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#### Abstract

This paper is devoted to investigating the physically interesting optical and electrom agnetic properties, phenom ena and e ects of $w$ ave propagation in the negative refractive index $m$ aterials, which is often referred to as the lefthanded media in the literature. This paper covers a wide range of subjects and related topics of lefthanded $m$ edia such as $m$ any $m$ athem atical treatm ent of fundam ental e ects (e.g., the re ection and the refraction laws on the interface between LH and RH m edia, the group velocity and energy density in dispersive $m$ aterials, the negative optical refractive index resulting from a m oving regular medium, the reversal of D oppler e ect in lefthanded $m$ edia, the reversalofC erenkov radiation in lefthanded $m$ edia, the optical refiractive index of $m$ assive particles and physicalm eanings of left-handed $m$ edia, the anti-shielding e ect and negative tem perature in lefthanded $m$ edia, etc.), and their som e applications to certain areas (e.g., three $k$ inds of com pact thin subw avelength cavity resonatons (rectangular, cylindrical, spherical) $m$ ade of left-handed $m$ edia, the photon geom etric phases due to helicity inversions inside a periodical ber $m$ ade of left-handed $m$ edia, etc.).


## I. IN T R O D U C T IO N

D uring the past 50 years, the design and fabrication of arti cialm aterials attracted extensive attention in various scienti c and technological areas [1] [1] . For exam ple, in the 1950s and 1960s arti cial dielectrics were exploited for light-w eight $m$ icrow ave antenna lenses, and in the 1980s and 1990s arti cial chiral materials were investigated for m icrow ave radar absorber applications $[1]$. D uring the last decades, a kind of $m$ aterial term ed photonic crystals, which is pattemed w ith a periodicity in dielectric constant and can therefore create a range of forbidden frequencies called a photonic band gap focus considerable attention ofm any researchers [ $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$. Such dielectric structure of crystals o ens the possibility of $m$ olding the ow of light inside $m$ edia. R ecently a num ber of interest was captured by the arti cialelectric and $m$ agnetic $m$ olecules [ $\left.\underline{\eta}^{\dagger}\right]$ and arti cialelectric and $m$ agnetic $m$ aterials, which, like $m$ any of the chiral m aterials, can exhibit positive or negative perm ittivity or perm eability properties. M ore recently, a kind of arti cial com posite $m$ etam aterials (the so-called left-handed media) having a frequency band where the e ective perm ittivity and the e ective perm eability are sim ultaneously negative receives particular and intensive attention ofm any authors both experim entally and theoretically $[5$

In the above, we present the brie $y$ history of arti cialm aterials, which was stated based on the point of view of pure technology. It is shown in what background (or environm ent) such a material with sim ultaneous negative perm ittivity and perm eability arises. H ow ever, in what follow swew illdiscuss this problem from the purely theoretical point of view.

In 1930s, D irac predicted a new particle (positive electron) based on his relativistic wave equation. In Dirac's theory, the energy eigenvalue of Ferm ions satis es the equation $E^{2}=p^{2} c^{2}+m{ }_{0}^{2} c^{4} w$ thp $_{p} \underline{p}$ and $m o$ denoting the m om entum and rest m ass of the D irac particle. It follow $s$ that the energy eigenvalue $E=\frac{\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{2}+\mathrm{m}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{4}}{}$. A ccording to the convention of classical physics, the negative energy solution $m$ ay be thought of as a one that has no physical $m$ eanings and therefore can be abandoned w thout any hesitation. But in quantum $m$ echanics, the com pleteness of solutions is a very essential sub ject in solving wave equation. If we desert the negative energy solution, we cannot guarantee the com pleteness property of solutions of wave equation. So, the negative energy solution should also be of physical m eanings and deserves consideration in quantum m echanics. Thus, the positive electron was predicted by D irac. Likew ise, in $M$ axw ell electrodynam ics, we m ay also experience such things. It is well known that in the

[^0]second-order M axw ellian equation, the relation betw een the squared of optical refractive index $n$ and the perm ittivity and perm eability is $n^{2}=$. If both and are positive, it follows that one can arrive at the follow ing case of $n$ :

all of which agree w ith the second-order $M$ axw ellian equation. But for a tim eharm onic electrom agnetic wave, not all of them satis es the rst-order M axwellian equation. In order to clarify this point, let us rst consider the follow ing two equations
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{E}=0!\mathrm{H} ; \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{H}=0!\mathrm{E} \text {; } \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for a tim eharm onic wave, where 0 and 0 stand for the electric perm ittivity and $m$ agnetic perm eability in a free space, respectively. $H$ ere the wave vector $k m$ ay be rew ritten as $k=n \frac{!}{c} \hat{k}$, where the unit vector $\hat{k}$ is so de ned that $\hat{k}, E$ and $H$ form a right-handed system. Thus according to $E q$. (1-2), one can arrive at $\frac{n}{{ }_{0} c} \hat{k} \quad E=H$. It is readily veri ed that if $>0$, then $n$ is positive, and while if $<0$, then $n$ is negative. In the sam $e$ fashion, from the equation $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{H}=0$ ! E , one can obtain $\frac{\mathrm{n}}{{ }_{0} \mathrm{c}} \hat{\mathrm{K}} \quad \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{E}$. Thus the sim ilar result can also be obtained: if $\mathrm{n}>0$, then should be positive, and while if $n<0$, then should be negative. This, therefore, $m$ eans that in Eq.'(1.1), only the follow ing tw o possibilities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=+\mathrm{p}-; \quad \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{P} \overline{(\mathrm{r})( }) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ ay satisfy the rst-order $M$ axw ellian equation 1 . These tw o situations correspond to the tw o optical refractive indices of electrom agnetic w ave propagating inside right-handed $m$ edia and left-handed $m$ edia, respectively. H istorically, in $1967{ }^{2}$, Veselago rst considered this peculiar m edium having negative sim ultaneously negative and, and showed from M axw ellian equations that such $m$ edia exhibit a negative index of refraction [16]. It follow s from the $M$ axw ell's curlequations that the phase velocity of light w ave propagating inside this m edium is pointed opposite to the direction of energy ow, that is, the P oynting vector and w ave vector of electrom agnetic wave w ould be antiparallel, i.e., the vector $k$, the electric eld $E$ and the $m$ agnetic eld H form a lefthanded system ${ }^{3}$; thus Veselago referred to such $m$ aterials as \left-handed" $m$ edia, and correspondingly, the ordinary/regular $m$ edium in which $k$, $E$ and $H$ form a right-handed system may be term ed the \right-handed" one. O ther authors call this class of $m$ aterials \negative-
 $T$ here exist a num ber of peculiar electrom agnetic and optical properties, for instance, $m$ any dram atically di erent propagation characteristics stem from the sign change of the optical refractive index and phase velocity, including reversal of both the D oppler shift and C erenkov radiation, anom alous refraction, am pli cation of evanescent waves [12'], unusual photon tunneling [1] $]$, $m$ odi ed spontaneous em ission rates and even reversals of radiation pressure to radiation tension [G].

In experim ents, this arti cial negative electric perm ittivity media $m$ ay be obtained by using the array of long $m$ etallic $w$ ires (A LM W s) [ $m$ agnetic perm eability $m$ edia $m$ ay be built up by using_sm all resonant m etallic particles, e.g., the split ring resonators (SRRs), w th very high $m$ agnetic polarizability [19 $m$ edium . R ecently, Shelby et al. reported their rst experim ental realization of this arti cial com posite $m$ edium, the perm ittivity and perm eability of which have negative real parts $\left.\bar{\eta}_{1}\right]$. O ne of the potential applications of negative refractive index $m$ aterials is to fabricate the so-called \superlenses" (perfect lenses) : speci cally, a slab of such $m$ aterials $m$ ay has the pow er to focus allFourier com ponents of a 2D im age, even those that do not propagate in a radiative $m$ anner [12 22

In this paper, I w ill investigate theoretically the novel phenom ena, e ects and properties of wave propagation in left-handed $m$ edia.

[^1]The in uence of the interface between LH and RH m edia on the radiation propagation is govemed by the re ection and the refraction law s, which di er substantially from the law $s$ in the conventional (right-handed) m edia. W hen a wave in a RH m aterialhits an interface w ith a LH m edium, it w ill have negative angle of refraction, i.e., the refracted w ave w ill be on the sam e side of the norm al as the incident wave, which are peculiar to the usualm edia. It is due to the fact that the refraction index is negative for LH m edia. M oreover, the refracted $w$ ave can be absent at all if the absolute values of the refraction indioes of LH and RH m edia are equal [1] [']

## III. NEGATIVEPERMITTIVITYAND NEGATIVEPERMEABILITY <br> A. N egative perm ittivity resulting from A LM W s structure

A 2-layer periodic array of conducting straight wires will give rise to a negative perm ittivity. A s observed, there is a single gap in the propagation up to a cuto frequency for $m$ odes $w$ ith the electric eld polarized along the axis of the $w$ ire. M etals have a characteristic response to electrom agnetic radiation due to the plasm a resonance of the electron gas [12 $\left.{ }^{-1}{ }^{1}\right]$.
$H$ ere we consider the e ective rest $m$ ass of electrom agnetic $w$ ave in superconducting $m$ edia (or electron plasm a) and dispersive/absonptive $m$ aterials. It is well know $n$ that the Lagrangian density of electrom agnetics is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\checkmark=\frac{1}{4} F \quad F \quad \frac{1}{{ }_{0} C^{2}},+{ }_{0} J \quad A: \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation of $m$ otion of an electron in superconducting $m$ edia (or electron plasm a) acted upon by the extemal electrom agnetic wave is $m e \underline{V}=E \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{E}=\frac{\varrho}{\varrho t} \mathrm{~A}$, where dot on the velocity v denotes the derivative of v w ith respect to time $t$ and $A$ stands for the $m$ agnetic vector potentials of the applied electrom agnetic wave. O ne can therefore arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(m_{e} v+e A\right)=0 ; \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

nam ely, the canonicalm om entum $m e v+e A$ of the electron is conserved. Set $m_{e v}+e A=0$ and then $m_{e v}=e A$. So, the electric current density is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=N e v=\frac{N e^{2}}{m_{e}} A \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

 Lagrangian density is rew ritten as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{o J} \quad A=\frac{{ }_{0} N e^{2}}{m_{e}} A^{2}=\frac{N e^{2}}{{ }_{0} m_{e} C^{2}} A^{2} \text {; } \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here use is $m$ ade of the relation $c^{2}=\frac{1}{0_{0}}$. It is apparently seen that since electron current acts as the self-induced charge current, the interaction term $O J A$ is therefore transform ed into the $m$ ass term $\frac{m_{\text {eff }}{ }^{2}}{h^{2}} A A^{2}$ ofelectrom agnetic elds. H ence, w e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{ome}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{C}^{2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the e ective $m$ ass squared

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{e}^{2}=\frac{h^{2}}{c^{2}} \frac{N e^{2}}{o m_{e} c^{2}}: \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he relation betw een the frequency ! and wave vector $k$ of lightw ave propagating inside the dispersive $m$ edium $m$ ay be $\frac{!^{2}}{k^{2}}=\frac{c^{2}}{n^{2}} w$ th $n$ being the optical refractive index. For highly absonptive $m$ edia, the corresponding $m$ odi cation of
this $m$ ethod can also apply. So, for convenience, here we are not ready to dealw ith the case of absorptive $m$ aterials. $T$ his dispersion relation $\frac{!^{2}}{k^{2}}=\frac{c^{2}}{n^{2}}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{!^{2}}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{c}^{2}}{1 \frac{\mathrm{c}^{4}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{eff}}}{!^{2}}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the ective rest $m$ ass, $m e$, of the light being so de ned that $m e, n$ and! together satisfy the follow ing relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{4}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}}=\left(1 \quad \mathrm{n}^{2}\right)!^{2}: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, therefore, im plies that the particle velocity of light (photons) is $v=n c$ and the phase velocity of light is $v_{p}=\frac{c}{n}=\frac{c^{2}}{v}$. Since this relation is fam iliar to us, we thus show that the above calculation is self-consistent, at least for the de B roglie w ave (particle).

By using $n^{2}=$, it follow from Eq. $\cdot(\overline{1} \overline{-1} \overline{1})$ and $1(\overline{3}, \overline{1})$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)=1 \quad \frac{!_{p}^{2}}{!^{2}} ; \quad!_{p}^{2}=\frac{N e^{2}}{m_{e} 0} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $!_{p} ; N ; e ; m_{e}$ and obeing the electron plasm a frequency, num ber of electrons per unit volum e, electron charge, electron m ass and electric perm ittivity in a vacuum, respectively.

G enerally speaking, when taking into consideration the absonption of $m$ edia, the electric perm ittivity of A LM W s structures $m$ ay be rew ritten as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1 \frac{!_{p}^{2}}{!(!+i)}: \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ppropriate choige for the frequency of $w$ ave $w i l l y i e l d ~ n e g a t i v e ~ p e r m ~ i t i v i t y . ~$
An altemative approach to deriving the expression for the perm ittivity of A LM W s structures can be seen in the A ppendix A to this paper.

$$
\text { B . N egative perm eability resulting from } S R R \text { s structure }
$$

The split ring resonator (SRR) can be used for $m$ anufacturing negative $m$ agnetic perm eability $m$ edia and hence designing left-handed $m$ aterials. SR $R$ is often form ed by tw o coupled conducting rings printed on a dielectric slab of thickness w ith, say, 0.216 mm [2] $\left.\overline{3}_{1}^{1}\right]$. The structure of SRR s under consideration m ay be referred to the gures in the
 eld applied parallel to the axis of the rings induces currents that, depending on the resonant properties of the unit, produce a magnetic eld that $m$ ay either oppose or enhance the incident eld $\overline{\hat{W}} \boldsymbol{1}$. The associated magnetic eld pattem from the $S R R$ is dipolar. By having splits in the rings, the $S R R$ unit can be $m$ ade resonant at wavelengths m uch larger than the diam eter of rings (the SRR particle size is about one tenth of that wavelength [231]). T he purpose of the second split ring, inside and whose split is oriented opposite to the rst, is to generate a large capacitance in the $s m$ all gap region betw een the rings, low ering the resonant frequency considerably and concentrating the electric eld [1]
In what follow s we w ill derive the expression for the $m$ agnetic perm eability of SR R s structures. A ssum e that the planes of SRR s are parallelto the $\hat{x}-\hat{y}$ plane, and an extemalm agnetic eld $B_{z}=B_{z}{ }_{z}$ ext $\exp [i!t] \hat{z}$ acting on the $S R R s$. So, an electrom otive force $E=i!{ }_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\text {ext }}$ ( w th $\mathrm{r}_{0}^{2}$ being the plane area of a SRR particle) is induced along the rings which is responsible for creating a current ow which produces a totalm agnetic $m$ om ent in the particle. The slot betw een the rings acts as a distributed capacitance, which stores the sam e am ount of charge (but of opposite sign) at both sides of the slot [2]. A coording to the charge conservation law, one can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{r_{0} d} I_{i}=\frac{d}{d t} q_{i}=i!C\left(\begin{array}{ll}
V_{i} & V_{0}
\end{array}\right) ; \\
& \frac{d}{r_{0} d} I_{0}=\frac{d}{d t} G_{b}=i!C\left(\begin{array}{ll}
V_{0} & V_{i}
\end{array}\right) ; \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where and C denote the angle displacem ent of 2D polar coordinate and the per unit length capacitance betw een the rings, and $I_{i}$ and $I_{0}$ the currents ow ing on both rings (inner and outer). $q_{i}$ and $q_{0}$ are the per unit length charge at the inner and outer rings, respectively. N ote that on the righthanded sides of q . $(\overline{3}, \overline{1} \overline{1}), \frac{d}{d t}$ can be replaced w ith i! . It is assum ed that in the ring the current can be considered to be hom ogeneous. $\bar{T}$ his, therefore, im plies that $\frac{d}{r_{0} d} I_{i}=\frac{I_{i}}{2 r_{0}}$. It is apparent that $\left(V_{i} \quad V_{0}\right)=2 i!L I+I_{0}^{2} B^{\text {ext }}$, where $L$ is the total inductance of the SRR.T hus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=4!^{2} r_{0} C L I+r_{0}^{2} B^{e x t} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{4^{2}!^{2} r_{0}^{3} C}{14!^{2} L C r_{0}} B^{\text {ext }}: \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the $m$ om ent per unit volum $e$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=N I \quad r_{0}^{2}=N \frac{r_{0}^{2} 0^{1}!^{2}\left(4 C r_{0} \quad 0 \quad r_{0}^{2}\right)}{1 \frac{!^{2}}{!!_{0}^{2}}} B^{\text {ext }} ; \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $!{ }_{0}^{2}=\frac{1}{4 \text { LC } x_{0}}$ and $N$ is the $S R R$ unit num ber per unit volum e. It can be easily veri ed ${ }_{-1}^{1_{1}{ }^{\frac{4}{1}}}$ that the per unit length inductance of ring $w$ th radius $r_{0}$ is $\frac{0 r_{0}}{2}$. So, the total inductance of the $S R R$ is $0 r_{0}^{2}$. It follow sfrom Eq. ( 3 . 14 ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=\frac{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{r}_{0}^{2} 0^{1} \frac{!^{2}}{!_{0}^{2}}}{1 \frac{!^{2}}{!_{0}^{2}}} \mathrm{~B}^{\text {ext }}: \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

 Since all the currents (such as free current, polarization current and m agnetization current) will contribute to $m$ agnetic induction $B$, while the $m$ agnetic led $H$ is produced only by the free current, wem ay have $B^{\text {int }} B^{\text {ext }}, H^{\text {int }}=H{ }^{\text {ext }}$. Because of $B^{\text {int }}=0{ }_{r} H^{\text {int }}=0{ }_{r} H^{\text {ext }}={ }_{r} B^{\text {ext }}$, we can obtain $B^{\text {ext }}=\frac{B^{\text {int }}}{r}$. So, it follow $S$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{N I_{0}^{2} 0^{1} \frac{!^{2}}{!_{0}^{2}}}{1 \frac{B^{\text {int }}}{!_{0}^{2}}} \frac{r}{r}: \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Insertion of $M=\frac{1}{0} \frac{x}{x} B^{\text {int }} y$ ields

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=1+\frac{\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{I}_{0}^{2} \frac{!^{2}}{!_{0}^{2}}}{1 \frac{!^{2}}{!!_{0}^{2}}}=1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~F}!^{2}}{!^{2} \quad!_{0}^{2}}: \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, when taking account of the dissipation factor of $S R R$, the expression $(\overline{3} . \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ m ay be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=1 \frac{F!^{2}}{!^{2}!_{0}^{2}+i!}: \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ppropriate choice for the frequency of $w$ ave $w$ ill $y$ ield negative perm eability.
R eadersm ay be referred to A ppendix B for the derivation of the expression for the perm eability of SR R structures.

[^2]In addition, som eone $m$ ay argue that the $m$ agnetization $M$ should be $M=\frac{1}{N_{0}} \frac{1}{-^{r}} B$ ext (rather than $M=$


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{r}_{0}^{2} 0^{1} \frac{!^{2}}{!_{0}^{2}}}{1 \frac{!^{2}}{!0_{0}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{0} \frac{\mathrm{r} \quad 1}{\mathrm{r}} \text {; } \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in consequence
which can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=1+\frac{\frac{\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{r}_{0}^{2}}{1+\mathrm{N} \mathrm{r}_{0}^{2}}!^{2}}{\frac{!0_{0}^{2}}{1+\mathrm{N} r_{0}^{2}}}=1 \quad!^{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~F}^{0}!^{2}}{!^{2}!_{0}^{@^{2}}} ; \tag{321}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also of the form analogous to $(\overline{3} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{7})$.

## C. O ther design to obtain negative optical refractive index

Pendry et al. used the array of long m etallic wires (A LM W s) [ $1 \overline{1} \overline{-1}$ ] that sim ulates the plasm a behavior at $m$ icrow ave frequencies, and the split ring resonators ( $\mathrm{SR} R \mathrm{~s}$ ) that gives rise to the arti cial negative $m$ agnetic perm eability to realize the left-handed $m$ aterials. B ut there are altemative approaches to the realization of such $m$ edia. For exam ple, P odolskiy et al. investigated the electrom agnetic eld disrtribution for the thin $m$ etalnanow ires by using the discrete dipole approxim ation, and considered the plasm on polariton $m$ odes in $w$ ires by using num erical sim ulation [24.]. In the paper $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[4]}\end{array}\right]$, they described a $m$ aterial com prising pairs of nanow ires parallel to each other and show ed that such $m$ aterials can have a negative refraction index in the near $\mathbb{R}$ and the visible spectral range. C onsider a thin layer of $m$ aterial, com posed from pairs of nanow ires parallel to each other. The length of individual nanow ires is $2 \mathrm{~b}_{1}$, their diam eter is $2 \mathrm{~b}_{2}$, and the distance betw een the nanow ires in the pair is d . T he needles are assum ed to be em bedded into host w ith dielectric constant. Podolskiy et al considered the case of closely placed long nanow ires so that $b_{2} d b_{1}\left[2_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. The incident $w$ ave propagates nom al to the com posite surface so that the electric eld is parallel to the nanow ires, while the $m$ agnetic eld is perpendicular to the nanow ire pairs. Podolskiy et al found the e ective dielectric constant and $m$ agnetic perm eability for the 2 D nanoneedle com posite lm are

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1+\frac{4 \mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathrm{~d}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{E}}}{\mathrm{E}} ; \quad=1+\frac{4 \mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathrm{~d}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{H}}}{\mathrm{H}} ; \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $m_{H}$ are the surface $m$ etalconcentration and the $m$ om ent of the individualtw $o$-needle system, respectively. $N$ ote that the resonance position (and therefore the spectral range where the $m$ aterial refractive index is negative) is determ ined by param eters $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $d$. By varying the these param eters the negative-refraction spectral range can be $m$ oved to the visible part of the spectrum [24].

