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#### Abstract

The classical $G$ aussian ensembles of random $R^{m}$ atrices can be constructed by $m$ axim izing Boltzm ann-G ibbs-Shannon's entropy, $\left.\left.\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{BG}}=\quad \mathrm{dH} \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H})\right] \ln \mathbb{P}(H)\right]$; with suitable constraints. Here we construct and analyze random $m$ atrix ensembles arising from the generalized entropy $\left.S_{q}=1 \quad d H \mathbb{P}(H)\right)^{q}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}q & 1) \\ \text { (thus } S_{1} & \left.=S_{B G S}\right) \text {. The resulting ensembles are characterized }\end{array}\right.$ by a param eter $q \mathrm{~m}$ easuring the degree of nonextensivity of the entropic form. M aking q ! 1 recovers the G aussian ensem bles. If $q \in 1$, the joint probability distributions $P(H)$ cannot be factorized, i.e., the $m$ atrix elem ents of $H$ are correlated. In the lim it of large $m$ atrices two di erent regim es are observed. W hen $\mathrm{q}<1, \mathrm{P}$ (H) has com pact support, and the uctuations tend asym ptotically to those of the $G$ aussian ensem bles. A nom alies appear for $q>1$ : Both $P(H)$ and the $m$ arginal distributions $P\left(H_{i j}\right)$ show power-law tails. Num erical analyses reveal that the nearest-neighbor spacing distribution is also long-tailed (not $W$ igner-D yson) and, after proper scaling, very close to the result for the 22 case \{ a generalization of $W$ igner's sum ise. $W$ e discuss connections of these \nonextensive" ensem bles w ith other non-G aussian ones, like the so-called Levy ensem bles and those arising from soft-con nem ent.


PACS num bers: 05.45 Mt (quantum chaos), 24.60 Lz (chaos in nuclear system s), $05.20 .-\mathrm{y}$ (classical statistical mechanics)

## I. IN TRODUCTION

$T$ he $G$ aussian ensem bles of $R$ andom $M$ atrix $T$ heory provide the standard statistical description of spectral uctuations in a multiplicity of quantum system s ranging from nucleito disordered $m$ esoscopic conductors and classically chaotic system s

G aussian ensem bles can be obtained from two postulates: the invariance of the joint distribution probability P (H) w ith respect to changes of bases and the statistical independence ofm atrix elem ents. A $n$ altemative and $m$ ore appealing way of constructing random matrix en-
 constraint is nom alization,

## Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dH} P(H)=1: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other one has the purpose of con ning the spectrum, but is otherw ise arbitrary (as long as the integral

[^0]converges),
Z
$d H P(H) \operatorname{trV}(H)=1$
(2)
(the trace ensures rotational invariance). For instance, the $G$ aussian ensem ble of real sym $m$ etric $m$ atrioes is $o b-$ tained by the sim plest choice
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{H}^{2}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

It has been proven that, in the lim it of large $m$ atrioes, and for a strong enough con ning potentialV, local uctuation properties tend to those of the G aussian case, whatever the shape of $V$ [i,

To escape from Gaussian universality one must consider soft-con nem ent potentials $\left[9_{9}^{1}, 1\right]$ tational invariance. T he latter case typically arises w hen $m$ atrix elem ents $H_{i j}$ are independent (non $G$ aussian) random variables. For instance, C izeau and $B$ ouchaud constructed anom alous \Levy ensembles" by draw ing $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ from a long-tailed distribution [1] $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$.

The purpose of this paper is to present a new way of constructing non-G aussian ensem bles while preserving rotational invariance. The idea is to use a maxim um entropy approach w th the usualconstraints but $w$ th the nonextensive entropy [12] ]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.S_{\mathrm{q}} \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H})\right]=\frac{\left.1^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{dH} \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H})\right]^{\mathrm{q}}}{\mathrm{q}} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is a free realparam eter ( $q=1$ recovers Shannon's standard entropy). This schem e produces a variety of ensem bles, $w$ th $q$ controlling the degree of con nem ent. Som e ensem bles belong to the $G$ aussian universality class but others exhibit anom alous behavior, characterized by distributions having power-law tails.

