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#### Abstract

W e investigate the phase transition of the 3-coloring problem on random graphs, using the extrem aloptim ization heuristic. 3-coloring is am ong the hardest com binatorial optim ization problem $s$ and is closely related to a 3-state anti-ferrom agnetic Potts model. Like $m$ any other such optim ization problem $s$, it has been shown to exhibit a phase transition in its ground state behavior under variation of a system param eter: the graph's m ean vertex degree. This phase transition is often associated w ith the instances of highest com plexity. W e use extrem al optim ization to m easure the ground state cost and the \backbone", an order param eter related to ground state overlap, averaged over a large num ber of instances near the transition for random graphs of size $n$ up to 512. For graphs up to this size, benchm arks show that extrem al optim ization reaches ground states and explores a su cient num ber of them to give the correct backbone value after about 0 ( $n^{3: 5}$ ) update steps. $F$ in ite size scaling gives a criticalm ean degree value $c=4: 703$ (28). Furtherm ore, the exploration of the degenerate ground states indicates that the backbone order param eter, $m$ easuring the constrainedness of the problem, exhibits a rst-order phase transition.


PACS num bers: $02.60 \mathrm{Pn}, 05.10 .-\mathrm{a} 75.10 \mathrm{Nr}$,

## I. INTRODUCTION

T hem ost challenging instances ofcom putationalproblem s are often found near a criticalthreshold in the problem 's param eter space $[\underline{[1]}]$, w here certain characteristics of the problem change dram atically. O ne such problem, already discussed in Ref. problem. C onsider a random graph [6] having $n$ vertices and $m$ edges placed random ly am ong all possible pairs of vertices. T he num ber of edges em anating from each vertex is then $P$ oisson-distributed around a m ean degree
$=2 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{n}$. T o 3-color the graph, we need to assign one of three colors to each vertex so as to $m$ inim ize the num ber of $\backslash m$ onochrom atic" edges, i.e., those connecting vertices of the sam e color. In particular, we m ay want to decide w hether it is possible to $m$ ake an assignm ent $w$ thout any $m$ onochrom atic edges using, for instance, a backtracking assignm ent procedure. Typically, if the m ean degree is low (for exam ple, when each vertex m ost likely has few er than 3 neighbors), one quickly nds a perfect coloring. If the $m$ ean degree is high, one soon determ ines that m onochrom atic edges are unavoidable after xing just a sm all num ber of vertiges. At an interm ediate $m$ ean degree value, how ever, som e graphs are perfectly colorable while others are not. In that case, for each instance one must inspect $m$ any alm ost-com plete colorings, $m$ ost of which failonly when trying to assign a few nalvertioes, before colorability can be decided $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1,1} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$

[^0]$n$, the regim e ofm ean degree values for which the decision problem is hard becom es narrow ly focused, while the com putational com plexity of the backtracking algorithm w ithin this regim e grow s faster than any pow er of $n$, signs of the im pending singularity associated $w$ ith $a$ phase transition.

Such ndings have spawned considerable interest am ong com puter scientists and statisticalphysicists alike. O n one hand, there appear to be close links to the properties of spin glass system $s$ [ $\left[_{1}^{1}\right]$. U sing replica sym $m$ etry
 dergoes a colorability transition at crit $=4: 69$, heralded by the spontaneous em ergence at $=3: 35$ of a sizable 3-core [10 tion. This analysis show S , furtherm ore, that the hardest instances to decide are located betw een a clustering transition at 4:42 and crit. On the other hand, attem pts have been $m$ ade to relate the nature of the phase transition to com plexity classi cations developed by com puter scientists for com binatorial problem s [11]: it has been suggested that N P -com plete problem $s$, which are hard to solve, display a rst-order phase transition while easier problem s lead to a second-order transition [12]. Such a relation, while intriguing and suggestive, is bound to be questionable in light of the fact that these phase transitions are based on a notion of average-case com plexity (for instance, 3-coloring averaged over the ensemble of random graphs), distinct from the notion of w orst-case com plexity used by com puter scientists to de ne NPcompleteness [13] and other such complexity theoretic categories. In fact, it currently appears that a rst-order transition ism erely an indicator for the com plexity ofcertain types of algorithm s: local searches. A m odel prob-
 can be solved by a fast global algorithm yet it is extrem ely hard for local search or backtracking assignm ent algorithm s.

