

# Effects of Randomness on Power Law Tails in Multiplicatively Interacting Stochastic Processes

Toshiya Ohtsuki, Akihiro Fujihara and Hiroshi Yamamoto  
 Graduate School of Integrated Science, Yokohama City Univ.,  
 Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama 236-0027 Japan

Effects of randomness on non-integer power law tails in multiplicatively interacting stochastic processes are investigated theoretically. Generally, randomness causes decrease of the exponent of tails and the growth rate of processes. Explicit calculations are performed for two examples: uniformly distributed and two peaked systems. Significant influence is demonstrated when a bare growth rate is low and coupling is weak. It should be emphasized that even the sign of the growth rate can be changed from positive to negative growth.

KEYWORDS: power law, randomness, multiplicative interaction, stochastic process

Power laws are widely observed not only in natural systems but also in social phenomena [1-5]. A number of efforts have been made to explain these behavior [6-8] but the underlying physics has not been clarified yet. Recently, inelastic Maxwell models where randomly chosen particles collide inelastically with each other have been studied extensively in the context of granular materials [9-11]. Then it has been shown that probability distribution functions of particle velocities have a non-integer power law tail asymptotically. The exponent of tails is determined from a transcendental equation and, generally, is a continuously varying function of a parameter, i.e., a coefficient of restitution. The system exhibits a kind of self-organized criticality. Non-integer power laws emerge not at a special (critical) value of a parameter but in a wide range of a parameter. Ben-Avraham et al. [12] have extended these studies to multiplicatively interacting stochastic processes. Here the system is composed of  $N$  ( $\geq 1$ ) particles with positive variables  $x_i > 0$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) and evolves with multiplicative interactions between randomly chosen particles  $i$  and  $j$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ x_j \end{pmatrix}^0 = A \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ x_j \end{pmatrix}^1; \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  are positive parameters. When the eigenvalue  $\lambda_A = a + b$  of the matrix  $A$  is more (less) than unity, the system grows (declines) totally. The case  $a + b = 1$  corresponds to inelastic Maxwell models where the total amount of variables (momenta)

is conserved. Power law tails appear at  $a + b < 1$ ,  $a > 1$ , or  $b > 1$  and the exponent varies continuously with  $a$  and  $b$ .

In actual processes, interactions sometimes accompany randomness. Especially, mesoscopic and/or macroscopic systems such as granular materials are composed of not identical but polydisperse particles. In social phenomena, moreover, individuality of agents inevitably results in randomness in interactions. Note that the process (1) is a reasonable model describing wealth distribution in economical systems [13]. In this Letter, therefore, we examine effects of randomness on power law tails in multiplicatively interacting systems.

We consider processes where  $a$  and  $b$  are random parameters with probability distribution  $\rho(a; b)$ . A master equation for probability distribution functions  $f(x; t)$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + f = \int \int da db \rho(a; b) \int dx^0 dy^0 \frac{1}{2} f(x^0; t) f(y^0; t) f(x - ax^0 - by^0) + (x - bx^0 - ay^0) g : \quad (2)$$

The Fourier transform of eq.(2) is

$$\frac{\partial g(k; t)}{\partial t} + g(k; t) = \int \int da db \rho(a; b) g(ak; t) g(bk; t); \quad (3)$$

where  $g(k; t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x; t) e^{ikx}$ . The important property of eq.(3) is that its moment equations become a closed set [9-11]. Expanding as  $g(k; t) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \langle x^n \rangle(t) (ik)^n$  and substituting into eq.(3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle x^n \rangle &= \int \int da db \rho(a; b) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-1)!} \langle x^{n-1} \rangle \overline{a^{n-1} b} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n-1)!} \langle x^{n-1} \rangle \overline{a^{n-1} b}; \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\langle x^n \rangle = \int \int da db \rho(a; b) (a^n + b^n - 1) = \overline{a^n} + \overline{b^n} - 1; \quad (5)$$

Here the bar denotes average with respect to  $\rho(a; b)$ . Equation (4) can be solved sequentially, which enable us to treat eq.(3) analytically. Here we pursue a similarity (scaling) solution of the type

$$g(k; t) = \Phi(k \ell); \quad (\ell = k e^t) \quad (6)$$

where  $\lambda$  expresses a growth rate of the system. Substitution of eq.(6) into eq.(3) leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \dots \rangle + \langle \dots \rangle = \lambda \langle \dots \rangle \quad (7)$$