The explicit construction of these $q$-ensem bles is presented in Sect. 'II, where we also derive expressions for m arginal distributions and the joint density of eigenvalues. Rem arkably, for large $m$ atrices, the $q$-ensem bles can be represented as a superposition ofG aussian ensem bles. $T$ his allow $s$ us to obtain closed analytical form ulas for the eigenvalue density, level-spacing probability distributions, etc (Sect. ${ }^{\left(1-T_{-}\right)}$) . The com parison ofanalytical results w ith num erical sim ulations is the sub ject Sect. 'IVI. W e present in Sect. Ne concluding rem arks.

## II. THE GENERALIZED ENSEMBLES

For sim plicity we restrict our analysis to ensem bles of realand sym $m$ etric $m$ atrioes $H$ \{ extensions are straightforw ard. T he volum e elem ent in this space is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d H=Y_{i=1}^{\mathrm{Y}} d H_{i i}{ }_{i<j}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{dH} i j \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here it is understood that $m$ atrices are of size $N \quad N$. $G$ eneralized ensem bles are obtained by $m$ axim izing the entropy of Eq. ( $\underline{4}^{-1}$ ) sub jected to norm alization, Eq. (픈), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left.\mathrm{dH} \operatorname{trH}^{2} \mathbb{P}(\mathrm{H})\right]^{q}}{d H \mathbb{P}(H)]^{q}}=2 \text {; } \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant having units of energy (we are assum ing that of H is a H am iltonian). Equation ( $(\bar{\sigma})$ is the generalization of the usual constraint that leads to the Gaussian ensembles in the standard maxim um entropy approach. A rgum ents justifying the use of the escort probabilities $P^{q}$, and applications of this generalized $m$ axim um entropy schem $e$ to various problem $s$ can be found in Ref. [12'].

U sing the Lagrange m ultiplier technique it is straightforward to nd the distribution of $m$ axim um entropy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H}) / \exp _{\mathrm{q}} \operatorname{trH}^{2} \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have de ned the q-exponential function [12']

$$
\exp _{q}(x) f\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1+(1 & \left.q) x]_{+} g^{1=(1} q\right) \tag{8}
\end{array}\right.
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\&+=m a x f \& ; 0 g \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

[note that $\left.\exp _{1}(x)=\exp (x)\right]$. The om itted norm alization constant in $\left(\overrightarrow{T_{1}}\right)$ and the param eter can be determ ined
 sults along these lines have been obtained by Evans and $M$ ichael [13] ${ }^{\prime}$ ].

T he ensem ble de ned by Eq. ( $\overline{7}$ ) w ill be called the $\backslash \underline{\text { - }}$ O rthogonal Ensemble" (qOE), as it can be seen in [7, that the probabillity distribution depends only on try ${ }^{2}$, an orthogonal invariant. W hen $q$ ! 1 the q-exponential function tends to the usual exponential, and one recovers the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE). E xcept for the $q=1$ case, the $q$-exponential in $\left(\underline{T_{1}}\right)$ cannot be factorized into a product of ( $m$ arginal) distributions for individual $m$ atrix elem ents $H_{i j}$, which are then correlated. W e can already verify that the cases $\underline{q}<1$ and
 show that for $q<1$ the distributions have com pact support; if $q>1$, there are alw ays pow er-law tails (we are assum ing $>0$, see below).

To proceed w ith the analysis of qO E it will be convenient to think ofm atrices $H$ as points in a d-dim ensional
 a point $r$ correspond to diagonal elem ents $H$ ii, the last ones to the upper triangle $H_{i j}$, $i<j$ :

The dim ension of this space equals the num ber of independent $m$ atrix elem ents of $H$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}=\frac{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{N}+1)}{2}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scaling of $H_{i j}$ by ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} \mathrm{~m}$ akes the probability distribution $(\overline{1}, \mathbf{1})$ spherically symmetric in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$, i.e., $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{qOE}}(\mathrm{r})$ is the product of a uniform distribution in the angles, and a radialdistribution [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{q} ; ; \mathrm{N}) / \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{d} 1} \exp _{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{r}^{2} \text {; } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \quad r \quad r=\operatorname{trH}^{2}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The observations above im ply that qOE belong to the w ider category of \sphericalensem bles" recently studied


For $q>1$, the distribution (121) has a power-law tail that goes like $\left.1=r^{(1+}\right)$ w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2}{q 1} d: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the nom alization condition cannot be satis ed for all values of $q$, but only by those $m$ aking $>0$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1<q<1+\frac{2}{d}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $N$ ote the form alsim ilarity betw een this problem and the generalized random walker in d dim ensions [1]-].)