It should be noted that the structure of a com bination of tw o lattioes (i.e., a lattioe of in nitely long parallelw ires and a lattice of the split ring resonators) is not isotropic. Such structures can be described w ith negative perm ittivity
 $H$ e presented a analyticalm odel for a rectangular lattice of isotropic scatters $w$ ith electric and $m$ agnetic resonances. H ere each isotropic scatterer is form ed by putting appropriately 6 -shaped perfectly conducting particles on the faces of a cubic unit cell [2] [2]. They derived a self-consistent dispersion equation and used it to calculate correctly the e ective perm ittivity and perm eability in the frequency band where the lattice can be hom ogenized. The frequency range in which both the ective perm ittivity and perm eability are negative corresponds to the $m$ ini-band ofbackw ard waves w ithin the resonant band of the individual isotropic scatterer. For the detailed analysis of the electrom agnetic properties of $m$ aterials form ed by the rectangular lattice of isotropic cubic unit cells of particles, authors $m$ ay be referred to Sim ovski and H e's recently published paper [2] $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \mathbf{L}_{1}^{1}\right]$.

```
IV.W AVE PROPAGATION IN D ISPERSIVE M ATERIALS
```

A. G roup velocity and energy density in dispersive m aterials

In a dispensive (isotropic) m edium, the group velocity of an electrom agnetic $w$ ave is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{g}}=\frac{\mathrm{d!}}{\mathrm{dk}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{p} \frac{1}{\mathrm{0} 0}} \mathrm{P}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}!} \mathrm{d}- \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{p} \overline{0} \mathrm{~m}$ !. In what follows we will calculate the energy ow velocity, i.e., $\frac{\mathrm{E} \text { H }}{\mathrm{w}_{\text {em }}}$. The magnitude of $P$ oynting vector $m$ ay be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nexists \quad \text { H } j={ }^{r} \bar{\square}_{0}^{0} E^{2}: \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum e that the energy ow velocity equals the group velocity and we w ill obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{q \overline{\overline{0}_{0}^{0}}}{} E^{2}}{w}=\frac{1}{p \frac{1}{00}} P- \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The righthanded side of Eq. (4.3) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{g}=\frac{1}{00} \frac{1}{P-+\frac{1}{2}!\frac{d}{d!}(\quad)}=\frac{q-\frac{n}{0_{0}} E^{2}}{{ }_{0} E^{2} 1+\frac{1}{2} \frac{!}{\frac{d( }{d!}}} \frac{i \theta}{d}: \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com parison betw een $(-\overline{4}-\overline{3})$ and $(\overline{4} \cdot \overline{4})$ show $s$ that the energy density of electrom agnetic system takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}={ }_{0} \mathrm{E}^{2} 1+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\mathrm{d}(\quad)}{\mathrm{d}!} ; \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can also be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}!}(!)+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}!}(!)-{ }_{0} \mathrm{E}^{2}: \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the expression $H=\frac{q-\frac{0}{0}}{} E, \frac{d}{d!}(!)-{ }_{0} E^{2}$ is therefore $\frac{d}{d!}(!),{ }_{0} H^{2}$. So, it follow from Eq. (4. ${ }^{(1)}$ ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}!}(!)_{0} \mathrm{E}^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}!}(!)_{0} \mathrm{H}^{2} ; \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is just the electrom agnetic energy density of dispensive linearm aterials.
$N$ ote that according to the $K$ ram ens $K$ ronig relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}(!)=1+\frac{1}{-} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{Z}+1} \frac{\operatorname{Im}\left(!^{0}\right)}{!^{0} \quad!} \mathrm{d}!^{0} ; \quad \operatorname{Im} \quad(!)=\frac{1}{-} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{Z}+1} \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left(!^{0}\right)}{\left.!^{0} \quad 1\right]} \mathrm{l}!^{0} ; \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the negative refractive index $m$ aterials is sure to be the dispersive (and also absorptive) $m$ edia. So we should $m$ ake use ofE q. (4.7. ${ }_{-1}$ ) when calculating the energy density ofelectrom agneticm aterials. It can be easily seen that by substituting
 density is alw ays positive.

It is well know $n$ that in the $\backslash n o n$-dispersive" m edium, the electrom agnetic energy density is $w=\frac{1}{2}(E \quad D+H \quad B)$. H ow can we understand the relation betw een w and the electrom agnetic potential energy (and kinetic energy) of dipoles? D oes $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{D}$ contain the potential energy $\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{E}$, or does it contain the kinetic energy of dipoles?

C onsider the follow ing equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p+!{ }_{\mathrm{r}}^{2} \mathrm{p}=0!{ }_{\mathrm{p}}^{2} \mathrm{E} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

that govems the electric dipole $p$ in the presence of an electric eld $E=E e_{0} \exp \frac{1}{i}!t$. The solution to Eq. (4.9) is $p=p_{0} \exp \frac{1}{i}!t$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{0}=\frac{0!_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}}{!^{2}+!_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}} \mathrm{E}_{0} ; \quad \mathrm{E}_{0}=\frac{!^{2}+!_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}}{0!_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}} \mathrm{p}_{0}: \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $!_{r}^{2}=\frac{k}{m},!_{p}^{2}=\frac{e^{2}}{m}$. Thus the kinetic and potential energies (purely mechanical energy) of the dipole oscillator (experience $m$ echanical vibration) are w ritten

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{k}=\frac{1}{2} m \underline{x^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} m!^{2} x^{2} ; \\
& E_{p}=\frac{1}{2} K x^{2}=\frac{1}{2} m!{ }_{r}^{2} x^{2} ; \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x=\frac{p}{e}$. So, the totalm echanicalenergy of the dipole oscillator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{m} \text { echa tot }}=E_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{m}{\mathrm{e}^{2}}!_{\mathrm{r}}^{2} \quad!^{2} \mathrm{p}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{0!_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}}!_{\mathrm{r}}^{2} \quad!^{2} \mathrm{p}^{2}: \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The electric potential energy of the dipole oscillator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{pE}=\frac{!^{2}+!_{\mathrm{r}}^{2}}{0!_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}} \mathrm{p}^{2}: \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his therefore $m$ eans that the relation betw een the $m$ echanical energy and the electric potential energy of the dipole oscillator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { echa tot }}: \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hus the total energy of the dipole oscillator reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {tot }}=E_{\mathrm{m} \text { echa tot }}+V=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{pE}: \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hat is the relationship betw een $E_{\text {tot }}$ and $\frac{1}{2} p E$ in $\frac{1}{2} E D$ with $D={ }_{0} E+p$ ? N ow Iw illbrie $y$ discuss this problem : in fact, $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{pE}$ in $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ED}$ is just the totalm echanical energy, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ echa tot, of the dipole oscillator, while the electric potential energy has alw ays been involved ${ }_{-1}^{6 / 1}$ in $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{oE}^{2}$. This can also be understood as follow s : when the incident wave w ith electric energy density $\frac{1}{2}{ }_{0} E^{2}$ in a vacuum propagates inside a m edium, the electric energy density w ill be changed into $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{ED}$. W here does the energy di erence $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{pE}$ com e? The answer lies in Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{\bar{I}} \overline{\mathrm{I}}$ ), which show s that the total energy ( $m$ echanical energy and electric potential energy) of the dipole oscillator is just the energy di erence $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{pE}$.

It should be em phasized that when we take account of the electrom agnetic energy density in the dispersive $m$ aterial,
 term should be added to his obtained expression for the electrom agnetic energy density.

## B. W ave propagation in dispersive $m$ aterials

N ow let us consider the wave propagation in a dispersive $m$ aterial, where the electrom agnetic eld equation that govems the w ave propagation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \quad E+\frac{@}{@ t}(0 \quad H)=0 ; \quad r \quad H \quad \frac{@}{@ t}(0 \quad E)=0: \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]$H$ ere the electric and $m$ agnetic eld strengths are written in term $s$ ofquasi-m onochrom atic eld com ponents as follow $s$ [271]
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& E=\exp \left[i\left(!0_{0} \quad 0 z\right)\right] \exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}
i & (t & z) \\
E & \left(x ;!0_{0}+\right.
\end{array}\right) d ; \\
& \text { Z } \\
& H=\exp \left[i\left(!0_{0} \quad 0 z\right)\right] \exp \left[i \quad\left(t \quad{ }^{0} z\right)\right] H\left(x ;!_{0}+\right) d \text {; } \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $!=!_{0}+,{ }^{0}=\frac{d}{d!} j_{0}$ with $!_{0}$ being the central frequency. A ssum ing the wave vector of electrom agnetic wave is parallel to $\hat{z}$-direction, it follow s that

$$
\begin{align*}
& i 0_{2} \quad E+i^{0} e_{z} \quad E+r \quad E \quad i_{0}!0 \quad\left(!0_{0}\right) H \quad i_{0} \frac{@}{@!}(!) H=0 \text {; } \\
& i_{0} e_{z} H+i^{0} e_{z} H+r \quad H+i_{0}!0 \quad\left(!0_{0}\right) E+i_{0} \frac{@}{@!}(!) E=0 \text {; } \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and consequently ( $m$ ultiplying the above tw o equations by $H$ and $E$, respectively)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { H ) }++_{0} I_{0}\left(!_{0}\right) E^{2}+i_{0} \frac{@}{@!}(!) E^{2}=0: \tag{4.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting the second equation from the rst one in $(\overline{4} \overline{1} \overline{-1})$, one can arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 i{ }_{0} \mathrm{H} \text { (e E) } 0!0\left(!_{0}\right) \mathrm{E}^{2}+\mathrm{r} \quad(\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{H}) \\
& +2 i \quad 0_{H} \quad \text { (e E) } \frac{1}{2} \circ \frac{@}{@!}(!) H^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \circ \frac{@}{@!}(!) E^{2}=0 \text { : } \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

It is readily veri ed that by using the relations $0=p-\frac{!}{c}$ and $j j=\frac{q}{\frac{0}{0}} \ddagger j$ one can prove that the follow ing relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{e}) \quad 0!0 \quad\left(!_{0}\right) \mathrm{E}^{2}=0 \tag{421}
\end{equation*}
$$



H ence we obtain the expression for group velocity of $w$ ave propagation in the dispersive $m$ aterials, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{g}=\frac{1}{0}: \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s from ( $\overline{-1} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ ) that the electrom agnetic energy density $w$ in dispersive $m$ edia takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=\frac{1}{2} \circ \frac{@}{@!}(!) E^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \circ \frac{@}{@!}(!) H^{2}: \tag{424}
\end{equation*}
$$

V.NEGATIVEOPTICALREFRACTIVE INDEX RESULTINGFROMAMOVINGREGULARMEDIUM

A part from the above arti cial fabrications, does there exist another altemative to the negative index of refraction? In this section, I w ill dem onstrate that a $m$ oving regularm edium with a velocity in a suitable region (associated w ith its rest refractive index $n)_{1,1}^{\bar{\eta}_{1}^{\prime}}$ possesses a negative index of refraction, which $m$ ay be a physically interesting e ect and $m$ ight deserve further discuission.

[^4]Suppose we have a regular anisotropic $m$ edium $w$ ith the rest refractive index tensor $\hat{\mathrm{n}}$, which is m oving relative to an inertial fram e $K$ w th speed $v$ in the arbitrary directions. The rest refractive index tensor $\hat{i}$ can be written as $\hat{\mathrm{n}}=$ diag $\left[\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}_{3}\right] \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}>0 ; i=1 ; 2 ; 3$. Thus the w ave vector of a propagating electrom agnetic w ave w th the frequency! reads $k=\frac{!}{c}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ; n_{3}\right) m$ easured by the observer xed at this medium. In this sense, one can de ne a $3-D$ vector $n=\left(n_{1} ; n_{2} ; n_{3}\right)$, and the wave vector $k$ ay be rew ritten as $k=n \frac{!}{c}$. Now we analyze the phase!t $k \quad x$ of the above tim eharm onic electrom agnetic w ave under the follow ing Lorentz transform ation

$$
x^{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & v t) ;  \tag{5.1}\\
& t=t \\
c^{2} & v
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left(x^{0} ; t^{0}\right)$ and $(x ; t)$ respectively denote the spacetim e coordinates of the initial fram $e K$ and the system ofm oving $m$ edium, the spatial origins of $w$ hich coincide when $t=t^{0}=0$. Here the relativistic factor $=1 \quad \frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{2}$. Thus by using the transform ation ( $\left.(5.1)^{2}\right)$, the phase ! t $k \quad x$ observed inside the $m$ oving $m$ edium $m$ ay be rew ritten as the follow ing form by using the spactim e coordinates of $K$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { !t } k \quad x=!1 \quad \frac{n}{c} t^{0} \quad!\frac{n}{c} \frac{v}{c^{2}} \quad \& \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the term on the right-handed side of which is just the expression for the wave phase in the in itial frame K. Hence, the frequency $!^{0}$ and the wave vector $k^{0}$ of the observed w ave we $m$ easure in the initial fram e $K$ are given

$$
\begin{equation*}
!^{0}=!1 \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{c}} \quad \mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{k}^{0}=!\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{c}} \frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} ; \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.
To gain som e insight into the $m$ eanings of the expression ( 5 the Lorentz transform ation ( $(5.11)$ ) in the $\hat{x}_{1}$-direction, in which the $m$ edium velocity relative to $K$ along the positive $\vec{x}_{1}$-direction is $v$. In the $m$ eanwhile, we assum $e$ that the $w$ ave vector of the electrom agnetic $w$ ave is also parallel to the positive $\hat{x}_{1}$-direction. If the rest refractive index of the $m$ edium in the $\hat{x}_{1}$-direction is $n$, its ( m oving) refractive index in the sam e direction $m$ easured by the observer xed at the initial fram $e \mathrm{~K}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}^{0}=\frac{\mathrm{ck}^{0}}{!0}=\frac{\mathrm{n} \quad \frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{c}}}{1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{nv}}{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a relativistic form ula for the addition of $\backslash$ refractive indioes" ( $\frac{v}{c} p r o v i d e s$ an e ective index of refraction).
$N$ ote that here $n^{0}$ observed in the fram e $K m$ ay be negative. If, for exam ple, when $n>1$, the $m$ edium $m$ oves at

$$
\begin{equation*}
c>v>\frac{c}{n} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(and in consequence, $\mathrm{k}^{0}>0,!^{0}<0$ ); or when $0<\mathrm{n}<1$, the m edium velocity w ith respect to K satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}>\mathrm{v}>\mathrm{nc} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(and consequently, $\mathrm{k}^{0}<0,!^{0}>0$ ), then $\mathrm{n}^{0}$ is negative. The form er case where $\mathrm{k}^{0}>0,!^{0}<0$ is of physical interest. In the paper [28], it was show $n$ that the photon in the negative refractive index $m$ edium behaves like its anti-particle, which, therefore, im plies that we can describe the wave propagation in both left-and right-handed m edia in a uni ed way by using a com plex vector eld [281].

In the above, even though we have show $n$ that in som e certain velocity regions, the $m$ oving $m$ edium possesses a negative $n^{0}$, such a $m$ oving $m$ edium cannot be surely view ed as a lefthanded $m$ edium. For this point, it is necessary to take into account the problem as to whether the vector $k$, the electric eld $E$ and the $m$ agnetic eld $H$ of the electrom agnetic w ave form a lefthanded system or notili. For sim plicity and w thout the loss of generality, we choose the electric and $m$ agnetic elds of the electrom agnetic $w$ ave in the $m$ edium system as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left(0 ; E_{2} ; 0\right) ; \quad B=\left(0 ; 0 ; B_{3}\right): \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^5]In the regular $m$ edium (right-handed $m$ edium $w$ ith $n>0$ ), the wave vector (along the positive $\hat{x}_{1}$-direction $w$ ith the $m$ odulus $k$ ) and such $E$ and $B$ (or $H$ ) form a right-handed system ${ }_{1}^{19} 1$. In the fram e of reference $K$, according to the Lorentz transform ation, one can arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{2}^{0}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{2} \quad \mathrm{vB}_{3}\right) \quad \mathrm{B}_{3}^{0}=\quad \mathrm{B}_{3} \quad \frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \mathrm{E}_{2}: \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience, here we will think of the $m$ edium as an isotropic one, i.e., the refractive index $\mathrm{n}^{0}$, perm 此ivity 0 and the perm eability ${ }^{0}$ of the $m$ oving $m$ edium are all the scalars rather than the tensors. F irst we consider the case of Eq. $(5 .-\overline{9})$ where $k^{0}<0,!^{0}>0$. In order to let the $m$ oving $m$ edium $w$ ith a negative index of refraction be a real left-handed one, the wave vector $\left(k^{0}<0\right), \mathrm{E}_{2}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3}^{0}$ of the electrom agnetic wave $m$ easured in $K$ should form a lefthanded system. Because of $\mathrm{k}^{0}<0$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3}^{0}={ }^{0}{ }_{0} \mathrm{H}_{3}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ ith o being the m agnetic perm eability in a vacuum, if 0 is negativel $\underline{1}_{1}^{10}$, then only the case of $E_{2}^{0}<0$ and $B_{3}^{0}>0$ (and vice versa) w ill lead to the lefthanded system $1_{-1}^{111 .}$ form ed
 in the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}}{\mathrm{E}_{2}}<\mathrm{v}<\frac{\mathrm{E}_{2}}{\mathrm{~B}_{3}} \quad \text { if } \quad \mathrm{E}_{2}^{2}>\mathrm{c}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}^{2} ; \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}}{\mathrm{E}_{2}}>\mathrm{v}>\frac{\mathrm{E}_{2}}{\mathrm{~B}_{3}} \quad \text { if } \quad \mathrm{E}_{2}^{2}<\mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{3}^{2} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the wave vector, electric eld and $m$ agnetic eld $m$ easured in $K$ willtruly form a left-handed system .
In conclusion, if the $m$ edium velocity satis es both Eq. (5. 6 be a lefthanded one observed from the observer xed in the initial fram e $K$.

As far as the case of Eq. ( $\overline{5}_{-1}^{-5}$ ) where $\mathrm{k}^{0}>0,!^{0}<0$ is concemed, it is also possible for the $m$ oving $m$ aterial to becom e a left-handed $m$ edium. But the case of $!^{0}<0$ has no the practicalcounterpart (at least in the real situation $w$ here the arti cial com posite $m$ aterials is designed). So, we would not further discuss the case of $!^{0}<0$.

A though the sub ject of the present note seem $s$ to be som ew hat trivial, it is helpful for understanding the properties of wave propagation (such as causality problem, the problem of energy propagating outw ards and backw ards from source [2] [1] etc.) in the left-handed m edium.

## VI.THEREVERSALOFDOPPLEREFFECT IN LEFTHANDED MEDIA

A s stated in the Introduction, the reversals of $m$ any optical and electrom agnetic e ects arise due to the negative index of refraction. In what follow s we w ill consider these unusual phenom ena.

Let us assum $e$ that the $m$ edium is xed at the initial fram K and the light source is $m$ oving inside the $m$ edium at
 a one-dim ensional case in the regular (right-handed) m edium $w$ ith the refractive index $n=1$, then the frequency we $m$ easure in the rest fram e $K$ is $!^{0}=\quad!1 \quad \frac{v}{c}$. Since the relativistic factor $=1 \frac{\mathrm{v}^{2}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \quad \frac{1}{2}$, we m ay have

$$
\begin{equation*}
!^{0}=!\frac{r}{\frac{c}{c+v}} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the norm alD oppler e ect. H ow ever, if the $m$ edium is a lefthanded $m$ aterial $w$ ith $n=1$, then it follow $s$ from $!^{0}=!1 \frac{\mathrm{n}}{} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}}$ that the frequency $m$ easured in $K$ is $!^{0}=\quad!1+\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{c}}$, which can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
!^{0}=!\frac{r}{\frac{c+v}{c \quad v}}: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is apparently seen that it is an abnorm alD oppler e ect com pared w ith Eq. (6.

[^6]```
VII.THE REVERSALOF CERENKOV RADIATION IN LEFTHANDED M ED IA
```

E lectrom agnetic radiation, usually bluish light, em itted by a beam of high-energy charged particles passing through a transparent $m$ edium at $s$ speed greater than the speed of light in that $m$ edium. This e ect is sim ilar to that of a sonic boom when an ob ject $m$ oves faster than the speed of sound. In this case the radiation is a shock wave set up in the electrom agnetic eld.

In the theory of C erenkov radiation, the Fourier com ponent of the $m$ agnetic elds produced by the $m$ oving charged particle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
B!=\frac{i!n e}{40 c^{3}} \frac{\exp (i k R)}{R} \sin \quad \frac{!}{v} \quad \frac{!n}{c} \cos ; \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here is the angle betw een the particle speed $v$ and the direction of radiation. Let us rst assum e that the refractive index $n>0$. It is well know $n$ that $w$ hen the charged particle velocity $v$ satis es $v>\frac{c}{n}$, then in the direction of $\cos =\frac{c}{n v}$, the $m$ agnetic eld $B$ ! is nonvanishing. If the refractive index $n<0$, then the radiation direction $m$ ay change, i.e., ! + . Additionally, the reversal of the direction of $\mathrm{B}_{!} \mathrm{w}$ ill also arise, which results from the coe cient $\frac{i!n e}{4 \mathrm{oc}^{3}}$ of $B$ ! (because of the coe cient involving $n$ ).