The inverse Fourier transform of  $\langle \dots \rangle$  gives scaled distribution functions

$$\langle \dots \rangle = f(x;t) e^{\lambda t} \quad (x = x e^{-\lambda t}): \quad (8)$$

We pay our attention to tails in the small and large limit. This limit is classified into two cases and treated separately. In case I, we make the following ansatz,

$$= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{n!} (i)^n + C (i)^{\lambda}; \quad (1 - n < \lambda < n + 1) \quad (9)$$

where  $\lambda$  represents a non-integer power. Substituting eq.(9) into eq.(7) and equating terms of each powers  $i^{\lambda}$ , we get

$$O(i^{\lambda}) = \lambda \quad (10)$$

$$O(i^{\lambda}) = (\lambda - 1) C = \sum_{m=1}^{\lambda-1} \frac{\lambda!}{m! (\lambda - m)!} C_m C_{\lambda-m} \overline{a^m b^{\lambda-m}} \quad (11)$$

$(\lambda = 2, 3; \dots; n)$

$$O(i^{\lambda}) = \lambda \quad (12)$$

The growth rate  $\lambda$  is given by eq.(10) and the exponent  $\lambda$  is determined from the combined equation of eqs.(10) and (12)

$$(\overline{a} + \overline{b} - 1)s = \overline{a} + \overline{b} - 1: \quad (13)$$

The transcendental equation (13) always has a trivial solution  $s = 1$ . When a nontrivial solution  $s = s^*$  exists in a region  $s > 1$ , it gives the exponent  $\lambda = s^*$ . In case II where  $s < 1$ , we make the ansatz,

$$= 1 + C (i)^{\lambda}; \quad (\lambda < 1) \quad (14)$$

instead of eq.(9). In this case, we have only the  $O(\epsilon)$  term, eq.(12), and the transcendental equation

$$s = \bar{a}^s + \bar{b}^s - 1; \quad (15)$$

Here we need one more condition to determine two variables  $s$  and  $\epsilon$  from one equation (15). The answer is the selection of the minimum growth rate originally reported by ben-Avraham et al. [12]. When the line  $s$  and the curve  $\bar{a}^s + \bar{b}^s - 1$  come in contact at  $s = s^*$ , the growth rate becomes minimum and  $s^*$  gives the exponent less than unity.

Now we study effects of randomness. First, we compare the exponent  $\epsilon$  and the growth rate  $\lambda$  with those  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\lambda_0$  in the absence of randomness, which are determined from

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_0 &= a_0 + b_0 - 1; \\ (a_0 + b_0 - 1)s &= \bar{a}_0^s + \bar{b}_0^s - 1; \end{aligned} \quad (s > 1) \quad (16)$$

$$s = a_0^s + b_0^s - 1; \quad (s < 1) \quad (17)$$

where  $a_0 = \bar{a}$  and  $b_0 = \bar{b}$ . When  $s > 1$ ,  $a^s$  is a downwards convex function of  $s$  and  $\bar{a}^s > a^s$ , while  $a^s$  is upward convex and  $\bar{a}^s < a^s$  at  $s < 1$ . It follows that solutions of eqs.(13) and (15) are always smaller than those of eqs.(16) and (17),

$$\epsilon < \epsilon_0; \quad (18)$$

It becomes evident that randomness causes decrease of the exponent of tails. At the same time, the selection of the minimum growth rate leads to possible decrease of the growth rate

$$\lambda < \lambda_0; \quad (\epsilon < 1) \quad (19)$$

Next, we carry out explicit calculations for two examples. First example is a uniformly distributed system described by

$$(a; b) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(a_2 - a)(b_2 - b)}; & (a_1 < a < a_2; b_1 < b < b_2) \\ 0; & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases} \quad (20)$$




Figure 1: Calculated values of (a) exponents of tails and (b) growth rates of processes in uniformly distributed systems at  $a_0 = 1.01, b_0 = 0.001$  (—),  $a_0 = 1.01, b_0 = 0.01$  (---) and  $a_0 = 1.1, b_0 = 0.001$  (-.-).