In this paper we consider the 3 -coloring problem $m$ entioned above. The problem is am ong the hardest combinatorial optín ization problem s , m aking it di cult to study the asym ptotic properties of its phase transition w ith exact m ethods. It is also of considerable interest in its ow $n$ right as a $m$ odel for $m$ any practical optim ization problem s $[11,1$, and it is of som e physical relevance due to its close relation to $P$ otts anti-ferrom agnets [1] [1]. Som e aspects of the 3-coloring phase transition have pre-
 and $G$ ent $\left[{ }_{5}^{1}\right]$ ] have studied the phase transition for random graphsw ith exact m ethods by \grow ing" random graphs of size $n \quad 225$, sequentially adding random edges to an existing graph to increase , and checking along the way whether the graph is still colorable. O nce a graph becom es uncolorable, it is discarded from the list ofgrow ing graphs, so the set of graphs becom es increasingly less representative of the ensem ble when passing through the transition. In the process, these authors have evaluated the constrainedness of the variables in the graph, studying in detail $m$ any aspects of the approach to the transition. H ow ever, the $m$ ain quantity they $m$ easure, called the \spine" $\left.[1]_{1}\right]$ is in generalan upper bound on the order param eter we consider here, since contributions from uncolorable graphs are neglected.

W e investigate the properties near the phase transition by applying an optim ization heuristic called extrem alop-
 a general-purpose optim ization $m$ ethod based on the dynam ics of driven, dissipative system s [2d]. O ur study show that EO is capable of determ ining $m$ any groundstate properties e ciently, even at the phase transition [ $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} 11_{1}^{1}\right]$. EO perform sa localneighborhood search that does not get stuck in local m inim a but proceeds to explore near-optim al con gurations broadly. H ence, it is particularly wellsuited to $m$ easure globalproperties of the con-
guration space. H ere, we use it to estim ate the \backbone", an overlap property betw een the highly degenerate ground state con gurations that provides a m ore convenient order param eter than $m$ easuring $m$ utualoverlaps of all ground states [ $[2 \overline{2}]$. W hile EO is not exact, bench$m$ ark com parisons with exactly-solved, large instances justify our con dence in its results. O ur biggest errors originate from the lack of statistics at large $n$.

O ur results indicate that the transition in the backbone size is of rst order, though with only a sm all discontinuity. In fact, the discontinuity does not arise uniform ly for all graphs in the ensem ble, but is due to a fraction of instances that have a strong backbone of a characteristic size while the rest have hardly any backbone at all.

U sing the procedure of $R$ ef. [2"'] to control the quality of nite size scaling for the ground state cost function, we estim ate the location of the transition as crit $4: 703$ (28), where the num bers in parentheses denote the
statistical error bar in the nal digits. This is consistent with the presum ably correct value of $=4: 69$ given by replica sym $m$ etry breaking $m$ ethods $\left.\operatorname{Lan}_{1}^{[ }\right]$(see also Refs. $\left.{ }_{[-13}^{3}, 1,141\right]$ for earlier estim ates). W e $m$ easure the size of the scaling $w$ indow as $n^{1=}$ w ith $=1: 43(6)$, close to the value of 1.5 estim ated for 3-SAT [ [231], although it $m$ ay be that trivialn ${ }^{1=2}$ - uctuations from the variables not belonging to the 3-core [10 ${ }_{1}^{1}$ ] dom inate at $m$ uch larger $n$ than considered here $\left[2_{1}^{-1} 1_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.

In the follow ing section, we introduce the problem of $3-c o l o r i n g$ in $m$ ore detailand discuss the relevant observables we m easure in order to analyze the phase transition. In Sec. III we discuss our EO im plem entation and its properties. In Sec. "IV-1 we present the results of our $m$ easurem ents, and we conclude with Sec. V .
II. $3-\mathrm{COLOR} \mathbb{I N G}$ OFRANDOM GRAPHS

A random graph [G] $\overline{-1}]$ is constructed from a set of $n$ vertioes by assigning an edge to $m=n=2$ of the $\begin{gathered}n \\ 2\end{gathered}$ pairs ofvertioes $w$ th equalprobability, so that is the average vertex degree. H ere, we w ill only consider the regim e of \sparse" random graphswhere $m=O(n)$ and $=O(1)$. The goal of graph coloring is to label each vertex w ith a di erent color so as to avoid m onochrom atic edges.

