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#### Abstract

W e analyze the tagged particle di usion for kinetically constrained m odels for glassy system s . W e present a m ethod, focusing on the Kob A ndersen $m$ odelas an exam ple, which allow $s$ to prove low er and upper bounds for the self di usion coe cient $D_{S}$. Thismethod leads to the exact density dependence of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$, at high density, for m odels w ith nite defects and to prove di usivity, $D_{8}>0$, at any nite density for highly cooperative m odels. A m ore generaloutcom e is that undervery general assum ptions one can exclude that a dynam icaltransition, like the one predicted by the M ode-C oupling-T heory ofglasses, takes place at a nite tem perature/chem ical potential for system $s$ of interacting particle on a lattice.


## 1 Introduction

M any physical system $s$, in particular glass form ing liquids, display a very slow dynam ics at low tem perature/high density [1] [1]. The laboratory glass transition corresponds to the tem perature/density at which the structural relaxation tim escale becom es larger than the experim ental one (e.g. one hour). At this point the glass-form ing liquid falls out of equilibrium and becom es an am orphous rigid $m$ aterial called glass. Thus, the laboratory glass transition is nothing else than a dynam ical crossover and not a true dynam ical transition. H ow ever, a natural question is whether this dram atic

[^0]increasing of the structuralrelaxation time is due to an underlying dynam ical transition that takes place at low er tem perature/higher density (but that is unreachable experim entally). Indeed di erent analytical approaches, in particular the M ode-C oupling-T heory (M C T) of the glass transition $\overline{\underline{Z}}]$ predict a dynam ical arrest at a nite tem perature and chem ical potential at which the structural relaxation tim escale diverges and the di usion coe cient of a tagged particle, called self-di usion coe cient D s, vanishes. The interpretation of this transition is based on the cage e ect: particles are trapped in the cage form ed by their neighbors (how ever see also interacting $w$ ith a sm ooth potential it is widely accepted that this M CT transition describes at m ost a dynam ical crossover. Instead in the case of potentials w ith a hard core part, in particular hard sphere system $s$, there is no agreem ent. Experim ents on colloids [还], that can be indeed m odeled by hard sphere system $s$, are very often interpreted as if a realdynam icaltransition took place. H ow ever, in these system $s$ the $m$ icroscopic tim escale is m uch larger than for the other glass form ing liquids (approxim atively nine order of $m$ agnitude), thus it could be argued that one is just looking to the very rst part of the increasing of the relaxation tim escale, here MCT indeed applies but the point at which the dynam ical M C T crossover takes place is shifted tow ard unreachable experim ental tim escales.

W hat can one say theoretically about the existence of this type of transition called som etim es dynam ical arrest? First let us focus on the case of interacting particle system s on a lattice. If the potentialbetw een the particle is short-range, the H am iltonian is not singular and the only constraint is the hard core one, i.e. $m$ axim um one particle per site, then it has been proved by
 a simple Brownian $m$ otion $w$ th a self-di usion coe cient that is positive at any nite tem perature and any nite chem ical potential (these models are called in the $m$ athem atical literature sym $m$ etric exclusion processes $w$ ith speed change and spin exchange dynam ics; in the follow ing we'll refer sim ply to them as R LG, i.e. reversible lattice gases). A ctually, one needs also the system to be ergodic but physically this is just due to the fact that if there is a very large correlation length and/or a very large correlation time than, in principle, the tagged particle will enter in the Brownian motion regin e on larger length and tim e scales. So at a critical point the tagged particle could take an in nite tim $e$ to enter in the $B$ row nian $m$ otion regim e. H ow ever the lower bound on the self di usion coe cient proved in ${ }^{5}$ gardless of the existence of a critical point. Thus, even if a phase transition would take place at a tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and a chem ical potential c one gets $\lim _{T!T_{c} ;}{ }_{c} D_{S}(T ;)>0$.