The transcendental equations (13) and (15) read

$$(a_0 + b_0 - 1)s = \frac{1}{s+1} \left( \frac{a_2^{s+1}}{a_2} \frac{a_1^{s+1}}{a_1} + \frac{b_2^{s+1}}{b_2} \frac{b_1^{s+1}}{b_1} \right) - 1; \quad (s > -1) \quad (21)$$

$$s = \frac{1}{s+1} \left( \frac{a_2^{s+1}}{a_2} \frac{a_1^{s+1}}{a_1} + \frac{b_2^{s+1}}{b_2} \frac{b_1^{s+1}}{b_1} \right) - 1; \quad (s < -1) \quad (22)$$

where  $a_0 = (a_1 + a_2) = 2$  and  $b_0 = (b_1 + b_2) = 2$ . Computed values of the exponent and the growth rate are plotted in Fig.1 as a function of  $\Delta = (a_2 - a_1) = (2a_0) = (b_2 - b_1) = (2b_0)$ . When  $a_0$  is close to unity and a bare growth rate of system  $s$  is quite low,  $\sigma$  diminishes drastically with increasing randomness, whereas decrease of  $\gamma$  is remarkable at small  $b_0$  and weak coupling. Notice that  $\gamma$  changes even its sign from positive to negative growth.

Second example is a two peaked process expressed as

$$(a; b) = p(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) + (1-p)(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2); \quad (23)$$

Equation (23) gives rise to

$$(a_0 + b_0 - 1)s = p(a_1^s + b_1^s) + (1-p)(a_2^s + b_2^s) - 1; \quad (s > -1) \quad (24)$$

$$s = p(a_1^s + b_1^s) + (1-p)(a_2^s + b_2^s) - 1; \quad (s < -1) \quad (25)$$




Figure 2: Calculated values of (a) exponents of tails and (b) growth rates of processes in two peaked systems at  $p = 0.9$  (—),  $p = 0.5$  (---) and  $p = 0.1$  (- · -) with  $a_1 = 1.01$  and  $b_0 = 0.01$ .

where  $a_0 = pa_1 + (1-p)a_2$  and  $b_0 = pb_1 + (1-p)b_2$ . Figure 2 shows numerical results, where  $(1-p)(a_1 - a_2) = a_0 = (1-p)(b_1 - b_2) = b_0$ . Similarly in the case of uniform distribution, randomness exerts serious influence at low bare growth rates and weak coupling. In addition, we find that when  $(1-p) \ll 1$ , a few amount of impurities (aliens) with a large parameter  $a_2$  extremely reduce values of  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$ .

From viewpoints of econophysics, conclusions obtained in this work might be important for several reasons. (1) As mentioned before, individuality and associated randomness necessarily exist. (2) Low bare growth rates and weak coupling are realistic conditions. (3) The decrease of the exponent of tails gives enlargement of wealth differentials and has a significant economical meaning as well as the decrease of the growth rate. It would be interesting to analyze wealth distribution by taking into account individuality and randomness.

## References

- [1] H.E.Stanley: Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena (Oxford, Oxford, 1971).
- [2] B.B.Mandelbrot: The Fractal Geometry of Nature (Freeman, San Francisco, 1982).
- [3] R.N.Mantegna and H.E.Stanley: An Introduction to Econophysics (Cambridge, Cambridge, 2000).
- [4] H.Takayasu (Ed.): Empirical Science of Financial Fluctuations (Springer, Berlin, 2002).
- [5] R.Albert and A.-L.Barabasi: Rev. Mod. Phys. 74 (2002) 47-97.

- [6] P. Bak, C. Tang and K. Wiesenfeld: Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 381-384.
- [7] H. J. Jensen: Self-Organized Criticality (Cambridge, Cambridge, 1998).
- [8] D. Sornette: Critical Phenomena in Natural Sciences (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
- [9] A. V. Bobylev, J. A. Carrillo and I. M. Gamba: J. Stat. Phys. 98 (2000) 743-773.
- [10] M. H. Ernst and R. Brito: J. Stat. Phys. 109 (2002) 407-432.
- [11] E. Ben-Naim and P. L. Krapivsky: Phys. Rev. E 66 (2002) 011309.
- [12] D. ben-Avraham, E. Ben-Naim, K. Lindenberg and A. Rosas: cond-mat/0308175.
- [13] F. Slanina: cond-mat/0311235.