## Phase diagram and critical exponents of a dissipative Ising spin chain in a transverse magnetic eld

Philipp W emer, <sup>1</sup> K laus Volker, <sup>2</sup> M atthias Troyer, <sup>1,3</sup> and Sudip Chakravarty <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut fur theoretische Physik, ETH Honggerberg, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>D epartm ent of Physics, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada M 5S 1A 7

<sup>3</sup> Computational Laboratory, ETH Zurich, CH-8092 Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup>D epartm ent of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095-1547, USA

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

We consider a one-dimensional Ising model in a transverse magnetic eld coupled to a dissipative heat bath. The phase diagram and the critical exponents are determined from extensive Monte Carlo simulations. It is shown that the character of the quantum phase transition is radically altered from the corresponding non-dissipative model and the double-well coupled to a dissipative heat bath with linear friction. Spatial couplings and the dissipative dynamics combine to form a new quantum criticality which is independent of dissipation strength.

D issipation in a quantum system is an important statistical mechanical problem having ramications in systems as diverse as a single spin coupled to an environment [1] to limitations of quantum computation [2]. Of particular importance are the connections among decoherence, noise, dissipation, and the amount of coarse graining necessary for classical predictability [3]. D issipation can arise when we focus on some distinguished variables of a system, which are coupled to the ignored environment variables that are integrated out in a closed universe. Since dynamics and thermodynamics are intimately intertwined in quantum mechanics, dissipation plays a very important role.

A lthough a single two-state system coupled to dissipation has been extensively discussed in the literature [4], and its practical in plications are abundant, the case of in nitely many spatially coupled two-state systems has not been discussed. We shall show that spatial couplings and the dissipative dynamics combine to determine a new class of quantum critical points, which is dierent from the dissipative phase transition of the single two-state system [5] and the corresponding non-dissipative model. The nature of the quantum criticality does not depend on the value of the dissipation strength. This inding is in striking contrast to predictions for an array of resistively shunted Josephson junctions [6, 7].

The Hamiltonian for the Ising spin chain in a transverse eld and coupled to a heat bath reads

$$H = J \begin{bmatrix} X & X & X & X \\ J & Z & Z & X \\ i & i+1 & & i \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X \stackrel{i=1}{n} \qquad 0$$

$$+ C_k (a_{i,k}^Y + a_{i,k}) \stackrel{z}{i} + !_{i,k} a_{i,k}^Y a_{i,k} ; (1)$$

where  $\,^{x}$  and  $\,^{z}$  are the Paulim atrices. To each site, i, we have coupled a set of independent bosons  $fa_{i,k}g$  (destruction operators), of frequency  $!_{i,k}$ , representing the environment. The coupling strength,  $C_k$ , to the coordinate of the kth oscillator is chosen such that the spectral

function  $J(!) = 4 \sum_{k=0}^{P} C_k^2 (! !_{i,k}) = 2 !$ , for ! less than a cuto frequency ! c, but vanishes otherw ise. This spectral density de nes linear dissipation whose strength is determ ined by the parameter [8].

The bath degrees of freedom can be integrated out in a manner identical to the treatment of the spin-boson problem [9]. The only dierence is to recognize that the transfermatrix of the two-dimensional classical Ising model is the one-dimensional Ising model in a transverse eld (non-dissipative, of course) [10]. The partition function de ned on a (1 + 1)-dimensional lattice indexed by i and is

$$Z = Z_0$$
  $e^{S[f_{i}, g]};$  (2)

where Z<sub>0</sub> is the free boson partition function and

The classical Ising variables are de ned by f  $_{\rm i}$ ; = 1g, and the total number of lattice sites in the spatial and imaginary time directions are N  $_{\rm X}$  and N , respectively. Periodic boundary conditions are applied both in the space and imaginary time directions. The long-ranged term containing the dimensionless variable describes the 0 hm ic dissipation [11]. Here K =  $_{\rm c}$ J and e  $^2$  = tanh( $_{\rm c}$ ), where  $_{\rm c}$  is the lattice spacing in the imaginary time direction [12]. The universal scaling properties should not depend explicitly on  $_{\rm c}$ , and we can set it to unity without loss of generality. We shall investigate the critical behavior of this partition function as a function of the parameters K , and .

We use Monte Carlo simulations to study the action in Eq. (3), employing a variant of the Swendsen-Wang cluster algorithm [13] developed by Luijten and Blote




FIG. 1: Data collapse of B inder cumulants at the critical point for = 0.6 and z = 2. Points to the left of the dashed line were not considered since nite size elects become important in this region.

