## C oherence scale of the two-dim ensional K ondo Lattice m odel.

F.F.Assaad

Institut fur Theoretische Physik und Astrophysik Universitat W urzburg, Am Hubland D-97074 W urzburg

A doped hole in the two-dimensional half-lled K ondo lattice model with exchange J and hopping thas momentum (; ) irrespective of the coupling J=t. The quasiparticle residue of the doped hole, Z<sub>(;)</sub>, tracks the K ondo scale, T<sub>K</sub>, of the corresponding single in purity model. Those results stem from high precision quantum M onte C arb simulations on lattices up to 12 12. A coounting for small dopings away from half-lling within a rigid band approximation, this result in plies that the elective mass of the charge carriers at the Ferm i level tracks 1=T<sub>K</sub> or equivalently that the coherence temperature T<sub>coh</sub> / T<sub>K</sub>. This results is consistent with the large-N saddle point of the SU (N) symmetric K ondo lattice model.

PACS num bers: 71.27.+ a, 71.10.-w, 71.10.Fd

In a Ferm i liquid, the coherence scale corresponds to the energy scale below which therm odynam ic properties are determ ined by the Ferm i surface: the speci c heat is linear in tem perature and the spin charge uniform susceptibilities tem perature independent. This scale is inversely proportional to the e ective mass of the charge carriers. In this article, our aim is to compute the coherence scale in m odels of heavy ferm ion m aterials [1]. Our starting point is the K ondo Lattice m odel (K LM ),

$$H = t \begin{bmatrix} X \\ C_{1}, c_{j} \end{bmatrix} + h c = t \begin{bmatrix} X \\ S_{1}^{c} S_{1}^{f} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

on a square lattice. The rst term describes a band of non-interacting electrons in a tight binding approximation ( $c_{1;}^{y}$  creates an electron in a W annier state centered around lattice site 1 with z-component of spin ) and the sum runs over nearest neighbors. The spin degrees of freedom of the conduction electrons,  $S_{1}^{c} = \frac{1}{2}^{P} {}_{s;s^{0}} c_{1;s}^{y} {}_{s;s^{0}} c_{1;s^{0}}^{y}$  with ~ the Pauli spin matrices, interact antiferrom agnetically, J > 0, with a lattice of spin 1=2 m agnetic in purities,  $S_{1}^{f}$ . The KLM at J=t << 1stems from the periodic Anderson m odel (PAM) in the limit where charge uctuations are negligible.

In the lim it of a single in purity, the model is well understood: at high tem peratures the impurity spin is essentially free and at low tem peratures it is screened via the formation of a many body singlet state of the conduction electrons and impurity spin. The characteristic, universal, energy scale describing this crossover from the free to the screened m agnetic in purity is the K ondo tem perature,  $T_K$  [2]. In the lattice case, it is appealing to view the heavy ferm ion m etallic state as a consequence of a coherent, B loch-like, superposition of individual K ondo screening clouds. The coherence scale of the m etallic state has been investigated in details within the large-N approximation for the KLM [3] and the dynamical mean eld approximation for the related PAM [4]. Both approaches yield  $T_{coh} / T_K$  as a function of J=t with a proportionality factor depending strongly on the band-lling. Since both approximations neglect spatial uctuations, they do not capture the Ruderm an-KittelK asuya-Yosida (RKKY) interaction which introduces a new energy scale into the problem and drives the ground state through a magnetic order-disorder quantum phase transition. The new result in this Letter is that the relation  $T_{\rm coh}$  /  $T_{\rm K}$  still holds when the two-dimensional KLM is solved num erically exactly by means of quantum M onte C arb (QMC) simulations.

To tackle this problem, we have to adopt an indirect route since the sign problem inhibits simulations away from half- lling. We hence investigate the problem of a single-hole doped into the K ondo insulating state at half-

lling and then assume a rigid band to deduce the properties of the m etallic state at weak dopings. In the follow – ing, we describe our approach for the SU (N) sym m etric m odel where in the lim it N ! 1 a param agnetic saddle point approximation becomes exact. We then compare the obtained results with QMC simulations for the SU (2) m odel of Eq. 1.