Three di erent versions of the coloring problem are of interest. First, there is the classic problem of determ ining the \chrom atic num ber" for a given graph, i.e., the $m$ inim um number of colors needed to color the graph while avoiding m onochrom atic edges. It is very di cult to devise a heuristic for this problem [25]. In the other two versions, we are given a xed number $K$ of colors to select from. The decision problem, $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{COL}$, addresses the question of whether a given graph is colorable or not. F inally, the optim ization problem, M A X -$K-C O L$, tries to $m$ inim ize the num ber ofm onochrom atic edges (or equivalently, $m$ axim ize the num ber of nonm onochrom atic edges, hence its nam e). C learly, if we de ne the num ber ofm onochrom atic edges as the \cost" or \energy" of a color assignm ent, determ ining whether the $m$ in im al cost is zero or non-zero corresponds to solving the decision problem $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{COL}$, so nding the actual cost of the ground state is alw ays at least as hard. M uch of the discussion regarding the com plexity near phase transitions in the com puter science literature is focused on the decision problem [31, ['T] . From a physics perspective, it seem $s m$ ore intultive to exam ine the behavior of the ground states as one passes the transition. A ccordingly, wew ill focus on the MAX K-COL problem in this paper.

A 11 these versions of coloring are NP hard [1] [1] , and thus com putationally hard in the worst case. To determ ine exact answers would alm ost certainly require a com putational tim e grow ing faster than any power of $n$. Thus, extracting results about asym ptotic properties of the problem s is a daunting task, calling for the use of accurate heuristic $m$ ethods, as discussed in the follow ing
section.
The control param eter describing our ensem ble of instances is the average vertex degree of the random graphs. C onstructing an appropriate order param eter to classify the transition is less obvious. The analogy to spin-glass theory $[06,26]$ suggests the follow ing reasoning. In a hom ogeneousm edium possessing a single pure equilibrium state, the $m$ agnetization provides the con jugate
eld to analyze the ferrom agnetic transition. For our 3 -coloring problem, the disorder induced by the random graphs leads to a decom position into $m$ any coexisting but unrelated pure states $w$ ith a distribution of $m$ agnetizations. Since the colors corresp ond to the spin orientations in the related Potts m odel, we need in principle to determ ine, for each graph, the overlap betw een all pairs of ground state colorings. Finally, this distribution has to be averaged over the ensem ble. To sim plify the task, one can instead extract directly the \backbone", which is the set of variables that take on the sam e state in all possible ground state colorings of a given instance [12]. But even determ ining the backbone is a form idable undertaking: it requires not only nding a low est cost coloring but sam pling a substantialnum ber of those colorings for each graph, since the ground state entropy is extensive.

A nother level of di culty arises due to the invariance of the ground states under a global color perm utation. Thus, in the set of allground states, each vertex can take on any color and the backbone as de ned above is em pty. To avoid this triviality, one $m$ ay rede ne the backbone in the follow ing w ay. Instead of considering individual vertices, consider all pairs of vertices that are not connected by an edge $\left[\left.\frac{15}{5} \right\rvert\,\right]$. De ne the pair to be part of the frozen backbone if its vertioes are of like color ( $m$ onochrom atic) in all ground state colorings, so that the presence of an edge there w ould necessarily incur a cost. De ne the pair to be part of the free backbone if its vertices are of unlike color (non-m onochrom atic) in allground state colorings, so that the presence of an edge there w ould not incur any cost. Since the fraction of pairs that belong to the frozen backbone $m$ easures the constrainedness of an instance, it is the relevant order param eter. W e have also sam pled the free backbone. A s shown in Sec. IIV', both seem to exhibit a rst-order transition, though the jump for the frozen backbone is sm all.

By de nition, the location of the transition is deter$m$ ined through a (second-order) singularity in the cost function $C$ : the cost is asym ptotically vanishing below the transition, it is continuous at the transition, and above it is alw ays non-zero, W e have therefore $m$ easured the ground state cost, averaged over $m$ any instances, for a range ofm ean degree values and sizes $n$.

