E lectron spin phase relaxation of phosphorus donors in nuclear spin enriched silicon

Eisuke Abe,¹ Kohei M. Itoh,¹, Junichi Isoya,² and Satoshi Yam asaki³

¹ Department of Applied Physics and Physico-Informatics, Keio University,

and CREST-JST, 3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Yokoham a 223-8522, Japan

² Research Center for Knowledge Communities, University of Tsukuba, 1–2 Kasuga, Tsukuba City 305–8550, Japan

³ D iam ond Research Center, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology,

T sukuba Central 2, 1–1–1 Um ezono, T sukuba City 305–8568, Japan

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

We report a pulsed EPR study of the phase relaxation of electron spins bound to phosphorus donors in isotopically puri ed ²⁹Si and natural abundance Si (^{nat}Si) single crystals measured at 8 K. The two-pulse echo decay curves for both samples show quadratic dependence on time, and the electron phase relaxation time T_M for ²⁹Si is about an order of magnitude shorter than that for ^{nat}Si. The orientation dependence of T_M demonstrates that the phase relaxation is caused by spectral di usion due to ip- ops of the host nuclear spins. The electron spin echo envelope modulation e ects in ²⁹Si are analyzed in the frequency domain.

PACS num bers: 03.67 Lx, 28.60.+ s, 76.30.-v, 76.60 Lz

G roup-V in purities in silicon have been studied extensively in semiconductor physics. Experimental techniques such as infrared absorption, photolum inescence, and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) have revealed detailed properties of the impurity centers. EPR is particularly convenient for the identication of defect structures since the hyper ne (hf) interaction is a sensitive probe of the spatial distribution of the electron wavefunction. For instance, Feher and later Hale and M ieher applied an electron nuclear double resonance (ENDOR) technique to this system, and measured hf interactions between the donor electron spins and their neighboring host nuclear spins^{1,2}. These experimental works, together with theoretical investigations³, have deepened our understanding of shallow donor in purities.

Recently, K ane and others gave a new perspective to the donors in Si, a playground for solid-state quantum information processing, since nuclear and electron spins in sem iconductors can be regarded as well-isolated twolevel system s: qubits4,5,6. If the donor electrons are qubits, ²⁹Sinucleithat have spin-1/2 and occupy 4.67% of the lattice sites in natural Si (^{nat}Si) are decoherence sources as their ip-ops produce uctuations of the local elds. Indeed, ²⁹Si-depleted, isotopically controlled ²⁸Sip exhibited the coherence time two orders of maqnitude longer than ^{nat}SiP^{7,8}, dem onstrating that such nuclear spin diluted Siwould be indispensable for building a practical K ane-type quantum com puter. On the other hand, a study of the decoherence caused by the spectral di usion arising from nuclear ip-ops requires a material of the opposite class, nuclear spin enriched Si. This novel material is also interesting because of its sim ilarity to III-V m aterials in that the electrons are localized in a sea of nuclear spins, and m ore preferable for our purpose owing to the negligibly-sm all spin-orbit interaction in bulk Si, which could otherwise contribute to decoherence.

In this Rapid C om munication, we report the phase relaxation time T_M for P donor electron spins in isotopi-

cally puried ²⁹Siand ^{nat}Sim easured at 8 K. The tem perature was chosen so that T_M would not be a ected by the spin- ip time T_1 . The ground-state electron can be excited by absorbing a phonon if the phonon energy is com parable to the transition energy from the A_1 ground state to the E or T₂ excited states. W hen returning to the ground state, the electron is subject to a spin-ip at a certain probability. This T₁ process, known as an O rbach process, also $\lim its T_M$ over the tem perature range from 10 K to 20 K^{8,9}. W hile T_1 is dominated by the 0 mbach process down to 6 K, and extends exponentially with cooling^{10,11}, T_M starts to deviate from T_1 and becomes insensitive to the tem perature below about 10 K^{8,9}. Since our spin echo experim ents require each pulse sequence to be repeated at time intervals much longer than T_1 , we found 8 K to be an appropriate tem perature, low enough for T_M not to be limited by T_1 but high enough to ensure a reasonable m easuring time.