[14]. This cluster algorithm greatly reduces autocorrelation times and allows us to simulate lattices of more than  $10^7$  spins. First, assuming a continuous phase transition, we attempt the scaling procedure of Ref. [15]. For each value of we determ in the critical coupling K  $_{\rm C}$  and the dynamical critical exponent z self-consistently by looking for a data collapse of the B inder cumulant ratio B = 1  $\,$  hm  $^4\,\rm i=(3hm\,^2\,i^2)$ . Near the critical point B scales as

$$B(N_x;N) = B^{\frac{N_x}{2}}; \frac{N}{(N_x)^2} : \qquad (4)$$

In particular, at the critical point, where the correlation length diverges, the B inder cumulants collapse onto a universal function of  $N = (N_x)^z$ . The strategy is thus to plot B as a function of N for dierent values of  $N_x$ . At the critical coupling  $K_c$  them axim um value of B  $(N_x;N_x)$  is independent of  $N_x$ , and the critical exponent z can be found from the optimal collapse of these data sets onto the universal curve  $P_B(0;N_x) = N_x^z$ , as shown in Fig. 1. We use re-weighting techniques [18] to vary the value of K continuously and om it small system sizes where nite size corrections become important. The excellent data collapse validates the scaling Ansatz in Eq. (4).

At rst, we x =  $\frac{1}{2} \ln [\tanh (1)] = 0.136$  and calculate the phase diagram as a function of and K . It is shown in Fig. 2 and the corresponding values of K c and z are listed in Tab. I. The critical point at K = 0 was computed using the method in Ref. [23] to be = 1.2492 (4). The phase boundary K c ( ) seems to approach the K = 0 axis with zero slope which points to an essential singularity. This can be understood from a simple mean-eld argument, since the divergence of the susceptibility ( ! ) at K = 0 is exceedingly strong. The direction of approach to the critical point in the figacity-plane does determ ine the precise form [5],




FIG. 2: Phase diagram of the dissipative quantum Ising chain in the space of nearest neighbor coupling K and dissipation strength for = 0.136. The phase boundary appears to approach the K = 0 axis with zero slope, well approxim ated by an essential singularity.

but the divergence has an essential singularity as ! (()  $e^{A} = \frac{1}{2}$ ). Therefore, for nite but small K c, the phase boundary of the coupled system is given by 2 () K  $_{\rm C} = 1$ , hence the result shown in Fig. 2.

We next consider the order parameter correlation function C (x; ), which in an in nite system scales as

C (x; ) = 
$$h_{x}$$
;  $_{0;0}i$   $h_{x}$ ;  $ih_{0;0}i$   
 $x^{(z^{+} \quad 1)}g(x^{-}; =\bar{x})$ : (5)

The spatial correlation length diverges at the critical point and the characteristic frequency scale vanishes as  $^{\rm z}$ , as long as the dynamical critical exponent z is a nite and well de ned quantity. At the critical coupling K  $_{\rm c}$ , Eq. (5) simplies to

$$C(x; ) x^{(z+1)}g(x^{z}) = (x^{z+1})^{-2}\hat{g}(x^{z}) : (6)$$

If we set = 0 and  $K = K_c$ , the spin-spin correlation function in the spatial direction should therefore decay asymptotically as  $C(x;0) = x^{-(z+1)}$ . Observing that  $N = 6.5N_x^2$  is su cient to avoid nite size e ects in the directions we chose  $N_x = 140$  and  $N = 10^5$  and show the result in Figure 3. Fits were performed to the functional form  $(x^{-k} + (N_x - x)^{-k})$  in the region  $x_{m-in} = r - N_x = 2 = 70$ . The optimal value for k = z + 1 decreases from 1.003 for  $x_{m-in} = 5$  to 0:99 for  $x_{m-in} = 20$  while the errorbars increase in size. We estimate z + 2.00(1).

The imaginary time correlation function, shown in Fig. 4 falls to zero exponentially on the ordered side and  $h_{x}$ ;  $_{0;0}$ i approaches  $h_{x}$ ;  $_{i}^{2}$ . On the disordered side, the correlation function cannot decay faster than  $_{i}^{2}$  [16] and does not allow one to de ne a correlation time and a dynamical critical exponent z except in the sense of a generalized \Josephson length" [24]. Taking into ac-




FIG. 3: Decay of the spin-spin correlation  $h_x$ ,  $x_+r$ , i at the critical point for =0.6. The size of the lattice is  $N_x=140$  and  $N_x=10^5$ . The curve shows the best to the data points in the interval  $10^5$ .