## III. EXTREMALOPTIM IZATION

To investigate the phase transition in $3-C O L$, we em ploy the extrem al optim ization heuristic (EO) [181]. The use ofa heuristic m ethod, while only approxim ate, allow s
us to $m$ easure observables form uch larger system sizes $n$ and w ith better statistics then would be accessible w ith exact $m$ ethods. $W$ e w ill argue below that we can obtain optim al results $w$ ith su cient probability that even system atic errors in the exploration of ground states w illbe sm all com pared to the statistical sam pling error.

O ur EO implem entation is as follows. A ssume we are given a graph w ith a (how ever im perfect) in itial assignm ent of colors to its vertices. Each vertex i has i edges to neighboring vertioes, of which $0 \quad g_{i} \quad i$ are \good" edges, i.e., to neighbors of a di erent color (not m onochrom atic). We de ne for each vertex a \ness"

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=\frac{g_{i}}{i} 2[0 ; 1] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and determ ine a perm utation (not necessarily unique) over the vertices such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1) \quad \text { (2) } \quad::: \quad(\mathrm{n}): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

At each update step, EO draw s a num ber $k$ from a distribution

$$
\left.P(k) \quad k \quad \begin{array}{lll}
(1 & k & n \tag{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

w ith a bias tow ard sm all numbers. A vertex $i$ is selected from the ordered list in Eq. (2, $\bar{L}^{\prime}$ ) according to its \rank" k, i.e., $i=(k)$. Vertex $i$ is updated unconditionally, i.e., it alw ays receives a new color, selected at random from one of the other colors. A s a consequence, vertex $i$ and all its neighbors change their tnesses and a new ranking
w ill have to be established. T hen, the update process starts over w ith selecting a new rank $k$, and so on until som e term ination condition is reached. A long the way, EO keepstrack of the con guration with the best coloring it has visited $s o$ far, $m$ eaning the one that $m$ inim izes the num ber of $m$ onochrom atic edges, $C=i\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & \left.g_{i}\right)=2 \text {. }\end{array}\right.$

Previous studies have found that EO obtains nearoptim alsolutions for a variety of hard optim ization prob-
 For $3-\mathrm{COL}$, initial trials have determ ined that best results are obtained for the system sizes $n=32 ; 64 ;::: ; 512$ at a_( xed) value of $2: 2$. This rather large value of [2d] helps explore $m$ any low-cost con gurations e ciently; if we m erely wanted to determ ine low -cost solutions, larger values of $n$ could have been reached m ore e ciently at a sm aller value of .

It should be noted that our de nition of tness does not follow the generic choice $i=g_{i}=2$ that would give a totalcon guration cost of $=$ const: i i. W hile this form ulation sounds appealing, and does produce results of the sam equality, our choice above produces those sam e results som ew hat faster; there appears to be som e advantage to treating all vertices, whose individual degrees i are Poisson-distributed around the $m$ ean , on an equal footing. Furthem ore, our im plem entation lim its itselfto partially sorting the tnesses on a balanced heap [1\$], rather than ranking them perfectly as in Eq. (2,). In this way, the com putational cost is reduced by a factor of $n$ while perform ance is only minim ally a ected [ilip].

## A. M easuring the backbone

T he backbone, described in Sec. II, is a collective property of degenerate ground states for a given graph. T hus, in this study we are interested in determ ining not only the cost C of the ground state, but also a good sam pling of all possible ground state con gurations. Local search w th EO is ideally suited to probe for properties that are broadly distributed over the con guration space, since for sm all enough it does not get trapped in restricted regions. Even after EO has found a locally m in im al cost con guration, it proceeds to explore the con guration space widely to nd new con gurations of the sam e or low er cost, as long as the process is run.

