{2}}{h}}_{j}^{X} T_{i j} V_{j} ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the $T_{i j}$ (for $i \not j$ ) de ne the various transm ission probabilities, and $T_{i i}+1$ denotes the re ection probability on wire i. The $T_{i j}$ satisfy certain sum rules. Current conservation im plies that

$$
\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0
$$

for each value of $j$. The condition that each of the currents $m$ ust be zero if all the $V_{i}$ are equal to each other im plies that

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0
$$

for each value of $i$. This is equivalent to saying that changing all the $V_{i}$ by the sam $e$ am ount does not change any of the currents. Thus, if there are N wires, only N 1 of the voltages are independent variables as far as the currents are concemed.

W e can com pute any of the conductances of the system if we know the values of all the $T_{i j}$ in Eq. (19). O ne way to de ne a conductance is as follow s [3011 . W e consider tw o of the long w ires, say, $i$ and $j$; we call these the current probes, and the currents at these tw o w ires satisfy $I_{i}=I_{j}$. On all the other wires, we im pose the voltage probe condition $I_{m}=0$; this im poses $N \quad 2$ conditions on the voltages. These conditions im ply that there is only one independent variable left am ongst all the voltages; we can take this variable to be $V_{k} \quad V_{1}$, where $k \in l$ (in general, $k$; lm ay orm ay not be the sam e as $i ; j$ ). W e can now de ne a conductance of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i j ; k l}=\frac{I_{i}}{V_{k} V_{l}} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Secs. IV and $V$, wew illconsider system swhich have only tw o long w ires labeled 1 and 3 (see Figs. 1 and 2). Eqs. ( $2(2,12 \overline{1} 12)$ then im ply the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{13}=\mathrm{T}_{31}=\mathrm{T}_{11}=\mathrm{T}_{33} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there is only one conductance to consider, nam ely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{13 ; 31}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~T}_{13}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Sec. VI, we will consider a system with four long wires labeled 1, 2, 3 and 4, w th com plete sym $m$ etry betw een w ires 1 and 2 on one hand, and between 3 and 4 on the other (see Fig. 3). In this case, we have the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}} & =\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ji}} ; \\
\mathrm{T}_{11} & =\mathrm{T}_{22}=\mathrm{T}_{33}=\mathrm{T}_{44} ; \\
\mathrm{T}_{12} & =\mathrm{T}_{34} ; \\
\mathrm{T}_{13} & =\mathrm{T}_{14}=\mathrm{T}_{23}=\mathrm{T}_{24} ; \\
\text { and } \mathrm{T}_{11} & +\mathrm{T}_{12}+2 \mathrm{~T}_{13}=0: \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ here are $m$ any di erent conductances one can consider in this system; the im portant ones are as follow s . W e can take w ires 1 and 2 to be the current probes, and w ires 3 and 4 to be the voltage probes. $W$ e then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{12 ; 21}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{12}+\mathrm{T}_{13}\right): \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ltematively, we can take w ires 1 and 3 to be the current probes, and wires 2 and 4 to be the voltage probes. $W$ e then nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{G}_{13 ; 31}=\frac{4 \mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}} \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{13}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{13}+\mathrm{T}_{12}\right)}{3 \mathrm{~T}_{13}+\mathrm{T}_{12}} ; \\
& \mathrm{G}_{13 ; 24}=\frac{4 \mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}} \frac{\mathrm{~T}_{13}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{13}+\mathrm{T}_{12}\right)}{\mathrm{T}_{13}} \mathrm{~T}_{12} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

W e now have the problem of determ ining the values of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ in our system s . If $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}>\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$, wew ill see in Secs. IV -V I that one can think of each of the system $s$ as e ectively having only one junction. In that case, $\mathrm{T}_{i j}$ is related to the entries of the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix at that junction as follow S ,

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{i j} & =\dot{J}_{i j} j^{2} \text { for } i \in j ; \\
\text { and } T_{i i} & =j_{i i}{ }^{2} \quad 1: \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

(Eqs. $\left(20_{1}^{1}+2 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ then follow from the unitarity of the $S$ $m$ atrix). On the other hand, if $L_{T}<L_{S}$, then we have to consider all the junctions in the system, and the calculation of $T_{i j}$ involves combining the e ects of several $S-m$ atrioes in som eway.

A 11 the three system $s$ of interest to us have two junctions. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that the stub also has two junctions, i.e., a threew ire junction at the lower end $A$, and a onew ire junction at the upper end $B$ where we w ill take the $S$ m atrix to be equal to 1 which corresponds to the hard wallboundary condition.] A n electron which enters through one ofthe long w ires has the possibility ofbouncing back and forth $m$ any tim esbetw een the two junctions. A fter several bounces, the electron can em erge from the sam e long w ire or from a di erent long w ire. From Figs. $1-3$, we can see that two waves which em erge from the system after $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ bounces $w$ ill have a di erence in path lengths which is equal to $2 \eta_{1} \quad n_{2}$ Is . N ow we see that there are tw o regim es of tem perature which w illgive di erent answ ers for the probabilities $T_{i j}$. If the them al length $L_{T}$ (w hich is the phase relaxation length as argued earlier) is m uch sm aller than $L_{s}$, then the tw o w aves will be phase incoherent if $n_{1} \in n_{2}$. In this case, the contributions of the two waves to $T_{i j} m$ ust be added incoherently. On the other hand, if $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}$ is $m$ uch larger than $2 \eta_{1} \quad n_{2} J_{S}$, then the contributions of the two waves will add up coherently. In betw een these extrem es is an interm ediate regim $e$ in which $L_{T}$ is com parable to $L_{S}$; in that case, we have only partial coherence, and the two waves becom es $m$ ore and $m$ ore incoherent as $\eta_{1} n_{2} j$ increases.

It is usefulto have an expression for the $T_{i j}$ which can interpolate all the way from the coherent regime (low tem perature) to the incoherent regim e (high tem perature). To obtain such an interpolating form ula, we use the idea of partial coherence caused by phase random ization by a voltage probe which was introduced in Refs. [28, We w ill rst sum $m$ arize this idea, and then describe how it can be extended to our problem.
$C$ onsider $F$ ig. 5 in which there is a w ire w ith two ends labeled as 1 and 2. At som e point labeled A in them iddle of the $w$ ire, there are tw $o w$ ires $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ which are voltage probes, i.e., the net outgoing currents at each