A Cz-grown single crystal of 29 Si, enriched to 99.23%, had a rectangular shape with its long axis in the [110] orientation. The sample contained 1.8 10^{15} P/cm³ 10¹⁵ B/cm³. Further with the compensation of 1.0 information on this crystal is provided in Ref. 12. A ^{nat}Sisample was cleaved from a commercial high-quality 10¹⁵ P/cm³ with a negligible wafer containing 0.8 amount of compensation. The net donor concentrations of both samples were kept low so that the dipolar or exchange interactions between donors would be suppressed^{13,14}. Pulsed experiments were carried out using a Bruker Elexsys E 580 spectrom eter, and samples were kept in an Oxford ER4118CF cryostat. Tem perature was controlled with an 0 x ford IT C 503 tem perature controller. The echo-detected EPR spectra, in which the intensity of the Hahn echo was measured as a function of the external magnetic eld, consisted of two Gaussianshaped lines separated by 4.2 m T. The splitting is due to the hf interaction with ³¹P, and each line is inhom ogeneously broadened by the surrounding ²⁹Sinuclei. The linew idths (FW HM) are $0.26 \, \text{mT}$ for $^{\text{nat}}$ Siand $1.2 \, \text{mT}$ for



FIG.1: The two-pulse electron spin echo decay curves as a function of 2 at = 0 and 50. Note that the unit of the horizontal axis di ers for each sample, and the scale for each . The dotted lines in 29 Si are the ts to the echo envelope decays. The oscillation observed in 29 Si is ESEEM . See text.

²⁹Si. In the follow ing experim ents, the externalm agnetic eld was set to the center of the line at higher elds (B $_0$ = 348 m T). T_1 was measured using an inversion recovery method (-t-/2---echo), and is 16 m s for ^{nat}Si and 4.4 m s for ²⁹Si. A s the tem perature dependence of the 0 rbach process is given by $1=T_1 = R \exp((-kT))$, where R is the rate constant and the valley-orbit splitting energy, the di erence in T_1 between samples could arise in part from a slight di erence in the actual sam ple tem peratures. The isotope shift of is unlikely to cause the di erence in T₁, since it was not observed in our infrared photoconductivity measurement on the ²⁹Sicrystal within the resolution used¹². The presence of com pensation and dislocation (10^2 cm^2) in the ²⁹Sicrystal can alter T₁, changing R^{15,16}. However, we can conclude that this di erence in T1 has little e ect on the di erence in T_M presented below, based on the previous assumption that T_1 does not contribute to $T_{\mbox{\scriptsize M}}$.

The phase relaxation was investigated using a twopulse spin echo method (/2- - - echo, where the interpulse delay was varied in 800 ns steps for ^{nat}Siand 40 ns steps for ²⁹Si. The /2 pulse was 16 ns.). The sam ples were rotated around the [110] axis perpendicularto B_0 . We de ne as the angle between B_0 and [001]; therefore, = 0 when $B_0 k$ [001], = 55 when $B_0 k$ [111], and = 90 when $B_0 k$ [110]. Since the echodetected EPR spectra were independent of the crystal orientation and no other EPR signals were found, the alignment of the crystal from an EPR signal was not applied here. We estimate the uncertainty in to be less than 5. Figure 1 shows the echo decay curves at = 0and 50 . Although so-called electron spin echo envelope m odulation (ESEEM) obscures the echo envelope decays, they clearly obey a quadratic decay law, expressed as $exp(m^2)$. A single-exponential term exp(2b) is, if present at all, quite sm all. Thus T_M can be de ned as the



FIG.2: The orientation dependence of $T_{\rm M}~{\rm for}^{\rm nat}{\rm Siand}^{29}{\rm Si}$ (left axis). The open squares () represent $T_{\rm M}~{\rm for}^{\rm nat}{\rm Si}$, and the open circles () ${\rm for}^{29}{\rm Si}$. Note that the unit of the vertical axis di ers for each sample. The inverse square root of M $_2$ calculated based on the method of moment is also shown by a dashed line (right axis).

time at which an echo envelope damps to 1/e of its initial value, i.e., $T_{\rm M}~=~2m^{-1=2}$. We note that our temperature setting and assumption on the T_1 e ect are justiled posteriori by the fact that the echo decay curves are not single-exponential and that $T_{\rm M}~$ for each sample is much shorter than the respective T_1 .