```
VIII.OPTICAL REFRACTIVE INDEX OFMMASSIVE PARTICLESAND PHYSICAL M EANINGS OF
    LEFTHANDED M ED IA
```

A num ber of novel electrom agnetic and optical properties in left-handed media result from the negative index of refraction. But what is the physical meanings of the negative index of refraction? A though in the literature $m$ any researchers have investigated the negative refractive index of left-handed $m$ edia by a variety ofm eans of applied electrom agnetism, classicaloptics, $m$ aterials science as w ell as condensed $m$ atter physics, less attention than it deserves is paid to the physicalm eanings of negative refractive index. In this section we will study this fundam ental problem from the purely physical point of view .

Before we consider the negative refractive index of lefthanded media, we rst deal w th the \optical refractive index" problem of de B roglie wave, the results of which will be helpful in discussing the form er problem.

For the case of de B roglie particle $m$ oving in a force eld, we can also take into account its \optical refractive index" $n$. A ccording to the E instein-de B roglie relation, the dispersion relation of the de $B$ roglie particle $w$ ith rest $m$ ass $m_{0}$ in a scalar potential eld $V(x)$ agrees $w$ ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!\quad)^{2}=k^{2} c^{2}+\frac{m{ }_{0}^{2} c^{4}}{h^{2}} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is de ned to be $=\frac{v}{h}$, and $k, h$ and $c$ stand for the $w$ ave vector, $P$ lank constant and speed of light in a vacuum, respectively. It follow s from Eq.(8.11) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
!^{2} 1 \frac{m_{0}^{2} c^{4}}{h^{2}!^{2}} \quad 2-\frac{2^{\#}}{!}+k^{2} c^{2} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{!^{2}}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{c}^{2}}{1 \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{4}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}!^{2}} \quad 2 \bar{T}+{ }^{2}}: \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om pared Eq. $(8812)$ w the dispersion relation $\frac{!^{2}}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}^{2}}{\mathrm{n}^{2}}$, one can arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}^{2}=1 \frac{\mathrm{~m}_{0}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{4}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}!^{2}} \quad 2-\frac{-}{!}+ \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the square of \optical refractive index" of de B roglie wave in the presence of a potential eld.
It is of physical interest ${ }_{R}$ to discuss the Ferm at's principle of de B roglie w ave in a potential eld V. O ne can readily verify that the variation $\quad \mathrm{cn}^{2} \mathrm{dt}=0$ can serve as the $m$ athem aticalexpression for the Ferm at's principle ofde $B$ roglie
particle (for the derivation of this expression, the readers $m$ ay be referred to the the paper "d]). If, for exam ple, by using the weak- eld and low m otion approxim ation where $T \quad 1, \frac{\mathrm{v}^{2}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}} \quad 1$ and $\overline{2}$ in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{-} . \overline{4}\right)$ can therefore be ignored, $1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{c}^{4}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}!^{2}}, \frac{\mathrm{v}^{2}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}}$, then $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ is approxim ately equal to

$$
\mathrm{n}^{2}, \frac{2}{m c^{2}} \frac{1}{2} m v^{2} \quad \mathrm{~V} \quad:
$$

Thus in the non-relativistic case, Ferm at's principle, ${ }^{R} \mathrm{cn}^{2} \mathrm{dt}=0$, is equivalent to the follow ing well-known action principle $L(x ; v) d t=0$ (di ering only by a constant coe cient $\frac{2}{m^{2}}$ ), where the function $L(x ; v)=\frac{1}{2} m v^{2} \quad V(x)$ denotes the Lagrangian of $m$ assive particle in a potential eld $V(x)$. Hence the above form ulation for the \optical refractive index" of the de B roglie particle inside a potential eld is said to be self-consistent.

A ccording to Eq. (8.4), there are tw o square roots (i.e., positive and negative roots, $n_{+}, n$ ) for the refractive index of de B roglie wave. It is well know n that a subatom ic particle has its corresponding antiparticle that has the sam e $m$ ass as it but opposite values of som e other property or properties. Indeed, it is veri ed that the particle and its antiparticle possess these tw o square roots, respectively. If the refractive index of particle is positive, then that of its antiparticle $w$ ill acquire a $m$ inus sign, which $m$ ay be seen by utilizing the charge con jugation transform ation: ! ! !,
! . If, for exam ple, we take into consideration the refractive index of electron and positron by regarding the positron as the negative energy solution to D irac's equation, then we can classify the solutions of D irac's equation into the follow ing four cases:
(i) $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{+}$, $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{hk}, \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{+}$;
(ii) $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{+}, \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{hk}, \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{+}$;
(iii) $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{hk}, \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}$;
(iv) $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}, \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{hk}, \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}$,
where $h, E_{+}$and $E$ respectively represent the helicity, positive and negative energy corresponding to the electron and positron. It is apparent that the relationship between the cases (i) and (iv) is just the charge conjugation transform ation. T his transform ation also relates the case (ii) to (iii).
$C$ om pare the case of $w$ ave propagation in left-handed $m$ edia $w$ th the results presented above, we can conclude that the negative optical refractive index in lefthanded m edia corresponds to the antiparticles of photons (the so-called antiphotons). H ow ever, as is well know $n$, there exist no antiphotons in free space. The theoreticalreason for this is that the four-dim ensional vector potentials $A$ with $=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3$ are alw ays taking the real num bers. But in a dispersive and absorptive $m$ edium, as an e ective $m$ edium theory $\left[\frac{13}{2} \hat{1}\right.$, the vector potentials $A$ of $w$ hich $m$ ay probably take the com plex num bers. Such com plex vector eld theory has been considered previously ${ }_{3} 121$. H ere the Lagrangian density is $\mathrm{written} \mathrm{L}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{~F}$, where the electrom agnetic eld tensors $\mathrm{F}=@ \mathrm{~A} @ \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~F}=@ \mathrm{~A}$ @A with A being the com plex conjugation of A. The com plex four-dim ensional vector potentials A and A characterize the propagating behavior ofboth photons and antiphotons.

N ow a problem left to us is that does the com plex vector eld theory apply wellin investigating the light propagation inside the negative refractive index $m$ edium . D etailed analysis $w$ ill show that this theory is truly applicable to the consideration of optical index of refraction and w ave propagation in left-handed $m$ edia. This will be proved in what follow $s$ by using another $m$ athem atical form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{c_{n}} \frac{@}{@ t} M=r \quad M ; \quad r \quad M=0 \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $M$ axw ellian equations, where $M={ }^{p}-E+\frac{p}{-} H_{H}, G_{n}=1={ }^{p}-$, and are absolute dielectric constant and $m$ agnetic conductivity of the $m$ edium, respectively. It can be easily veri ed that the $M$ axw ellian equations can be rew ritten as Eq. (8.6) .

If $>0$ and $>0$ are assum ed, then the light propagation inside the isotropic linear right-handed m edium (regular
 can be rew ritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{!}{C_{n}} M=k \quad M \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith! being the frequency of electrom agnetic wave.
N ow let us take the com plex conjugation of the two sides of Eq. $\left(80^{-} .7\right)$, and the result is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{!}{\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{h}}} \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{M} ; \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the eld $M$ is expressed in term sofboth electric and $m$ agnetic elds, $E$ and $H$, i.e., $M \quad P^{P}-E \quad P r_{H}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.i \frac{!}{c_{n}}\left[p^{p} \quad \stackrel{p}{1}-H \quad\right]=k \quad \sum_{[ }-\quad{\underset{1}{1}}_{H} \quad\right]: \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ultiolying the tw o sides of Eq. (8.9) by the im aginary unit $i$, one can arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{!}{c_{n}}\left[-E \quad p_{i}^{p}-H \quad\right]=k \quad \sum_{[ }-\quad \sum_{i}^{p}-H \quad ; \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ agnitude of $G_{n}$ (i.e., $1=p$ ) does not change but it can be rew ritten as a new form $\left.G=1=\frac{p}{( }\right)($ ). A ccording to Eq. ( $\overline{8} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{-})$, one can obtain the follow ing relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{E}=!(\quad) \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{H}=\text { ! }(\quad) \mathrm{E}: \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that here $>0,>0$. Thus it follows from Eq.( $m$ agnetic eld $H$ of the electrom agnetic wave in this $m$ edium, through which the elds $E$ and $H$ propagate, form a left-handed system. This, therefore, $m$ eans that here the P oynting vector $S$ is pointed opposite to the direction of the wave vector of electrom agnetic w ave. For this reason, it is expected that the reversal of $w$ ave vector, instantaneous helicity inversion $[\overline{3} 2 \overline{2}]$ and anom alous refraction $w$ ill take place when an incident lightw ave from the right-handed $m$ edium travels to the interfaces betw een left-and right-handed media. Since the direction of phase velocity and energy ow of lightw ave propagating in the lefthanded $m$ edium w ould be antiparallel, the change ofw ave vectork will truly occur during the light propagation through the interfaces. T he inversion of k m eans that its m agnitude acquires a $m$ inus sign, nam ely, the optical index of refraction $n$ becom es negative since the $m$ agnitude of $k$ is de ned to be $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{n}!=\mathrm{C}$. In view of the above discussion, it is conchided that Eq. (8.10) govems the propagation of tim eharm onic planar electrom agnetic wave, where the electrom agnetic elds are characterized by E and H .
$T$ husby $m$ aking use of the com plex vector eld theory we obtain a form ulation $w$ hich can treat the wave propagation in both right-and left-handed $m$ edia. O ne of the advantages of the present form ulation is that the $m$ inus sign of negative refractive index can be placed into the theoretical calculations by using the above uni ed approach rather than by hand. Such uni ed approach w illbe usefulin dealing w ith the electrom agnetic w ave propagation and photonic band gap structures in the so-called left-handed medium photonic crystals, which are arti cialm aterials pattemed $w$ ith a periodicity in negative and positive indices of refraction.
IX.ANTI-SHIELDINGEFFECTANDNEGATIVETEMPERATURE IN LEFTHANDEDMEDIA

In linear $m$ edia the electric and $m$ agnetic polarizations (per unit volum e) is respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=(\quad 1)_{0} E ; \quad M=(\quad 1) H: \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The potential energy density of electric and $m$ agnetic dipoles in electrom agnetic elds reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{e}=P \quad E=\left(\quad{ }_{0} E\right)^{2} ; \quad U_{m}={ }_{0} M \quad H=\left(\quad 1_{0}\right) H^{2} \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $o$ being the perm eability of free space. It is apparent that in left-handed $m$ edia $w$ here both and are negative, the electric and $m$ agnetic polarizations, $P$ and $M$, are opposite to the electric and $m$ agnetic elds, $E$ and $H$, thus in general, the potential energy density, both $U_{e}$ and $U_{m}$, are positive, which di ens from the cases in the conventional $m$ edia (i.e., the right-handed $m$ edia). This, therefore, $m$ eans that lefthanded $m$ edia possess the anti-shielding e ect, which results in $m$ any anom alous electrom agnetic and opticalbehaviors [ $[\bar{G}]$, as is $m$ entioned above.
$M$ ay the electric and $m$ agnetic dipole system $s$ be brought into the state of negative absolute tem perature when the electrom agnetic $w$ ave is propagating in left-handed $m$ edia? $W$ e show that under som e restricted conditions, these dipole system sm ay possess a negative tem perature indeed. T he detailed analysis is given in what follow s :

A ccording to $R$ am sey's claim ['3j] that the essential requirem ent for a them odynam ical system to be capable of a negative tem perature is that the elem ents of the them odynam ical system $m$ ust be in therm odynam ical equilibrium am ong them selves in order that the system can be described by a tem perature at all. For the left-handed m edia, this $m$ ay be understood in the sense: the electric and $m$ agnetic dipole $m$ om ents produced by ALM $W$ s and SRRs should be coupled respectively to each other, and this dipole-dipole interactions must be strong and therefore the them odynam ical equilibrium s betw een them selves may be brought about in a short tim e, which is characterized
by the relaxation time 1. But here both ALM W s and SRRs are m acroscopic elem ents of lefthanded medium (e.g., the length scale of SRRs is mm), which implies that there exists a problem as to whether the concept of therm odynam ical equilibrium is applicable to these $m$ acroscopic elem ents or not. This problem, how ever, is not the sub ject of th is section, and it will be published in a separate paper; furtherm ore, if, for exam ple, we can nd a kind ofm olecular-type SRRs (i.e., the C - or - like [BAL] curved organicm olecule that possesses the delocalized bond and can therefore provide a m olecular electric current induced by m agnetic eld of lightw ave) for manufacturing the lefthanded $m$ edia, then the dipole system of this $m$ edia can be appropriately described by a tem perature [3] [i]. So in our tentative consideration in this paper, we can study the anti-shielding e ect and negative tem perature of left-handed $m$ edia from the phenom enological point of view, ignoring the detailed inform ation about the elem ental atom $s$ which constitute the left-handed $m$ edia.

In order to generate a state of negative absolute tem perature, the follow ing requirem ent for a therm odynam ical system should also be satis ed: the system $m$ ust be them ally isolated from all other system $s$, nam ely, the therm al equilibrium time ( ${ }_{1}$ ) am ong the elem ents of the negative-tem perature system $m$ ust be short com pared to the tim $e$ during which appreciable energy is lost to or gained from other system s [33i]. In lefthanded media, the dipole-lattioe interaction causes heat to ow from the dipole system (negative tem perature) to the lattice system (positive tem perature). If the relaxation tim e(2) during which this interaction brings the dipole-lattice system into therm odynam ical equilibrium is much greater than 1 ; then it $m$ ay be said that the dipole system is them ally isolated from the lattice system, and the dipole system is therefore capable of a negative tem perature. In addition to this condition, there exists another restriction, i.e., the state of negative tem perature should be built up $w$ ithin half period of electrom agnetic wave propagating inside the left-handed $m$ edium, i.e., the relaxation tim $e_{1}$ should be $m$ uch less than $\frac{1}{f} w$ ith $f$ being the frequency of electrom agnetic $w$ ave, which $m$ eans that this therm odynam ical process caused by dipole-w ave interaction can be considered a quasi-stationary process and in consequence the state of negative tem perature of dipole system due to dipole-dipole interaction $m$ ay adiabatically achieve establishm ent in every instantaneous interval during one period of electrom agnetic $w a v e$. If this condition is not satis ed, say, the relaxation tim $e$ is several tim es as long as $\frac{1}{f}$, then the negative tem perature of dipole system s cannot be achieved sinœ the them odynam ical equilibrium am ong dipoles induced by the oscillating electrom agnetic elds E and $\mathrm{H} m$ ay lose its possibility, nam ely, the conœept of them odynam ical equilibrium is not applicable to this non-stationary process; in fact, it should be treated by using non-equilibrium statisticalm echanics. In view of above discussions, we conclude that the principal conditions for the electric and $m$ agnetic dipole system $s$ of lefthanded $m$ edia possessing a negative tem perature $m$ ay be ascribed to the follow ing tw o essential requirem ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad 2 ; \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{f}: \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generally speaking, the rst restriction, $1 \quad 2$, is readily achieved, since in electrom agneticm edia the dipole-dipole interaction are often much stronger than the dipole-lattioe interaction. For exam ple, in som e nuclear spin system $s$ such as the pure crystal of LiF where the negative tem perature was rst realized in experim ents, the relaxation tim e 1 of spin-spin process is approxim ately the period of the Larm or precession of one nucleus in the eld of its neighbor and is of the order of $10{ }^{5}$ second while the relaxation tim e that depends strongly upon the interaction betw een the spin system and the crystal lattice is several m inutes [3]]. In the recent work of designing the structures of $m$ ore e ective left-handed media, use is m ade of the SRRs of high electrom agnetic polarizability very strong dipole-dipole interaction and $m$ ay achieve the them odynam icalequilibrium of dipole system with shorter relaxation tim $e$. If this interaction is rather strong and therefore agrees $w$ ith the second restriction, $1 \frac{1}{f} w$ ith $f$
 negative absolute tem perature.

Since the $m$ ost conspicuous feature of lefthanded $m$ edia $m$ ay be the electrom agnetic anti-shielding e ect, the previous considerations conceming the superlum inal light propagation in the instantly varying electric elds based on the view point of e ective rest $m$ ass can also be applied to the incident electrom agnetic $w$ ave propagating inside left-handed $m$ edia. A $s$ is stated, in left-handed $m$ edia $m$ any electrom agnetic e ects such as D oppler shift, Cherenkov radiation and w ave refraction are inverted com pared $w$ ith those in ordinary right-handed $m$ edia. In the sim ilar fashion, it is believed that this superlum inal light propagation $m$ ay also probably occur in lefthanded $m$ edia. M oreover, in som e electrom agnetic $m$ aterials, there exists another superlum inalpulse propagation that also results from the antishielding e ect $\left[\overline{3}_{2}, \frac{1}{3} \bar{\sigma}_{1}\right]$ (but rather than from the statistical uctuations in negative tem perature), since in th is $m$ edia the designed equivalent perm ittivity and perm eability based on the so-called two-tim e-derivative Lorentz model are sim ultaneously sm aller than the values in free space [35[3G]. Z iolkow skihas studied the superlum inalpulse propagation and consequent superlum inal inform ation exchange in this $m$ edia, and dem onstrated that they do not violate the
principle of causality [II]. W e suggest that all these potential superlum inality e ects of light propagation in antishielding $m$ edia should be further investigated, particularly in experim ents.
X.FREQUENCY-INDEPENDENTEFEECTIVERESTMASSOFPHOTONS IN THE $2 T D L M M O D E L$

$$
\text { A. Extracting frequency-independente ective } m \text { ass from refractive index squared }
$$

In this fram ew ork ofde nition of frequency-independent e ective rest $m$ ass, the photon should be regarded as acted upon by a hypothetical force eld, nam ely, it behaves like a m assive de B roglie particle moving in a force eld. It has been veri ed previously, for the case of de B roglie particle in a force eld, one can also consider its \optical refractive index" n .

In what follow $s$, an approach to frequency-independent e ective $m$ ass extracted from the optical refractive index squared $n^{2}(!)$ is presented. It is assum ed that $n^{2}(!)$ can be rew ritten as the follow ing series expansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}(!)={ }_{k}^{X} \frac{a k}{!k} \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then one can arrive at $n^{2}(!)!^{2}=P_{k}^{\frac{a}{}!^{k}{ }^{2}}$, nam ely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}(!)!^{2}=:::+\frac{a_{3}}{!}+a_{2}+a_{1}!+a_{0}!^{2}+a_{+1}!^{3}+::: \quad: \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Com pared ( $(\overline{10}-\overline{2})$ w ith $(\overline{-2}, \overline{4})$, it is apparent that the frequency-independent e ective rest $m$ ass squared $m{ }_{e}^{2}$ of the photon can $\bar{b} \bar{e}$ read $\circ$ from $n^{2}(!)$, nam ely, the constant term on the right-handed side on $\left(\underline{10} 0_{-1}^{2}\right)$ is related only to $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{m_{e}^{2} c^{4}}{h^{2}}: \tag{10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $2_{!}+T^{2}$. If the e ective potential can be rew ritten in a form $={ }^{P} \quad{ }_{k} \quad!^{k}$ with $k$ being $!$-independent, then one can obtain the expansion coe cients $k$ of. It should be noted that here the term $s$ in $2_{1}+\quad{ }^{2}$ that takes the form $\frac{\text { const: }}{!^{2}}$ has already been involved in $\frac{a^{2}}{!^{2}}$. W e will clarify further this point in what follow s . It is apparently seen that by using the form of series expansion of, the terms $2_{T}$ and $T^{2}$ in Eq.( (8. 4 . ) can be rew ritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-2 \frac{1}{!^{2}} 2_{k \notin 1}^{X}{ }_{k}!^{k 1} \tag{10.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