H ow ever, the lattice $m$ odels that are known to display a whole phe-
nom enology analogous to glass form ing liquids and for which the existence of a dynam ical arrest at nite tem perature/chem icalpotential has been suggested, are the ones in which further hard constraints besides hard core, are im posed. A $m$ ong the $m$ ost studied ones there are the kinetically constrained lattice gases (K C LG ) forw hich the jum p rates of particles are di erent from zero not only if the constraint of having $m$ axim um one particle per site is veri ed but also if some additional constraint is veri ed, hence the nam e kinetically constrained (see $\left[_{i-1}^{1}\right]$ for a recent review ). W ew ill com $m$ ent on the extension of our work to statically constrained $m$ odels, like the Lattice $G$ lass M odels introduced in $\left[\begin{array}{l}-8 / 1 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$, and $m$ ore general cases in the conclusion.
$N$ ote that the presence of additional hard constraints can change the physicalm echanism behind tagged particle di usion quite a lot. A sexplained
 that are the analog of vacancies for the RLG.A rather good understanding has been reached for $m$ odels in which defects, that can freely $m$ ove in an otherw ise com pletely led lattice, consist in a nite num ber, independent of the particle density, of vacancies. Instead, the cases in which the $m$ otion of \defects" involve a num ber of vacancies that diverges when the particle density approaches one, were much less understood. Indeed in the literature one can nd num erical sim ulations suggesting a dynam ical transition at a density less than one [ī1]. Recently, in collaboration w ith D S.F isher $[\underline{\underline{9}}]$, we have analyzed one of the $m$ ost studied $m$ odel of th is type, the K ob-A ndersen m odel [1]-1] proving that no dynam ical transition takes place whatsoever and unveiling what is the $m$ echanism inducing the slow dynam ics. O ur results and techniques apply also to the other highly cooperative K C LG $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{1} 1{ }_{-1}\right]$.

In this paper we show how one can, under very general assum ptions,
 already done for simple KCLG with nite size defects in [1ँ2] 1 ). Our aim is tw ofold. First, we want to show that under very general assum ptions (see next sections and conclusion) a dynam ical arrest cannot take place at nite tem perature/chem ical potentialeven if there are hard constraints other than the hard core one. Second, we want to present a rigorous technique and a $m$ ethod that allow s to obtain upper and lower bounds on the self-di usion coe cient for KCLG (or m ore general interacting particle system s). The usual techniques applied to K C LG in order to obtain predictions on $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$, as diagram $m$ atic resum $m$ ation or approxim ate closure of exact equations (see
 som e of them work well in the interm ediate density regim e com pared to the results of num erical sim ulations, they fail in general at high density and, often, predict a spurious dynam ical transition. Thus, in this context it is particularly im portant to have rigorous $m$ ethods that allow one to obtain
solid analytical predictions. W ew ill focus on the K ob- b ndersen m odel as an exam ple but ourm ethod can be applied to also other K C LG orm ore general interacting particle system s.

Let us nally com $m$ ent on the continuum case. $W$ e are not aw are of any proof of di usivity at low tem perature/high density in the case of H am iltonian dynam ics. Instead in the case of interacting B row nian particles, even w ith hard core (a good m odel for colloidal system s ), it has been recently shown that the self di usion coe cient is positive at any nite tem perature/chem ical potential under very general assum ptions [1]-1]. The proof works for hard spheres, Lennard-Jones potential, etc ::: and it is, as our proof, a generalization of the Spohn's proof [isidi] for RLG.

O rganization of the paper
W e think that the technique we will make use of is not well known in the community working on KCLG therefore we have written this article in a detailed and self-contained way. The expert reader may skip section ${ }_{i} \mathbf{i} / \mathrm{T}$ and quidkly go through section ${ }_{2}^{\text {鸟. }}$
W e introduce in detail the K C LG in section '2', and we explain in detail the
 that have been used to prove bounds on the self di usion coe cient for the RLG [ [ $\overline{6}]$. In section ' ${ }_{-1}^{1}$, focusing on the KA model on a triangular lattioe as an example, we explain how one can obtain the high density behavior of the self di usion coe cient for KCLG with \defects" form ed by a nite num ber of vacancies. This section is useful to introduce the notation and the $m$ ethod before facing the di cult case of highly cooperative KCLG. In section ' can obtain strictly positive lower bounds in the case of highly cooperative KCLG.Finally, in $\overline{1}$, we present a naldiscussion of our results.