The orientation dependence of T_M given in Fig. 2 show $s T_M$ to be longest at = 0, and shortest around 60 $^{\rm 28}$. The dependence manifests the fact that = 50 the phase relaxation is caused by ²⁹Si nucleim utually coupled via the dipolar interactions. This can be veried by calculating the second m om ent M $_2$ of the 29 Sinuclear spin system . M 2 calculated with Van Vleck's method of moment is the sum of squared dipolar elds produced by the nuclei¹⁷, and its inverse square root is a convenient measure of the nuclear T₂. (M $_2$) ¹⁼² for a 100% ²⁹Si crystal is shown in Fig. 2 by a dashed line. Correlations between the electron $T_{\mbox{\scriptsize M}}$ and the nuclear M $_2$ are apparent. A s M 2 directly re ects the strength of the nuclear dipolar couplings, its orientation dependence is understood qualitatively as follows: W hen B₀ is along [111], one of the four nearest-neighbor bonds of the Si atom s is parallel to B₀, and this pair of nuclei gives rise to the strongest coupling; hence, M $_2$ takes its m axim um . W ith B_0 along [001], all the dipolar couplings between nearest neighbors are frozen since the angle between B₀ and the vector connecting the nearest neighbors is a socalled m agic angle; hence, M₂ takes its m in im um . In fact such an experimental T_2 has been reported for NMR of $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ diam ond, a m aterial sim ilar to $^{29}\mathrm{Si}^{18}$. As the lineshape studies of NMR spectra for ¹³C diam ond and ²⁹Si have revealed that they share essentially the same linebroadening mechanism , $T_{\rm 2}\,$ for $^{29}{\rm Si}\,w\,ill$ show the same tendency as that for ^{13}C diam ond if m easured 19,20 .

A lthough the com parison with M $_2$ works qualitatively, it provides little information on the actual value of $T_{\rm M}$.

Theoretical estimation of T_M must take the hf interaction between the electron and host nuclei into account as well as the nuclear dipolar coupling. Generally, to characterize a system where the electron phase relaxation is caused by the spectral di usion due to ip-ops of the host nuclear spins, the di usion barrier that prevents the

ip-opswithin its boundsmust be considered²¹. As theFerm i contact hf interaction, which is proportional to the density of the electron wavefunction $\frac{1}{2}$ (r_i)², varies from site to site, a ip-op of a certain pair of nuclei occurs only when the di erence of the hf interaction between the pair is sm allenough to satisfy the condition of energy conservation. The condition must be evaluated for each pair, since $j(r_i)^2$ does not decrease monotonically with increasing ribut oscillates due to the multi-valley nature of Si. Such a theoretical treatm ent has been proposed by de Sousa and D as Sam a²², it is therefore interesting to compare our results with theirs²⁹ Theory predicts the observed angular dependence correctly, but overestimates T_M by about a factor of 3 for both samples. This already-reasonable agreem ent becom es even better if we take the ratio of T_M between the samples. Indeed, the theoretical ratio of T_M for ^{nat}Sito that for ²⁹Sifalls between 11.2 and 11.8, while the experim ental ratio lies between 112 and 14.4. Given the di culty in determ ining the precise T_M due to ESEEM, their calculation is in good agreem ent with our experim ents. A nother com parison is to take the ratio of the maximum (= 0)and minimum (55) T_M . Theory yields 2.7 for ^{nat}Si and 2.9 for ²⁹Si, compared with experimental values of 2.0 for ^{nat}Si and 2.1 for ²⁹Si. The larger values in the theory may indicate the presence of an isotropic contribution to $T_{\mbox{\scriptsize M}}$, but it is not clear at this stage whether other decoherence mechanisms must be incorporated or an improved theory of nuclear-induced spectral di usion su ces to explain the discrepancies revealed here. Incorporating non-Markovian nuclear ip- op processes would certainly be an interesting re nem ent of the theory, while the stochastic treatment proved valid even for ²⁹Si. It is also noteworthy that recent multiple-pulse NMR studies in Siprovide a glim pse into the com plicated behavior of this seem ingly-simple dipolar coupled system 23,24,25. C learly, m ore experim ental and theoretical investigation is necessary for a full understanding of the phenom ena.

We now turn our attention to the remarkable feature of the decay curves: ESEEM . The origin of ESEEM can be described brie y as follows: If the nuclear spin feels, in addition to the external magnetic eld, the moderate hf eld produced by the electron spin, the nuclear spin precesses around an elective magnetic eld which is tilted from the external magnetic eld, i.e., m_I is no longer a good quantum number. Due to this state mixing, formally forbidden nuclear-spin- ip transitions (m_S = 1; m_I = 1) can occur, and interfere with allowed transitions to produce beats in the electron spin echo envelope. In two-pulse experiments for an S = 1=2, I = 1=2 spin system, the modulation contains the EN-DOR frequencies $_+$ and $_-$, and their sum and di er-



FIG.3: (a) The frequency domain ESEEM spectra in ²⁹Si at = 0,50, and 90. The vertical axis is shown in an arbitrary unit and shifted for clarity. (b) The orientation dependence of the ENDOR frequencies around 3 MHz. They show a hllli-axis pattern. The solid (dashed) lines are for + (). Which lattice site produces lines A, B, and C is explained in the text.

ence + .W hen m any nuclei are coupled to the same electron spin, som e com bination frequencies are also contained since the two-pulse ESEEM is the product of individual m odulation functions.