The Lagrange $m$ ultiplier is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{2 d(q \quad 1)}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inside the region (175) (i.e., nom alizability) is alw ays positive.

Integrating Eq. '(7) 'i, over allvariables but one, we obtain the $m$ arginal distributions for diagonal and o -diagonal $m$ atrix elem ents, [1] ${ }^{\prime}$ ]

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(H_{i i}\right) / \exp _{q^{0}} \quad{ }^{0} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ii}}^{2} \text {; }  \tag{17}\\
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) / \exp _{\mathrm{q}^{0}} \quad 2^{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}^{2}}{ }_{\mathrm{ij}}^{2} ; \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
q^{0}=\frac{2}{} \quad(d \quad 3)(q \quad 1) ~\left(\begin{array}{lll}
(d)(q & 1) \tag{19}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.{ }^{0}=\frac{d}{2^{2}} \frac{2(\mathrm{~d} 1)(\mathrm{q} \quad 1)}{2 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{q}} 1\right) \mathrm{l}\right): \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The follow ing properties can be easily veri ed. The param eter $q^{0}$ is an increasing function of $q$, and around the critical value $q=1$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{0}=q+O \quad(q \quad 1)^{2} \quad: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, ${ }^{0}$ is alw ays positive. $T$ hen, in parallelw ith the global P ( H ) , the $m$ arginal distributions also decay as pow er law s or have com pact support, depending on $q$ being larger or sm aller than one, respectively. W e rem ark that the $m$ atrix elem ents are not independent, so, $P$ (H) cannot be reconstructed from the $m$ arginal probabilities ( $1 \overline{7}_{1}, 1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ )
The joint density of eigenvalues can be obtained in a straightforw ard way: [4, [13:

T he part that is responsible for level repulsion is identical to that in GOE because it arises only from orthogonal symmetry. The di erence is in the con nem ent term, which in the present case is a non-separable qexponential. Thusthe \potential" that con nes the spectrum is not a single-particle quadratic well, as in GOE. It is rather a $m$ ean eld, proportional to the $m$ om ent of inertia ${ }^{2}$.

W e can get a clear view of the generalized ensem bles by noting that these are connected to the so-called Fixed $T$ race E nsem bles ( $F T E$ ) and, for large $N$, to the $G$ aussian ensem bles. In fact, recall that FTE are de ned by [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {FTE }}(H ; r ; N) / \quad\left(\operatorname{trH}^{2} \quad r^{2}\right): \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f(H)$ be an arbitrary finction and consider the averages in both ensembles qO E and FTE, nam ely,

$$
h f(H) i_{\mathrm{qOE}}(\mathrm{q} ; ~ ; \mathrm{N})=^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dH} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{qO}}(\mathrm{H} ; q ; \quad ; \mathrm{N}) \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{H}) ;
$$

and

## Z

$h f(H) i_{\text {fte }}(r ; N) \quad d H P_{\text {fte }}(H ; r ; N) f(H):$

Then we have the relation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{lff}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{Z}}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{qOE}}(\mathrm{q} ; \quad ; \mathrm{N})= \\
& \quad \mathrm{dr} P(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{q} ; \quad ; \mathrm{N}) \operatorname{hf}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{i}_{\text {FTE }}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{N}): \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

The average over qOE can be calculated in two stages. $F$ irst do the average over the angles, for a xed radius r. This correspond to a FTE average. Then average over radii, w ith the weighting function $P(r)$. Of course, the sam e is true for the GOE, which corresponds to the particular case $q=1$. The relationship betw een $q O E$ (or GOE) and FTE is analogous to that between the canonical and $m$ icrocanonicalensem bles of StatisticalM echanics.