FIG. 5. A wire with two voltage probes $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ at $a$ point labeled A. The tw o probes cause phase random ization of right and left $m$ oving $w$ aves respectively.
of these wires is zero. The four-w ire junction at $A$ is govemed by an $S-m$ atrix of the form
where the colum ns and rows carry the indices $1,2, P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ in that order, and $p$ is a realparam eter $w$ hich lies in the range 0 p 1. (Ifp $=0$, the voltage probes have no e ect, and phase random ization does not occur). A w ave traveling right from end 1 can go partially out into $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and partially on to end 2 ; the part which goes out into $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ can re-enter the w ire and go on to 2 . W e now im pose the phase random ization condition that the wave which goes out into $P_{1}$ can re-enter the $w$ ire $w$ ith an arbitrary phase change of 1 , but itm ust have the sam em agnitude; this is necessary to ensure the zero current condition since $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ is a voltage probe. U pon solving a problem in which there is an incom ing wave of unit am plitude at end 1 , and no incom ing wave at end 2 , we nd that there is com plete transm ission of the w ave across the point A. To be explicit, we take the incom ing and outgoing w aves at $A$ to be of the form $s$
respectively. W e then use the scattering $m$ atrix in Eq. $\left(\underline{2}^{-1} \underline{q}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ to relate I and 0. On elim inating , we nd that the transm ission am plitude across $A$ is given by
so that $\mathrm{Jj}=1 . W$ hen we calculate any physicalquantity (such as a transm ission or re ection probability), we w ill
integrate over 1 from 0 to 2 . The follow ing identity will prove to be useful,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}_{1}}{2} t^{\mathrm{n}}=(1 \quad \mathrm{p})^{\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{n} j}=2} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any integer $n$. A s show $n$ below, the integration over 1 reduces the coherence of a w ave $m$ oving to the right from 1 to 2 . Sim ilarly, we can introduce a phase change of 2 for a wave which leaves and re-enters the $w$ ire at the probe $\mathrm{P}_{2}$; integrating over 2 reduces the coherence of a wave $m$ oving to the left from 2 to 1 . For both right and left $m$ oving waves, the degree of coherence depends on the value of the param eter $p$ as we $w$ ill now see.

W e consider two waves which travel $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ tim es respectively through the point A from left to right. Let us suppose that their am plinudes are $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ respectively in the absence of phase random ization, i.e., for $p=0$. In the presence of phase random ization, their am plitudes w ill be $\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{n}_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{t}^{\mathrm{n}_{2}}$ respectively, where t is given in Eq. (31'). If these tw o w aves contribute to a transm ission probability T , the cross-term com ing from their interference will be given by $a_{1} a_{2} t^{n_{2}} n_{1}+a_{2} a_{1} t^{n_{1}} n_{2} \cdot W$ e now integrate this expression over the variable 1 . U sing Eq. ( $\left.3 \overline{2} z_{2}\right)$, we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}_{2} \frac{d_{1}}{2}\left[a_{1} a_{2} t^{n_{2} n_{1}}+a_{2} a_{1} t^{n_{1}} n_{2}\right] \\
& =\left(a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2} a_{1}\right)(1 \quad p)^{j_{1}} n_{2} j=2
\end{align*}
$$

W e thus see that the phase random ization has the e ect of $m$ ultiplying the interference of $t w o$ term $s$ by a factor which interpolates betw een 1 (i.e., com plete phase coherence betw een the two waves) for $\mathrm{p}=0$ and 0 (i.e., no phase coherence) for $p=1$. Further, the interpolating factor depends exponentially on $\eta_{1} \quad n_{2} j w h i c h$ is proportional to the di erence betw een the path lengths of the two waves.

Now we have to im plem ent this idea in the system $s$ of interest to us. $W$ e do this by generalizing the idea of phase random ization at a single point to phase random ization at a continuum of points. Let us assume that the density of such points in a wire is given by $=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}$, where is som e dim ensionless number (which is independent of any tem perature or length scale), and that the param eterp is the sam e at each of those points. $T$ his assum ption for the density is $m$ otivated by our identi cation of $L_{T}$ as the phase relaxation length; the sm aller the value of $L_{T}$, the $m$ ore frequently phase relaxation should occur as an electron travels along the w ire. T hus the num ber of phase relaxation points in an interval of length $L$ is equal to $L=L_{T}$. Follow ing argum ents sim ilar to the one described above, one can show that the interference of tw o w aves which pass through that length interval $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ tim es $w$ ill get $m$ ultiplied by the factor $(1 \quad p)^{j_{1}} n_{2} j \mathrm{~L}=\left(2 \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$. If wewrite $(1 \quad \mathrm{p})^{=2}=\mathrm{e}$, where is a positive dim ensionless num ber, we see that
the interference betw een two waves whose path lengths di er by $L=\eta_{1} n_{2}$ 㞱 will carry a factor of

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\exp \left[\quad \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{T}}}\right]: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The high temperature lim it ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ! 0 ) corresponds to the incoherent case in which we ignore the interference betw een paths $w$ ith any nite length di enence; nam ely, we sim ply add up the probabilities contributed by di erent paths.

In our calculations of the transm ission probabilities described in Secs. IV -V I, we w ill require an interpolating factor as in Eq. (3-4') only for the case $L_{T} \quad L_{S}$. It is only in that regim e that our system $s$ have $m$ ore than one junction which allow s for a num ber of di erent paths betw een any pair of long wires. For $L_{T}>L_{S}$, each of our system se ectively simplify to a system which has only one junction and, therefore, only one possible path betw een any pair of long wires. H ence there w ill be no need to consider any interference term $s$ for $L_{T}>L_{S}$. In order to $m$ ake our expressions for the transm ission probabilities $m$ atch as we approach $L_{T}=L_{S}$ from above and below, we will use an interpolating factor $F$ which is 1 at $L_{T}=L_{S}$. We will therefore use a formula which is $m$ otivated by the expression in Eq. (34í) (w ith set equal to 1), but which is som ew hat $m$ odi ed so that it is goes to 1 as $L_{T}$ approaches $L_{S}$ from below. W e will use the follow ing prescription,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\exp \left[\frac{L}{L_{S}} \quad \frac{L}{L_{T}}\right] \text { for } L_{T} \quad L_{S} ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the factor $m$ ultiplying the interference of two paths di ering in length by L .

## IV.THESTUB SYSTEM

We will now use the ideas developed in the previous tw o sections to study the transm ission probability of the stub system show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1. The 33 scattering $m$ atrix, called $S_{3 D}$, which govems the junction labeled A w ill be assum ed to be of the form given in Eq. ( 1 事 com plete symm etry betw een the tw o long w ires labeled 1 and 3. At the other end of the stub labeled B, we w ill assum e a hard wallboundary condition, i.e., perfect re ection $w$ th a phase change of 1 .

W e rst consider the RG ow of the transm ission probabilities $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}$. There is only one independent quantity to consider in this system, nam ely, $\mathrm{T}_{13}$; all the others are related to it by E q. ( $\left.\overline{2}_{3}^{\prime} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. A s outlined in Sec. II, we start from the length scale $\bar{d}$ and initially use Eq. $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ to see how the various entries of $S_{3 D}$ ow as functions of the length. If $\mathrm{L}_{T}<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$, we follow this ow up to the length scale $L_{T}$ and then stop there. A that point, we com pute $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ as explained below.