 to the photon e ective rest $m$ ass, that is, it is di cult to distinguish the e ect of these coe cient factors from the e ective rest $m$ ass. So $w \underset{p}{ }$ can attribute the e ective rest $m$ ass term to the coe cient factors of ! ${ }^{2}$, nam ely, a 2 contains $21_{1}+{ }_{0}^{2}+2 k>0 k \quad k$. A fter the term $\frac{c o n s t: ~ i s ~ e x t r a c t e d ~ f r o m ~}{!^{2}}{ }^{2}(!) 1$, the retained term $R$ equals


To conclude, both the photon e ective rest $m$ ass $m e$ and the e ective potential are de ned in the above for$m$ ulation. In a free space, since $n^{2} \quad 1=0$ and the vacuum contributes no e ective $m$ ass to photons. $H$ ow ever, in a
dispersive $m$ edium, if $n^{2}(!) \quad 1$ is regarded as the contribution of the interaction betw een light and $m$ edium, then the term $\frac{\text { const: }}{!^{2}}$ in $n^{2}(!) 1 \mathrm{w}$ ill result in an ! -independent photon e ective rest $m$ ass. O ther term $s$ retained in $n^{2}(!)$ ) 1 can be ascribed to the e ective potential, which is so de ned that its expansion coe cients katisfy Eq. (10. (1)).
$G$ enerally speaking, the optical refractive index $n^{2}(!)$ is often of com plicated form, particularly for the $m$ etam aterials. So, we cannot extract frequency-independent $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}$ im m ediately from $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ (!). In the follow ing, this problem is resolved by calculating the lim it value of $n^{2}(!)!^{2}$, where! tends to 1 or zero. We rst consider the large frequency behavior of $n^{2}(!)!^{2}$. It follow from (10-2 ${ }^{2}$ ) that $n^{2}(!)!^{2}$ at high frequencies (i.e., ! ! 1 ) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}(!)!^{2}!a_{2}+a_{1}!+a_{0}!^{2}+a_{+1}!^{3}+a_{+2}!^{4}+::: \quad: \tag{10.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his, therefore, $m$ eans that $n^{2}(!)!^{2}$ at high frequencies (! ! 1 ) can be split into the follow ing tw o term $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{n}^{2}(!)!^{2}!\text { (constant term }\right)+ \text { (divergent term }\right): \tag{10.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, we can obtain $m{ }_{e}^{2}$ from the follow ing form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{!!1} n^{2}(!)!^{2} \quad \text { (divergent term }\right)=\frac{m_{e}^{2} c^{4}}{h^{2}}: \tag{10.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the constant term in $n^{2}(!)!^{2}$ can also be extracted by considering the low-frequency (zero-frequency) behavior of $n^{2}(!)$. It is certain that in this case the obtained constant term is the sam e as (10.9). A pparently $n^{2}(!)!^{2}$ at low-frequencies (i.e., ! ! 0) behaves like

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}(!)!^{2}!:::+\frac{a}{!^{3}}+\frac{a 4}{!^{2}}+\frac{a 3^{3}}{!}+a_{2} \tag{10.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum term (:::+ $\frac{a^{5}}{!^{3}}+\frac{a^{4}}{!^{2}}+\frac{a^{3}}{!}$ ) is divergent if! approaches zero. Thus, subtraction of the divergent term from $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ (!) ! ${ }^{2}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{!!} n^{2}(!)!^{2} \quad \text { (divergent term }\right)=\frac{m_{e}^{2} c^{4}}{h^{2}}: \tag{10.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next section, we will calculate the frequency-independent e ective rest $m$ ass of photons in the two time derivative Lorentz $m$ aterial (2T D LM ) m odel [3] by $m$ aking use of the $m$ ethod presented above.

$$
\text { B. Frequency-independente ective rest } m \text { ass of photons in } 2 T \mathrm{~T} \text { LM } \mathrm{m} \text { odel }
$$

O ne of the $m$ etam aterials $m$ odels proposed by $Z$ iolkow skiand A uzanneau is called the two tim e derivative Lorentz
 the perm ittivity and perm eability $m$ aterial responses experim entally obtained, which $m$ ay be seen, for exam ple, in the reference of Sm th et al. $\left.\overline{5}_{1}^{1}\right]$. A ccording to the de nition of the $2 \mathrm{TD} \mathrm{LM} \mathrm{model} ,\mathrm{the} \mathrm{frequency-dom} \mathrm{ain} \mathrm{electric} \mathrm{and}$


$$
\begin{equation*}
e(!)=\frac{!_{p}^{e}{ }^{2} e^{e}+i!!_{p}^{e} e^{2}!^{2}}{!^{2}+i!e+\left(!e_{0}^{e}\right)^{2}} ; \quad m(!)=\frac{!_{p}^{m}{ }^{2}+i!!_{p}^{m}{ }^{m}!^{2} m}{!^{2}+i!{ }^{m}+\left(!!_{0}^{m}\right)^{2}} ; \tag{10.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e ; m$, e;m and e;m represent, respectively, the coupling of the electric ( $m$ agnetic) eld and its rst and second tim e derivatives to the local electric ( $m$ agnetic) dipole $m$ otions. ! ${ }^{\prime},^{e},{ }^{m}$ and!ocan be view ed as the plasm a frequency, dam ping frequency and resonance frequency of the electric ( $m$ agnetic) dipole oscillators, respectively. So, the refractive index squared in the 2 TD LM model reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}^{2}(!)=1+e(!)+{ }^{m}(!)+e^{e}(!)^{m}(!): \tag{10.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to obtain $m_{e}^{2}$ from $n^{2}(!)$, according to the form ulation in the previous section, the high-frequency (! ! 1 ) behavior of ${ }^{e}(!)!^{2}$ should rst be taken into consideration and the result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{e}(!)!^{2}!\quad i!!_{p}^{e}+!^{2} e^{e}: \tag{10.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that $i!!_{p}^{e} e^{e}+!^{2} e$ is the divergent term of $e^{e}(!)!^{2}$ at large frequencies. Subtracting the divergent term from $e(!)!^{2}$, we consider again the high-frequency behavior (! ! 1 ) and obtain another divergent term i! ${ }^{3} \mathrm{e} e$, i.e.,

$$
=\frac{!^{e}(!)!^{2}!_{p}^{e^{2}}{ }^{i!!_{p}^{e} e^{e}+!^{2} e}!^{2}!_{p}^{e} e \text { e }+i!!_{p}^{e}\left(!{ }_{0}^{e}\right)^{2} \text { e } i!^{3} \text { e e }!^{2}\left(!0_{0}^{e}\right)^{2} e}{!^{2}+i!{ }^{e}+\left(!{ }_{0}^{e}\right)^{2}} i!^{3} \text { e e }:
$$

$T$ hus, after subtracting all the divergent term $s$ from ${ }^{e}(!)!^{2}$, we obtain

In the sam e fashion, we obtain
for the $m$ agnetic susceptibility $m$ (!). In what follow $s$ we continue to consider the term $e^{(!)} m$ (!) on the righthanded side of (10 $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$. In the sim ilarm anner, the in nite-frequency lim it of $e^{e}(!)^{m}$ (!)! ${ }^{2}$ is given as follow $s$


$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{m_{e}^{2} C^{4}}{h^{2}}=1+{ }^{m} \prod_{p}^{h} \int_{p}^{2} \quad \text { e } \quad!_{p}^{e} e \text { e }\left(!_{0}^{e}\right)^{2} e+\left(e^{e}\right)^{2} e^{i} \\
& +1+e^{h}!_{p}^{m}{ }^{2} m \quad!_{p}^{m} \quad m \quad m \quad\left(!_{0}^{m}\right)^{2} m+\left({ }^{m}\right)^{2} m^{i} \text { : } \tag{10.19}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hus the $!$-independent e ective rest $m$ ass squared $m_{e}^{2}$ is extracted from $n^{2}$ (!). A pparently, $m{ }_{e}^{2}$ in Eq. (10.19)
 $\tau^{2} \quad 2_{\top}$. For sim plicity, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)=n^{2}(!) \quad 1+\frac{m_{e}^{2} c^{4}}{h^{2}!^{2}} \tag{1020}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then from the equation

$$
\overline{!} \quad 2-\quad(!) ;
$$

one can readily obtain the expression for the hypotheticalpotential eld
(! ) is
$(!)=!\quad \begin{aligned} & h \\ & 1\end{aligned}$


$$
\begin{equation*}
V(!)=h!{ }^{h} \quad p{\overline{1+(!)}^{i}}^{i} \tag{1022}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{h}}$.
$M$ any $m$ etam aterials which have com plicated expressions for electric and $m$ agnetic susceptibilities such as $(\overline{1} 0 \overline{0}, \bar{Z})$ are generally rarely seen in nature and often arises from the arti cialm anufactures. In the follow ing, we w ill consider the photon e ective rest $m$ ass in one of the arti cial com posite $m$ etam aterials, i.e., the left-handed $m$ edium . In general, the dielectric param eter (!) and magnetic perm eability (!) in the isotropic hom ogeneous lefthanded $m$ edium are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)=1 \quad \frac{!_{p}^{2}}{!(!+i)} ; \quad(!)=1 \quad \frac{F!^{2}}{!^{2} \quad!_{0}^{2}+i!} \tag{1023}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the plasm a frequency $!_{p}$ and the $m$ agnetic resonance frequency $!_{0}$ being in the $G H z$ region. and stand for the electric and magnetic dam ping param eters, respectively. Com pared (10 susceptibilities ( $\overline{1} \overline{0}=\overline{1} \overline{2})$ ), one can arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{e}=1 ; \quad!_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{e}}=!_{\mathrm{p}} ; \quad{ }^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{e}=0 ; \quad!{ }_{0}^{e}=0 ; \quad{ }^{\mathrm{e}}=\quad \text {; } \\
& { }^{m}=F ;{ }^{m}={ }^{m}=0 ; \quad!_{0}^{m}=!0 ; \quad{ }^{m}=\quad \text { : } \tag{1024}
\end{align*}
$$

So, it is readily veri ed w ith the help of $(\overline{1} \overline{10} \overline{-1} \overline{\underline{1}})$ that the ! -independente ective restm ass ofphotons inside left-handed m edia is w ritten as follow s

$$
\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{4}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & F \tag{1025}
\end{array}\right)!_{\mathrm{p}}^{2}+\mathrm{F} \quad!_{0}^{2} \quad 2:
$$

It follows that Eq. (10 $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ is a restriction on the electrom agnetic param eters $F ;!_{p} ;!_{0}$; and $F$ in the electric
 electrom agnetic param eters in the literature into Eq. (10 25) show s that this restriction condition is satis ed. For instance, in Ruppin's work [3T1], $F$; ! $;$ ! $!_{0}$; ; and $F$ were chosen $F=0: 56,!p=10: 0 \mathrm{GHz},!_{0}=4: 0 \mathrm{GHz}, \quad=0: 03!\mathrm{p}$,
$=0: 03!0$, which indicates that the inequality $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \quad 0$ is satis ed.

## C. Som e applications of frequency-independente ective rest mass of photons

In this section we consider the applications of the concept of frequency-independent e ective rest $m$ ass of photons to som e optical and electrom agnetic phenom ena and e ects.
(i) A s w as stated above, since the frequency-independent e ective rest $m$ ass of photons in electrom agnetic $m$ edia is related close to the coupling param eters of the electric ( $m$ agnetic) elds to the electric ( $m$ agnetic) dipole system $s$, and the dam ping and resonance frequency of the electric ( $m$ agnetic) dipole oscillators, it contains the inform ation on the interaction betw een light and $m$ aterials. It is show $n$ that in certain frequency ranges this e ective rest $m$ ass govems the propagation behavior of electrom agnetic $w$ ave in $m$ edia, and by using this concept one can therefore consider the w ave propagation som ew hat conveniently. For exam ple, in the lefthanded m edia whose perm ittivity and perm eability are expressed by (10-2 frequency tends to zero. This, therefore, $m$ eans that at low frequencies the light propagation is govemed $m$ ainly by the frequency-independent e ective rest $m$ ass of photons.

Likew ise, in the case of the potential eld $V(!)$ being vanishing where the frequency squared of electrom agnetic wave is

the photon can be regarded as a \free" $m$ assive particle $w$ ith the rest $m$ ass being expressed in (10-2 -2 ). In the frequency region near, sine the photon is a \quasi-free" particle, the light propagation behavior and properties is rather sim ple com pared w th other frequency ranges in which $V(!)$ is nonvanishing or large. For the lefthanded $m$ edia, the relevant frequency param eters ! o and ! p of which is about GHZ, the frequency in Eq. $\left(\frac{1}{0} 0-\overline{1}\right)$ that leads to $V()=0$ is just in the frequency band ( $\mathrm{GH} Z$ ) where the perm ittivity and perm eability are sim ultaneously negative. It follow s that when the e ects and phenom ena associated w ith negative index of refraction occur in left-handed media, the w ave propagation (e.g., scattering properties) and dispersion properties of the light are not as com plicated as those in other frequency ranges, since in the negative-index frequency band, the optical refractive index squared sim ulates nearly the plasm a behavior, particularly in the region near.
(ii) H istorically, possibility of a light pulse w ith speed greater than that (c) in a vacuum has been extensively investigated by $m$ any authors superlum inal inform ation exchange in the 2 TD LM m edia, and dem onstrated that they do not violate the principle of causality $\left[\begin{array}{l}11 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$. He show ed that in the $2 T \mathrm{D}$ LM m odel, both the phase and group velocities, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!!} v(!) \quad \frac{c}{j 1+\quad j} \tag{10.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

of light at high frequencies will exceed the speed (c) of light in a vacuum, so long as $2 \ll 0$, where denotes the coupling coe cients of the second tim e derivatives of electric ( $m$ agnetic) elds to the local electric ( $m$ agnetic) dipole m otions [1]1].

A though in the 2TD LM m odel the m edium will exhibit a possibility of superlum inal speeds of wave propagation, the photon velocity (rather than group velocity of light) is by no meansplarger than $c$. This $m$ ay be explained as follow s: according to Eq. (1022), the kinetic energy of a photon is $E=h!\overline{1+(!)}$. If the light frequency ! tends
 photon $w$ th a frequency-independent rest $m$ ass approaches $c$, i.e., the particle (photon) velocity $v=\frac{p}{E}!C$. Thus we show that in the arti cial com posite $m$ etam aterials such as 2TD LM media the photon velocity does not exceed the speed of light in a vacuum, no $m$ atter whether the phase (and group) velocity of light inside it is a superlum inal speed or not.
(iii) The frequency-independent rest $m$ ass of photons is useful in discussing the plus and $m$ inus signs of phase shifts in light scattering inside $m$ edia. T he phase shifts and its signs contain the inform ation on the scattering betw een $w$ ave and potentials. A ccording to Eq. (102 2 2 ), if (!) is positive (negative), then the interaction energy V (!) betw een light and $m$ edia is negative (positive). For th is reason, it is possible for us to determ ine the signs (plus or minus) of phase shifts in light scattering process by calculating (!) and the frequency-independent rest $m$ ass $m e . N$ ow w ith the developm ent in design and fabrication of left-handed materials, the zero-index ( $0 ; 0$ ) m aterials receive attention in $m$ aterials science and applied electrom agnetism [44]. For this kind of $m$ edia $w$ th the optical refractive index $n$, from $E q$ (102 $)$ it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)^{\prime} \frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{4}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2}!^{2}} 1 ; \tag{1028}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., (!) is approxim ately equal to $\frac{m^{2}{ }^{2} f^{4} f^{4}}{\mathrm{~h}^{4}!^{2}} \quad 1$ and we can determ ine the sign by com paring the light frequency $w$ ith the photon $e$ ective rest $m$ ass $m e$. This, therefore, $m$ eans that if the frequency ! is larger than $\frac{m_{\text {eff }} c^{2}}{h}$, then $<0$ and consequently the phase shift of light wave due to scattering acquires a $m$ inus sign; and if the light frequency ! is less than $\frac{m_{\text {eff }} \mathrm{C}^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}}$, then $>0$ and consequently the phase shift acquires a plus sign.

##  M ED IA

The extinction properties of a sphere (single-layered) w ith negative perm ittivity and perm eability is investigated by Ruppin. Since recently $W$ ang and A sher developed a novelm ethod to fabricate nanocom posite $S$ il 2 spheres ( 100 nm ) containing hom ogeneously dispersed Ag quantum dots ( 25 nm ) " $[4 \overline{4} \mathbf{\$}]$, which m ay has potential applications to design and fabrication of photonic crystals, it is believed that the absonption and transm ittance of double-layered sphere deserves consideration. In this paper, both the M ie coe cients and the electrom agnetic eld distributions in the tw o-layered sphere containing left-handed $m$ edia irradiated by a planar electrom agnetic wave are presented.

## A. M ethods

It is well know $n$ that in the absence of electrom agnetic sources, the characteristic vectors such as E, B, D, H and Hertz vector in the isotropic hom ogeneous m edia agree w ith the sam e di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { rr C r r } \quad \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{f} \mathrm{Ck}=0 \text { : } \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The three independent vector solutions to the above equation is [4] [-]

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=r ; M=r \quad a ; N=\frac{1}{k} r \quad M \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith a being a constant vector, where the scalar function satis es $r^{2}+k^{2}=0$. It is veri ed that the vector solutions $M, N$ and $L$ possess the follow ing $m$ athem atical properties

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=L \quad a=\frac{1}{k} r \quad N ; \quad L \quad M=0 ; \quad r \quad L=0 ; \quad r \quad L \underline{2} r=k^{2} ; \quad r \quad M=0 ; \quad r \quad N=0: \tag{11.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $M=m \exp (i!t)$ and $N=n \exp (i!t)$, the vector $w a v e$ functions $M$ and $N$ can be expressed in term $s$ of the follow ing spherical vector $w$ ave functions [4] []

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{e_{m n}}=\frac{m}{\sin } z_{n}(k r) P_{n}^{m}\left(\cos \int_{\cos }^{\sin m} \quad i_{2} \quad z_{n}(k r) \frac{@ P_{n}^{m}(\cos )^{c o s}}{@} \sin _{m} \quad i_{3} ;\right. \\
& n_{e m n}=\frac{n(n+1)}{k r} z_{n}(k r) P_{n}^{m}\left(\cos \int_{\sin }^{\cos m} \quad i_{1}+\frac{1}{k r} \frac{\varrho}{@ r}\left[r z_{n}(k r)\right] \frac{@}{@} P_{n}^{m}(\cos )_{\sin }^{\cos m} i_{2}\right. \\
& \frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{kr} \sin } \frac{\varrho}{@ r}\left[r z_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{kr}) \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}} \text { (cos } \int_{\cos }^{\sin m} i_{3}:\right. \tag{11.4}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follow s we treat the $M$ ie coe cients of double-layered sphere irradiated by a plane wave.

## 1. De nitions

$W$ e consider a double-layered sphere $w$ ith interior radius $a_{1}$ and extemal radius $a_{2}$ having relative perm ittivity (perm eability) $1\left(\begin{array}{l}1\end{array}\right)$ and $2\left(2_{2}\right)$, respectively, placed in a m edium having the relative perm ittivity o and perm eability 0 . Suppose that the double-layered sphere irradiated by the follow ing plane wave w th the electric am plitude $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ along the $\hat{z}$-direction of C artesian coordinate system [4] 4

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{i}=a_{x} E_{0} \exp \left(i k_{0} z \quad i!t\right)=E_{0} \exp (i!t)_{n=1}^{X^{B}} i^{n} \frac{2 n+1}{n(n+1)} m_{o 1 n}^{(1)} \quad i n_{e 1 n}^{(1)} ; \\
& H_{i}=a_{y} \frac{k_{0}}{0!} E_{0} \exp \left(i k_{0} z \quad i!t\right)=\frac{k_{0}}{0!} E_{0} \exp (i!t)_{n=1}^{X^{1}} i^{n} \frac{2 n+1}{n(n+1)} m_{e 1 n}^{(1)}+i n_{o 1 n}^{(1)} ; \tag{11.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$w$ ith the prim es denoting di erentiation $w$ th respect to their argum ents and $k_{0}$ being $p=\frac{!}{c}$. In the region $r<a_{1}$, the wave function is expanded as the follow ing series

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{t}=E_{0} \exp (i!t)_{n=1}^{X^{1}} i^{n} \frac{2 n+1}{n(n+1)} \quad a_{n}^{t} m{ }_{01 n}^{(1)} \quad i \int_{n}^{t} n_{e 1 n}^{(1)} \quad ; \\
& H_{t}=\frac{k_{1}}{1!} E_{0} \exp (i!t)_{n=1}^{X^{1}} i^{n} \frac{2 n+1}{n(n+1)} \quad b_{n}^{t} m e_{e 1 n}^{(1)}+i a_{n}^{t} n_{01 n}^{(1)}: \tag{11.7}
\end{align*}
$$

 $\mathrm{p} \frac{1}{1} \frac{!}{\mathrm{c}}$. In the region $\mathrm{a}_{1}<\mathrm{r}<\mathrm{a}_{2}$, one m ay expand the electrom agnetic w ave am plitude as

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{m}=\frac{k_{2}}{2!} E_{0} \exp (i!t)_{n=1}^{X^{A}} i^{n} \frac{2 n+1}{n(n+1)} \quad b_{n}^{m} m e_{e 1 n}^{(1)}+i a_{n}^{m} n_{o 1 n}^{(1)}+j_{n}^{m} m{ }_{e 1 n}^{(3)}+i a_{n}^{m} n_{o 1 n}^{(3)} \text { : } \tag{11.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that here in order to obtain $m{ }_{e 1 n}^{(3)}$ and $n_{o 1 n}^{(3)}$, the spherical Bessel functions $j_{n}$ in the spherical vector function $m{ }_{\text {eln }}^{(1)}$ and $n_{o 1 n}^{(1)}$ is replaced by the $H$ ankel functions $h_{n}^{(1)}$, nam ely, the explicit expressions for $m$ e1n and $n_{01 n}^{(3)}$ can also be obtained from ( 11.0 $h_{n}^{(1)}$. A pparently, here the propagation constant in $m_{-}^{(1)}$ and $n_{e 1 n}^{(1)}$ should be replaced $w$ th $k_{2}$, i.e., $\frac{p}{2} \frac{!}{c}$.

In the region $r>a_{2}$ the re ected $w$ ave is $w$ ritten 4

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{r}=E_{0} \exp (i!t)^{X^{B}} i^{n} \frac{2 n+1}{n(n+1)} \quad a_{n}^{r} m{ }_{o 1 n}^{(3)} \quad i f_{1}^{f} n_{e 1 n}^{(3)} ; \\
& H_{r}=\frac{k_{0}}{0!} E_{0} \exp (i!t)_{n=1}^{X^{1}} i^{n} \frac{2 n+1}{n(n+1)} \quad b_{n}^{r} m e_{e 1 n}^{(3)}+i a_{n}^{r} n_{o 1 n}^{(3)} ; \tag{11.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where the propagation vector in $m{ }_{e 1 n}^{(3)}$ and $n_{o 1 n}^{(3)}$ is replaced by $k_{0}$, i.e., $p \overline{0} \frac{!}{c}$.

## 2. Boundary conditions

At the boundary $r=a_{1}$, the boundary condition is given as follow $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{1} \quad E_{m}=i_{1} \quad E_{t} ; \quad i_{1} \quad H_{m}=i_{1} \quad H_{t} ; \tag{11.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the unit vectors of $C$ artesian coordinate system agree $w$ ith $i_{1} \quad i_{i}=i_{3}, i_{2} \quad i=i_{1}, i_{3} \quad \dot{i}=i_{2}$. It follow from in $E_{m}=i_{1} \quad E_{t}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}^{m} \dot{j}_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)+a_{n}^{m} h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)=a_{n}^{t} \dot{j}_{n}\left(\underset{i_{0}}{N_{1}} 1\right) ; \\
& \left.\left.\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathbb{N}_{2} 1 \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)\right]^{0}+\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2} 1 \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)=\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathbb{N}_{1} 1 \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} 11\right)\right]^{0} ; \tag{11.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }_{1}=\mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{1}}{\mathrm{k}_{0}}$.
In the sim ilarm anner, it follow $s$ from $i_{1} \quad H_{m}=i_{1} \quad H_{t}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& N_{2} 1 \quad{ }^{h} b_{n}^{m} j_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)+b_{n}^{m} h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)^{i}=N_{1} \quad 2 b_{n}^{t} j_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} \quad 1\right) \text { : } \tag{11.12}