## 2 K inetically constrained lattice gases

In the last twenty years there has been a grow ing interest in kinetically constrained lattice gases. These were introduced as m odels for supercooled liquids close to the glass transition hī as paradigm for general glassy system s [ī1. KC LG are (apparently) sim ilar to R LG . A s discussed before there is how ever an im portant di erence in the choige of the jum $p$ rates of particles that are di erent from zero not only ifthe constraint of having $m$ axim um one particle per site is veri ed but also ifsom e additionalconstraint is veri ed, hence the nam e kinetically constrained. This choice of jum p rates w as originally devised in order to $m$ in ic the cage e ect, that $m$ ight be at the heart of the glassy behavior and the slow dynam ics of
glass form ing liquids. Indeed a m olecule in a dense liquid is typically trapped in a cage created by surrounding particles (see [ī] exam ple) and this takes place in the regim e of tem perature and density at which the dynam ics slow s dow n dram atically.

M ore speci cally, kinetically constrained lattioe gases are stochastic lattice gases w ith hard core exclusion, i.e. system s of particles on a lattice $w$ ith the constraint that on each site there can be at $m$ ost one particle. A con guration is therefore de ned by giving for each site x 2 the occupation number x 2 f0; 1 g , which represents an em pty or occupied site respectively. The dynam ics is given by a continuous tim e M arkov process on the con g uration space $=f 0 ; 1 g^{j}{ }^{j}$ which consists of a sequence of particle jum ps. A particle at site $x$ attem pts to jump to a di erent site $y$ w th a $x$ ed rate $C_{x ; y}()$, which in general depends both on fx;yg and on the con guration over the entire lattice. The discretized tim e version of the process is the follow ing. A t tim e t choose at random a particle, let $x$ be its position, and a site $y$. At tim e $t+d t$, the particle has jum ped from $x$ to $y w i t h$ probability $c_{x y}((t))$, while w ith probability $1 c_{x y}((t))$ the con guration has rem ained unchanged. In other words, the probability m easure at timet, $t$, can be derived by the intialm easure o as
$t()=X_{02 f 0 ; 1 g^{j} j}^{X} \exp (L t) \quad 0\left({ }^{0}\right)$
where $L$, the generator of the $M$ arkov process, is the operator which acts on local functions $\mathrm{f}:!\mathrm{R}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X } \\
& \left.\operatorname{Lf}()=\mathrm{fx}_{\mathrm{fx} \text {; }} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{XiY}}()\left(\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{XY}}\right) \quad \mathrm{f}()\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where we de ned

$$
\left({ }^{\mathrm{xy}}\right)_{\mathrm{z}}:=\begin{array}{lll}
8^{<} & & \text {if } \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{x}  \tag{3}\\
: & \mathrm{x} & \text { if } \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{y} \\
\mathrm{z} & \text { if } \mathrm{z} \text { x; }
\end{array}
$$