Mean Field. The mean eld we consider neglects magnetic uctuations triggered by the RKKY interaction but describes the K ondo e ect as well as the formation of the heavy electron state. The decoupling is based on the equation:  $S_{1}^{c}S_{1}^{f} = \frac{1}{4} D_{1}^{y}D_{1} + D_{1}D_{1}^{y}$  with  $D_{1} = C_{1}^{y} f_{1}$ . Here,  $S_{1}^{f} = \frac{1}{2} P_{s;s^{0}} f_{1;s}^{y} \sim_{s;s^{0}} f_{1;s^{0}}^{y}$ , and  $f_{\tau}^{y}$  are ferm ionic operators. At the mean-eld level one adopts a real order parameter,  $r = hD_{\gamma}i$ , and the constraint of a single charge per f-site is in posed on average via a Lagrange multiplier . This mean eld theory has been discussed extensively in [3]. Here, we will concentrate on the J dependence of scales for a half-lled particle-hole symmetric conduction band. This symmetry pins the chemical potential to = 0 and = 0. Single particle properties are derived from the G reen function G (K;i!<sub>m</sub>) = 1= i!<sub>m</sub> (Ř) (i!m) with self-energy

$$(i!_{m}) = \frac{(Jr=2)^{2}}{i!_{m}}$$
(2)

and  $(k) = 2t(\cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y))$ . A ssum ing a at density of states, D(), of width W satisfying dD() = 1 and D() = D() we can solve the saddle point equa-

tions to obtain:

$$r(T = 0) = \frac{W}{2J \sinh(W = 2J)}$$
: (3)

The order parameter r vanishes at the K ondo tem perature  $T_K$ . At the mean- eld level, this energy scale is independent on the impurity concentration and hence m atches the K ondo temperature of the corresponding single impurity problem . With the above  $% T_{max}^{2}$  at density of states we obtain:

$$T_K / W e^{W = J} / \frac{1}{W} [Jr(T = 0)]^2 \text{ for } J = t < < 1:$$
 (4)

The functional form of the self-energy leads to the dispersion relation  $E_{k} = \frac{1}{2}$  (k)  $(Jr)^{2}$  with residue  $Z_{k} = \frac{1}{2}$  1 (k)  $(Jr)^{2}$  for a doped hole away from half-lling. At T = 0 and in the weak-coupling limit, both the quasiparticle gap,  $_{qp} = E_{i}$ , and residue track the same scale:

$$_{qp}$$
 / Z<sub>(;)</sub> / [Jr(T = 0)]<sup>2</sup> / T<sub>K</sub> forJ=t << 1: (5)

U nder a rigid band assumption (see D iscussion section) this results in plies that the coherence tem perature of the m etallic state at sm allhole dopings away from half- lling tracks the the K ondo scale. At the mean- eld level this statement may be checked explicitly by solving the mean-

eld equations in the m etallic state [3].

The mean-eld theory has many caveats. The order parameter r does not vanish because the constraint is taken into account on average. M agnetism is which is energetically favorable in the small J=t lim it is not included. Hence it is a-priorinot clear that the scales described by the mean-eld approach survive when the model is solved exactly.



FIG.1: Zero tem perature G reen function de ned in Eq.6. F itting the tailof this quantity to the form  $Z_{R} e^{-qp(R)}$  yields the quasiparticle residue and quasiparticle gap at wave vector R

QMC.To obtain zero tem perature properties, we solve the half-lled KLM with the projector auxiliary eld QMC (PQMC) method. The application of the method to the KLM has been describe extensively in Ref. [5, 6]. The algorithm scales as N<sup>3</sup> where, in the framework of the PQMC, is an projection parameter in in aginary time and N is the num ber of orbitals. Hence, large values of may be used to guarantee convergence to the ground state, but on the other hand the N<sup>3</sup> scaling renders large lattice sizes di cult to access. From the imaginary time displaced G reen function, we compute both the quasiparticle gap as well as quasiparticle residue:

$$G(\mathbf{\tilde{k}}; ) = h_{0}^{N} \dot{\mathbf{j}}_{\mathbf{\tilde{k}};}^{V} () c_{\mathbf{\tilde{k}};} (0) j_{0}^{N} \dot{\mathbf{i}}_{1}^{1} Z_{\mathbf{\tilde{k}}}^{I} e^{-qp(\mathbf{\tilde{k}})}$$
(6)

since  $Z_{\kappa} = h_{0}^{N} \dot{p}_{\kappa;}^{y} j_{0}^{N-1} \dot{i}^{2}$ . Clearly high precision results are required to extract the residue. Fig. 1 plots typical raw data. To reach such precision we use a new ly developed method for the calculation of G ( $\kappa;$  ) within the PQMC [7].