\end{align*}
$$

At the boundary $r=a_{2}$, in the same fashion, it follow $s$ from $i_{1} \quad\left(E_{i}+E_{r}\right)=i_{1} \quad E_{m}$ that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{j}_{n}(2)+a_{n}^{r} h_{n}^{(1)}\left(2_{2}\right)=a_{n}^{m} j_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 2\right)+a_{n}^{m} h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} h^{2}\right) ; \\
\left.N_{2}\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0}+N_{2} b_{n}^{r}{ }_{2} h_{n}^{(1)}(2)=b_{n}^{m} \mathbb{N}_{2} 2 j_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 2\right)\right]^{0}+b_{n}^{m} \quad N_{2} \quad{ }_{2} h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 2_{2}\right) ; \tag{11.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

where ${ }_{2}=\mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{0}}$.
In the $m$ eanwhile, it follow $s$ from $i_{1} \quad\left(H_{i}+H_{r}\right)=i_{1} \quad H_{m}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.2 a_{n}^{r}{ }_{2} h_{n}^{(1)}(2)^{i_{0}}+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & j_{n}(2)
\end{array}\right]^{0}=0 \quad a_{n}^{m} \mathbb{N}_{2} 2 j_{n}\left(N_{2} 2\right)\right]^{0}+a_{n}^{m} N_{2} \quad h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 2^{\prime}\right)^{i_{0}} \text {; } \\
& 2 b_{n}^{r} h_{n}^{(1)}(2)+2 j_{n}(2)=N_{2} 0 b_{n}^{m} j_{n}\left(N_{2} 2\right)+N_{2} \quad 0 b_{n}^{m} h_{n}^{(1)}\left(N_{2} 2\right): \tag{11.14}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3. Calculation of M ie coe cients

$M$ ie coe cient $a_{n}^{r}$ :
A ccording to the above boundary conditions, one can arrive at the follow ing $m$ atrix equation

| 0 |
| :--- |
| $B$ |
| $B$ |
| $B$ |
| $B$ |
| $B$ |
| $B$ |
| $B$ |



For convenience, the $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix on the left handed side of

$$
A=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & 0 & 1 \\
& B_{12} & A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & 0  \tag{11.16}\\
C & C \\
0 & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} & A \\
& 0 & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44}
\end{array}
$$

whose determ inant is

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det} \mathrm{A}= & \mathrm{A}_{11} \mathrm{~A}_{22} \mathrm{~A}_{33} \mathrm{~A}_{44} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{~A}_{22} \mathrm{~A}_{34} \mathrm{~A}_{43} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{~A}_{32} \mathrm{~A}_{23} \mathrm{~A}_{44}+\mathrm{A}_{11} \mathrm{~A}_{42} \mathrm{~A}_{23} \mathrm{~A}_{34} \\
& A_{21} \mathrm{~A}_{12} \mathrm{~A}_{33} \mathrm{~A}_{44}+\mathrm{A}_{21} \mathrm{~A}_{12} \mathrm{~A}_{34} \mathrm{~A}_{43}+\mathrm{A}_{21} \mathrm{~A}_{32} \mathrm{~A}_{13} \mathrm{~A}_{44} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{21} \mathrm{~A}_{42} \mathrm{~A}_{13} \mathrm{~A}_{34}: \tag{11.17}
\end{align*}
$$

So, the $M$ ie coe cient $a{ }_{n}^{r}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}^{r}=\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{r}}{\operatorname{det} A} \tag{11.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
A_{r}=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & 0 & 1 \\
B_{0} & A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & 0 & C  \tag{11.19}\\
\mathbb{B} & 0 & A_{32} & A_{33} & j_{n}(2) & A \\
& 0 & A_{42} & A_{43} & 2\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0}
\end{array}
$$

whose determ inant is

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det} A_{r}= & A_{11} A_{22} A_{33} 2\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0} \quad A_{11} A_{22} j_{n}(2) A_{43} \quad A_{11} A_{32} A_{23} \quad 2\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0}+A_{11} A_{42} A_{23} j_{n}(2) \\
& A_{21} A_{12} A_{33} 2\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0}+A_{21} A_{12} j_{n}(2) A_{43}+A_{21} A_{32} A_{13} \quad 2\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0} \quad A_{21} A_{42} A_{13} j_{n}\left({ }_{2}\right): \tag{1120}
\end{align*}
$$

$M$ ie coe cient $b_{n}^{r}$ :
A ccording to the above boundary conditions, one can arrive at the follow ing $m$ atrix equation 0

For convenience, the $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix on the left handed side of $(1)$

$$
\mathrm{B}=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \mathrm{~B}_{11} & \mathrm{~B}_{12} & \mathrm{~B}_{13} & 0 & \mathrm{I} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{21} & \mathrm{~B}_{21} & \mathrm{~B}_{22} & \mathrm{~B}_{23} & 0  \tag{11.22}\\
\mathrm{C} & \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~B} & \mathrm{~B}_{32} & \mathrm{~B}_{33} & \mathrm{~B}_{34} \\
& \mathrm{~A} & \mathrm{~B}_{42} & \mathrm{~B}_{43} & \mathrm{~B}_{44}
\end{array}
$$

whose determ inant is

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{detB}= & \mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{22} \mathrm{~B}_{33} \mathrm{~B}_{44} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{22} \mathrm{~B}_{34} \mathrm{~B}_{43} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{32} \mathrm{~B}_{23} \mathrm{~B}_{44}+\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{~B}_{23} \mathrm{~B}_{34} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{~B}_{33} \mathrm{~B}_{44}+\mathrm{B}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{~B}_{34} \mathrm{~B}_{43}+\mathrm{B}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{32} \mathrm{~B}_{13} \mathrm{~B}_{44} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{~B}_{13} \mathrm{~B}_{34}: \tag{1123}
\end{align*}
$$

So, the $M$ ie coe cient $b_{n}^{r}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{\operatorname{detB}_{\mathrm{r}}}{\operatorname{det}} \tag{1124}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & & &  \tag{1125}\\
\mathrm{~B}_{11} & \mathrm{~B}_{12} & \mathrm{~B}_{13} & 0 & 1 \\
\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{B}} & \mathrm{~B}_{21} & \mathrm{~B}_{22} & \mathrm{~B}_{23} & 0 & \mathrm{C} \\
0 & \mathrm{~B}_{32} & \mathrm{~B}_{33} & \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[2 \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)\right]^{0} \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~A} \\
& 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{42} & \mathrm{~B}_{43} & 2 \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}(2)
\end{array}
$$

whose determ inant is

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{r}}= & \mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{22} \mathrm{~B}_{33} 2 j_{\mathrm{n}}(2) \quad \mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[2 j_{\mathrm{n}}\left(2_{2}\right)\right]^{0} \mathrm{~B}_{43} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{32} \mathrm{~B}_{23} 2 j_{n}(2)+\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{~B}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0} \\
& \mathrm{~B}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{~B}_{33} 2 \dot{j}_{\mathrm{n}}(2)+\mathrm{B}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[2 j_{\mathrm{n}}(2)\right]^{0} \mathrm{~B}_{43}+\mathrm{B}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{32} \mathrm{~B}_{13} 2 j_{\mathrm{n}}(2) \quad \mathrm{B}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{42} \mathrm{~B}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0}: \tag{1126}
\end{align*}
$$

B. R educed to the case of single-layered sphere

In order to see whether the above $M$ ie coe cients in the case ofdouble-layered sphere is correct or not, we consider the reduction problem of double-layered case to the single-layered one when the follow ing reduction conditions are satis ed: $A_{11}=A_{12}, A_{21}=A_{22} N_{1}=N_{2}, 1=2,1=2$.

By lengthy calculation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det} \mathrm{A}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{A}_{11} \mathrm{~A}_{23} & \mathrm{~A}_{21} \mathrm{~A}_{13}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\mathrm{A}_{42} \mathrm{~A}_{34}}{\mathrm{~A}_{32} \mathrm{~A}_{44}} \tag{1127}
\end{align*}
$$

and

Thus
which is just the $M$ ie coe cient $a_{n}^{r}$ of single-layered sphere [4].].
In the same fashion, when the reduction conditions $\mathrm{B}_{11}=\mathrm{B}_{12}, \mathrm{~B}_{21}=\mathrm{B}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{2}, 1=2,1=2$ are satis ed, one can arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{detB}=\left(\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{23} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{13}\right)\left(\mathrm{B}_{42} \mathrm{~B}_{34} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{32} \mathrm{~B}_{44}\right) \tag{11.30}
\end{align*}
$$

and

So,
which is just the $M$ ie coe cient $b_{n}^{r}$ of single-layered sphere $\left.{ }^{[14} \bar{H}_{1}\right]$.
$T$ his, therefore, $m$ eans that the $M$ ie coe cients of double-layered sphere presented here is right.

$$
\begin{gathered}
C . A l l \text { the } M \text { ie coe cients inside the double-layered sphere } \\
\qquad 1 . M \text { ie coe cients } a_{n}^{t}, a_{n}^{m} \text { and } a_{n}^{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

The $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $A_{t}$ is
whose determ inant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{t}}=\dot{j}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{A}_{12} \mathrm{~A}_{23} \mathrm{~A}_{44} \quad \dot{\operatorname{in}}(2) \mathrm{A}_{22} \mathrm{~A}_{13} \mathrm{~A}_{44} \quad 2\left[2 \dot{\mathrm{j}}_{\mathrm{n}}(2)\right]^{0} \mathrm{~A}_{12} \mathrm{~A}_{23} \mathrm{~A}_{34}+\quad 2\left[2 \mathrm{j}_{n}(2)\right]^{0} \mathrm{~A}_{22} \mathrm{~A}_{13} \mathrm{~A}_{34}: \tag{11.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}^{t}=\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{t}}{\operatorname{det} A}: \tag{11.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is

$$
A_{m}=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & A_{11} & 0 & A_{13} & 0 & 1  \tag{11.36}\\
B_{21} & A_{21} & 0 & A_{23} & 0 & C \\
@ & 0 & j_{n}(2) & A_{33} & A_{34} A \\
& 0 & 2\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0} & A_{43} & A_{44}
\end{array}
$$

whose determ inant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} A_{m}=A_{11} \dot{j}_{n}(2) A_{23} A_{44}+A_{11} \quad 2\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0} A_{23} A_{34}+A_{21} j_{n}(2) A_{13} A_{44} \quad A_{21} \quad 2\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0} A_{13} A_{34}: \tag{11.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}^{m}=\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{m}}{\operatorname{det} A}: \tag{11.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is
whose determ inant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{A}_{11} \mathrm{~A}_{22} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{A}_{44} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{11} \mathrm{~A}_{22} \mathrm{~A}_{34} \quad 2\left[2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n}}(2)\right]^{0} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{21} \mathrm{~A}_{12} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{A}_{44}+\mathrm{A}_{21} \mathrm{~A}_{12} \mathrm{~A}_{34} \quad 2\left[2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n}}(2)\right]^{0}: \tag{11.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{n}^{m}=\frac{\operatorname{det} A_{m}}{\operatorname{det} A}: \tag{11.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is readily veri ed that under the reduction conditions $A_{11}=A_{12}, A_{21}=A_{22}, N_{1}=N_{2}, 1=2,1=2$, one can arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} A_{t}=\operatorname{det} A_{m} ; \quad a_{n}^{t}=a_{n}^{m} ; \quad \operatorname{det} A_{m}=0 ; \quad a_{n}^{m}=0 ; \tag{11.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ eans that the above $M$ ie coe cient can be reduced to the those of single-layered sphere.

## 2. $M$ ie aoe cients $b_{n}^{t}$, $b_{h}^{m}$ and $b_{n}^{m}$

The $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is

$$
B_{t}=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & B_{12} & B_{13} & 0 & 1  \tag{11.43}\\
B_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{B}} & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{22} & \mathrm{~B}_{23} & 0 & \mathrm{C} \\
\left.\mathrm{~N}_{2} j_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)\right]^{0} & \mathrm{~B}_{32} & \mathrm{~B}_{33} & \mathrm{~B}_{34} & A
\end{array}
$$

whose determ inant is

$$
\operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{N}_{2}\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{~B}_{23} \mathrm{~B}_{44} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[2 j_{n}(2)\right]^{0} \mathrm{~B}_{22} \mathrm{~B}_{13} \mathrm{~B}_{44} \quad 2 j_{n}\left({ }_{2}\right) \mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{~B}_{23} \mathrm{~B}_{34}+{ }_{2} j_{n}\left({ }_{2}\right) \mathrm{B}_{22} \mathrm{~B}_{13} \mathrm{~B}_{34}: \text { (11.44) }
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\operatorname{det}^{t}}{\operatorname{det} B}: \tag{11.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \mathrm{~B}_{11} & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{13} & 0 & 1  \tag{11.46}\\
\mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{C}} & \mathrm{~B}_{21} & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{23} & 0 & \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{C} & 0 & \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[2 \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{l}_{2}\right)\right]^{0} & \mathrm{~B}_{33} & \mathrm{~B}_{34} \\
& 0 & 2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n}}(2) & \mathrm{B}_{43} & \mathrm{~B}_{44}
\end{array}
$$

whose determ inant is

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n}^{m}=\frac{\operatorname{detB}_{m}}{\operatorname{det} B}: \tag{11.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \mathrm{~B}_{11} & \mathrm{~B}_{12} & 0 & 0 & 1  \tag{11.49}\\
\mathrm{~B}_{12} & \mathrm{~B}_{21} & \mathrm{~B}_{22} & 0 & 0 & \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{C} & 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{32} & \mathrm{~N}_{2} & {\left[{ }_{2} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}\left({ }_{2}\right)\right]^{0}} & \mathrm{~B}_{34} \mathrm{C} \\
& 0 & \mathrm{~B}_{42} & \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{2}\right) & \mathrm{B}_{44}
\end{array}
$$

whose determ inant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[2 \mathrm{j}_{n}(2)\right]^{0} \mathrm{~B}_{44} \quad \mathrm{~B}_{11} \mathrm{~B}_{22} \mathrm{~B}_{34} 2 \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}}(2) \quad \mathrm{B}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left[2 \mathrm{j}_{n}(2)\right]^{0} \mathrm{~B}_{44}+\mathrm{B}_{21} \mathrm{~B}_{12} \mathrm{~B}_{34} \quad 2 \mathrm{j}_{n}(2): \tag{11.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}=\frac{\operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{m}}}{\operatorname{det} B}: \tag{11.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is readily veri ed that under the reduction conditions $B_{11}=B_{12}, B_{21}=B_{22}, N_{1}=N_{2}, 1=2, \quad 1=2$, one can arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{detB}_{t}=\operatorname{detB}_{m} ; b_{n}^{t}=b_{n}^{m} ; \quad \operatorname{detB}_{m}=0 ; \quad b_{n}^{m}=0 ; \tag{11.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ eans that the above $M$ ie coe cient can be reduced to the those of single-layered sphere.

In this subsection we brie y discuss several cases wh lefthanded $m$ edia involved. (i) If the follow ing conditions $\left.h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1_{1}\right)=0, h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1_{1}\right)^{1_{0}}=0, h_{n}^{(1)}\left(N_{2}\right)_{2}\right)=h_{1}^{(1)}\left(2_{2}\right)$, $h_{n}^{(1)}\left(N_{2}\right)^{i_{0}}=$ $h \quad i_{0}$ $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{L}^{1}\right), \mathrm{N}_{2}=2=2=1$ and $0=+1$ are satis ed, then it is easily veri ed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} A=0 ; \quad \operatorname{det} B=0 \text { and } a_{n}^{r}=1 ; b_{n}^{r}=1: \tag{11.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus in this case the extinction cross section of the two-layered sphere containing left-handed $m$ edia is.rather large. (ii) If the follow ing conditions $h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)=0, h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\begin{array}{lll}N_{2} & 1\end{array}\right)^{1}=0, h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 2\right)=j_{n}(2), h_{n}^{(1)}\left(N_{2}\right)^{N_{0}}=\left[j_{n}(2)\right]^{0}$, $\mathrm{N}_{2}=2_{2}={ }_{2}=1$ and $0=+1$ are satis ed, then it is easily veri ed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} A_{r}=0 ; \quad \operatorname{det} B_{r}=0 \text { and } a_{n}^{r}=0 ; b_{n}^{r}=0: \tag{11.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus in this case the extinction cross section of the two-layered sphere containing left-handed media is negligibly sm all.
(iii) The case with $\mathrm{N}_{1}=1=1=1, \mathrm{~N}_{2}=2=2=0, \mathrm{~N}_{0}=0=0=+1$ is of physical interest, which deserves consideration by using the $M$ ie coe cients presented above.
$B$ ased on the calculation ofM ie coe cients, one can treat the scattering problem ofdouble-layered sphere irradiated by a plane w ave. T he scattering and absorption properties of double-layered sphere containing lefthanded m edia can thus be discussed in detail, which are now under consideration and will be sulbm itted elsew here for the publication.
XII.THREEKINDSOFCOMPACTTHIN SUBWAVELENGTH CAVITY RESONATORSMADEOF LEFTHANDED MEDIA:RECTANGULAR,CYLINDRICAL, SPHERICAL

Engheta suggested that a slab ofm etam aterialw ith negative electric perm itivity and magnetic perm eability (and hence negative optical refractive index) can act as a phase com pensator/con jugator and, therefore, by com bining such a slab w ith another slab fabricated from a conventional (ordinary) dielectric m aterialone can, in principle, have a 1-D cavity resonator whose dispersion relation $m$ ay not depend on the sum of thicknesses of the interior materials lling this cavity, but instead it depends on the ratio of these thicknesses. N am ely, one can, in principle, conceptualize a $1-D$ com pact, subw avelength, thin cavity resonator $w$ ith the total thickness far less than the conventional $\left.\overline{2}[4]_{1}\right]$.

Engheta's idea for the $1-D$ com pact, subw avelength, thin cavity resonator is the tw o-layer rectangular structure (the left layer of w hich is assum ed to be a conventional lossless dielectric m aterialw ith perm ittivity and perm eability being positive num bers, and the right layer is taken to be a lossless m etam aterial w ith negative perm ittivity and perm eability) sandw iched betw een the two re ectors (e.g., tw o perfectly conducting plates) _(7). For the pattem of the $1-D$ subw avelength cavity resonator readers $m$ ay be referred to the gures of reference [471. Engheta show ed that $w$ ith the appropriate choice of the ratio of the thicknesses $d_{1}$ to $d_{2}$, the phase acquired by the incident $w$ ave at the left (entrance) interface to be the sam e as the phase at the right (exit) interface, essentially $w$ ith no constraint on the total thickness of the structure. T he m echanism of this e ect may be understood as follow $s$ : as the planar electrom agnetic $w$ ave exits the rst slab, it enters the rectangular slab of m etam aterial and nally it leaves this second slab. In the nst slab, the direction of the P oynting vector is parallel to that of phase velocity, and in the second slab, how ever, these tw o vectors are antiparallelw ith each other. T hus the w ave vector $k_{2}$ is therefore in the opposite direction of the $w$ ave vector $k_{1}$. So the total phase di erence betw een the front and back faces of this tw o-layer rectangular structure is $k_{1} d_{1} \quad j_{2} \dot{j}_{2}\left[\bar{H}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. Therefore, $w$ hatever phase di erence is developed by traversing the rst rectangular slab, it can be decreased and even cancelled by traversing the second slab. If the ratio of $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ is chosen to be $\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}=\frac{k_{2} j}{k_{1}}$, then the total phase di erence betw een the front and back faces of this two-layer structure becom es zero (i.e., the total phase di erence is not $2 n$, but instead of zero) [ $\left.4 \eta_{1}\right]$. As far as the properties and phenom ena in the subw avelength cavity resonators is concemed, Tretyakov et al. investigated the evanescent modes stored in cavity resonators $w$ ith backw ard-w ave slabs [48]

## A.A rectangular slab $1-D$ th in subw avelength cavity resonator

To consider the $1-D$ w ave propagation in a com pact, subw avelength, thin cavity resonator, we rst take into account a slab cavity of three-layer structure, w here the regions 1 and 2 are located on the left-and right-handed sides, and
the plasm on-type $m$ edium (or a superconductor $m$ aterial) is between the regions 1 and 2 . $T$ he above three-layer structure is assum ed to be sandw idhed betw een the tw o re ectors (or tw o perfectly conducting plates) [471]. A ssum e that the wave vector of the electrom agnetic wave is parallel to the third com ponent of $C$ artesian coordinate. T he electric and $m$ agnetic elds in the region 1 (w th the perm ittivity being 1 and the perm eability being 1 ) are written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{x 1}=E_{01} \sin \left(n_{1} k_{0} z\right) ; \quad H_{y 1}=\frac{n_{1} k_{0}}{i!} E_{01} \cos \left(n_{1} k_{0} z\right) \quad(0 \quad z \quad \Phi) ; \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{0}$ stands for the $w$ ave vector of the electrom agnetic $w$ ave under consideration in the free space, i.e., $\mathrm{k}_{0}=\frac{!}{\mathrm{c}}$, and in the region 2 , where $d_{1}+a \quad z \quad d+d_{2}+a$, the electric and $m$ agnetic elds are of the form