A gainst these advantages, one $m$ ust recognize that E O is $m$ erely a heuristic approxim ation to a problem of exponential com plexity. Thus, to safeguard the accuracy of our $m$ easurem ents, we devised the follow ing adaptive procedure. For each graph, starting from random initial colorings, EO was run for $\mathrm{n}^{3}$ update steps, using a m inim um of 5 di erent restarts. For the low est cost seen so far, EO keeps a bu er of up to $n=4 m$ ost recently visited con gurationsw ith that cost. If it nds another con guration w ith the sam e cost, it quickly determ ines whether it is already in the bu er. If not, EO adds it on top of the bu er (possibly \forgetting" an older con guration at the bottom of the bu er). Thus, EO does not keep a $m$ em ory of all $m$ inim al cost con gurations seen so far, which for ground states can have degeneracies of $>10^{6}$ even at $\mathrm{n}=64$. Instead of enum erating allground states exhaustively, we proceed as follows. W hen EO nds a new, low est cost con guration, it assum es initially that all pairs of equally colored vertices are part of the frozen backbone and all other pairs are part of the free backbone. If another con guration of the sam e cost is found and it is not already in the bu er, EO checks all of the pairs in it. If a pair has alw ays been frozen (free) before and is so now, it rem ains part of the frozen (free) backbone. If a pair was alw ays frozen (firee) before and it is free (frozen) in this con guration, it is elim inated from both backbones. If a pair has already been elim inated previously, no action is taken. In this way, certain ground-state con gurationsm ay be m issed or tested $m$ any tim es over, w thout a ecting the backbones significantly.

Eventually, even if new and unrecognized con gurations of the lowest cost are found, no further changes to either backbone are likely to occur. T his fact m otivates our adaptive stopping criterion for EO . A ssum e the current backbone was last $m$ odi ed in the 6 th restart. $T$ hen, for this graph EO restarts for a total of at least $r=r_{0}+m$ axfr $r_{0} ; 5 \mathrm{~g}$ tim es, term inating only when there has been no updates to the backbone over the previous $m$ axfr $r_{0} ; 5 \mathrm{~g}$ restarts. O f course, every tim e a new, low ercost con guration is found, the bu er and backbone arrays are reset. U ltim ately, th is procedure leads to adaptive runtim es that depend on the peculiarities of each graph. The idea is that if the low est cost state is found
in the rst start and the backbone does not change over 5 m ore restarts, one assum es that no further changes to it w illeverbe found by EO. H ow ever, ifEO keeps updating the backbone through, say, the 20th restart, one had better continue for 20 m ore restarts to be con dent of convergence. The typical num ber of restarts was about 10, while for a few larger graphs, $m$ ore than 50 restarts were required.

A $m$ a jority of our com putational tim $e$ is spent $m$ erely con $m$ ing that the backbones have converged, since during the nalmaxfrifg restarts nothing new is found. N evertheless, EO still saves vast am ounts of com puter tim $e$ and $m$ em ory in com parison $w$ ith exact enum eration techniques. The trade-o lies in the risk of $m$ issing som e low est cost con gurations, as well as in the risk of never nding the true ground state to begin with. To get an estim ate of the system atic error resulting from these uncontrollable risks, we have benchm arked our EO im plem entation against a num ber ofdi erent exact results.

F irst, we used a set of 700 explicitly 3-colorable graphs over 7 di erent sizes, $n=75 ; 100 ;::: ; 225$ (100 graphs per value of $n$ ) at $=4: 7$, kindly provided by J. Cul berson, for which exact spine values []$\left._{1}\right]$ were found as
 the spine is identical to the backbone. Our EO im ple$m$ entation correctly determ ined the 3-colorability of all but one graph, and reproduced nearly all backbones exactly, regardless of size n. For all graph sizes, EO failed to locate colorable con gurations on atm ost 5 graphs out of 100, and in those cases overestim ated either backbone fraction by less than $4 \%$. Only at $n=225$ did EO miss the colorability of a single graph to nd C = 1 instead, thereby underestim ating both backbones.