Equation (2-9) involves no approxim ations. A though exact, it is not very usefulbecause it requires the know $1-$ edge of xed-trace averages. H ow ever, ifone is interested in the lim it of large $m$ atrices, im portant sim pli cations can be $m$ ade.

## III. THE LARGEN LIM IT

The key point is that, for $N$ large enough, the FTE average in the r.h.s. of (26) can be approxim ated by an average in a GOE having the property htry ${ }^{2} i=r^{2}$. $T$ hen, ifwe know the GOE average ofa given function, its corresponding qO E average can in principle be calculated by doing just one integration. W e w ill analyze in detail tw o spectral statistics: the eigenvalue density,
and the distribution of level spacings,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{q} ; ~ ; \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{h}\left(\text { " }_{i+1} \quad "_{i} \quad \mathrm{~s}\right) \mathrm{i}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the last equation ${ }_{i}$ and ${ }_{i+1}$ are tw o consecutive eigenvalues lying at the center of the band, i.e., "i 0 . It is (or w ill becom e) clear that other statistics, e.g., tw opoint correlation functions, can also be considered along the sam e lines.

In order to obtain the qOE averages of $\left(\underline{2} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ and $(2 \bar{q})$ we need the corresponding FTE expressions, to be firther averaged $w$ ith $P(r ; q ; ~ ; N)$, as indicated by Eq. (2"G). H ow ever, w ew illapproxim ateF T E averagesby the corresponding G OE ones. Then, the basic ingredients becom e the \sem icircle law " (for the eigenvalue density),

$$
\begin{equation*}
(" ; N ; r)={\frac{N^{2}}{2 r^{2}}}^{\mathrm{r}} \overline{\frac{4 r^{2}}{N} \quad "^{2}} ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and W igner's surm ise,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{r})=\frac{\mathrm{N}^{3} \mathrm{~s}}{2 \mathrm{r}^{2}} \exp \frac{\mathrm{~N}^{3} \mathrm{~s}^{2}}{4 \mathrm{r}^{2}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

qiving the level-spacing distribution. Equations (2d) and (3잉) are good approxim ations for both GOE and FTE distributions when N is large [1] ${ }^{-1}$ ].
W e recall that if $q>1$, the nom alization condition (15는) Im its the value of $N$ to a nite dom ain. O $n$ the other hand, the case $q<1$ does not present such a problem. So, we analyze both cases separately.
A. Ensembleswith $\mathrm{q}<1$

E xcept for providing an energy scale, plays no special role. From now on, w thout loss of generality, we set
$=1$. If desired, can be restored at any time by dim ensional analysis.
$W$ hen $N$ ! 1 ( $q$ xed) the radialdistribution of FO E tends to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P(r ; q ; N) / r^{d 1} 1 \quad r^{2(1=(1)} q\right) ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

lim ited to the dom ain $0 \quad r \quad 1$. As d grow s , the distribution is squeezed against $r=1$, being concentrated in a sm all region below $r=1$, of width $O(1=d)=O\left(1=N^{2}\right)$. It can be veri ed that both the leveldensity ( $2 \mathbf{g}_{1}$ ) and the spacing distribution ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{Z}}$ ), when considered as functions of $r$, have widths which are $O$ (1). Thus the radial distribution is $m$ uch narrow er and we can safely approxim ate

$$
P(r ; q<1 ; N!1)^{\prime} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & 1 \tag{32}
\end{array}\right):
$$

We conclude that, when $\mathrm{q}<1$ and N ! 1 the ensembles qOE tend to the GOE [as far as $\mathbb{H}$ concems the distributions being studied, nam ely Eqs. $\left[2_{-}^{-z_{1}}\right)$ and $\left.\left(2 \overline{2}_{1}\right)\right]$.