Num erical Results. In num erical simulations of the two-dimensional KLM, the RKKY interaction triggers a magnetic order-disorder quantum phase transition at  $J_c=t=1:45$  0:05 [6]. The question then arises if the antiferrom agnetic ordering destroys K ondo screening and hence the possible appearance of a K ondo scale in the num erical data. We answer this question by analyzing the single particle spectral function. A ssum ing that well under J<sub>c</sub>=t the in purity spins are frozen into their antiferrom agnetic ordering, we can use the mean-eld Ansatz,  $hS_1^{f;z}i = \frac{1}{2}m_z^fe^{i\mathcal{O}_1}$ , which leads to a single hole disper-<sup>2</sup> (K) + (J=4)<sup>2</sup> [8]. This apsion relation:  $E(\tilde{k}) =$ proximation correctly reproduces the quasiparticle gap  $_{\rm qp}$  / J=4 in the sm all J lim it (see Fig. 3) but fails to account for the observed dispersion relation in the spectral function. This statement is based on comparison with QMC data: the thin yertical lines in Fig. 2, tracking the  $^{2}$  (K) + (J=4)<sup>2</sup>, do not account for dispersion relation the low energy features around the (; ) point. On the other hand, com parison with the mean- eld approxim ation of the previous section (bold vertical lines in Fig. 2), allows the interpretation that the low-lying features in the vicinity of  $\tilde{k} = (;)$  stem from K ondo screening and are shifted to energy scales of order J=t due to the onset of magnetic ordering. We have checked that this functional form of the dispersion relation survives down to J=t=0.2 on 8 8 lattices. In the magnetically ordered phase, a doped hole at  $\tilde{k} = (;)$  can scatter o a gapless magnon with momentum Q = (;) thus generating a shadow feature at  $\tilde{k} = (0;0)$ . Upon close inspection, such features are seen in Fig. 2. The quasiparticle dispersion relation is at best described in terms of partial K ondo screening of the impurity spins. The rem nant non-screened m om ent orders antiferrom agnetically [9, 10].

0 urm a jor concern is the quasiparticle residue at  $\tilde{k} =$ 



FIG.2: Single particle spectral function as obtained from analytically continuing the in aginary tim eQMC data with the M axim um Entropy method. The single particle occupation numbers,  $n_{R} = \frac{hc_{R}^{y}}{k_{r}}$ ,  $c_{R}$ , i are listed on the left hand side of the plot, and correspond to the weight under each spectrum:  $n_{R} = \frac{m_{1}^{0}}{1}$  d!A (k;!). The thin vertical lines track the dispersion relation of hole in a static staggered m agnetic eld (see text):  $\frac{2}{k}(k;!)$ . The bold vertical lines correspond to the dispersion relation stem ming from the selfenergy of Eq. 2, with the order parameter r determ ined selfconsistently.

(;). In Fig. 3 we plot this quantity as a function of J=t for 8 8 and 12 12 lattices. At sm all values of J=t size e ects become more and more important. For J=t = 0.4 and 0.8 we have extrapolated the data to in - nite sizes by thing the L = 4;6;8;12 QMC results to the form a + b=L + c=L<sup>2</sup>. To compare this scale to the single impurity K ondo scale, we have carried out simulations of



FIG.3: Scales as a function of J=t as determ ined from QMC simulations (see text). The data for the quasiparticle gap are a result of extrapolation to the therm odynam ic limit. The solid line corresponds to the mean-eld (see section Mean-eld) value of the K ondo tem perature. W ithin our resolution we cannot distinguish it from the QMC value.

the K ondo m odel:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ K \end{pmatrix} C_{k}^{y} C_{k}^{z} + J S_{I}^{c} S_{I}^{f}$$
(7)

with a standard in plem entation of the H insch-Fye QMC algorithm [11]. In this algorithm the CPU time scales as  ${}^{3}N^{0}$  where N corresponds to the number of lattice sites and to the inverse temperature. This scaling behavior allows one to carry out simulations on arbitrarily large lattices but limits the accessible temperature range. Form the data collapse of the inpurity spin susceptibility (see Fig. 4) we can extract the K ondo temperature for our given band structure. Note that the so obtained K ondo temperature (triangles in Fig 3) compares remarkably wellw ith the mean-eld value (solid line in Fig. 3). At sm all values of J=t (J=t = 0.4) the K ondo temperature is too sm all to compute with the H insch-Fye algorithm and we have to rely on the mean-eld result to compare with the lattice QMC results.

The data of Fig. 3 show several features.

i) The major observation is that within the considered range of coupling constants the QMC results are consistent with

$$Z_{(;)} / T_{K}$$
: (8)

ii) D ue to the occurrence of antiferrom agnetic order below  $J_c=t=1.45$  0.05, the quasiparticle gap tracks J.