$w$ here $d_{1}, d_{2}$ and a denote the thicknesses of the regions 1,2 and the plasm on (or superconducting) region, respectively. $T$ he subscripts 1 and 2 in the present paper denote the physical quantities in the regions 1 and 2 . N ote that here the optical refractive indioes $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are de ned to be $n_{1}=P \frac{1}{11}$ and $n_{2}=P \frac{2}{2}$. A though in the present paper we $w$ ill consider the $w$ ave propagation in the negative refractive index $m$ edia, the choige of the signs for $n_{1}$ and $n_{2} w$ ill be irrelevant in the nal results. So, we choose the plus signs for $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ no $m$ atter whether the $m$ aterials 1 and 2 are of left-handedness or not. The choige of the solutions presented in ( $\overline{1} 2 \overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ and $(\underline{1} 2 \overline{2} \overline{2})$ guarantees the satisfaction of the boundary conditions at the perfectly conducting plates at $z=0$ and $\bar{z}=d_{1}+d_{2}+a$.
$T$ he electric and $m$ agnetic elds in the plasm on (or superconducting) region (w ith the resonant frequency being ! $p$ ) take the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{x s}=A \exp (z)+B \exp (z) ; \quad H_{y s}=\overline{i!}[A \exp (z) \quad B \exp (z)] ; \tag{12.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript $s$ represents the quantities in the plasm on (or superconducting) region, and $=\frac{p \frac{!_{p}^{2}!!^{2}}{c}}{c}$.
To satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x} 1} \dot{\underline{z}}=\mathrm{d}_{1}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{xs}} \dot{\underline{z}}=\mathrm{d}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{y} 1} \dot{\underline{z}}=\mathrm{d}_{1}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ys}} \dot{\dot{z}}=\mathrm{d}_{1} \tag{12.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the interface ( $z=d_{1}$ ) betw een the region 1 and the plasm on region, we should have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{01} \sin \left(n_{1} k_{0} d_{1}\right)=A \exp \left(d_{1}\right)+B \exp (\quad d) ; \quad \frac{n_{1} k_{0}}{1} E_{01} \cos \left(n_{1} k_{0} d_{1}\right)=A \exp \left(d_{1}\right) \quad B \exp (\quad \text { d): } \tag{12.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s that the param eters A and B in Eq. (12-3) are given as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\frac{1}{2} \exp (\quad \text { d }) E_{01} \sin \left(n_{1} k_{0} d_{1}\right)+\frac{n_{1} k_{0}}{1} \cos \left(n_{1} k_{0} d_{1}\right) ; \\
& B=\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(d_{1}\right) E_{01} \frac{n_{1} k_{0}}{1} \cos \left(n_{1} k_{0} d_{1}\right) \quad \sin \left(n_{1} k_{0} d_{1}\right): \tag{12.6}
\end{align*}
$$

In the sim ilar fashion, to satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{x 2} \dot{\underline{i}=d_{1}+a}=E_{x s} \dot{\underline{z}}=d_{1}+a ; \quad H_{y} 2 \dot{\underline{z}}=d_{1}+a=H_{y s} \dot{\underline{i}}=d_{1}+a \tag{12.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the interface $\left(z=d_{1}+a\right)$ betw een the region 2 and the plasm on region, one should arrive at

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{02} \sin \left(n_{2} k_{0} d_{2}\right)=A \exp \left[\left(d_{1}+a\right)\right]+B \exp [\quad(d+a)] ; \\
& \frac{n_{2} k_{0}}{2} E_{02} \cos \left(n_{2} k_{0} d_{2}\right)=A \exp \left[\left(d_{1}+a\right)\right] \quad B \exp [(d+a)]: \tag{12.8}
\end{align*}
$$

It follow s that the param eters A and B in Eq. (12-3) are given as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=\frac{1}{2} E_{02} \exp [\quad(d+a)] \sin \left(n_{2} k_{0} d_{2}\right) \quad \frac{n_{2} k_{0}}{2} \cos \left(n_{2} k_{0} d_{2}\right) ; \\
& B=\frac{1}{2} \exp [\quad(d+a)] E_{02} \frac{n_{2} k_{0}}{2} \cos \left(n_{2} k_{0} d_{2}\right)+\sin \left(n_{2} k_{0} d_{2}\right): \tag{12.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, according to Eq. (12. $\overline{-1})$ and (12-9.9), we can obtain the follow ing conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{01} \sin \left(n_{1} k_{0} d_{1}\right)+\frac{n_{1} k_{0}}{1} \cos \left(n_{1} k_{0} d_{1}\right)+E_{02} \exp \left(\quad \text { a) } \sin \left(n_{2} k_{0} d_{2}\right) \quad \frac{n_{2} k_{0}}{2} \cos \left(n_{2} k_{0} d_{2}\right)=0 ;\right. \\
& E_{01} \frac{n_{1} k_{0}}{1} \cos \left(n_{1} k_{0} d_{1}\right) \quad \sin \left(n_{1} k_{0} d_{1}\right) \quad E_{02} \exp \left(\text { a) } \frac{n_{2} k_{0}}{2} \cos \left(n_{2} k_{0} d_{2}\right)+\sin \left(n_{2} k_{0} d_{2}\right)=0:\right.
\end{align*}
$$

In order to have a nontrivial solution, i.e., to have $E_{01} \in 0$ and $E_{02} \in 0$, the determ inant in $E q .(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \bar{q})$ m ust van ish . Thus we obtain the follow ing restriction condition

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}
a
\end{array}\right)+\exp (\quad \text { a) }] \frac{\mathrm{n}_{1}}{1} \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{n}_{2}}{2} \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{1}\right)\right.} \\
& +\frac{-}{\mathrm{k}_{0}}\left[\exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a) } & \exp ( \\
\text { a) }
\end{array}\right] \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{1}\right) \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{0}^{2}}{2}=0\right. \tag{12.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for the electrom agnetic $w$ ave in the three-layer-structure rectangular cavity.
If the thickness, $a$, of the plasm on region is vanishing (i.e., there exists no plasm on region), then the restriction equation (12.19) is sim pli ed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1}}{1} \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{n}_{2}}{2} \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{1}\right)=0: \tag{12.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follow s we will dem onstrate why the introduction of left-handed media $w$ ill give rise to the novel design of the com pact thin cavity resonator. If the $m$ aterial in region 1 is a regular medium while the one in region 2 is the left-handed $m$ edium, it follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{1}\right)}{\tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right)}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1} \quad 2}{\mathrm{n}_{2} \quad 1}: \tag{12.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to E ngheta $\left[4 \overline{4}_{1}^{-}\right]$, this relation does not show any constraint on the sum of thicknesses of $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$. It rather deals w ith the ratio of tangent of these thicknesses (w th multiplicative constants). If we assum e that!, $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are chosen such that the sm all-argum ent approxim ation can be used for the tangent function, the above relation can be sim pli ed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}_{1}}{\mathrm{~d}_{2}}, \quad \frac{2}{1}: \tag{12.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his relation show seven $m$ ore clearly how $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ should be related in order to have a nontriviall-D solution w ith frequency ! for this cavity. So conceptually, what is constrained here is $\frac{d_{1}}{d_{2}}$, not $d_{1}+d_{2}$. Therefore, in principle, one can have a thin subw avelength cavity resonator for a given frequency [4],].

So, one of the most exciting ideas is the possibility to design the so-called com pact thin subw avelength cavity resonators. It was show $n$ that a pair of plane waves travelling in the system of two planar slabs positioned betw een tw o $m$ etal planes can satisfy the boundary conditions on the walls and on the interface betw een two slabs even for arbitrarily thin layers, provided that one of the slabs has negative $m$ aterial param eters [4]].

In the follow ing let us take account of tw o interesting cases:
(i) If $a!0$, then the restriction equation $[1 \underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ is simpli ed to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1}}{1} \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{n}_{2}}{2} \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{1}\right)+\frac{2^{2} \mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{k}_{0}} \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{1}\right) \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{0}^{2}}{2}=0 \text {; } \tag{12.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

Ifboth $n_{1} k_{0} d_{1}$ and $n_{2} k_{0} d_{2}$ are very $s m$ all, then one can arrive at $d_{1} \stackrel{1}{=}, d_{2}!\frac{1}{2}$ from Eq. $(\underline{1} \overline{2}-\overline{1}-\overline{\underline{G}})$, which m eans that the thicknesses $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ depend upon the plasm on param eter .


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1}}{1} \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{2}\right)=0 ; \quad \frac{\mathrm{n}_{2}}{2} \tan \left(\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{1}\right)=0 ; \tag{12.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

nam ely, regions 1 and 2 are isolated from each other., which is a result fam iliar to us.
In conclusion, as was shown by Engheta, it is possible that when one of the slab has a negative perm eability, electrom agnetic wave in two adjacent slabs bounded by tw o m etal walls can satisfy the boundary conditions even if the distance betw een the tw o walls is $m$ uch sm aller than the w avelength [4] $\bar{T}_{1}$ ].
B.A cylindrical th in subw avelength cavity resonator

Here we will consider the restriction equation for a cylindrical cavity to be a thin subw avelength cavity resonator containing left-handed $m$ edia. It is well know $n$ that the $H$ elm holtz equation $r^{2} E+k^{2} E=0$ in an axially sym $m$ etric cylindrical cavity ( $w$ th the $2-D$ polar coordinates and ') can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& r^{2} \mathrm{E} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E} \quad \frac{2}{2} \frac{@ \mathrm{E},}{\varrho \prime}+\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{E}=0 ; \\
& r^{2} \mathrm{E}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E},+\frac{2}{2} \frac{@ \mathrm{E}}{\varrho^{\prime}}+\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{E},=0 ; \\
& r^{2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{k}^{2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{z}}=0: \tag{12.18}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne can obtain the electrom agnetic eld distribution, E, E, and H, H, in the above axially sym m etric cylindrical cavity via E q. ( 12.1 the cylindrical cavity. If the electrom agnetic elds are tim eharm onic, i.e., E ( ; ; ; z; $)=E(; \prime) \exp [i(h z \quad k c t)]$ and $H\left(;^{\prime} ; \mathbf{z} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{H}\left(;^{\prime}\right) \exp [\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{hz} \quad \mathrm{kct})]$, then it follows from M axw ell equations that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{ikcE}=\frac{1}{-} \frac{1}{-} \frac{\mathrm{H}_{z}}{@ \prime} \quad \mathrm{ihH}, \quad ; \\
& \mathrm{ikcE}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{C}} \text { ihH } \quad \frac{@ \mathrm{H}_{z}}{@} ; \\
& \mathrm{ikcE}_{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{@ \mathrm{H},}{@}+\frac{1}{-H}, \quad \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{\mathrm{H}^{\prime}}{@^{\prime}} ; \tag{12.19}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{ikcH}=\frac{1}{-} \frac{1}{@_{\mathrm{E}}} \mathrm{@}^{\prime} \quad \text { ihE ; } \\
& \mathrm{ikcH},=\frac{1}{-} \text { ihE } \frac{@ \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{z}}}{@} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{ikcH}_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{1}{@} \frac{@ \mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{a}}+\frac{1}{-E}, \quad \frac{1}{@ \mathrm{E}} \mathrm{C}^{\prime} \quad:
\end{align*}
$$

Thus it is dem onstrated that the electrom agnetic elds E, E, and $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}$, can be expressed in term S of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{i}{k^{2} h^{2}} h \frac{@ E_{z}}{@}+\frac{k^{2}}{\varrho} \frac{@ H_{z}}{@ \prime} \quad ; \quad E^{\prime}=\frac{i}{k^{2} h^{2}} h \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{E_{z}}{@ r} \quad \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{\varrho} \frac{@ H_{z}}{@} ; \tag{12.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{i}{k^{2} h^{2}} h \frac{@ H_{z}}{@} \quad \frac{k^{2}}{\varrho} \frac{@ E_{z}}{@ \prime} \quad ; \quad H,=\frac{i}{k^{2} h^{2}} h \frac{1 @ H_{z}}{@ \prime}+\frac{k^{2}}{\varrho} \frac{@ E_{z}}{@} \quad: \tag{1222}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an illustrative example, in what follow $s$, we will consider only the $T M$ wave (i.e., $H_{z}=0$ ) in the axially sym $m$ etric double-layer cylindrical thin subw avelength cavity resonator. Assum e that the perm ittivity, per$m$ eability and radius of $m$ edia in the interior and exterior layers of this double-layer cavity resonator are 1 ,
${ }_{1}, R_{1}$ and ${ }_{2},{ }_{2}, R_{2}$, respectively. A ccording to the $H$ elm holtz equation ( $w$ th the boundary $m$ aterial being the perfectly conducting medium, $\mathrm{E}_{2 \mathrm{z}} \dot{\mathbf{k}}_{2}=0$ ), we can obtain $\mathrm{E}_{1 \mathrm{z}}=J_{m} \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{k}_{1}^{2} \quad h_{1}^{2} \quad \operatorname{cosm} \quad \text {, }} \sin \mathrm{m}^{\prime}$, and
 the electrom agnetic elds in both interior and exterior layers are of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{ih}_{1}}{\overline{\mathrm{k}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~h}_{1}^{2}} J_{m}^{0}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{k}_{1}^{2}} \mathrm{~h}_{1}^{2}
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{cosm}{ }^{\prime}, \\
\sin m^{\prime},
\end{array} ; \\
& E_{1^{\prime}}=\frac{i m h_{1}}{\left(k_{1}^{2} h_{1}^{2}\right)} J_{m} \quad q \overline{k_{1}^{2} \quad h_{1}^{2}} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\sin m{ }^{\prime}, \\
\operatorname{cosm}{ }^{\prime},
\end{array} ; \\
& \mathrm{E}_{1 \mathrm{z}}=J_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{q} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~h}_{1}^{2}}{\begin{array}{l}
\cos m, \\
\sin \mathrm{~m}^{\prime},
\end{array} ;}  \tag{1223}\\
& H_{1}=\frac{i m k_{1}^{2}}{\left(k_{1}^{2} h_{1}^{2}\right)} \frac{1}{1} J_{m} \quad q \overline{k_{1}^{2} \quad h_{1}^{2}} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\sin m^{\prime}, \\
\operatorname{cosm}{ }^{\prime},
\end{array} ; \\
& H_{1^{\prime}}=\frac{i k_{1}^{2}}{\overline{k_{1}^{2}} h_{1}^{2}} \frac{1}{1} J_{m}^{0} \quad \begin{array}{l}
q \\
k_{1}^{2} \quad h_{1}^{2}
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{cosm}{ }^{\prime}, \\
\sin m^{\prime},
\end{array} ; \\
& H_{1 z}=0 \text {; } \tag{1224}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{ih}_{2}}{\overline{k_{2}^{2} \mathrm{~h}_{2}^{2}}} \mathrm{~A} J_{m}^{0} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{k}_{2}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{2}^{2}}+\mathrm{BN}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{k}_{2}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{2}^{2}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{cosm}, \\
\sin \mathrm{m}^{\prime},
\end{array} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{2 \mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{A} J_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{k}_{2}^{2} \quad h_{2}^{2}}+B \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{k}_{2}^{2} \quad h_{2}^{2}} \begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{cosm}{ }^{\prime}, \\
\sin m^{\prime},
\end{array} ; \tag{12.25}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{2 z}=0 \text {; } \tag{1226}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $J_{m}$ and $N_{m}$ denote $B$ essel functions and $N$ orm an functions, respectively, and $k_{1}=P \overline{1} \frac{!}{c}, k_{2}=\frac{p}{2} 2 \frac{!}{c}$.
$B y$ using the boundary conditions $E_{2 z} j=R_{2}=0, E_{2}, j=R_{2}=0$ (due to the perfectly conducting $m$ edium at the boundary $=R_{2}$ ), one can determ ine the relationship betw een $A$ and $B$, i.e.,

By using the boundary conditions $\mathrm{E}_{1 \mathrm{z}} \dot{k}_{1}=\mathrm{E}_{2 \mathrm{z}} \dot{\mathrm{k}}_{1}, \mathrm{E}_{1}, \dot{\boldsymbol{k}}_{1}=\mathrm{E}_{2}, \dot{\mathrm{k}}_{1}$, one can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{m} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{k}_{1}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1}=A J_{m} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{k}_{2}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1}}+\mathrm{BN} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{\mathrm{k}_{2}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{1}} ; ~ ; ~} \\
& \frac{h_{1}}{k_{1}^{2} \quad h_{1}^{2}} J_{m} \quad q \overline{k_{1}^{2} \quad h_{1}^{2}} R_{1}=\frac{h_{2}}{k_{2}^{2} \quad h_{1}^{2}} A J_{m} \quad q \overline{k_{2}^{2} \quad h_{2}^{2}} R_{1}+B N_{m} \quad q \overline{k_{2}^{2} \quad h_{2}^{2}} R_{1} \quad: \tag{1228}
\end{align*}
$$

The second equation in Eq. $(12-2)$ is em ployed to determ ine the relation betw een $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$. $W$ ith the help of the


$$
\begin{equation*}
P \frac{n_{1}}{k_{1}^{2} h_{1}^{2}} \frac{1}{1} J_{m}^{0} \quad q \overline{k_{1}^{2} \quad h_{1}^{2}} R_{1}=P \frac{n_{2}}{k_{2}^{2} h_{2}^{2}} \frac{1}{2} A J_{m}^{0} \quad q \overline{k_{2}^{2} h_{2}^{2} R_{1}}+B N_{m}^{0} \quad q \overline{k_{2}^{2} \quad h_{2}^{2}} R_{1} \quad: \tag{1229}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om bination of the rst equation in Eq. (12 $2 \overline{2} \overline{2})$ and ( $12-2 \overline{2} \overline{2})$, we have
which $m$ ay be view ed as the restriction condition for the cylindrical cavity resonator. If we choose a typical case $w$ ith $h_{1}=h_{2}=0$, then the obtained restriction condition is sim pli ed to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{1} \frac{J_{m}^{0}\left(k_{1} R_{1}\right)}{J_{m}}\left(k_{1} R_{1}\right) \quad=\frac{1}{2} \frac{A J_{m}^{0}\left(k_{2} R_{1}\right)+B N_{m}^{0}\left(k_{2} R_{1}\right)}{A J_{m}\left(k_{2} R_{1}\right)+B N_{m}\left(k_{2} R_{1}\right)}: \tag{12.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of the relation $(\underline{1} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{-})$ into $(\overline{1} 2 \overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ y $)$ ields

Eq. (12 $2 \overline{2}-2 \overline{2})$ is just the sim pli ed restriction condition for the cylindrical cavity resonator.
Sim ilar to the analysis presented in Sec. I, it is readily shown that by introducing the left-handed m edia such cylindrical cavity will also act as a com pact thin subw avelength resonator. T he discussion on this sub ject w ill not be perform ed further in the present paper.

## C.A sphericalthin subw avelength cavity resonator

Here we will consider brie $y$ the restriction equation for a spherical cavity to be a thin subwavelength cavity resonator containing lefthanded $m$ edia. Assum $e$ that the perm ittivity, perm eability and radius of $m$ edia in the interior and exterior layers of this double-layer cavity resonator are $1,1,1$ and $2,2,2$, respectively, and that the boundary $m$ edium at $=2$ is the perfectly conducting $m$ aterial. $N$ ote that here the functions, sym bols and quantities are adopted in the paper [49-1], e.g., $\mathrm{N}_{1}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ denote the refractive indioes of interio and exterior layers, and $j_{n}$ and $h_{n}^{(1)}$ are the spherical B essel and $H$ ankel functions.

A ccording to the paper [4] [4], it follow s from the boundary condition at $=2$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}^{m} j_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 2\right)+a_{n}^{m} h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 2\right)=0 ;  \tag{12.33}\\
& \left.b_{n}^{m} \mathbb{N}_{2} 2 j_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 2\right)\right]^{0}+b_{n}^{m} \quad N_{2} \quad h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 2\right)^{( }=0:
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he roles of Eqs. ( $12-\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ is to determ ine the $M$ ie coe cients $a_{n}^{m}$ and $b_{n}^{m}$ in term $s$ of $a_{n}^{m}$ and $b_{n}^{m}$.
At the boundary $=1$, it follow $s$ from the boundary condition $i_{1} E_{m}=i_{1} \quad E_{t}$ that one can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}^{m} j_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)+a_{n}^{m} h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)=a_{n}^{t} j_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} 1\right) ; \tag{12.34}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he role of the rst and second equations in Eq. (12 $\left.\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{Z_{1}} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is to obtain the expressions for the $M$ ie coe cients $a{ }_{n}^{t}$ and $b_{n}^{t}$ in term s of $a_{n}^{m}$ and $b_{n}^{m}$, respectively.

In the sam em anner, at the boundary $={ }_{1}$, it follow from the boundary condition $i_{1} \quad H_{m}=i_{1} \quad H_{t}$ that one can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.1 \quad a_{n}^{m} \mathbb{N}_{2} 1 j_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)\right]^{0}+a_{n}^{m} N_{2} \quad 1 h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)^{i_{0}}={ }_{2} a_{n}^{t} \mathbb{N}_{1} 1 j_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} 1\right)\right]^{0} ; \\
& \mathrm{h} \quad \text { i } \\
& N_{2} 1 b_{n}^{m} \dot{j}_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)+b_{n}^{m} h_{n}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{N}_{2} 1\right)=N_{1} \quad 2 b_{n}^{t} \dot{j}_{n}\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} \quad 1\right): \tag{12.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Insertion of the expressions for the $M$ ie coe cients $a_{n}^{m}$ and $b_{n}^{m}$ and $a_{n}^{t}$ and $b_{n}^{t}$ in term $s$ of $a_{n}^{m}$ and $b_{n}^{m}$ obtained by Eqs. ( 12
to have a nontrivial solutions of $a_{n}^{m}$ and $b_{n}^{m}$, the determ inant in Eqs. (12 $\left.\overline{12} \bar{j}\right)$ m ust vanish. Thus we will obtain a restriction condition for the spherical cavity resonator.

By analogy w th the analysis presented in Sec. I, it is easily veri ed that by involving the left-handed m edia such spherical cavity will also serve as a com pact thin subw avelength resonator. In a word, the possibility to satisfy the boundary conditions for $s m$ all distances betw een $m$ etal plates is based on the fact that plane $w$ aves in Veselago $m$ edia are backw ard waves, $m$ eaning that the phase shift due to propagation in a usualslab can be com pensated by a negative phase shift inside a backw ard-w ave slab [4] [4, W e w ill not discuss further this topic in this paper.