In a di erent benchm ark, containing colorable as well as uncolorable graphs, we generated 440 random graphs over 4 di erent sizes, $n=32 ; 64 ; 128 ; 256$ and 11 di erent m ean degree values $=4: 0 ; 4: 1 ;::: 75: 0$ (10 graphs per value of $n$ and $)$. We found the exact $m$ in im um cost and exact fraction of pairs belonging to the backbone for these graphs, by rem oving edges until an exact branch-and-bound code due to $M$ A. T rick [ 3 did determ ined 3-colorability. For exam ple, nding that a graph had a ground state cost of $C=2$ involved considering all possible 2-edge rem ovals until a rem ainder graph was found to be $3-\infty 0$ lorable. We then added edges to vertex pairs in this rem ainder graph, checking whether the graph stayed colorable: if so, that pair was elim inated from the frozen backbone. Likew ise, we m erged vertex pairs, checking whether the graph stayed colorable: if so, that pair w as elim inated from the free backbone. F inally, we would repeat the procedure on all colorable 2-edgerem oved rem ainder graphs, potentially elim inating pairs from the frozen and free backbones each tim e. C om paring w th the exact testbed arising from this procedure show s that, for all graphs, EO found the correct ground state cost. M oreover, EO overestim ated the frozen backbone fraction on only 4 graphs out of 440 ( 2 at $n=128$ and 2 at $n=256$, in both cases at $=4: 6)$, and by
at m ost 0.004 . This leads to a predicted system atic error that is at least an order of $m$ agnitude $s m$ aller than the statistical error bars in the results we present later. EO's free backbone results were not of as good quality, overestim ating the backbone fraction on 36 graphs out of 440 , by an average of 0.003 though in one case ( $n=256$,
$=4: 6)$ by as much as 0.027 . T he resulting system atic error, how ever, is still sm all com pared to the statistical error bars in ourm ain results.

It is also instructive to study the running tim es for EO, how they scale w ith increasing graph size, and how they com pare w ith the exact algorithm we have used for benchm arking. In ourEO im plem entation, we have m easured the average num ber of update steps it took (1) to nd the ground state cost for the rst time, htts $i$, and (2) to sam ple the backbone com pletely, $h t_{B B}$ i. (N ote that $t_{B B}$, corresponding to $r_{0}$ in Sec.'IIIA, is alw ays less than half the total tim e spent to satisfy the stopping criterion for an EO run described above.) Both $t_{G S}$ and $t_{B B}$ can
uctuate w idely for graphs of a given $n$ and, especially when $C>0$. H ow ever, since our num erical experim ents involve a large num ber of graphs, the average tim es htis $i$ and $h t_{B B} i$ are reasonably stable. Furtherm ore, $h t_{G} i$ and $h t_{B}$ i show only a weak dependence on , varying by no $m$ ore than a factor of2: $h t_{G} i$ increases slow ly for increasing, and ht ${ }_{B B}$ i has a soft peak at $c[1]$. Thus, for each n we average these tim es over as well, leading only to a slight increase in the error bars (on a logarithm ic scale). W e have plotted the average quantities in Fig. 'I'1 on a log-log scale as a function of $n$. It suggests that the tim e EO takes to nd ground states increases exponentially but very weakly so. O nce they are found, EO manages to sam ple a su cient num ber of them in about $O\left(n^{3: 5}\right)$ updates to $m$ easure the backbone accurately.

By contrast, one cannot easily quantify the scaling behavior of running tim es for the exact branch-andbound benchm arking $m$ ethod. As ground state cost increases, the com plexity of the $m$ ethod quickly becom es overw helm ing, and rules out using it to $m$ easure average quantities with any statistical signi cance. C learly, branch-and-bound itself has exponential com plexity for determ ining colorability. For the sizes studied here, how ever, the exponential grow th in n appears in fact subdom inant to the $O\left(n^{\mathrm{C}+2}\right)$ com plexity of evaluating the backbone for a graph w th non-zero ground state costs. W hen $C=1$ or 2 , the combinatoriale ort ism anageable, but at $n=256$, graphs just at the transition ( $=4: 70$ ) reach $C \quad 3$ and the algorithm takes weeks to test all rem ainder graphs. From this com parison, one can appreciate EO's speed in estim ating the backbone fractions, how ever approxim ate!

IV . NUMERICALRESULTS

W ith the EO im plem entation as described above, we have sam pled ground state approxim ations for a large num ber of graphs at each size $n$. In particular we have


FIG. 1: Log-log plot of the average tim e htg $i$ i to reach the low est cost state found (circles) and $h t_{B}$ i to sam ple the backbone (squares), in units of EO -update steps, as a function of system size $n$. T he dashed line, $0: 003 n^{3: 5} \exp (0: 004 n)$ gives a reasonable $t$ to htsi (after having assum ed the $n^{3: 5}$ power law for the $t$ ), and the dash-dotted line, $0: 3 n^{3: 5}$, is a $t$ obtained for $h t_{B} i$. ( $T$ aking these crude ts at face value, $m$ erely reaching the rst good ground state approxim ations would begin to dom inate the runtim e at about $n \quad 10^{3}$ ).
considered, over a range of , 100000 random graphs of size $n=32,10000$ of size $n=64,4000$ of size $n=128$, and 1000 of size $n=256$. By averaging over the low est energies found for these graphs, we obtain an approxim ation for average ground state costs hC i as a function of and $n$, as show $n$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{2}$. W e have also sam pled 160 instances of size $n=512$, which provided enough statistics for the backbone though not for the ground state costs.
$W$ ith a nite size scaling ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hCi } n f^{h}(\quad \text { crit }) n^{1=} \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