If $L_{T}>L_{S}$, we rst use Eq. (124) to follow the ow up to the length scale $L_{S}$. At that point, we sw itch over to a 22 scattering $m$ atrix $S_{2 D}$ which can be obtained from the $m$ atrix $S_{3 D}$ that we get at that length scale from the RG calculation. The entries of $S_{2 D}\left(L_{S}\right)$ and $S_{3 D}\left(L_{S}\right)$ can be show $n$ to be related as follow $s$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(S_{2 D}\right)_{11}=\left(S_{2 D}\right)_{33}=r^{0} \frac{t^{2}}{1+r} ; \\
& \left(S_{2 D}\right)_{13}=\left(S_{2 D}\right)_{31}=t^{0} \frac{t^{2}}{1+r}: \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=e^{i 2 k_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{s}}}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) w ill be derived in the next paragraph. [T he phase factor appears because the electrons are assum ed to have a $m$ om entum of $k_{F}$ in all regions; hence the wave functions have factors of $\exp \left(\mathrm{ik}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{x}\right)$.] H aving obtained $S_{2 D}$ at the length scale $L_{S}$, we then continue $w$ ith the RG ow of that $m$ atrix follow ing Eq. (10). This ow is stopped when we reach the length scale $L_{T}$. At that point, we com pute $T_{13}$ as explained below.

Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{6} \overline{1})$ can be derived in one of two ways. The rst way is to assum e an incom ing wave w ith unit am plitude on w ire 1 and no incom ing wave on wire 3, and then use the scattering $m$ atrix $S_{3 D}$ at junction $A$ and the sign change at B . The second way, which is m ore instructive for us and is also easier, is to sum over all the paths that an electron can take. For instance, if we consider the di erent paths which go through the stub, we see that they are characterized by an integer $n=0 ; 1 ; \quad$ which the num ber of tim es a path goes up and down the stub. $T$ he length of a path which goes from a point just to the left of A to itself after going up and down the stub $n$ tim es is given by $2 n L_{s}$. Summ ing over all such paths leads to the expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(S_{2 D}\right)_{11} & =r^{0} \quad t t+t r t \quad t r r t+ \\
& =r^{0} \quad \frac{t^{2}}{1+r} ; \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the rst equation in Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{\sigma_{1}}$. Sim ilarly, we can derive the second equation in Eq. (36) by sum $m$ ing over all the paths which go from a point just to the left of A to a point just to the right of A

Let us now calculate the transm ission probability $\mathrm{T}_{13}$. If $L_{T} \quad L_{S}$, we have to use $S_{3 D}$ to com pute an expression for $\mathrm{T}_{13} \mathrm{w}$ th an intenpolating factor F as in Eq. [ $\overline{3} \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). $T$ his is easy to do since we have already found the sum over all the paths as in Eq. (3'). . A ccording to the phase random ization idea discussed in Sec. III, the interference betw een two paths characterized by integers $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ $m$ ust be $m$ ultiplied by a factor $F=f^{\mathfrak{n}_{1}} n_{2}{ }^{j}$, where

$$
f=\exp \left[2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \frac{L_{S}}{L_{T}} \tag{39}
\end{array}\right)\right]:
$$

This follow s from the prescription Eq. ( $\left.3 \bar{S}_{1} \overline{1}\right)$ since the difference in path lengths is given by $L=2 \eta_{1} \quad n_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{S}}$. On sum $m$ ing up all the term $s w$ th the appropriate factors off, we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{13}=t^{@} & +\frac{t^{2}}{2} \\
& t^{2}\left(t^{0}+\frac{r^{0}}{2}\right)\left[\frac{f}{1+r f}+\frac{f}{1+r f}\right] \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used som e of the relations in Eq. (1-3). Eq. (4" ${ }^{-1}$ ) is the desired interpolating expression for $\mathrm{T}_{12}$. If we set $f=0$ (as we must do for $L_{T} \ll L_{S}$ ), we get the incoherent expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{13}=t^{\complement}+\frac{t^{2}}{2}=1+r^{0} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is independent of. On the other hand, if we set $\mathrm{f}=1$ (as we must do at $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ), we get the coherent expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{13}=j t^{0} \quad \frac{t^{2}}{1+r} \rho \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is just the square of the m odulus of $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{D}}\right)_{13}$ given in Eq. [3]). E q. (4 $4 \mathbf{O}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) interpolates betw een the coherent and incoherent expressions depending on the value of $f$.

There is a way ofdirectly obtaining the incoherent expression in Eq. (4픈) without sum $m$ ing over paths. W e w illpresent this derivation here; as discussed in the next section, a sim ilar derivation $w$ illw ork for the ring system where it is di cult to classify the di erent paths in a convenient way and therefore to sum over them. T he idea is to add probabilities (intensities) rather than am plitudes. C onsider a situation $w$ ith the follow ing kinds of w aves: a wave of unit intensity which com es into the system from $w$ ire 1, a wave of intensity $i_{r}$ which is re ected back to w ire 1, a w ave of intensity $i_{t}$ which is transm itted to w ire 3 , a wave of intensity $i_{u}$ which travels up along the stub 2 , and a wave of intensity $i_{d}$ dow $n$ along the stub. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that the last four $w$ aves are actually $m$ ade up of sum $s$ of several waves obtained after repeated travels up and down the stub; how ever, we w ill not need to explicitly sum over all those paths in this w ay ofdoing the calculation. T he sum $m$ ation over paths w illbe im plicit because we are assum ing that $i_{r}, i_{t}$ and $i_{u}$ and $i_{d}$ denote the total intensities of those four kinds of waves.] N ow we use the $m$ atrix $S_{3 D}$ at junction $A$. This gives the follow ing relations betw een these intensities,