FIG. 4: Spin-spin correlation function in imaginary time for =0.6, N  $_{\rm X}=500$  and N  $=10^4$ . The three curves show (from top to bottom) the decay at the critical point, and in the disordered and ordered phase respectively.

count the observed large corrections to scaling, our results at the critical point K = K  $_{\rm C}$ , shown in Fig. 4 for N  $_{\rm X}$  = 500 and N =  $10^4$  are roughly consistent with Eq. (6) and the previously determined values of z and . On the other hand, it was shown that for the corresponding (0+1)-dimensional problem C ( ) 1= ln at criticality [17]. Because of smaller corrections to scaling, we used the spatial dependence of the correlation function for the quantitative analysis.

We nally determ ine the critical exponent .By choosing N =  $5\,(\!N_{\,{}_{\rm X}})^z$  we again reduce the two-parameter scaling to a one-parameter one and can employ the same procedure as for the classical Ising model [19]. From the scaling ansatz hm  $^n$  i = N x  $^n$  =  $_n$  ((K  $_{\rm C}$ )N x  $^1$ = ) it follows

TABLE I:C ritical coupling and the critical exponents z and for di erent values of the dissipation strength  $\;\;$  and the coupling  $\;\;$ .

		K c	Z	
0.136	0	1	1	1
0.136	0.2	0.457699 (5)	1.97(3)	0.637(7)
0.136	0.4	0.23396(1)		
0.136	0.6	0.103842(2)	2.00(2)	0.639(3)
0.136	8.0	0.03142(1)		
0.136	1.0	0.0031045(1)	1.97(4)	0.624 (13)
0.136	1,2492 (4)	0	{	{
1.153	0.6	0.0011169(2)	1.98(4)	0.623 (9)




FIG. 5: Plot of  $2 \ln [m^2] - \ln [m^4]$  as a function of  $\ln N_x$  at = 0:6 and xing N =  $5 (N_x)^z$ ). The quantity  $[m^n]$  is de ned in Eq. (8) and according to Eq. (7) the slope of the tted line gives 1= .

lows that

$$2 \ln \frac{\theta \text{ lm}^2 i}{\theta K}$$
 ln  $\frac{\theta \text{ lm}^4 i}{\theta K}$  = const +  $\frac{1}{2} \ln N_x$ : (7)

The derivatives of  $\operatorname{Im}^n$  i with respect to K can be calculated from the correlation function

$$\frac{\text{@hm}^{n} \dot{\mathbf{i}}}{\text{@K}} = \text{hm}^{n} \quad _{x} \dot{\mathbf{i}}_{K_{c}} \quad \text{hm}^{n} \dot{\mathbf{i}}_{K_{c}} \mathbf{h} \quad _{x} \dot{\mathbf{i}}_{K_{c}} = : [m^{n}]; \quad (8)$$

where  $_{\rm x}$  denotes the quantity  $_{\rm x}=\frac{P}{_{\rm x;}$   $_{\rm x;}$   $_{\rm x+1;}$  . Results for , obtained from ts such as shown in Fig. 5 are listed in Tab. I. The errors due to the uncertainty in z were estimated from simulations with system sizes N = 5 (N  $_{\rm x})^z$ , using both z = 1:98 and z = 2. W ithin error bars there is no dependence of the critical exponents on the dissipation strength.

To test if our choice of the third parameter in the action in Eq. (3) { the nearest neighbor coupling { a ects the values of the critical exponents, we repeated the sim – ulations for  $=\frac{1}{2} \ln [\tanh (0.1)]$  at =0.6 and found

TABLE II: Comparison of exponents obtained by our simulations and the values predicted by the -expansion. The values of and z are averages of the various estimates listed in Tab.I. The sum z + w as determined for = 0.6 only.

	sim u lation	one loop two loop	
	0:638 0:0	0.583 [20] 0.633 + O ( <sup>3</sup> )	[21]
	0:015 0:0	$0.020   0.020 + 0 (^3)$	[20]
Z	1:985 0:0	015 2 1.980 + O ( <sup>3</sup> )	[20]
z +	2:00 0:01	. 2 2 [20]	

consistency with the previous results. Note that when the H am iltonian of the Ising model in a transverse eld contains the coupling to the heat bath, is an independent parameter. We nally checked that our numerical results do not depend on the aspect ratio by increasing  $N = (N_{\rm x})^{\rm z}$  from 5 to 20 and used two dierent random number generators [25, 26] to rule out the only remaining possible sources of system atic errors.

We now compare these result with the predictions from a dissipative n-component  $^4$  eld theory [20, 21] in an expansion in =2 d (the one dimensional Ising chain corresponds to n=1 and =1), which is based on their result that the dissipation strength does not renormalize to all orders in . The agreement with the simulation shown in Table II is very good, but may deteriorate with higher order terms, as even the -expansion of the standard  $^4$  theory is asymptotic in nature and reliable exponents cannot be obtained without a Borel-Pade analysis [22].