$$
\text { B. Ensem bles with } q>1
$$

W hen $q>1$ the possible ensembles are restricted to a region in the plane $\mathrm{q} \quad \mathrm{N}$ that gets thinner as N ! 1 (see Fig. $\left.{ }_{1}^{\prime 1} 1 \mathbf{1}\right)$ ). The natural coordinates in this region are N (or d) and [see Eq. ( $\left(12_{2}^{\prime}\right.$ ) ], the latter controlling the tails of $P(r)$ and other distributions. For instance, sub-
 veri es that the $m$ arginaldistributions behave asym ptotically like

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(H_{i j}\right) \frac{1}{H_{i j}^{1+}}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radial distribution (12), as a function of $r$; N becom es:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(r ; ; N) / r^{d 1} 1+\frac{d}{-} r^{(d+~)=2}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 1: W hen $q>1$ the ensem bles qOE lie in the region lim ited by the axes and the curve $=0$ (norm alization frontier). A $s \mathrm{~N}$ becom es large, the $m$ axim um $q$ allowed tends to one. Lines correspond to fam ilies of ensembles having the sam e power-law tails (labeled by ).

This expression allows the identi cation of som e well know $n$ ensembles as special $m$ em bers of the qO E class: the C auchy-Lorentz ensemble corresponds to $=1$. An integer > 1 produces Student's ensembles (see [ $\left[1 \bar{S}_{1}^{\prime}, 1 \overline{1} \underline{1}\right]$ and references therein; see also [20 $\left.{ }_{2}^{\prime} 1\right]$ ).

N ow we analyze the lim it N ! $\overline{1}$ while keeping $>0$ xed, i.e., we m ove upw ards along the curves of $F$ ig. A s in the case $\mathrm{q}<1$, exam ined before, there is a lim iting distribution. Som e sim ple algebra leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{r} ; ~ ; \mathrm{N}!1) / \mathrm{r}^{(1+)} \exp \overline{2 \mathrm{r}^{2}}: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Only when! $1, \mathrm{P}$ tends to the delta function ( 3 Z 2 ), and GOE is recovered. For nite the width of $P(r)$ is at least $O$ (1). In any case, the average of a given GOE distribution with P (r) gives the corresponding qO E
 when N ! 1). Let us rst consider the density of states. Inserting Eqs. (292) and (3) into (2) we obtain
$T$ his integralcan not be expressed in term s ofelem entary functions. H ow ever, som e inform ation can be extracted analytically. Setting " = 0 one obtains the qOE density of states at the center of the band,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0 ;)=\frac{N}{}^{3=2} \frac{[(+1)=2]^{r}}{[=2]} \frac{\overline{2}}{-}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he behavior for large " can be easify recognized by making the change of variables $2 r=\bar{N}$ " $z$ in ( $3 \bar{U}_{1}$ ), which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(" ;) / \|^{(1+}\right)_{1}^{Z_{1}} d z \frac{p \overline{z^{2} 1}}{z^{+3}} \exp \frac{2}{N z^{2} \mathbf{n}^{2}} \text { : } \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evidently the tails vanish like " ${ }^{(1+}$ ). This is also the behavior observed by C izeau and B ouchaud in their $\backslash$ Levy ensem bles" ofm atrioes having independent entries distributed according to the sam e law of Eq. (33) [112 W e note, how ever, that the analogies can not be pushed further because our ensem bles are rotationally invariant and Levy ensembles are not (the ensem bles of Ref. ["In] belong to the so-called -sym $m$ etric class [1] [1] ).
$T$ he calculation of the spacing distribution proceeds as
 $T$ he result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~s} ;) / \mathrm{s}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{drr}{ }^{(+3)} \exp \quad \overline{2 r^{2}} 1+\frac{\mathrm{N}^{3} s^{2}}{2} \text { : } \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dependence on s can be easily isolated by a change of variables, so we can w rite:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~s} ;) / \mathrm{s} 1+{\frac{\mathrm{N}}{} \mathrm{~s}^{2}}_{2}^{(1+=2)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

or altematively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~s} ;) / \operatorname{sexp}_{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{s}}}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{2}\right) ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Gs } \frac{+4}{+2} \text { and } \frac{\mathrm{N}^{3}}{4}+2 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

 w th the exact level-spacing distribution of the 22 qOE having the sam e (see appendix). Then, both distributions can be collapsed by a sim ple scaling of the argu$m$ ents. This curious result constitutes a generalization of W igner's sum ise to qO E.