$$
\begin{align*}
& i_{r}=r^{\infty}+t^{2} i_{d} ; \\
& i_{t}=t^{\infty}+t^{2} i_{d} ; \\
& i_{u}=t^{2}+r^{2} i_{d}: \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly, the total re ection at the end B im plies that $i_{u}=i_{d}$. Putting these relations together, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{t}=1+r^{0} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agrees w ith the expression in Eq. (41~1).
$W$ hen $L_{T}$ becom es equal to $L_{S}, T_{12}\left(L_{S}\right)$ is equal to $j\left(S_{2 D}\right)_{12} \stackrel{J}{j}$ where $\left(S_{2 D}\right)_{12}$ is given in Eq. ( $3 \bar{G}$ ). Dur form alism is designed to ensure that we get the sam e value of $T_{13}$ at $L_{T}=L_{S} w h e t h e r w e ~ a p p r o a c h ~ t h a t ~ p o i n t ~ f r o m ~$ the high tem perature or the low tem perature side.] U sing the param etrization in Eq. $(\underset{\underline{9}}{\mathbf{g}})$ and the RG equations in Eq. (1 $\left.1_{-1}^{\prime}\right)$, we see that at a length scale $L_{T}>L_{S}$ is related to its value at the length scale $L_{S}$ as follow s,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{T}\right)=\frac{L_{T}}{L_{S}} \quad\left(L_{S}\right): \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hen $T_{13}\left(L_{T}\right)$ is given by $1=\left(1+{ }^{2}\left(L_{T}\right)\right)$.
In the coherent regim e given by $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}>\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$, we observe that $T_{13}$ is equalto 1 if $=1$ and 0 if $=1$; this follow s on using E qs. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ and ( $\left(4 \overline{2} \overline{2}_{1}\right)$. W ew ill call these resonances and anti-resonanœes respectively; they arise due to interference betw een the di erent paths. For these tw o special values of , $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ rem ains stuck at 1 and 0 and does not ow under RG. For any other value of , $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ starts at a value which is less than 1 ; it then ow s tow ards zero till the RG evolution stops at the length scale $L_{T}$. $N$ ote that by changing the electron $m$ om entum $k_{F}$ (this can be done by changing the gate voltage), we can vary the value of and therefore of the $m$ atrix elem ents in Eq. (3G); we can therefore, in principle, tune the system to resonance. [This is in contrast to a single wire system with an im purity where one can change the $m$ atrix elem ents of $S_{2 D}$ only by varying the strength of the im purity potential $w$ hich $m$ ay not be easy to do experim entally.]


FIG.6. $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ for the stub system as a function of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for $=0: 2, L_{S}=d=10,=e^{i}=2$, and di erent values of $r^{0}$. The four sets of curves are for $r^{0}=0: 10 ; 0: 33 ; ~ 0: 54$ and $0: 80$ from top to bottom .

In $F$ igs. 6-7, we show $T_{13}$ as a function of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for various values of $r^{0}$ and,$w$ ith $=0.2$ on all the three w ires, and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{d}=10$. In F ig. 6, we have considered four di erent values ofr ${ }^{0}$. O fthese values, the rst one is greater than the unstable xed point value of $1=3$ given in Eq. ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{1}}^{\mathbf{T}}\right)$, the second is equal to $1=3$, and the last


FIG.7. $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ as a function of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for $=0: 2, \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{d}=10$, $r^{0}=0: 54$, and di erent values of . The four sets of curves are for $=1 ; \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 0: 8} ; \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 0: 6}$ and 1 from top to bottom.
tw o are less than $1=3$. In the incoherent regim e, we see that $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ increases in the rst case, does not change in the second case, and decreases in the last tw o cases. In Fig. 7, we show $T_{13}$ as a function of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for four di erent values of. In the coherent regim $e$, we see that $T_{13}$ rem ains stuck at 1 and 0 for $=1$ and 1 respectively, while it decreases for the other tw o cases. It is clear that m odifying (by changing the gate voltage) can lead to large changes in $T_{13}$ in the coherent regim $e$.

## V.THERING SYSTEM

W e now tum to the ring system show n in F ig. 2. We $w$ ill assume that both the junctions $A$ and $B$ are described by the sam e $3 \quad 3$ scattering $m$ atrix $S_{3 D}$ given in Eqs. (12 1 arm sof the ring labeled 2 and 4.

TheRG evolution of the transm ission probabilities $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is studied in the sam e w ay as for the stub system. (O nce again, there is only one independent quantity to consider here, nam ely, $\mathrm{T}_{13}$; the others are related to it by Eq. (23)'). W e start from the length scale $d$ and in itially use Eq. (141') to see how the various entries of the tw om atrioes $S_{3 D}$ ow as functions of the length. If $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$, we follow this ow up to the length scale $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and then stop there. At that point, we com pute $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ as explained below.

If $L_{T}>L_{S}$, we rst use Eq. (14-4) to follow the ow up to the length scale $L_{S}$. At that point, we sw itch over to a 2 scattering $m$ atrix $S_{2 D}$ which can be obtained from them atrix $S_{3 D}$ that weget at that length scale from the RG calculation. For the ring system, the o-diagonal $m$ atrix elem ents of $S_{2 D}\left(L_{S}\right)$ are related to the param eter $r^{0}$ appearing in $S_{3 D}\left(L_{S}\right)$ as follow $s$ [ig $]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(S_{2 D}\right)_{13}=\left(S_{2 D}\right)_{31} \\
& =\frac{2 \cos (=2)^{1=2}(1 \quad)\left(2 r^{0}\right)\left(1+r^{0}\right)}{\left[1+\left(1+2 r^{0}\right)\right]^{2}\left(1+r^{0}\right)^{2}(1+\cos )} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $=e^{i 2 k_{F} L_{s}}$ ，and is a dim ensionless number which is related to the $m$ agnetic ux $\quad$ enclosed by the ring through the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{e_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{hC}}: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq．（4－9）w ill be derived in the A ppendix．H aving ob－ tained $\bar{S}_{2 D}$ at the length scale $L_{S}$ ，we continue w the the RG ow follow ing Eq．（ $1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ）．The ow is stopped when we reach the length scale $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ．A t that point，we com pute $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ as explained below．

As show $n$ in the A ppendix，Eq．（4） by assum ing an incom ing wave with unit am plitude on w ire 1 and no incom ing wave on wire 3，and then using the scattering $m$ atrioes at junctions $A$ and $B$［ $\left[_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ ．O ne $m$ ight think of deriving Eq．（4믄）by sum $m$ ing over all pathsw hich go from w ire 1 to w ire 3，just aswe did for the stub system．H ow ever，it seem s very hard to enum erate the set of paths for the ring system in a convenient way． This is because there are two arm s，and a path can go into either one of the two arm s every tim e it encounters one of the two junctions．

This di culty in sum $m$ ing over paths also $m$ akes it hard to nd a simple interpolating form ula for the con－ ductance in the regim $e L_{T}<L_{S}$ ．To see this m ore clearly，we rst note that in the stub system，tw o paths which have equal lengths must necessarily be identical to each other．A ny point which phase random izes waves m oving in one particular direction w ill therefore occur the sam e num ber of tim es in the two paths．H ence，the interference betw een the two paths will not come with any pow ers of ether the phase factor or the phase ran－ dom ization factor $f$ ．If there are two paths of unequal lengths $2 \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ and $2 \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ in the stub system，then any point which phase random izes waves $m$ oving in a par－ ticular direction point $w$ ill occur $n_{1}$ tim es in one path and $n_{2}$ tim es in the other path．Therefore the interfer－ ence betw een the two paths will come with a factor of $n_{1} \quad n_{2} f^{j_{1}} n_{2} j$ ．Thus，the power of and the power off are alw ays related to each other in a sim ple way．（T his is why a factor of $f$ alw ays accom panies a factor of or in Eq．（40 $\mathbf{O}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ ）．The situation is quite di erent in the ring system．H ere the powers of and $f$ are not necessarily related to each other in any sim ple way．For instance， consider a path which enters the system through wire 1， goes into the arm 2 and leaves through w ire 3，and a sec－ ond path which enters through w ire 1，goes into the arm 4 and leaves through w ire 3．T hese two paths have the sam e length $L_{S}$ ；the interference betw een the two will therefore not carry any powers of ．H owever，a phase random ization point which lies on one path will not lie on the other path．H ence，the phase random izations w ill not cancel between the two paths，and the interference between the two paths will carry a factor of $f$ ．Thus， there is no general relation betw een the power of and
the power off．This makes is dicult to nd an inter－ polating expression for $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ ．