XIII. A QUANTUM MECHANICALPROBLEM : CONE-ANGLE-INDEPENDENT GEOMETRIC PHASESAND PHOTON FREQUENCY SH IFT IN BIAXIALLYAN ISOTROPIC LEFTHANDED MEDIA

Berry's discovery $\left.{ }^{[50} 0_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ that there exists a topological (geom etric) phase (in addition to the dynam ical phase that is fam iliar to physicists) in quantum $m$ echanical wavefunction of an adiabatic process opens up new opportunities for investigating the global and topological properties of quantum evolution. Geom etric phases thereby gained particular and considerable attention of $m$ any researchers in various elds such as quantum m echanics [5ind, di erential geom etry $\left.{ }^{[52}\right]$, gravity theory $[5$
 authors concentrated on their special attention on the potential applications of geom etric phases to the geom etric (topological) quantum com putation, quantum decoherence and related topics [621, 6 global and topological feature, geom etric phases depends upon the solid angle subtended at the param eter space of H am iltonian. For exam ple, the adiabatic cyclic geom etric phases of photons propagating inside a noncoplanar optical ber is $2 \quad(1 \quad \cos )$ w ith denoting the photon helicity eigenvalue, where $2(1 \quad \cos )$ is just the expression for the solid angle (w ith 2 being the cone angle) subtended by a curve traced by the direction of wave vector of light, at the center of photon $m$ om entum space that is independent of cone angle, by taking into account the light propagation in a curved ber m ade of biaxially anisotropic left-handed $m$ edia.

It should be noted that $m$ ost of the recent theoretical w orks discussed $m$ ainly the characteristics of electrom agnetic $w$ ave propagation through isotropic left-handed $m$ edia, but up to now, the left-handed $m$ edia that have been prepared successfully experim entally are actually anisotropic in nature, and it $m$ ay be very di cult to prepare an isotropic lefthanded $m$ edium $\left.{ }^{[5]} 44_{1}^{4}\right]$. H ere we consider the wave propagation inside such biaxially anisotropic lefthanded $m$ edium the perm ittivity and perm eability tensors of which are w ritten as follow s

$$
(\wedge)_{i k}=@ \begin{array}{cccc}
0 & & 0 & 0^{1}  \tag{13.1}\\
0 & & 0^{A} ;
\end{array} \quad(\wedge)_{i k}=@ \begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}
$$

If the propagation vector of tim e-harm on ic electrom agnetic wave is $k=(0 ; 0 ; k)$, then according to the $M$ axw ellian Equations, one can arrive at $k \quad E=\left(\mathrm{kE}_{2} ; \mathrm{kE}_{1} ; 0\right),\left[(\wedge)_{\mathrm{ik}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]=\left(\mathrm{H}_{1} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} ; 0\right)$. It follow s from the Faraday's electrom agnetic induction law $\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{E}=\frac{\varrho \mathrm{B}}{\varrho \mathrm{Ct}}$ that $\mathrm{H}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{kE} 2}{0!}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{kE}_{1}}{0!}$. Thus, the third com ponent ofP oynting vector of this tim e-harm onic wave is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{3}=\mathrm{E}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{2} \quad \mathrm{E}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{k}}{0!}\left(\mathrm{E}_{1}^{2} \quad \mathrm{E}_{2}^{2}\right) ; \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

which im plies that the $P$ oynting vectors corresponding to the $E_{1}-$ and $E_{2}-$ elds are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{(1)}=\frac{E_{1}^{2}}{0!} k ; \quad S^{(2)}=\frac{E_{2}^{2}}{0!} k ; \tag{13.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. It is apparently seen from (13-3 $\mathbf{3}_{-1}^{-1}$ that the direction of wave vector $S^{(2)}$ is opposite to that of $S^{(1)}$. This, therefore, $m$ eans that if $>0$, then for the $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ eld, this biaxially anisotropic $m$ edium characterized by ( $133_{-1}^{-1}$ ) is like a right-handed $m$ aterial (regular $m$ aterial) whereas for the $E_{2}$ eld, it serves as a left-handed one.

In view of above discussions, it is concluded that inside the above biaxially anisotropic $m$ edium, the wave vectors of $E_{1}-$ and $E_{2}-$ elds of propagating planar w ave are apposite to each other. C onsider a hypothetical optical ber that is $m$ ade of this biaxially anisotropic lefthanded $m$ edium, inside which the $w$ ave vector of $E_{1}$ eld propagating is assum ed to be $k(t)=k\left(\sin \quad c o s^{\prime} ; \sin \sin \prime ; c o s\right)$. Ifboth and are nonvanishing, then this ber is noncoplanarly curved and in consequence the geom etric phases of light $w$ ill arise. It is readily veri ed from (13.
vector of $\mathrm{E}_{2}-$ eld is $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{k}\left(\sin ^{0} \cos ^{\prime}{ }^{0} ; \sin ^{0} \sin ^{\prime}{ }^{0} ; \cos ^{0}\right) \mathrm{w}$ ith ${ }^{0}=\quad$ and ${ }^{0}={ }^{0}+\quad$. N ote that for the ${ }_{R}$ latter case (i.e., $\mathrm{E}_{2}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{eld}$ ), the expression for the tim e-dependent coe cient in photon geom etric phases changes from
 these results willbe usefill in calculating the cone angle independent geom etric phases of circularly polarized light in biaxially anisotropic left-handed $m$ edia.
$N$ ote that such biaxially anisotropic medium can be fabricated by current technology we will consider the geom etric phases inside a noncoplanarly curved optical ber $m$ ade of the biaxially anisotropic left-handed $m$ edia $m$ entioned above. The tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation that govems the tim e developm ent of the photon wavefunction in a curved ber (com posed of regular isotropic $m$ edia) is written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@ j ; k(t) ; n i}{@ t}=\frac{k(t) k(t)}{k^{2}} \quad S j ; k(t) ; n i \tag{13.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $S$ being the spin operator of photon elds. By making use of the Lew is $R$ iesenfeld invariant theory and the invarciant-related unitary transform ation form ulation $\left[\begin{array}{l}6 \\ 6\end{array}, 4\right]$

 noncyclic nonadiabatic geom etric phase is given as follow s
$N$ ote here that the photon states in i corresponding to the right-and left-handed polarized light with the photon occupation numbers being $n_{R}$ and $n_{L}$ are respectively de ned to be $\eta_{R} i=\frac{\left(a_{R}^{y}\right)^{n_{R}}}{\overline{n_{R}!}} j j_{R} i$ and $\eta_{L} i=\frac{\left(a_{L}^{y}\right)^{n_{L}}}{\overline{n_{I}!}} j_{L} i$. The creation operators of left-and right-handed circularly polarized light are constructed in term $s$ of $a_{1}^{y}$ and $a_{2}^{y}$, i.e., $a_{L}^{Y}=\frac{a_{1}^{y}+i a_{2}^{y}}{\overline{2}}$ and $a_{R}^{Y}=\frac{a_{11}^{y} i_{\overline{2}}^{y}}{\overline{2}}$, respectively $\left[\overline{[ } \underline{0}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, where $a_{1}^{y}$ and $a_{2}^{y}$ are the creation operators corresponding to the tw $o$ mutually perpendicular polarization vectors, which are also orthogonal to the wave vector $k$ of the tim e harm onic electrom agnetic w ave.
$N$ ote, how ever, that according to E q. ( $(13-\overline{-}-\overline{1})$, in the above biaxially anisotropic lefthanded $m$ edia the w ave vector of light corresponding to the tw o m utually penpendicular polarization vectors are antiparallel to each other. Since the $w$ ave vector of $E_{1}$ - eld in such anisotropic left-handed $m$ edia is antiparallelto that of $E_{2}$ - eld, we should rst calculate
 expressions for geom etric phases of left-and right-handed circularly polarized light in th is peculiarbiaxially anisotropic

 geom etric phases of right-handed polarized photons corresponding to $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ - and $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ - elds are
respectively, and their sum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.R(t)=R_{R}^{(1)}(t)+\sum_{R}^{(2)}(t)=n_{R}^{Z} t_{0}^{\prime} \text { _(t) ( } t^{0}\right) d t^{0} \text {; } \tag{13.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is independent of the cone angle (t) of photon $m$ om entum $k_{p}$ space.

 lefthanded polarized photons corresponding to $E_{1}-$ and $E_{2}{ }^{-}$elds are
respectively, and their sum is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t)={ }_{L}^{(1)}(t)+{ }_{L}^{(2)}(t)=n_{L_{L}}^{Z^{t}} \quad-(t)\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0} \text {; } \tag{13.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also independent of the cone angle (t).
$T$ hus the total geom etric phases of left-and right-handed polarized photons is given by

$$
{ }_{\text {tot }}^{(g)}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{t})=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{R}} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)^{\mathrm{Z}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}},(\mathrm{t})\left(\mathrm{t}^{0}\right) d t^{0} ; ~ \tag{13.10}
\end{array}\right.
$$

which di ers from the total geom etric phases of circularly light in the regular curved ber only by a cone angle of photon $m$ om entum $k$ space.
 geom etric nature of the pathw ay (expressed by and ' ( $t$ ) ) along which quantum system s evolve. H ow ever, in our case where the light propagating inside a biaxially anisotropic lefthanded media, the geom etric phase ( 13.10 related only to the precessional frequency '_ of w ave propagation on the helicoid inside the curved ber.

Consider the Chiao-w u adiabatic cyclic case in which the rotating angular frequency (i.e., the precessional fre-
 the pitch length and the radius of the helix, and $c$ is the speed of light. It follows from $[1] . \overline{1} \overline{1})$ that the photon frequency shift, is , nam ely, for the right-handed circularly polarized photon, the frequency shift is (due to
$=+1$ ), while for the lefthanded circularly polarized photon, is (due to $=1$ ). G enerally speaking, one can obtain the photon frequency shift via som e nonlinear opticale ects such as R am an e ect and left-right coupling of circularly polarized light in biaxially gyrotropic lefthanded m edia [7] H ow ever, here we propose a so-called geom etric schem e to achieve photon frequency shiff, since the precessional frequency depends on both the pitch length $d$ and the radius a of the ber helix. This, therefore, $m$ eans that this photon frequency shift is controllable by $m$ anipulating the spatial shape and helix radius of the curved optical ber.

For the present, physicists' controlover the behavior of photons has spread to include the photon num ber, phase [Clid] and polarization [7] ] of light wave. If we could engineer all the degrees of freedom of photons, our technology w ould bene $t$. A lready, the ber com m unication, which sim ply guides light, has revolutionized the telecom m unications industry. A pparently, it is of essential signi cance to control and utilize the degrees of freedom of photons (photon num ber, polarization, helicity, geom etric phase, etc.) in inform ation science and technology. In this paper, we suggest a geom etric schem $e$, which can control the photon frequency shift by altering the curvature (and pitch length and helix radius) of the noncoplamarly curved optical ber.

## XIV.EXTRAPHASESOFLIGHTAT THE INTERFACESBETWEEN LEFT-AND RIGHT-HANDED M ED IA

In this section, we will consider the e ects of light appearing at the interfaces betw een left-and right-handed $m$ edia. In order to treat this problem conveniently, we study the wave propagation inside an optical ber which is periodically $m$ odulated by altering regular and negative $m$ edia. A though it is doubtful whether such periodically m odulated bers could be designed and realized or not in experim ents at the optical scale, it could be argued that the work presented here can be considered only a speculative one. B ut the $m$ ethod and results obtained via the use of this optical ber system com posed by such sequences of right-and left-handed $m$ aterials can also be applied to the light propagation at the interfaces betw een left-and right-handed $m$ edia in arbitrary geom etric shapes of optical $m$ aterials. In this periodicaloptical ber, helicity inversion (or the transitions betw een helicity states) of photonsm ay be easily caused by the interaction of light eld with $m$ edia near both sides of the interfaces betw een LR H m aterials. Since photon helicity inversion at the interfaces $m$ entioned above is a tim e-dependent process, this new geom etric phase arises during the light propagates through the interfaces (in the follow ing wewill call them the LR H interfaces) betw een left-and right-handed $m$ edia, where the anom alous refraction occurs when the incident lightw ave travels to the LR H interfaces. we think that, in the literature it gets less attention than it deserves. In what follow swe calculate the photon wavefunction and corresponding extra phases (including the geom etric phases) in this physical process, and em phasize that we should attach im portance to this geom etric phases when considering the wave propagation near the LRH interfaces.

## A. M odel H am iltonian

W e now treat the helicity reversalproblem of light w ave adjacent to the interfaces of left-and right-handed $m$ edia. For convenience, let us consider a hypothetical optical ber that is fabricated periodically from both left-and right-
handed (LRH) m edia with the optical refractive indices being $n$ and $n$, respectively. Thus the wave vector of photon $m$ oving along the ber is respectively $n \frac{!}{c}$ in lefthanded ( LH ) section and $n \frac{!}{\mathrm{c}}$ in right-handed (RH) section, where ! and c respectively denote the frequency and the speed of light in a vacuum. For sim plicity, we assum e that the periodical length, $b$, of $L H$ is equal to that of $R H$ in the ber. If the eigenvalue of photon helicity is in righthanded sections, then, according to the de nition of helicity, $h=\frac{k}{\mathrm{k} j_{j}^{j}} \mathrm{~J} w$ ith $J$ denoting the totalangularm om entum of the photon, the eigenvalue of helicity acquires a minus sign in lefthanded sections. W e assum e that at $t=0$ the light propagates in the right-handed section and the initial eigenvalue of photon helicity is . So, in the wave propagation inside the LRH-periodicaloptical ber, the helicity eigenvalue ofh is then ( $)^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{with} \mathrm{m}=\frac{\mathrm{ct}}{\mathrm{nb}}$, where $\frac{c t}{\mathrm{nb}}$ represents the integer part of $\frac{\mathrm{ct}}{\mathrm{nb}}$. It is clearly seen that ( $)^{m}$ stands for the switching on and o of the helicity reversal, i.e., the positive and negative value of ( $)^{m}$ altemate in di erent tim e intervals. This, therefore, $m$ eans that if $2 \mathrm{k} \frac{\mathrm{nb}}{\mathrm{c}}<\mathrm{t} \quad(2 \mathrm{k}+1) \frac{\mathrm{nb}}{\mathrm{c}}$, then $\left(f^{m}=+1\right.$, and if $(2 \mathrm{k}+1) \frac{\mathrm{nb}}{\mathrm{c}}<\mathrm{t} \quad(2 \mathrm{k}+2) \frac{\mathrm{nb}}{\mathrm{c}}$, then ()$^{m}=1$, where $k$ is zero or a positive integer. It follow s that the incidence of lightw ave on the LRH interfaces in the ber gives rise to the transitions betw een the photon helicity states ( $j+i$ and $j$ i). This enables us to construct a tim e-dependent e ective H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \quad(t)=\frac{1}{2}!(t)\left(S_{+}+S\right) \tag{14.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term $s$ of $j+i$ and $j i$ to describes this instantaneous transition process of helicity states at the LR H interfaces, where $S_{+}=j+i h \quad j ; S=j$ iht $j ; S_{3}=\frac{1}{2}$ (j+ih+j jih $j$ ) satisfying the follow ing $S U(2)$ Lie algebraic com $m$ uting relations $\left[S_{+} ; S\right]=2 S_{3}$ and $\left[S_{3} ; S\right]=S$. The tim edependent frequency param eter ! ( $t$ ) $m$ ay be taken to be ! $(t)=\& \frac{d}{d t} p(t)$, where $p(t)=\left(f^{m} w\right.$ ith $m=\frac{c t}{n b}$, and $\&$ is the coupling coe cient, which can, in principle, be determ ined by the physical $m$ echanism of interaction between light elds and $m$ edia. Since $p$ ( $t$ ) is a periodical function, by using the analytical continuation procedure, it can be rew ritten as the follow ing linear com binations of analytical functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t)={ }_{k=1}^{X^{Z}} \frac{2^{h}}{k} 1 \quad\left(j^{i} \sin \frac{k c}{n b} t:\right. \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follow S, we solve the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation (in the unit $h=1$ )

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
H & (t) j & \text { (t) } i=i \frac{@}{@ t} j \tag{14.3}
\end{array} \quad \text { (t)i }
$$

goveming the propagation of light in the LR H - periodical ber. A ccording to the Lew is R iesenfeld invariant theory
 eigenstate of the invariant I ( $t$ ) only by a tim e-dependent $c-$ num ber factor $\exp \frac{1}{i} \quad(t)$, where

$$
(t)=\int_{0}^{Z_{t}} h \quad\left(t^{0}\right) j\left[\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[t^{0}\right)} & \left.\frac{@}{@ t^{0}}\right] j \quad\left(t^{0}\right) i d t^{0} \tag{14.4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$w$ ith $j(t) i$ being the eigenstate of the invariant $I(t)$ (corresponding to the particular eigenvalue ) and satisfying the eigenvalue equation $I(t) j \quad(t) i=j \quad(t) i, w h e r e$ the eigenvalue of the invariant $I(t)$ is tim e-independent. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad(t) i=\exp \frac{1}{i} \quad \text { (t) } j \quad \text { (t)i: } \tag{14.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to obtain $j \quad(t) i$, we should rst obtain the eigenstate $j \quad(t) i$ of the invariant $I(t)$.

> B. P hoton geom etric phases due to helicity inversions inside a periodical ber made of left-handed m edia

H ere we investigate the tim e evolution ofphoton wavefunctions and extra phases due to photon helicity inversion at the LR H interfaces. A s has been stated above, for conven ience, we consider the w ave propagation inside a hypothetical optical ber which is com posed periodically of left-and right-handed media. N ow we solve the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation. In accordance $w$ ith the Lew is $R$ iesenfeld theory, the invariant $I(t)$ is a conserved operator (i.e., it possesses tim e-independent eigenvalues) and agrees with the follow ing $L$ iouville-V on $N$ eum ann equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ I(t)}{@ t}+\frac{1}{i}[I(t) ; H \quad(t)]=0: \tag{14.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow $s$ from Eq. (14-. $\overline{-1})$ that the invariant $I(t) m$ ay also be constructed in term $s$ of $S$ and $S_{3}$, i.e.,

$$
I(t)=2 \frac{1}{2} \sin \quad(t) \exp \left[\begin{array}{llll} 
& i^{\prime} & (t)] S+\frac{1}{2} \sin & (t) \exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}
i^{\prime} & (t)
\end{array}\right] S+\cos \tag{14.7}
\end{array}(t) S_{3} \quad:\right.
$$



$$
\begin{array}{r}
\exp \left[\begin{array}{l}
\left.i^{\prime}\right]
\end{array}\right]-\cos \quad i^{\prime}-\sin \quad i!\cos =0 ; \\
-+!\sin ^{\prime}=0 ; \tag{14.8}
\end{array}
$$

which are used to determ ine the tim e-dependent param eters, $\quad(t)$ and ' $(t)$, of the invariant I (t) "[7]4].
It should be noted that we cannot easily solve the eigenvalue equation $I(t) j \quad(t) i=j \quad(t) i$, for the $t i m e-$ dependent param eters ( $(\mathrm{t})$ and ${ }^{\prime}$ ( t ) are involved in the invariant (14.7). If, how ever, we could nd (or construct) a unitary transform ation operator $V(t)$ to $m$ ake $V^{Y}(t) I(t) V(t)$ be tim e-independent, then the eigenvalue equation problem of $I(t)$ is therefore easily resolved. A ccording to our experience for utilizing the invariant-related unitary transform ation form ulation ["], [1] we suggest a follow ing unitary transform ation operator

$$
V(t)=\exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (t) } S_{+} & \text {(t) } S \quad] ; \tag{14.9}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where ( $t$ ) and (t) w ill be determ ined by calculating $I_{V}=V^{y}(t) I(t) V(t)$ in what follow $s$.
C alculation of $I_{V}=V^{Y}(t) I(t) V(t)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{V}=V^{V}(t) I(t) V(t)=2 S_{3} ; \tag{14.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and are chosen to be $(t)=\frac{(t)}{2} \exp \left[i^{\prime} \quad(t)\right], \quad(t)=\frac{(t)}{2} \exp \left[i^{\prime \prime}(t)\right]$. This, therefore, $m$ eans that we can change the tim e-dependent $I(t)$ into a tim e-independent $I_{V}$, and the result is $I_{V}=2 S_{3}$.Thus, the eigenvalue equation of $I_{V}$ is $I_{V} j i=j$ iwith $=1$, and consequently the eigenvalue equation of $I(t)$ iswritten $I(t) V(t) j i=V(t) j i$. So, we obtain the eigenstate $j \quad(t)$ i of $I(t)$, i.e., $j \quad(t) i=V \quad(t) j i$.

C orrespondingly, $H(t)$ is transform ed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{V}(t)=V^{y}(t) \quad H \quad(t) \quad \frac{@}{@ t} V(t) \tag{14.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4} \overline{3})$ is rew ritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{v} \quad(t) j \quad \text { (t) } i_{v}=i \frac{@}{@ t} j \quad \text { (t) } i_{v} \tag{14.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the unitary transform ation $V(t)$, where $j \quad(t) i_{v}=V^{y} \quad(t) j \quad(t) i$.
Further analysis shows that the exact particular solution $j \quad(t) i_{v}$ of the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{4} \overline{1}_{-1}^{2}\right)$ is di erent from the eigenstate $j$ i of the tim e-independent invariant $I_{V}$ only by a tim e-dependent $c$ - num ber factor $\exp \frac{1}{i} \quad$ (t) $\left.\overline{\geq} \overline{1} \overline{1}\right]$, which is now rew ritten as $\exp { }_{0}^{1} R_{t} h H_{v}$ ( $t^{0}$ ) $j$ idt .
 veri ed that $H_{v}(t)$ depends only on the operator $S_{3}$, i.e.,

$$
H_{V} \quad(t)=f!\quad(t) \sin \quad(t) \cos ^{\prime} \quad(t)+{ }^{\prime}-(t)\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \cos & (t) \tag{14.13}
\end{array}\right] g \text { g }
$$

 phase is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (d) }(t)=Z_{0}^{Z_{t}}!\left(t^{0}\right) \sin \quad \text { ( } e^{0} \cos ^{\prime} \quad\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0} \tag{14.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the geom etric phase is

$$
\text { (g) }(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{t}},-\left(\mathrm{t}^{0}\right)\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \cos & (t)
\end{array}\right] d t^{0}:
$$