W e analyzed the ESEEM spectra in the frequency domain. Although ESEEM was also observed in ^{nat}Si, we treat only the case of 29 Si here because the larger m odulation depth in ²⁹Si facilitated the analysis. A lso, the m odulation depth is strongly angular dependent (Fig. 1), since the degree of state mixing depends on both the position of each nuclear spin and the orientation of the external magnetic eld. To obtain a frequency domain spectrum, the slowly decaying part of a time domain spectrum was subtracted rst, then the remaining modulation was Fourier-transform ed³⁰. Figure 3 (a) shows the frequency dom ain spectra at = 0, 50, and 90. Peaks around 3 M H z are the ENDOR lines, and their angular dependence is shown in Fig. 3(b), from which we see a h111i-axis pattem². The ENDOR frequencies for an axially symmetric hftensor with an isotropic q-factor are given by²⁶

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{a_{iso} + b(3\cos^2 \prime_i 1)}{2} + \frac{3b\sin 2\prime_i}{4}^2;$$

where $_{\rm I}$ is the nuclear Lam or frequency, $a_{\rm iso}$ the isotropic hf coupling constant, b the anisotropic hf cou-

pling constant, ' $_{\rm i}$ the angle between B $_0$ and the unique axis of the hftensor. $_{\rm I}$ is calculated to be 2.94 M Hz as the gyrom agnetic ratio of $^{29}{\rm Sinuclei}$ is 8.46 M Hz/T.

calculated with $a_{iso} = 570 \text{ kH} \text{ z}$ and b = 681 kH z agree well. with the experim ental results, as shown in Fig. 3(b). In com parison with hf constants obtained from previous cw ENDOR experiments², the observed peaks are assigned to shell E (111), i.e., four nearest neighbors of the donor. Lines A and B originate from (111) and (111) sites, respectively. Line C is doubly degenerate, since (111) and (111) sites locate each other at plane sym m etric positions with respect to the (110) plane. The experim ental data corresponding to line C at = 0 and 10 split, how ever. This suggests that the sample was not exactly rotated around the [110] axis but slightly o -axis, most likely due to a sm all m iscut of the crystal. This assumption is supported by the fact that the ESEEM in nat Siat = 0 did not split (not shown). The strong peak at 5.9 MHz is the sum frequency, but signals from shell A (004) are overlapped. The fourth harm onic is also observed at 11.8 MHz, and the third harm onic is barely visible around 9 MHz.Wedid not observe the third and fourth harm onics in ^{nat}Si. W e also observed tiny peaks around 5.2 M H z throughout the angles tested. They are assigned to shell B (440), but the detailed angular dependence was untraceable. A three-pulse stimulated echo method would be suitable for a more detailed ESEEM study. From the view point of quantum com puting, ESEEM clearly leads to quantum -gate errors. For this purpose, tim e dom ain analysis is highly desirable as recently simulated by Saikin and Fedichkin²⁷.

In conclusion, we have measured the phase relaxation time T_M of P donor electron spins for ^{nat}Siand ²⁹Siat 8 $K.T_M$ for ²⁹Si is an order of magnitude shorter than that for ^{nat}Sidue to much more frequent ip - ops of the host nuclear spins. The orientation dependence of T_M agrees qualitatively with $(M_2)^{1=2}$ for a 100% ²⁹Sicrystalcalculated with the method of moment, and quantitatively with the theory of de Sousa and D as Samma. Frequency dom ain analysis revealed that ESEEM e ects originate mainly from the hf interactions between the donor electron and its nearest neighbor nuclei, as suggested by Saikin and Fedichkin. Our results also provide insights into the localized electrons in III-V materials, such as G aAs, whose lattice sites are full of nuclei with non-zero spin. Their phase relaxation would be severely controlled by nuclear-induced spectral di usion, therefore the experim ental conditions must be arranged carefully so that the e ects of nuclear spins m ay be suppressed, e.g., high magnetic elds, decoupling pulses, etc. In the near future we plan to prepare a series of sam ples with di erent ²⁹Si isotopic composition. Such samples will allow us to carry out system atic relaxation time studies of the electron and nuclear spins as a function of ²⁹Si concentration.