Even though we do not have an interpolating expres－ sion for the ring system，we can obtain an incoherent expression for $T_{13}$ by follow ing a procedure sim ilar to the one we used for the stub system．The idea again is to add probabilities rather than am plitudes．C onsider a sit－ uation $w$ ith the follow ing kinds of waves：a wave of unit intensity which com es into the system from wire 1，a w ave of intensity $i_{r} w$ hich is re ected back to $w$ ire 1 ，a w ave of intensity $i_{t} w$ hich is transm itted to $w$ ire 3，waves of in－ tensity $i_{2 r}$ and $i_{21}$ which travel respectively from junction $A$ to junction $B$ and vice versa along $w$ ire 2，and $w$ aves of intensity $i_{4 r}$ and $i_{41}$ which travel respectively from junc－ tion A to junction B and vice versa along w ire 4． $\mathbb{N}$ ote as before that the last six $w$ aves are actually $m$ ade up of sum s of severalw aves obtained after repeated bounces from the two junctions．We do not need to explicitly sum over all these paths because we are assum ing that $i_{r} ; i_{t}$ ；denote the total intensities of these six kinds of waves．］N ow we use the $m$ atrioes at junctions A and $B$ ．T his gives the follow ing relations betw een the various intensities，

$$
\begin{align*}
i_{r} & =r^{2}+t^{2}\left(i_{21}+i_{41}\right) ; \\
i_{t} & =t^{2}\left(i_{2 r}+i_{4 r}\right) ; \\
i_{2 r} & =t^{2}+r^{\infty} i_{21}+t^{\infty} i_{41} ; \\
i_{4 r} & =t^{2}+r^{\infty} \dot{i}_{41}+t^{\infty} i_{21} ; \\
i_{21} & =r^{@} i_{2 r}+t^{@} i_{4 r} ; \\
i_{41} & =r^{@} i_{4 r}+t^{@} i_{2 r}: \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

Solving these equations and using som e of the relations in Eq．［13），we nd the incoherent expression for $T_{13}$ （valid for $L_{T} \ll L_{S}$ ）to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{t}=\frac{2 r^{0}\left(1+r^{0}\right)}{1+r^{0}+r^{@}}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is independent ofboth and．
At the point $L_{T}=L_{S}, T_{13}\left(L_{S_{2}}\right)$ is given by $j\left(S_{2 D}\right)_{13} f$ where $\left(\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{D}}\right)_{13}$ is given in Eq．（4б⿱一⿴⿻儿口一寸 ）．We can again use the param etrization in Eq．$(\underset{1}{(\underline{1})}$ ）and the RG equation in Eq．（1－q）to we obtain $\mathrm{T}_{13}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)=1=\left(1+{ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right)$ ，where （ $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ）is given in Eq．（45－5）．
In Fig．8，we show $T_{13}$ as a function of $L_{T}=L_{S}$ for various values， w th $=0.2$ on all the w ires，and $L_{S}=d=10$ ．

In the coherent regim e given by $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}>\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ，we can nd the conditions under which there are resonances and anti－resonances in the transm ission through the ring，i．e．， $\mathrm{T}_{13}=1$ and 0 respectively．（Som e of these conditions have been discussed in Ref．［8］1］）．We nd that $T_{13}=1$ for the follow ing values of ；$e^{i}$ and $r^{0}$ ．
（i）$=e^{i}=1$ ，and $r^{0}$ can take any value．$N$ ote that for these values of and $e^{i}$ ，there are eigenstates of the electron which are con ned to the ring．


FIG.8. $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ for the ring system as a function of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for $=0: 2, L_{S}=d=10, r^{0}=0: 18,=0$, and di erent values of . The four coherent curves are for $=1 ; e^{i 0: 08} ; e^{i 0: 16}$ and $e^{\mathrm{i} 0: 4}$ from top to bottom. The incoherent curve is the sam e for all.


FIG.9. $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ as a function of $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for $=0: 2, \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{d}=10$, $r^{0}=0: 19,=0$, and di erent values of $L_{T}=L_{S} . T$ he three sets of curves are for $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}=1 ; 50$ and $10^{5}$ from top to bottom.
(ii) $=e^{i}$, and $r^{0}=0$. For this relation betw een and $e^{i}$, there are eigenstates of the electron on the ring. Further, $r^{0}=0$ implies $t=0$ which $m$ eans that these eigenstates cannot escape from the ring to the long w ires.
(iii) $e^{i}=1, r^{0}=1=2$, and can take any value. N ote that $r^{0}=1=2$ implies $r=0$ which $m$ eans that a wave which is com ing in on wire 1 (3) su ers no re ection at junction $A(B)$.
[ W e note that both the num erator and denom inator of Eq. (4́G) vanish under conditions (i) and (ii); hence one has to take the lim it appropriately to see that $\mathrm{T}_{13}=1$.]

Sim ilarly, we nd that $\mathrm{T}_{13}=0$ for the follow ing values of, $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}$ and $r^{0}$.
(i) $=1$, and $e^{i}$ and $r^{0}$ can take any values except 1 and -1 respectively.