system atically applied $\left[\begin{array}{l}2 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$, it is possible to extract precise estim ates for the location of the transition $c$ and the scaling $w$ indow exponent. In the scaling regim e, one $m$ ight assum $e$ that the cost for the xed argum ent of the scaling function is independent of the size, i.e.,
$=0$, indicated by the fact that for all values of $n$ the cost functions cross in virtually the sam epoint. H ence, in results w e have previous reported [1] [1], we obtained w hat appeared to be the best data collapse by xing $=0$ and choosing crit $=4: 72(1)$ and $=1: 53(5)$, w th the error bars in parentheses being estim ates based on our ow $n$ assessm ent of the data collapse. But a m ore careful autom ated $t$ to our data, provided to us by S M . B hattacharjee, gives 0:001(3), crit $=4: 703(28)$, and $=1: 43$ (6) w th a tolerance level of $=1 \%$ (see Ref. $\left.\left.{ }_{2}^{2} 2_{1}^{1}\right]\right) . W$ hile these ts are consistent $w$ ith our previous results, they are also consistent $w$ ith and $m$ uch closer to the presum ably exact result of crit $=4: 69:::$ [kill and the error estim ates are considerably $m$ ore trustw orthy.
$T$ he scaling $w$ indow is determ ined by tw o com peting contributions: for the interm ediate values of $n$ accessi-


F IG . 2: P lot of the average cost as a function of the vertex degree. A fter correct nite size scaling, the data collapses onto a single scaling fiunction, as show $n$ in the insert. The $t$ gives crit $4: 70, m$ arked by a vertical line.
ble in this study it is dom inated by nontrivial contributions arising from the correlations am ongst the variables, which yields $1: 43$ (6), sim ilar to satis ability problem s [23]. H ow ever, for su ciently large $n, W$ ilson [24] has shown that 2 , due to intrinsic features of the ensemble of random graphs. The argum ent $m$ ay be paraphrased as follow s. Since $=O(1)$ and vertex degrees are $P$ oisson-distributed $w$ th $m$ ean , a nite fraction of vertioes in a random graph have degrees 0,1 , or 2 (those not belonging to the 3-core $\left.\left.[1]^{-1}\right]\right)$ and thus cannot possibly causem onochrom atic edges. But this nite fraction itself undergoes (norm al) $1=\stackrel{P}{\mathrm{n}}$ uctuations, and these uctuations lim it the narrow ing of the cost function's scaling w indow at large n . Such variables $m$ ake up about 15\% of the total near crit, so we estim ate the crossover to occure at $\mathrm{n}^{1=} \quad 0: 15 \mathrm{n}^{1=2}$ or $\mathrm{n} \quad 10^{5}$, assum ing all other constants to be unity.

O ur next $m$ ain result is the estim ate of the backbone near the phase transition, as described in Sec. TIIAl. W e have sam pled the frozen and the free backbones ${ }^{-1 /[]}$ separately. O ur results show the fraction of vertex pairs in each backbone, and are plotted in Fig. $\overline{13} 1$. . For the free backbone, consistent with our de nition, we do not include any pairs that are already connected by an edge. A lthough they m ake up only $O(1=n)$ of the pairs, the inclusion of these w ould cause a signi cant nite size e ect when the backbone is sm all, and only by om itting them does the free backbone vanish for $<$ crit. In principle, according to our de nition one should also be sure to exem pt from the frozen backbone any pairs that are connected by a m onochrom atic edge in all ground state con gurations, but at crit their im pact on the backbone is only $O\left(1=n^{2}\right)$.