We thank H.-J. Pohl for the ²⁹Si crystal and R. de Sousa for valuable comments and kindly providing his calculation results. This work was supported in part by the G rant-in-A id for Scienti c R esearch No. 64076215.

- E lectronic address: kitoh@ appikeio.ac.p
- ¹ G.Feher, Phys. Rev. 114, 1219 (1959).
- ² E.B.Hale and R.L.M ieher, Phys. Rev. 184, 739 (1969).
- ³ J.L. Ivey and R.L.M ieher, Phys. Rev. B 11, 822 (1975).
- ⁴ B.E.Kane, Nature (London) 393, 133 (1998).
- ⁵ R.Vrijen, E.Yablonovitch, K.W ang, H.W. Jiang, A.Balandin, V. Roychow dhury, T. Mor, and D. D.W incenzo, Phys. Rev. A 62, 012306 (2000).
- ⁶ T.D.Ladd, J.R.Goldman, F.Yam aguchi, Y.Yam am oto, E.Abe, and K.M. Itoh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 017901 (2002).
- ⁷ J.P.G ordon and K.D.Bowers, Phys.Rev. Lett. 1, 368 (1958).
- ⁸ A.M. Tyryshkin, S.A. Lyon, A.V. Astashkin, and A.M. Raitsim ring, Phys. Rev. B 68, 193207 (2003).
- ⁹ E.Yablonovitch, H.W. Jiang, H.Kosaka, H.D.Robinson, D.S.Rao, and T.Szkopek, Proc. IEEE 91, 761 (2003).
- ¹⁰ T.G.Castner Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 8, 13 (1962).
- ¹¹ T.G.Castner, Phys.Rev.155, 816 (1967).
- ¹² K.M. Itoh, J.K ato, M. U em ura, A.K. Kaliteevskii, O.N. Godisov, G.G. Devyatych, A.D. Bulanov, A.V. Gusev, I.D.Kovalev, P.G. Sennikov, et al., Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 42, 6248 (2003).
- ¹³ C.P.Slichter, Phys. Rev. 99, 479 (1955).
- ¹⁴ M.Chiba and A.Hirai, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 33, 730 (1972).
- ¹⁵ K.Sugihara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 18, 961 (1963).
- ¹⁶ G.Yang and A.Honig, Phys. Rev. 168, 271 (1968).
- ¹⁷ J.H.Van Vleck, Phys. Rev. 74, 1168 (1948).

- ¹⁸ K. Schaum burg, E. Shabanova, and J.P.F. Sellschop, J. M ag. Res. A 112, 176 (1995).
- ¹⁹ K. Lefm ann, B. Buras, E. J. Pedersen, E. S. Shabanova, P.A. Thorsen, F. Berg Rasmussen, and J.P.F. Sellschop, Phys. Rev. B 50, 15623 (1994).
- ²⁰ A.S.Verhulst, D.Maryenko, Y.Yamamoto, and K.M. Itoh, Phys. Rev. B 68, 054105 (2003).
- ²¹ K. M. Salikhov and Y. D. Tsvetkov, in Time Domain Electron Spin Resonance, edited by L. Kevan and R. N. Schwartz (Wiley, New York, 1979), chap. 7.
- ²² R.de Sousa and S.Das Samma, Phys.Rev. B 68, 115322 (2003).
- ²³ S.W atanabe and S.Sasaki, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 42, L1350 (2003).
- ²⁴ A.E.Dem entyev, D.Li, K.M acLean, and S.E.Barrett, Phys.Rev. B 68, 153302 (2003).
- ²⁵ T.D.Ladd, D.Maryenko, Y.Yam amoto, E.Abe, and K.M.Itoh, quant-ph/0309164.
- ²⁶ A. Schweiger and G. Jeschke, Principles of Pulse Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2001).
- ²⁷ S. Saikin and L. Fedichkin, Phys. Rev. B 67, 161302R (2003).
- ²⁸ This tendency has also been suggested by A.M. Tyryshkin and S.A. Lyon experim entally (unpublished).
- ²⁹ Theoretical values given here were provided by R. de Sousa (private com m unication).
- 30 In the present experiments, a step of was set to 40 ns,

5

hence the N yquist frequency is 12.5 M H z. A sthe data were taken from = 320 ns, all the modulation components that decayed within 320 ns cannot be recovered in the frequency

dom ain spectra.