FIG. 10. $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ as a function of $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for $=0 \cdot 2$, $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{d}=10,=0: 5$, and di erent values of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}} . \mathrm{T}$ he four sets of curves are for $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}=1 ; 50 ; 3000$; and $10^{6}$ from top to bottom. N ote that there is a transm ission zero at $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}=0 \mathrm{mod} 2$.
(ii) $r^{0}=0$, and and $e^{i}$ can take any values exœept $=e^{i}$. N ote that $r^{0}=0$ implies that $t=0$ which $m$ eans that there is no transm ission betw een the long w ires and the ring.
(iii) $r^{0}=1$, and can take any value except 1 , while $e^{i}$ can take any value. O nce again, $r^{0}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ plies that $t=0$ which $m$ eans that there is no transm ission betw een the long $w$ ires and the ring.
(iv) $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}=1$, and $\left(; r^{0}\right)$ can take any values except ( 1 ; 1) and ( $1 ; 0$ ).
A $s$ in the stub system, if $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ begins w ith the value 1 or 0 at $L_{T}=L_{S}=1$, it rem ains stuck there and does not ow under RG as we go to larger length scales. For any other starting value of $\mathrm{T}_{13}$, it ow s tow ards zero till the RG evolution stops at the length scale $L_{T}$. It is interesting to consider the shape of the resonance line which is a plot of $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ versus the m om entum $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ (or, equivalently,
) at very low tem peratures. A s discussed in the follow ing paragraph, one nds that the line shape becom es narrower w ith decreasing tem perature, w ith the width at half $m$ axim um scaling $w$ ith tem perature as $T$. Figs. 9-10 show this feature qualitatively for the resonances of types (i) and (ii) described above. In F ig. 10, we see pairs of resonances because $T_{13}$ hasm axim a at $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ equalto and $\bmod 2 . \mathrm{Fig} .11$ show s the resonance of type (iii). $H$ ere $T_{13}$ is close to 1 for a w ide range of $k_{F}$ (or ) at $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}=1$; this is consistent w th the resonance condition given in (iii) above. W e also observe anti-resonances ( $\mathrm{T}_{13}=0$ ) in F igs. 10 and 11 at $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}=0 \mathrm{mod} 2$.

Let us now discuss the resonance line shape in $m$ ore detail. E xactly at a resonance, occuring at, say, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F} 0}$, $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ is equal to 1 , and it rem ains stuck at that value no $m$ atter how large $L_{T}$ is. $W$ e can now ask: what is the shape of the resonance line slightly aw ay from $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} 0$ ? If one deviates from $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} 0$ by a sm allam ount


FIG. 11. $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ as a function of $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for $=0: 2$, $L_{S}=\mathrm{d}=10,=0$, and di erent values of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}$. The four sets of curves are for $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{s}}=1 ; 150 ; 20000$ and $10^{6}$ from top to bottom. N ote that there is a transm ission zero at $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}=0 \mathrm{mod} 2$.
$\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F} 0} \mathrm{j} w h i{ }^{2}$ is xed , one nds that the transm ission $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ di ers from 1 by an am ount of order (k) ${ }^{2}$. (A $n$ exam ple of this is discussed below ). C om paring this w ith the form in Eq. ( $\overline{(9)}{ }^{\prime}$ ), we see that $k$ at the length scale $L_{S}$. Eq. (1d) then im plies that $w$ ill grow as $k\left(L_{T}=L_{S}\right)$ at low tem perature; hence $T_{13} \mathrm{w}$ ill approach zero as $1=2 \quad \mathrm{~T}^{2}$ at very low tem perature, if $k$ is held xed. On the other hand, the width of the resonance line at half the $m$ axim um possible value of $T_{13}$ is given by the condition that $k\left(L_{T}=L_{S}\right) \quad 1$, which implies that $k \quad T$. Thus the resonance line becom es narrow er $w$ th decreasing tem perature, $w$ ith a w idth k which vanishes as T . To sum m arize, $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ depends on the variables $k$ and $T$ through the combination $x=k=T$, and $T_{13}(x) \quad 1=x^{2}$ as $x!1$. ( $T$ his agrees $w$ th the expression given in Ref. [13] for sm all values of ). As a speci c exam ple, let us consider the resonance of type (i). $W$ e set $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}=1$ and take the lim it $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}!\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F} 0}=\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ in Eq. ( $\left.4-\overline{\mathrm{G}}\right) . \mathrm{We}$ nd that at the length scale $L_{S}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{13}=1 \quad \frac{\left(1+2 r^{0}\right)^{2}}{16 r^{@}\left(1+r^{0}\right)^{2}}(2 \mathrm{~kL} \mathrm{~s})^{2} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to order (k) ${ }^{2}$. Eq. $[\underline{1} \overline{1})$ then implies that at the length scale $L_{T}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{13}=h^{\mathrm{h}} 1+\frac{\left(1+2 r^{0}\right)^{2}}{16 r^{\infty}\left(1+r^{0}\right)^{2}}(2 \mathrm{~kL} \mathrm{~s})^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~L}_{T}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{S}}}\right)^{\mathrm{i}_{1}}: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $r^{0}$ is held $x e d$ and $T_{13}$ is plotted against $\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$, we should get the sam e curve for di erent values of $L_{T}=L_{S}$, provided that the quadratic approxi$m$ ation in Eq. ( 50 as a function of $2 \mathrm{~kL} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ for fourdi erent values


FIG.12. $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ as a function of the scaled variable $\left[2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}\right.$ $\bmod 2]\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ for $=0: 2, \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{d}=10, \mathrm{r}^{0}=0: 32$,
$=0$, and di erent values of $L{ }_{T}=L_{S}$. The four sets of curves are for $L_{T}=L_{S}=1 ; 2: 7 ; 7: 4$ and 20 from top to bottom. The inset show s the sam e plots $w$ ithout scaling, i.e., $T_{13}$ as a function of $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$.
of $L_{T}=L_{S} . W e$ see that the curves agree well $w$ th each other dow $n$ to about $T_{13}=0: 7$. For com parison, we have show $n$ the sam e plots w ithout scaling in the inset; we see that they begin disagreeing below $\mathrm{T}_{13}=0: 95$. ( W e nd sim ilar resonance line shapes in the stub and four-w ire system $s$, although we have not show $n$ those plots in this paper).

## VI.THE FOUR WW IRE SYSTEM

$F$ inally, let us consider the four-w ire system show $n$ in Fig. 3. W e will assume that both the junctions A and $B$ are described by the sam e $3 \quad 3$ scattering $m$ atrix $S_{3 D}$ given in Eqs. (1 1 the $w$ ires 1 and 2 on one side and the w ires 3 and 4 on the other side. The transm ission probabilities en joy the sym $m$ etries described in Eq. (25).

W e rst consider theRG ow of the transm ission probabilities $T_{i j}$. D ue to the sym $m$ etries of the system, and the relations in Eqs. (20) we see that there are only tw o independent quantities to consider, nam ely, $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{13}$. Follow ing the form alism in Sec. II, we start from the length scale d and initially use Eq. (141) to see how the various entries of $S_{3 D}$ ow as functions of the length. If $L_{T}<L_{S}$, we follow this ow up to the length scale $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}$, and then com pute $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{13}$.