Rem ark. W hen analyzing spectral statistics it is usual to norm alize energies so that the (local) average spacing is one (the spectrum is lunfolded"). This am ounts to $m$ easuring energies in units of

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{1} \text { dssp(s): }
$$

N ote, how ever, that in qOE the rst m om ent ofp (s) does not exist for 1 . In these cases, instead of , onem ay altematively use the energy scale
e $\quad{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{dss}^{1} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{s})^{1}$ :
D ue to levelrepulsion there is no singularity at $s=0$, and e alw ays exists, thus representing a characteristic energy of qOE. It is close to the inverse of the level density at " = 0:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}=\frac{2}{(0 ;)} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $(0 ;)$ given in $\left(\overline{3} \bar{z}_{1}\right)$.

W hen thought of as clouds in $R^{d}$, via the $m$ ap of Eq. (1-1), both ensem bles qOE and GOE are spherically symm etric. This $m$ eans that qOE can be constructed just by rescaling the radii of allpoints in the G O E cloud [15 ${ }^{1}$, 16 (w ith param eters $\mathrm{q}, \mathrm{N}$ ) can be done in three steps. (i) C onstruct a GOE m atrix H of size N N. In this case $m$ atrix elem ents are independent and can be calculated using Eqs. $\left.(1]_{1}\right)$ and (1d) w ith $q=1$. The radius of $H_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{0}=\frac{q}{\overline{\operatorname{trH}}{ }_{0}^{2}}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Choose a radius $r_{1}$ random ly according to the radial probability distribution $P\left(r_{1} ; q ; ~ ; N\right)$ of Eq. (12'). (iii) De ne $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}=H_{0} \frac{r_{1}}{r_{0}}: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the recipe we follow ed for constructing qO Em atrices. (If, instead ofbeing a random variable, $r_{1}$ is xed, we obtain a m atrix belonging to FTE .) The only di culty is to devise the random num ber generator, especially when P (r) has very long tails. For this purpose we used a com bination of the rejection $m$ ethod and the transform ation m ethod, as explained in Ref. [211].

In $F$ ig. $\bar{L}_{1}$ we show histogram s representing densities of states obtained from diagonalization of FO Em atrices. It is clear that they are very well described by the form ula (381), which was evaluated by direct num erical integration. The statistics of level spacings is exhibited in


FIG .2: D ensity ofstates in the ensem blesqO E (norm alized to one). W e com pare histogram s generated num erically (dots) w ith the theoretical result of Eq. (B81) (curves). Each histogram was generated from a set of $\overline{1} 0^{5} \mathrm{~m}$ atrices. W e used the follow ing values of : 0.5,1.5, 2.5, 6.0, 1 . D ensities $w$ ith larger 's have larger values at " = 0 and decay faster. In all cases $N=20$ and $=1$. The dashed line corresponds to the GOE sem icircle (N ! 1 ).

Fig. $\overline{W h}_{10}^{1}$. H istogram $s$ w ere obtained by binning data from $10^{5} \mathrm{~m}$ atrices. E ach m atrix contributed w th the \central" spacing betw een levels $"_{N=2}$ and $"_{N=2+1}$ : T he analytical curves are the q-distributions of Eq. (40') . A gain the agreem entbetw een theory and sim ulations is satisfactory. In both gures we observe som e sm all deviations, which $m$ ay be attributed to the relatively sm all size of the $m$ atrices considered ( $N=20$ ). The values of were chosen in accordance to the follow ing criterion. W hen $=0: 5$ all integer m om ents diverge. For $=1: 5(=2: 5)$ the rst (second) m om ent exists but higher ones diverge. T he case $=6: 0$ is intended to represent an ensemble qOE approaching G O E.