A S F ig. $w$ ard a discontinuly for increasing $n$. T he backbone fraction com es increasingly close to vanishing below crit, followed by an increasingly steep jum p and then a plateau


F IG . 3: P lot of the frozen (top) and the free (bottom) backbone fraction as a function of the vertex degree. T he critical point crit 4:70 is indicated by a vertical line.
that, to $w$ ith in statisticalnoise, appears stable at large $n$. The height of the plateau at crit suggests that on average about 6\% of allpairs are frozen and close to $20 \%$ are free, w ith both values rising further for increasing degree. T he \jum p" in the frozen backbone is som ew hat sm aller than that in the free backbone, adding a higher degree of uncertainty to that interpretation, although still well justi ed within the error bars. Indeed, given the considerable ground state degeneracies, it would be surprising if the frozen backbone were large.

A m ore detailed look at the data ( $F$ ig. $\cdot \overline{4} \overline{4}$ ) suggests that the distribution of frozen backbone fractions for individual instances is bim odal at the transition, i.e., about half of the graphs have a backbone well over 10\% while the other half have no backbone at all, leading to the average of $6 \% \mathrm{~m}$ entioned above. Furthem ore, there appears to be som e interesting structure in the backbone discontinuity, which $m$ ay be signi cant beyond the noise. N ote in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{1}$, that for larger $n$, the increase of the frozen backbone stalls or even reverses right after the jum p before rising further. This property coincides with the em ergence of non-zero costs in the ground state colorings (see Fig. seem $s$ in itially to reduce the frozen backbone fraction:



F IG . 4: P lot of the typical frozen (top) and free (bottom) backbone probability, obtained here from the $\mathrm{n}=128$ graphs. For $m$ ean degree values < crit, graphs are alm ost certain to have a vanishing backbone, both frozen and free. Above crit a m ajority of graphs still do not exhibit any backbone, but a nite fraction of graphs display a sizable backbone fraction, clustered at a characteristic size. T he average backbones plotted in $F$ igs. 3 represent the average of these apparently bim odal distributions. This qualitative picture appears to hold for increasing $n$, although $n>128$ data are som ew hat noisy.
typically there are num erous ways of placing those few edges, often a ecting them ost constrained variablespairs and elim inating them from the frozen backbone. Sim ilar observations have been $m$ ade by $C$ ulberson [5] ing to this argum ent, only the frozen backbone should exhibit such a stall (or dip). Indeed, F ig. $\cdot 1$ a less hindered increase in the free backbone, though the di erence there $m$ ay be purely due to statistical noise.

## V. CONCLUSION S

W e have considered the phase transition of the M AX -3-C O L problem for a large num ber of instances ofrandom
graphs, of sizes up to $n=512$ and over a range of $m$ ean degree values near the critical threshold. For each instance, we have determ ined the fraction of vertex pairs in the frozen and free backbones, using an optim ization heuristic called extrem al optim ization (EO ) [181']. B ased on previous studies [211], EO is expected to yield an excellent approxim ation for the cost and the backbone. C om parisons w ith a testbed of exactly-solved instances suggest that EO 's system atic error is negligible com pared to the statistical error.

U sing a system atic procedure for optim izing the data collapse in nite size scaling the transition occurs at crit $=4: 703(28)$, consistent

 W e have also studied both free and frozen backbone fractions around the critical region. A sim ple argum ent [12] dem onstrates that below the critical point the backbone fraction alw ays vanishes for large $n$. At and above the critical point, neither backbone appears to vanish, suggesting a rst-order phase transition. This is in close resemblance to $K-S A T$ for $K=3[1][$; indeed, both are com putationally hard at the threshold.

Even though the backbone is de ned in term s of m inim um-cost solutions, its behavior appears to correlate $m$ ore closely $w$ ith the com plexity of nding a zerocost solution (solving the associated decision problem) at the threshold. O ne possible explanation is that instances there have low cost, so nding the $m$ inim al cost is only polynom ially $m$ ore di cult than determ ining whether a zero-cost solution exists. Interestingly, our 3-coloring backbone results $m$ irror those found for the spine $\left[\underline{F}_{1}^{1}\right]$, an upperbound on the backbone that is de ned purely w ith respect to zero-cost graphs. T he authors of that study speculate that at the threshold, although the spine is discontinuous, the backbone itself $m$ ight be continuous. O ur results contradict this speculation, instead providing support for a relation | albeit restricted | between backbone behavior and average-case com plexity.
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