If $L_{T}>L_{S}$, we rst use Eq. (14') to follow the ow up to the length scale $L_{s}$. At that point, we sw itch over to a 44 scattering $m$ atrix $S_{4 D}$ which can be obtained from the $m$ atrix $S_{3 D}$ that we get at that length scale from the RG calculation. The entries of $S_{4 D}\left(L_{S}\right)$ and $S_{3 D}\left(L_{S}\right)$ can be show $n$ to be related as follow $S$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(S_{4 D}\right)_{11}=r^{0}+\frac{t^{2} r}{1 r^{2}} ; \\
& \left(S_{4 D}\right)_{12}=t^{0}+\frac{t^{2} r}{1 r^{2}} ; \\
& \left(S_{4 D}\right)_{13}=\frac{t^{2} 1=2}{1 r^{2}}: \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{where}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{L} \text { s }}$. (Eq. (522) w illlbe derived in the next paragraph). H aving obtained $S_{4 D}$ at the length scale $L_{S}$, we then continue with the RG ow of that matrix using Eq. (G). This ow is stopped when we reach the length scale $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}$, where we com pute $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{13}$.

As in the stub system, Eq. (52 ${ }^{1}$ ) can be derived in one oftwo ways. The rstway is to assum ean incom ing wave w ith unit am plitude on w ire 1 and no incom ing waves on w ires 2, 3 and 4, and then use the scattering $m$ atrices $S_{3 D}$ at junctions A and B. The second way is to sum over all the paths that an electron can take. As in the stub system, the di erent paths going betw een any two of the long wires $i$ and $j$ are characterized by an integer $\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1$; which is the number of tim es a path goes right and left on the centralw ire labeled 5 . For instance, the sum over paths which go from a point on w ire 1 lying very close to the junction A to itself gives the series

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(S_{4 D}\right)_{11}= & r^{0}+t^{1=2} r^{1=2} t \\
& +t^{1=2} r^{1=2} r^{1=2} r^{1=2} t+ \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

which agrees w ith the rst equation in Eq. (5-1). . Sim ilarly, we can derive the other expressions in Eq. (524).

Let us now calculate the transm ission probabilities $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ and $T_{13}$. If $L_{T} \quad L_{S}$, we have to use $S_{3 D}$ to com pute expressions for $T_{i j} w$ th an interpolating factor $f$ as in Eq. (32, 3 . $T$ his is as easy to do here as in the stub system since we know how to explicitly sum over all the paths. $T$ he interference betw een the contributions of tw o paths characterized by integers $n_{1}$ and $n_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be multiplied
 sum $m$ ing up all the term $s w$ th the appropriate factors of f, we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{12}= & t^{@}+\frac{t^{2} r^{2}}{2\left(1+r^{2}\right)} \\
& +t^{2} t^{0} r+\frac{r^{4}}{2\left(1+r^{2}\right)} \frac{f}{1 r^{2} f}+\frac{f}{1 r^{2} f} ; \\
T_{13}= & \frac{t^{2}}{2\left(1+r^{2}\right)}+\frac{t^{2} r^{2}}{2\left(1+r^{2}\right)} \frac{f}{1 r^{2} f}+\frac{f}{1 r^{2} f}: \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hese are the desired interpolating expressions for $\mathrm{T}_{12}$ and $T_{13}$. If we set $f=0$ (as wem ust do for $L_{T} \ll L_{S}$ ), we get the incoherent expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{12}=t^{\complement}+\frac{t^{2} r^{2}}{2\left(1+r^{2}\right)}=\frac{\left(1+r^{0}\right)\left(2+5 r^{0}+4 r^{\complement}\right)}{2\left(1+2 r^{0}+2 r^{@}\right)} ; \\
& T_{13}=\frac{t^{2}}{2\left(1+r^{2}\right)}=\frac{r^{0}\left(1+r^{0}\right)}{2\left(1+2 r^{0}+2 r^{\complement}\right)} ; \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

which are independent of . O n the other hand, if we set $\mathrm{f}=1$ (as we must do at $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ), we get the coherent expressionsw hich are given by the square of the m odulus of the entries $\left(S_{4 D}\right)_{12}$ and $\left(S_{4 D}\right)_{13}$ in Eq. ( $5 \mathbf{L}_{2}^{-2}$ ).

A $s$ in the stub system, there is a way of directly obtaining the incoherent expression in Eq. ( $55^{-5}$ ) w ithout sum $m$ ing over paths, by adding probabilities rather than am plitudes. We consider a situation $w$ ith the follow ing kinds ofw aves: a w ave of unit intensity which com es into the system from $w$ ire 1, waves of intensity $i_{2}, i_{3}$ and $i_{4}$ which go into w ires 2, 3 and 4, and waves of intensity $i_{r}$ and $i_{1}$ which travel right and left respectively on wire 5. W e then use the $m$ atrioes $S_{3 D}$ at junctions $A$ and $B$ to $w$ rite dow $n$ the relations betw een all these intensities, and then solve for $i_{2}$ and $i_{3}$. $T$ his reproduces the results in Eq. $\left(55_{1}^{5}\right)$.


FIG.13. $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ for the four-w ire system as a function of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for $=0: 2, \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{d}=10$, and $=1$. The four sets of curves (incoherent and interpolating) are for $r^{0}=0: 43 ; 0: 28 ; 0: 17$ and $0: 07$ from top to bottom. The coherent curve rem ains stuck at $1=4$ for all values of $r^{0}$ since

$$
=1
$$

If $L_{T}>L_{S}, T_{12}$ and $T_{13}$ are equal to $j\left(S_{4 D}\right)_{12}{ }^{\rho}$ and $j_{j}\left(S_{4 D}\right)_{13}{ }^{\jmath}$, where $\left(S_{4 D}\right)_{12}$ and $\left(S_{4 D}\right)_{13}$ are given in Eq. (52'). In this regim e, the RG ow has to be carried out num erically for the reasons explained after Eq. (1-1). In general, we nd that at long distances, b and c ow to zero as indicated in Eq. $\left.(1]_{1}\right)$; hence $T_{12}$ and $T_{13}$ go to zero as L ${ }^{2}$.

In the coherent regim e given by $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}>\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$, we observe that $T_{12}$ and $T_{13}$ are both equal to $1=4$ if either $=$ 1 or $r^{0}=1=2$. $\mathrm{We} m$ ay call these resonances since the $m$ axim um possible value of $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ which is allowed by the form of the matrix in Eq. (16) is $1=4$. If $=1$, $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ rem ains stuck at $1=4$ and does not ow under RG. This can be seen in Fig. 13 where we show $T_{13}$ as a function of $L_{T}=L_{S}$ for various values of $r^{0}$. For any other value of , $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ ows till the RG evolution stops at the length scale $L_{T}$. (A s discussed below, $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ can som etim es increasebefore eventually decreasing tow ards zero at very


FIG. 14. $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ as a function of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for $=0: 2$, $L_{S}=d=10$, and $r^{0}=0: 28$. The four sets of curves (interpolating and coherent) are for $=1 ; e^{i 0: 3} ; e^{i 0: 6}$ and $e^{i 0: 9}$ from top to bottom. The incoherent curve is independent of


FIG. 15. $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ as a function of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for $=0: 2$, $L_{S}=d=10, r^{0}=0: 33$ and $=e^{i 0: 52} . T_{13}$ rst increases and then decreases at very low tem peratures.
low tem peratures). A s in the stub system, we can vary the value of and therefore tune the system to resonance by changing the electron $m$ om entum $k_{F}$.