H ence the particular exact solution of the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation (14. ular eigenvalue, , of the invariant I ( $t$ ) is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad(\mathrm{t}) i=\exp \frac{1}{i}^{\text {h }} \text { (d) }(\mathrm{t})+{ }^{(\mathrm{g})}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{~V} \text { (t) } j i: \tag{14.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follow s from the obtained expression (14.15) for geom etric phase of photons that, if the frequency param eter ! is sm all (i.e., the adiabatic quantum process) and then according to the auxiliary equations (14. $\overline{1}),-\quad 0$, the Berry phase (adiabatic geom etric phase) in a cycle (i.e., one round trip, $T^{\prime} \frac{2}{!}$ ) of param eter space of invariant $I(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(g)}(T)=2 \quad(1 \quad \cos ) ; \tag{14.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $2(1 \quad c o s)$ is a solid angle over the param eter space of the invariant $I(t)$, which $m$ eans that the geom etric phase is related only to the geom etric nature of the pathw ay along which quantum system s evolve. E xpression (1). $1 . \overline{1}, 1)$ is analogous to the $m$ agnetic ux produced by a $m$ onopole of strength existing at the origin of the param eter space. $T$ his, therefore, im plies that geom etric phases di er from dynam ical phases and involve the global and topological properties of the tim e evolution of quantum system $s$.

## C. In biaxially anisotropic lefthanded m edia

$N$ ote that in the previous subsection, we treat the helicity reversals of single photon in electrom agnetic $m$ edia $m$ ade of isotropic left-and right-handed $m$ aterials. N ow we consider this problem in a system com posed by a sequence of right-handed (isotropic) and biaxially anisotropic lefthanded $m$ edia, the perm ittivity and perm eability of the latter is given

$$
(\wedge)_{\text {ik }}=\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0^{1} & 0  \tag{14.18}\\
0 & & 0^{\mathrm{A}} ; & (\wedge)_{\text {ik }}=@ \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3^{3} & 0 & 0 \text { A : } \\
0 & 0 & 3
\end{array}
$$

It has been veri ed in Sec.III that for the $\mathrm{E}_{1}-$ eld this biaxially anisotropic $m$ edium can be regarded as a righthanded $m$ aterialwhile for the $E_{2-}$ eld it can be considered a lefthanded one. This, therefore, im plies that only the $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ - eld propagating through this biaxially anisotropic lefthanded $m$ edium w ill acquire an additional phase due to its helicity inversion at the LRH interfaces. But since the $E_{2}-$ eld is not the eigenm ode of the photon helicity, we cannot obtain the extra phases im m ediately by using the form ula (14. $\overline{4}$ ). A ccording to the treatm ent in Sec.III, one can arrive at the expression for the total extra phases of circularly polarized light (w th the occupation num bers of polarized photons being $n_{L}$ and $n_{R}$ ) propagating through the system $m$ ade of a sequence of isotropic regular $m$ edia and biaxially anisotropic left-handed one, and the result is w ritten as
 phase due to photon helicity reversal on the interfaces betw een left-and right-handed $m$ edia vanishes.
$B$ ased on the restriction $\left[80_{1}^{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{4}(2!+L)(2!+R) L R+{ }^{4}(!+L)^{2}(!+R)^{2}=0 \tag{14.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

im posed on the frequency shifts of circularly polarized light, we now consider a possibility that only one of the polarized light, say $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}$, can be propagated in biaxially gyrotropic lefthanded $m$ edia. If the frequency shift L of left-handed polarized light is ! i, i.e., ! + $\quad=\quad i$, where is a positive real num ber, then according to Eq. (14 2d), one can arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{4}\left({ }^{2}+!^{2}\right)(2!+R) R+{ }^{4} 2(!+R)^{2}=0: \tag{1421}
\end{equation*}
$$

 the frequency of right-handed polarized light is

$$
\begin{equation*}
!+R_{R}=\frac{1}{2}(1+)^{\frac{1}{2}}!; \tag{14.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ eans that the frequency of $E_{R}$ is $m$ odi ed by a factor $\frac{1}{2}(1+\quad)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. If no $L R$ coupling exists, i.e., $=0$, then tends to in nity and the factor $\frac{1}{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ approaches unity, and then the frequency shift $R$ of right-handed polarized light is vanishing, which can be easily seen in the expression (1 $\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2} \bar{Z})$.
$N$ ote that in the case discussed above, the left-handed polarized light in this type ofm edia exponentially decreases (due to the im aginary frequency ! + L , which is $i$ ) while the right-handed one can be propagated, i.e., only one wave can be present in this $m$ edia. So, in this case the additional phase acquired by photon wavefiunction due to helicity inversions on LRH interfaces is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { tot }(t)={\frac{n_{R}}{2}}^{h}(\mathrm{~d})(\mathrm{t})+\text { (g) }^{(\mathrm{t})}{ }^{\text {i }} \text { : } \tag{14.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

A dditionally, it is of interest to show that the above schem e is applicable to the detection of quantum -vacuum geom etric phases [ $[3 / 2]$. Since the lefthanded polarized light (including the quantum vacuum uctuation corresponding to the lefthanded polarized light) cannot be propagated in this m edia $[\underline{1}[1]$, the quantum -vacuum geom etric phase of right-handed polarized light will not be cancelled by that of lefthanded one, nam ely, the only retained quantum -vacuum geom etric phase is that of right-handed circularly polarized light and therefore it is possible for the nonvanishing quantum -vacuum geom etric phases to be detected in experim ents.

> D.D iscussion: physical signi cance and potential applications

It is worthw hile to point out that the geom etric phase of photons caused by helicity inversion presented here is of quantum level. H ow ever, whether the Chiao-w u geom etric phase due to the spatial geom etric shape of ber is of quantum level or not is not apparent (see, for exam ple, the argum ents betw een $H$ aldane and Chiao et al about this problem [ 7
 phase in this paper can be considered only by B erry's adiabatic quantum theory and Lew is R iesen feld invariant theory, nam ely, the classical electrodynam ics cannot predict this geom etric phase. A though $m$ any investigators have taken into account the boundary condition problem and anom alous refraction in lefthanded $m$ edia by using the classical $M$ axwell's theory $[7]$ less attention is paid to this geom etric phase due to helicity inversion. It is believed that this geom etric phase originates at the quantum level, but survives the correspondence-principle lim it into the classical level. So, $W$ e em phasize that it $m$ ay be essential to take into consideration this geom etric phase in investigating the anom alous refraction at the LRH interfaces.

It is well know $n$ that geom etric phases arise only in tim e-dependent quantum system s . In the present problem, the transitions betw een helicity states on the LRH interfaces, which is a tim e-dependent process, results in the geom etric phase of photons. This $m$ ay be viewed from two aspects: (i) it is apparently seen in Eq. (14. $\mathbf{q}^{\text {) }}$ that if the frequency param eter ! in the H am iltonian (14) vanishes, then ' = 0 and the geom etric phase (14.15) is therefore vanishing; (ii) it follow from (14 2l) that the frequency coe cient! ( t ) of H am iltonian (14.1) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
!(t)=\& \frac{d}{d t} p(t)=\frac{2 c}{n b} \delta_{k=1}^{x^{t}} h^{h} \quad\left(k^{i} \cos \frac{k c}{n b} t:\right. \tag{1424}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\cos \frac{k c}{n b} t \quad 1$, the frequency coe cient, the transition rates betw een helicity states, and the consequent tim edependent phase $\left({ }^{(g)}(\mathrm{t})+{ }^{\prime}{ }^{(d)}(\mathrm{t})\right)$ greatly decrease correspondingly as the periodicalopticalpath nb increases. T hus we can conclude that the interaction of light elds with m edia near the LR H interfaces gives rise to this topological quantum phase.

In addition to obtaining the expression (1) $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{-1})$ for geom etric phase, we obtain the wavefunction ( $\overline{1} \overline{4} . \overline{1} \overline{1})$ ) of photons in the LRH - optical ber by solving the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation (14.3) based on the Lew is $R$ iesenfeld
 enable us to consider the propagation of light elds inside the optical ber in $m$ ore detail.

In the above treatm ent, we constructed an e ective $H$ am iltonian ( 14.1 ) to describe the tim e evolution of helicity states of photons. It should be noted that the $m$ ethod presented here is only a phenom enological description of propagation of lightw ave in the LRH-periodical ber. This phenom enological description is based on the assum ption that the direction of w ave vector $k$ becom es opposite nearly instantaneously on the LR H interfaces. This assum ption holds true so long as the periodical length $b$ is $m$ uch larger than the wavelength of lightw ave in the ber.

In this section, we investigate the $w$ ave propagation in a generalized gyrotropic $m$ edium $w$ ith the follow ing perm ittivity and perm eability
$T$ his type of $m$ aterials is a generalization of the ordinary gyrotropic $m$ edium $w$ ith
and can encom pass the electrom agnetic param eters of $i_{i k}$ and ik in (15.1) m aterial responses experim entally obtained.
A ssum e that the wave vector $k$ of electrom agnetic $w$ ave propagating inside this $m$ edium is parallel to the the third com ponent of the $C$ artesian coordinate system. A ccording to $M$ axw ellian Equations, one can arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} E_{1}=\quad 0 \quad 21 \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ t^{2}} D_{2} \quad 22 \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ t^{2}} D_{1} \quad ; \quad r^{2} E_{2}=\quad 0 \quad 11 \frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho t^{2}} D_{2} \quad 12 \frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho t^{2}} D_{1} \quad ; \tag{15.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the electric displacem ent vector $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}=0\left({ }_{11} E_{1}+{ }_{12} E_{2}\right) ; \quad D_{2}=0\left({ }_{21} E_{1}+{ }_{22} E_{2}\right): \tag{15.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

D ue to the transverse nature of planar electrom agnetic $w$ ave, the follow ing acquirem ents are satis ed

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{3}=0 ; \quad H_{3}=0 ; k \quad E=0 ; k \quad H=0 ; k \quad D=0 ; k \quad B=0 \text { : } \tag{15,5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus w ith the help of above equations, it is veri ed that

$$
\begin{align*}
& r^{2} E_{1}=\quad 0 \quad 0 \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
21 & 21 & 21
\end{array}\right) \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ t^{2}} E_{1}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
21 & 22
\end{array} \quad 22 \text { 12 }\right) ~ \frac{@^{2}}{@ t^{2}} E_{2} ; \\
& \left.r^{2} E_{2}=\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
11 & 21
\end{array} 121\right.
\end{array}\right) \frac{@^{2}}{@ t^{2}} E_{1}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
11 & 22 \\
12 & 12
\end{array}\right) \frac{@^{2}}{@ t^{2}} E_{2}: \tag{15.6}
\end{align*}
$$

So,

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
r^{2} \frac{E_{1} p_{\overline{2}} \mathrm{E}_{2}}{P_{\overline{2}}^{1}} 00\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
(22 & 11 & 21 & 21
\end{array}\right) & i\left(\begin{array}{lll}
11 & 21 & 12
\end{array} 11\right.
\end{array}\right)\right] \frac{@^{2}}{@ t^{2}} E_{1} .
$$

It follow s from (15.1) that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
22 & 11 & 21 & 21
\end{array}\right)+i\left(\begin{array}{lll}
11 & 21 & 12
\end{array} 11\right)=A_{+}+i B_{+} ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{+}=a_{e} a_{h} \quad a_{e} c_{h} \quad d d_{h} \quad i d_{d} b_{h} \quad b_{e} b_{h}+i b_{e} d_{h}+c_{e} c_{h} \quad \varepsilon a_{h} ; \\
B_{+}=a_{e} b_{h} \quad i d_{h} a_{h}+i d_{e} h_{h} \quad k a_{h}+b_{e} a_{h} \quad \varepsilon b_{h}+i c_{e} d_{h}+i a_{e} d_{h}: \tag{15.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the sam e fashion, one can arrive at

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
22 & 11
\end{array} 2121\right.
\end{array}\right) \quad i\left(\begin{array}{lll}
11 & 21 & 12
\end{array} 11\right)=A+i B \quad \text {; }
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A=a_{e} a_{h}+a_{e} G_{h} \quad d d_{h}+i d_{e} b_{h} \quad b b_{h} \quad i z d_{h}+c_{e} G_{h}+c_{e} a_{h} ; \\
& B=a_{e} b_{h} \quad i d_{h} \quad \text { iden } \quad l G_{h} \quad \text { ba } a_{h} \quad \varepsilon b_{h} \quad i \varepsilon d_{h}+i a_{e} d_{h} \text { : } \tag{15.11}
\end{align*}
$$

H ence, the w ave equations of left-and right-handed circularly polarized lightil $L_{-1}^{1}$ is w rilten

It should be pointed out that the interaction betw een left-and right-handed polarized light arises in Eq. (15.131), which is analogous to the Josephson's e ect and $m$ ay therefore be of physical interest.

The coupling of left-handed polarized light to the right-handed one can be characterized by the frequency shift i and $R$, nam ely, the am plitudes of left-and right-handed circularly polarized light propagating along the s-direction are w ritten as follow $s$


$$
\begin{equation*}
A!^{2} E_{L}=A \quad(!+L)^{2} E_{L}+i B \quad(!+R)^{2} E_{R} ; A_{+}!^{2} E_{R}=A_{+}(!+R)^{2} E_{R}+i B+(!+L)^{2} E_{L} ; \tag{15.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+A \quad 2!R+\underset{R}{2} 2!L+\underset{L}{2}+B+B(!+L)^{2}(!+R)^{2}=0 \tag{15.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a restricted condition regarding the frequency-shift relation betw een left-and right-handed circularly polarized light.
Note that in the case of conventionalgyrotropic $m$ edia characteristic of such perm ittivity and perm eability tensors (1521), where $d_{e}=b_{e}=d_{h}=b_{h}=0, a_{e}=1, c_{e}=2, a_{h}=1, c_{h}=2$, the optical refractive index squared is of the form

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{15.17}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

and the coupling coe cients $B$ are vanishing.
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[^7]A ppendix A.
In this A ppendix, we will brie $y$ consider the perm ittivity of the $m$ etal thin $w$ ire array $m$ edium. For a nearly free electron in the structures of array of long $m$ etallic $w$ ires ( $A$ LM $W$ s [ $[\underline{d}]$, the equation of $m$ otion is $\frac{\varrho v}{\varrho t}=\frac{e}{m} E$, and the electric current density $j$ therefore satis es the equation $\frac{d j}{@ t}=\frac{n e^{2}}{m} E$,where $j=n e v w$ ith $n$ being the electron num ber density in A LM W sm edia. If the di erentialoperator $\frac{0}{@ t}$ can be replaced with $i!$, where! is the frequency of incident electrom agnetic w ave, then we can obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=\frac{n e^{2}}{i!m} E: \tag{15.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus w ith the help of $j=\frac{\varrho P}{\varrho t}=i!P$, one can arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\frac{n e^{2}}{!^{2} m} E: \tag{15.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to the de nition of , i.e., $P=(1) \mathrm{E}$, 辻 follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1 \frac{!_{p}^{2}}{!^{2}} \text { with }!_{p}^{2}=\frac{n e^{2}}{m}: \tag{15.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ppendix B.
SRR essentially behaves as a LC circuit, the equation of which $m$ ay be as follow $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}} q+R \frac{d}{d t} q+\frac{q}{c}=\frac{d}{d t} B r_{0}^{2} \tag{1521}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to the electrom agnetic induction law. By replacing the derivative operator $\frac{d}{d} w i t h i!$, and using the electric current $I=\frac{d}{d t} q=i!q\left(\right.$ hence $\left.q=\frac{I}{i!}\right)$, one can arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{\frac{\mathrm{B} r_{0}^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}!^{2}}{!^{2} \frac{R}{\mathrm{~L}}!\frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}}}: \tag{1522}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus we have the $m$ agnetization (totalm om ents per unit volum e)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{N} I r_{0}^{2}=\frac{\frac{\mathrm{NB}\left(\mathrm{r}_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}!^{2}}{!^{2} \frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{~L}}!\frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}}} \text {; } \tag{1523}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equal to $\frac{1}{0} \xrightarrow{1}$ B. So, we m ay obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{!^{2} \frac{R}{L}!}{} \frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}} \quad 1 \begin{array}{l}
!^{2} 1+\frac{\mathrm{N} O\left(r_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}
\end{array} \frac{R}{\mathrm{R}!} \frac{1}{\mathrm{LC}} \\
& =1 \frac{\frac{\frac{N O\left(r_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}}{L_{0}}}{1+\frac{r_{0}^{2}\left(r_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}}{L}}!^{2}}{!^{2} \quad i!\frac{\frac{R}{L}}{1+\frac{N\left(r_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}}{L_{0}}} \frac{1}{\text { LC } 1+\frac{N O\left(r_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}}{L}}} ; \tag{1524}
\end{align*}
$$

which is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1 \frac{F!^{2}}{!^{2}+i!\quad!_{0}^{2}}: \tag{1525}
\end{equation*}
$$
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[^0]:    E-m ail address: jqshen@ coer.zju .edu .cn

[^1]:     such a view point $m$ ay not be suitable for considering the existence of negative index of refraction.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that, in the literature, som e authors m entioned the w rong year when V eselago suggested the left-handed m edia. T hey claim ed that Veselago proposed or introduced the concept of left-handed media in 1968 or 1964 . On the contrary, the true history is as follow s: Veselago's excellent paper was rst published in R ussian in July, 1967 U sp. Fiz. N auk 92, 517-526 (1967)]. This original paper was translated into English by W H. Furry and published again in 1968 in the joumal of Sov. Phys. U sp. [1]]. U n fortunately, Furry stated erroneously in his English translation that the original version of V eselago' w ork was rst published in 1964.
    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that by using the m irror re ection operation, a right-handed system m ay be changed into a left-handed one. It is thus clearly seen that the perm ittivity and perm eability of the free vacuum in a $m$ irror world m ay be negative num bers.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ In accordance w ith A m pere's circuital law, the $m$ agnetic induction $B$ inside a long solenoid w ith $N$ circular loops carrying a current I in the region rem ote from the ends is axial, uniform and equalto otim es the number of Am pere-tums perm eternI, i.e., $B=0 n I$, where $n=\frac{N}{l} w$ ith $l$ being the solenoid length. So, the $m$ agnetic $u x$ is on $r_{0}^{2} l$ (here $r_{0}^{2}$ is the cross-section area of this long solenoid), and the electrom otive force $E=\quad$ on $r_{0}^{2} I \frac{d I}{d t} . B y$ the aid of $E=L \frac{d I}{d t}$, one can arrive at the total inductance of the solenoid, i.e., $L=$ on $r_{0}^{2}$ l. Thus the per unit length inductance of the solenoid is $\frac{L}{2 r_{0} n 1}=\frac{o r_{0}}{2}$. ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~B}$ int refers to the eld in uenced by the m om ent.

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Electric potential energy is involved in the electric eld energy density. This $m$ ay be discussed as follow s: consider a self-interacting electric system, by using the $G$ aussian theorem $r^{2} \underset{\mathrm{R}}{\overline{\mathrm{R}}} \overline{0}_{0}$, we may obtain the total potential energy $\mathrm{V}=$ $\frac{1}{2}^{R} d x=\frac{1}{2} 0^{R} r^{2} d x=\frac{1}{2} 0^{R} r(r)(r)^{2} d x=\frac{1}{2} 0^{R} E^{2} d x$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7} T$ he rest refractive index is just the one $m$ easured by the observer xed at the regular/ordinary $m$ edium. In what follow s , we w ill derive the relativistic transform ation for the optical refractive index (tensor) of a m oving $m$ edium.

[^5]:    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~T}$ h is requirem ent is the standard de nition of a left-handed m edium. A pparently, it is seen that the tw o concepts left-handed $m$ edium and negative refractive index $m$ edium is not com pletely equivalent to each other.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9}$ Thus if $\mathrm{E}_{2}>0$ is chosen to be positive, then $\mathrm{B}_{3}$ is also positive. In what follow S , we will adopt this case (i.e., $\mathrm{E}_{2}>0$ and $\mathrm{B}_{3}>0$ ) w ithout the loss of generality.
    ${ }^{10}$ In the left-handed $m$ edium, the electric perm ittivity and the $m$ agnetic perm eability are sim ultaneously negative.
    ${ }^{11}$ Since $k^{0}<0$, in order to form a lefthanded system, the signs of $E_{2}^{0}$ and $H_{3}^{0}$ should not be opposite to each other. So, the signs of $E_{2}^{0}$ and $B_{3}^{0}$ should be opposite due to ${ }^{0}<0$.

[^7]:    ${ }^{12}$ For sim plicity, w thout loss of generality, it is assum ed that the tw o m utually perpendicular real unit polarization vectors $\boldsymbol{v}(\mathrm{k} ; 1)$ and $\boldsymbol{v}(\mathrm{k} ; 2)$ can be taken to be as follow $\mathrm{s}: \mathrm{n}_{1}(\mathrm{k} ; 1)=\mathrm{n}_{2}(\mathrm{k} ; 2)=1, \mathrm{n}_{1}(\mathrm{k} ; 2)=\mathrm{n}_{2}(\mathrm{k} ; 1)=0$ and $"_{3}(\mathrm{k} ; 1)=\mathrm{n}_{3}(\mathrm{k} ; 2)=0$. $T$ hus by the aid of the form ula $E=\frac{\varrho A}{\varrho t}$ for the electric eld strength, in the second-quantization fram ew onk one can arrive at [49]

    $$
    \begin{align*}
    & E_{R}=\frac{E_{1}+E_{2}}{P_{2}}=i \quad d^{3} k \frac{!}{2(2)^{3}}\left[a_{L}(k) \exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}
    i k & x
    \end{array}\right) a \underset{R}{y}(k) \exp (i k \quad x)\right] ; \tag{15.12}
    \end{align*}
    $$