We believe that for the first time we have been able to carry out a precise simulation of an extended dissipative quantum system and have made progress towards understanding of quantum criticality in the presence of dissipation. An intriguing problem that can be analyzed by the present method is the criticality of a (0+1)-dimensional transition embedded in the higher dimensional system discussed in the context of an array of resistively shunted Josephson junctions [6, 7] and the quantum theory of the smectic metal state in stripe phases [28]. It is remarkable, however, that a oating phase arises naturally in the problem of a dissipative Josephson junction array, where the states of (0+1)-dimensional power law phases can slide past one another.

S.C. has been supported by the NSF under Grant No. DMR-0411931. M.T. acknowledges support by the Swiss National Science Foundation. The calculations have been performed on the Asgard Beowulf cluster at ETH Zurich, using the ALPS library [29]. We thank F.Alet, J. Frohlich, Ch. Helm, S. Kivelson, C. Nayak, S. Sachdev and S. Tewari for many interesting discussions. S.C. and M.T. would like to thank the Aspen Center for Physics, where a part of the work was carried out.

- [2] See, for exam ple, D. Tolkunov and V. Privm an, Phys. Rev. A 69, 062309 (2004); A. Fedorov, L. Fedichkin and V. Privm an, J. Theor. Comput. Nanosci., in press (condmat/0401248).
- [3] M. Gell-M ann and J.B. Hartle, Phys. Rev. D 47, 3345 (1993).
- [4] A.J. Leggett et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 59, 1 (1987).
- [5] S. Chakravarty, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 681 (1982); A. J. Bray and M. A. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1545 (1982).
- [6] S. Chakravarty et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2303 (1986);M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 885 (1986);S. Chakravarty et al. Phys. Rev. B 37, 3283 (1988).
- [7] S. Tewari, J. Toner and S. Chakravarty, cond-mat/0407308.
- [8] A.O. Caldeira and A.J. Leggett, Ann. Phys. 149 374 (1983).
- [9] V.J.Em ery and A.Luther, Phys. Rev. B 9, 215 (1974).
- [10] P.P feuty, Ann. Phys. (NY) 57, 79 (1970).
- [11] A related model, the quantum Ising chain with long ranged spatial interactions has been studied by A W . Sandvik, Phys.Rev.E 68,56701 (2003).
- [12] A Itemative discretizations in the Trotter-Suzuki form ula can yield di erent results, although they all agree in the lim it  $_{\rm c}$ ! 0. For example, another expression for is  $_{\rm 2}^{\rm 1} \ln [_{\rm c}]$ . Since, we are only interested in the universal critical properties, these di erences are of no consequence.
- [13] R.H.Swendsen and J.S.W ang, Phys.Rev.Lett. 58, 86 (1987).
- [14] E.Luiten and H.W.K.Blote, Int.J.M od.Phys.C 6, 359 (1995).
- [15] M. Guoetal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 4137 (1994); H. Rieger and A. P. Young, ibid. 72, 4141 (1994).
- [16] R.B.Griths, J.Math.Phys. 8, 478 (1967).
- [17] J. Bhattacharjee et al., Phys. Rev. B 24, 3862 (1981).
- [18] A.M. Ferrenberg and R.H. Swendsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2635 (1988).
- [19] A.M. Ferrenberg and D.P. Landau, Phys. Rev. B 44, 5081 (1991).
- [20] S. Pankov et al, Phys. Rev. B 69, 054426 (2004).
- [21] S.Sachdev, P.W emer, and M. Troyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 237003 (2004).
- [22] J. Zinn-Justin, Quantum eld theory and critical phenomena, chapter 25 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1989).
- [23] E.Luiten and H.M essingfeld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5305 (2001).
- [24] S.Chakravarty and J.Rudnick, Phys.Rev.Lett.75,501-504 (1995)
- [25] R.Brent, Proc. of Fifth Australian Supercom puter Conference, Melbourne, 704 (1992).
- [26] M .M atsum oto and T .N ishim ura, A CM Transactions on M odeling and C om puter Sim ulation, 8, 3 (1998).
- [27] C.S.O'Hem,T.C.Lubensky, and J.Toner, Phys.Rev. Lett.83, 2745 (1999).
- [28] V.J.Em ery et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2160 (2000).
- [29] M. Troyer et al., Lecture Notes in Computer Science 1505, 191 (1998). Source codes of the libraries are available from http://alps.comp-phys.org/.