In F ig. 14, we show $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ as a function of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}$ for various values of . In Fig. 15, we show the cross-over behavior of $\mathrm{T}_{13} \mathrm{~m}$ entioned in Sec . II. In the coherent regim e, for certain ranges of values of $r^{0}$ and , $\mathrm{T}_{13}$ rst increases and then decreases at very low tem peratures.

## V II. D ISCUSSIO N

In thiswork, we have derived the RG equations and the transm ission probabilities (and conductances) for three system s of experim ental interest. The RG ow s and the consequent pow er-law sin the tem perature and length dependences of the conductances are purely a result of the interactions in the wires; there is no RG ow if the in-
teraction param eters $i$ are all zero. A peculiarity of our RG form alism is that it has two stages which work in the regim es of high and low tem perature respectively. We abruptly sw itch betw een the two stages when we cross the point $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}=1$. It would be useful to develop an interpolating form alism for the RG ow which can vary sm oothly across the interm ediate range of tem perature. In our way of deriving the RG equations, this $m$ ay require an analysis of the way in which Friedel oscillations from two junctions interfere $w$ ith each other.

O ur results should be applicable to the system smentioned earlier such asm ulti-arm quantum wires [1] [in], various kinds of carbon nanotubes [ [3, "4] , and system $s$ w th other kinds of geom etry $\left.{ }_{[=1}^{[3}[]_{1}\right]$. W hile som e of the early experim ents focussed on electronic transport in the presence of an extemalm agnetic eld and the e ects of geom etry, $m$ easuring the various conductances at di erent tem peratures (and, if possible, di erent w ire lengths) should reveal the interaction induced power-laws discussed in our work. Note that a spread in the phase (as discussed after Eq. (1-i) in Sec. II) and phase random ization (as discussed in Sec. III) are the only e ects of therm al uctuations that we have considered in this work. W e have ignored other e ects of nite tem perature, such asm om entum relaxation by inelastic scattering, and corrections to the Landauer-Buttiker conductances due to them albroadening of the Ferm i-D irac distribution near the Ferm i energy. An application of our work to experim ents would require one to disentangle these other features before the e ects of interactions can becom e visible. Typically, the tem perature at which these experim ents are done is about $0: 1 \quad 1^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, while the Ferm i energy is about $10^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ which is m uch larger $[\underline{6}]$; hence the them al broadening e ect is expected to be sm all.

O ne lim itation ofourw ork is that we have assum ed linear relations betw een the incom ing and outgoing ferm ion elds. In principle, other interesting things can happen at a junction, particularly if we consider the case of spinfulferm ions and ifsom e of the w ires are superconducting rather than $m$ etallic. For instance, there $m$ ay be A ndreev re ection in which an electron striking the junction from one wire is re ected back as a hole while two electrons
 would be interesting to study these phenom ena using the techniques developed in this paper.
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## A P P END IX

W e will derive Eq. (4-G) here. W e consider a situation w ith the follow ing kinds of waves: an incom ing wave on w ire 1 w hose am plitude is unity just to the left of junction A, an outgoing wave on wire 1 whose am plitude is 1 ;out just to the left of junction A, an outgoing wave on w ire 3 whose am plitude is 3 ;out just to the right of junction B , waves on wires 2 and 4 which are incom ing at junction A and have am plitudes ${ }_{2 \text {;in }}^{A}$ and ${ }_{4}^{A}$;in just to the right of $A$, waves on wires 2 and 4 which are outgoing at junction A and have am plitudes ${ }_{2}^{A}$;out and ${ }_{4}^{A}$;out just to the right of $A$, w aves on $w$ ires 2 and 4 which are incom ing at junction B and have am plitudes ${ }_{2}^{B}$;in and ${ }_{4}^{\mathrm{B}}$;in just to the left of $B$, and waves on wires 2 and 4 which are outgoing at junction B and have am plitudes ${ }_{2}^{B}$;out and ${ }_{4}^{B}$;out just to the left of B. Our aim is to nd an expression for the transm itted am plitude 3 ;out.
$T$ he Schrodinger equation relates $m$ any of the am plitudes introduced above to each other. This is because of the follow ing features: a w ave which travels a distance $x$ picks up a phase of $e^{i k_{F} x}$ (we are assum ing that all the particles have $m$ om entum $k_{F}$ ), a wave which travels anticlockw ise around the ring from junction A to junction $B$ or vice versa picks up a phase of $e^{i=2}$, and a wave which travels clockw ise around the ring from junction A to junction B or vice versa picks up a phase of $e^{i=2}$. $T$ his gives us the follow ing relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad{ }_{2 \text {;in }}^{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{~s} \quad \mathrm{i}=2 \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{~A}}{2 \text {;out }} \text {; } \\
& \quad{ }_{2 \text {;in }}^{A}=e^{i_{F} L_{S}+i=2} \quad{ }_{2 \text {; out }}^{B} \text {; } \\
& { }_{4 ; \text { in }}^{B}=e^{\text {ik }_{F} L_{s}+i=2} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { A ;out }
\end{array} \text {; } \\
& { }_{4 ; \text { in }}^{A}=e^{i \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{s}}} \quad \mathrm{i}=2 \quad{\underset{4}{\mathrm{~B}} \text {;out }}_{\mathrm{A}} \text { : } \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

N ow we use the form of the scattering $m$ atrioes in Eq. (12는) at the two junctions. At junction A, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1 ; \text { out }=r+t(\underset{2 ; \text { in }}{A}+\underset{4 ; \text { in }}{A}) ; \\
& \begin{array}{l}
A \\
2 \text {;out }
\end{array}=t+r^{0} \underset{2 ; \text { in }}{A}+t^{0} \underset{4 ; \text { in }}{A} ; \\
& 4 ; r^{\mathrm{A}} \underset{4 ; \text { out }}{A}=t+\underset{2 ; \text { in }}{A}: \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

At junction B, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 3;out }=t(\underset{2 ; \text { in }}{\mathrm{B}}+\underset{4 \text {;in }}{\mathrm{B}}) \text {; } \\
& { }_{2 \text {;out }}^{B}=r^{0} \underset{2 \text {;in }}{B}+t^{0} \underset{4 \text {;in }}{B} \text {; } \\
& { }_{4 ; \text { out }}^{\mathrm{B}}=r^{0} \underset{4 \text {;in }}{\mathrm{B}}+t^{0} \underset{2 \text {;in }}{\mathrm{B}} \text { : } \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Eqs. ( 5 d
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