FIG. 4: Impurity spin susceptibility,  $I = {}_0 d m_z^f (0) i$ , for the Kondo model as determined with a standard in plem entation of the H irsch-Fye algorithm. From the collapse of the data, we can estimate the Kondo temperature for the listed values of J=t.

Even in the presence of this large quasiparticle gap, the K ondo-like features in the single-hole spectral function { at band around the (;) point with  $Z_{(;)} / T_K$  { survive.

iii) W ithin our resolution and limitation in lattice size, we observe no anom aly in the quasiparticle residue across the magnetic order-disorder phase transition ( $J_c=t'$  1:45).

D iscussion Under the assumption of a rigid band, the result of Eq. 8 has important implications. Since the quasiparticle gap is determined by the (;) points in the Brillouin zone, in nitesim alhole doping away from half-lling yields a metallic state with Ferm i surface consisting of hole pockets centered around the (;) k points. This leads to a Ferm i surface with large Luttinger volume containing both conduction and impurity electrons. The e ective mass of this Ferm i liquid at in-

nitesim al hole dopings is inversely proportional to the quasiparticle residue:

$$m^{?} / \frac{1}{Z_{(;)}}$$
: (9)

The coherence tem perature, T<sub>coh</sub>, is inversely propor-

tional to the e ective mass. Hence Eq. 9 along with our QMC result of Eq. 8 is equivalent to

$$T_{coh} / T_K$$
: (10)

Let us com m ent on the implicit assumptions lying behind the above equation.

i) Support for the rigid band assumption follows from the fact the K ondo insulator is adiabatically linked to band insulator realized in the non-interacting periodic A nderson m odel. This stands in contrast to the M ott insulting state.

ii) That the e ective mass is inversely proportional to to quasiparticle residue in plicitly assumes that the enhancement of m<sup>?</sup> is driven by the frequency dependence of the self-energy. We can obtain support for this statement by computing the e ective mass as the inverse curvature of the dispersion relation around k = (;) thus capturing the mass enhancement origination from the frequency as well as momentum dependence of the self-energy. W ithin our accuracy, this quantity tracks  $1=Z_{(;)}$  as a function of J=t thus con ming the validity of our assumption.

In conclusion, we have carried out high precision QMC calculations of the half-led KLM on a square lattice. We have shown that from weak to strong coupling and across the magnetic quantum phase transition, a doped hole has momentum (;) and quasiparticle residue which tracks the K ondo scale of the corresponding single impurity model. A ssum ing a rigid band, this result leads to the conclusion that the J-dependence of coherence scale in the metallic state at small dopings away from half-lling tracks the K ondo scale. Since this result com pares favorably with large-N and dynamical mean-

eld theories which om it spatial uctuations, we can follow the idea that the coherence scale is insensitive to the RKKY magnetic scale.

A cknow ledgm ents. The calculations were carried out on the H itachi SR 8000 of the Leibniz Rechenzentrum (LRZ) M unich. W e thank this institution for generous allocation of CPU time. Stimulating discussions with I. M ilat and O. Shushkov are equally acknow ledge.

- P.A.Lee, T.M.Rice, J.W. Serene, L.J. Sham, and J.W.W ilkins, Comm. Condens. Matter Phys. 12, 99 (1986).
- [2] A.C. Hew son, The K ondo Problem to Heavy Fermions, C am bridge Studies in M agnetism (C am bridge U niversiy Press, C am bridge, 1997).
- [3] S. Burdin, A. Georges, and D. R. Grem pel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1048 (2000).
- [4] T. Pruschke, R. Bulla, and M. Jarnell, Phys. Rev. B 61, 12799 (2000).
- [5] F.F.Assaad, Phys.Rev.Lett.83, 796 (1999).
- [6] S. Capponi and F. F. Assaad, Phs. Rev. B 63, 155114

(2001).

- [7] M. Feldbacher and F.F.A ssaad, Phys. Rev. B 63, 073105 (2001).
- [8] H.T sunetsugu, M. Sigrist, and K.Ueda, Rev. M od. Phys. 69, 809 (1997).
- [9] G.-M. Zhang and L.Yu, Phys. Rev. B 62, 76 (2000).
- [10] C. Jurecka and W. Brenig, Phys. Rev. B 64, 092406 (2001).
- [11] J.E.Hirsch and R.M.Fye, Phys.Rev.Lett.56, 2521 (1986).