F IG . 3: Level-spacing distribution in the ensem bles qO E.W e com pare histogram s generated num erically (dots) w th the theoretical result of Eq. (40) (curves). Each histogram was generated from a set of $10^{5-\mathrm{m}}$ atrices, each m atrix contributing one pair of levels. W e used the sam e values of as in F ig. $1_{1}^{1}$ D istributions w ith larger 's have higher $m$ axim a and decay faster. In all cases $\mathrm{N}=20$ and $=1$.

## V. CONCLUSION S

This paper explores the possibility of using a modied $m$ axim um entropy $m$ ethod to construct random $m$ atrix ensem bles. W hereas the usual logarithm ic entropy leads to ensem bles characterized by exponential law $s$, the power-law entropy of Eq. (4, (1) naturally produces ensem bles with long-tailed distributions, (e.g., the so-called $q-G$ aussians [1] C auchy-Lorentz and Student's ones for special choiees of the param eters.

O f course, the sam e fam ilies of ensem bles can also be obtained from say the standard $m$ axim um entropy $a p-$ proach, but at the expense of introducing a com plicated constraint. A sim ilar situation arises in the $m$ axim um entropy approach to anom alous di usion, where one can choose between an unappealing constraint [2] and a nonextensive entropy $\left.[1]_{1}, 1\right][1]$. By sweeping the param eter $q$ one $s w$ itches from sub-to superdi usive regim es,
$q=1$ giving norm aldi usion $\left[\begin{array}{l}17 \\ 7\end{array}\right.$
In the present case, the entropic index $q$ controls the con nem ent, allow ing to access di erent universality classes. The anom alies we found can be associated to an e ect of soft- (or weak-) con nem ent. H ow ever, the con ning potential in qO E is m any-body, as opposed to them ore com $m$ on single-particle con nem ent in standard $R$ andom $M$ atrix $T$ heories.

G aussian ensem bles are ergodic [2]. plicitly used the fact that individual large qO E- $\bar{m}$ atrioes have GOE statistics. This im plies that qO E is not ergodic (if is nite). In random $m$ atrix theory, non-ergodicity is considered to be a draw back because, it is argued, predictions (ensem ble-averages) are com pared $w$ th data obtained from a single system. W e do not object to this reasoning, but just $m$ ention that in som e cases em pirical data are indeed extracted from ensem bles of $H$ am iltoni-
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## APPENDIX A: GENERALIZED W IGNER SURM ISE

 qOE with $N=2$. We want to calculate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{~s} ; q_{i}\right) \quad \mathrm{h} \quad\left[\left("_{2} \quad "_{1}\right)(\mathrm{H}) \quad \mathrm{s}\right] \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{qO}} \mathrm{E} \text {; } \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $"_{1}$ < "2 are the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{H} . . \mathrm{F}$ irst we need $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{r})$ forFTE.This is a known result [16]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(s ; r)=p \frac{s}{2 r} p \frac{1}{2 r^{2} s^{2}} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(if the argum ent of the root is positive; zero otherw ise). A coording to $(2 \overline{-}), p(s ; q ;)$ is obtained by averaging ( $(\bar{A} \overline{-} \overline{-1})$ $w$ th the radialw eight of qO E, Eq. (121) w ith $d=3$. $\overline{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{e}$ show the result for the case $q>1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~s} ; q ;) / \operatorname{sexp}_{\mathrm{q}^{00}} \quad \infty_{\mathrm{s}^{2}} \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\infty}=\frac{1+q}{3 q} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\infty}=\frac{3 \text { q }}{4^{2}(5=3 \quad q)}: \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation ( (A- $\overline{-} \overline{-1})$ plays the role of $W$ igner's surm ise for qOE. It is more usefill to rew rite ( param eter ofEq. (14). The result is

$$
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{q} ;) / \mathrm{s} 1+{\frac{3 s^{2}}{2}}^{(1+=2)}: \quad \text { (A 6) }
$$

In Sect. $\overline{\text { IIITH}}$ th is expression is com pared $w$ ith the spacing distribution for the large $N$ case (Eq. (40, ).
[1] Statistical Theories of Spectra: Fluctuations, edited by C.E.P orter (A cadem ic, N ew Y ork, 1965).
[2] M .L.M ehta, Random M atrices and the Statistical Theory of E nergy Levels (A cadem ic, N ew York, 1967).
[3] T. A. B rody, J. F lores, J. B . French, P. A. M ello, A . Pandey, and S.S.M.W ong, Rev.M od. Phys. 53, 385 (1981).
[4] O. B ohigas, in Chaos and Q uantum P hysics, P roceedings of Les H ouches Sum m er School of Theoretical Physics, Les H ouches, 1989, edited by M J. G iannoni, A. Voros, and J. Z inn-Justin (N orth $H$ olland, A m sterdam, 1991).
[5] T. G uhr, A. M uller-G roeling, and H.A.W eidenm uller, Phys. Rep.299, 189 (1998).
[6] R.Balian, Nuov. C im . 57B, 183 (1968).
[7] E. Brezin and A. Zee, Nuc. Phys. B402, 613 (1993).
[8] G. H ackenbroich and H.A.W eidenm uller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4118 (1995).
[9] K . A. M uttalib, Y. C hen, M.E. H. Ism ail, and V.N. N icopoulos, P hys. Rev. Lett. 71, 471 (1993).
[10] E. B ogom olny, O . B ohigas, and M . P. Pato, Phys. Rev. E 55, 6707 (1997).
[11] P. C izeau and J. P. Bouchaud, Phys. Rev. E 50, 1810 (1994).
[12] C . T sallis, J. Stat. Phys. 52, 479 (1988); G. K aniadakis, M.Lissia, and A.R apisarda (eds.), N on Extensive Them odynam ics and Physical A pplications, P hysica A 305 (E lsevier, A m sterdam, 2002); M.G ell-M ann and C.T sallis (eds.), N onextensive Entropy \{ Interdisciplinary A pplications ( O xford U niversity P ress, N ew Y ork, 2004); H.L. Sw inney and C.T sallis (eds.), A nom alous $D$ istributions, $N$ on linear D ynam ics, and $N$ onextensivity, Physica D (E lsevier, A m sterdam ), in press. A regularly updated bibliography on the sub ject can be found at http://tsallis.cat.cdpp $f$ br/biblio htm

/0208151.
[14] If desired, the norm alization constants we om it here and there can be easily calculated using any sym bolic com puting software.
[15] G. Le C aer and R.Delannay, Phys. Rev. E 59, 6281 (1999).
[16] R .D elannay and G .Le C aer, J.P hys.A 33, 2611 (2000).
[17] C. T sallis, A. M . C. de Souza, and R. M aynard, in Levy ights and related topics in Physics, eds. M.F. Shlesinger, G.M. Zaslavsky, and U. Frisch (Springer, Berlin, 1995), p. 269; D. H. Zanette, B raz. J. Phys. 29, 108 (1999).
[18] R. S. M endes and C. T sallis, Phys. Lett. A 285, 273 (2001)
[19] P.A.A lem any and D.H. Zanette, P hys.Rev.E 49, R 956 (1994) and Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 366 (1995); C. T sallis, S. V.F.Levy, A.M.C. Souza, and R.M aynard, Phys. Rev.Lett. 75, 3589 (1995) and Phys. Rev.Lett. 77, 5442 (1996); D. Prato and C.T sallis, Phys. Rev.E 60, 2398 (1999).
[20] A. M. C. de Souza and C.T sallis, Physica A 236, 52 (1997).
[21] W . H. P ress, S.A. Teukolsky, W . T . Vetterling and B . P.F lannery, N um erical Recipes (C am bridge U niversity P ress, C am bridge, 1992).
[22] E.W . M ontroll and M .F. Shlesinger, J. Stat. Phys. 32, 209 (1983).
[23] Z. P luhar and H.A.W eidenm uller, P hys. R ev. Lett. 84, 2833 (2000).
[24] R.U.H aq, A. P andey, and O . Bohigas, P hys. R ev. Lett. 48, 1086 (1982).
[25] L. Levy, H. Reich, L. Pfei er, and K. W est, Physica 189B, 204 (1993).


[^0]:    
    YElectron ic address: vallejose cbpebit U R : http://www cbpf br d
    
    言
    'cbpf .br/biblio.htm'