The sim plest $m$ odel is the simple sym $m$ etric exclusion process, SSEP, in which $C_{x i y}^{S S E P}()=x(1 \quad y)+y_{y}(1 \quad x)$ for nearest neighbors fxiyg, $C_{x ; y}()=0$ otherw ise. Therefore, only nearest neighbor jum ps are allowed and there are no further kinetical constraints besides hard core. The de nition kinetically constrained refers $m$ ore properly to $m$ odels in which jum $p$
rates im pose additional requirem ents in order for the nearest neighbor $m$ ove to be allowed. In other words, the rate $c_{x i y}()$ can be zero for som e choioes of the con guration and the couple fx;yg even if $x=1_{y}=0$, thus preventing the jump of a particle from site $x$ to nal empty site y. From the above de nition it is immediate to see that dynam ics preserves the num ber of particles, i.e. the hyperplanes w th xed num ber $N$ of particles
;N $=\mathrm{f} 2 \quad \times 2 \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{N}$ g are invariant under dynam ics. M oreover, in general the rates are chosen in order to satisfy detailed balance w r.t. the uniform $m$ easure $j_{N}$ on such hyperplanes. In other words condition $C_{x ; y}()=C_{y ; x}\left({ }^{x y}\right)$ is satis ed for any choice of and the couple fx;yg. This implies that the generator is reversible w th respect to ${ }_{i N}$ and therefore
;N is stationary $7_{1}^{71}$. N ote that ;N is nothing else than canonicalm easure w ith zero H am iltonian, i.e. w th this choige of the rules there are no static interactions beyond hard core and an equilibrium transition cannot occur. H ow ever, a priori it is possible that a dynam ical ergodic/non \{ergodic transition occurs for som e choices of the rules. To our know ledge, the K C LG
 for the proof that an ergodic/non \{ergodic transition does not occur in som e highly cooperative KCLG). On the other hand, such transition has been proved to occur for a kinetically constrained spin $m$ odel, nam ely $N$ orth $\pm$ ast
 sition rates. H ow ever, we em phasize since now that the degeneracy of the rates implies that ;N is not the unique invariant m easure, i.e. the system is not ergodic on ;N and this will have several consequences on dynam ics inducing a very di erent behavior w ith respect to R LG case.

## 3 D e n ition of the K ob-A ndersen m odel

The K A m odel is a kinetically constrained lattioe modelw ith jum p rates

nam ely a particle can $m$ ove only if the hard core constraint is veri ed, as for the SSEP, and only if both before and after the $m$ ove it has no $m$ ore than

[^1]m neighboring particles. If is an hypercubic d dim ensional lattioe m w ill take values only from 0 to 2 d 1 (di erent values of $m$ de ne di erent KA $m$ odels). N ote that for $m=2 d 1$ the sim ple sym $m$ etric exchusion case w ith H am iltonian equal to zero is recovered. For future purposes it is useful to reform ulate the rule in term of $m$ otion of vacancies. Indeed, as can be easily veri ed, the above de nition corresponds to vacancies $m$ oving only if the initial and nal sites have at least $s=z \quad m \quad 1$ neighboring vacancies, w ith $\mathrm{z}=2 \mathrm{~d}$ the coordination num ber of the lattice. $T$ herefore the m odel is com pletely de ned by the choice of the couple $d$; $m$ or equivalently $d ; s$.
$N$ ote that these rates satisfy detailed balance w ith respect to ${ }_{i N}$, i.e. uniform $m$ easure on the hyperplanes $w$ th xed num ber ofparticles. H ow ever there exist con gurations that areblocked under the dynam ics, iN is not the unique invariant $m$ easure on the hyperplane and the process is never ergodic. For exam ple, in the case $d=2 s=1 \mathrm{w}$ th periodic boundary condition, a con guration which has a double row of sites com pletely led belongs to a di erent ergodic com ponent with respect to any other con guration which does not contain such structure. Indeed, one can directly check that the particles belonging to the double row can never $m$ ove.

On the in nite lattice $=Z^{d}$ the $m$ odel satis es detailed balance $w$ ith respect to the Bemoulli product $m$ easure $z^{d}$; at any density. It is im $m$ ediate to check that for $s \quad d$ the system is not ergodic at any density
$>0$. Indeed in this case all d\{dim ensional hypercubes of any size which are com pletely occupied by particles are blocked forever. On the other hand, in $\left[{ }_{9},{ }_{1}^{\prime}\right.$
$2[0 ; 1]$ w th probability one. A s a by product of the ergodicity proof we have established the follow ing property, which w illbe a key ingredient for the proof in section ' density $<1$ there exists a nite length () such that, by sorting at random w ith probability ; a con gurations on a hypercubic d\{dim ensional lattioe of linear size ( ), w ith probability greater than $p$ this con guration is such that any particle exchange inside a nite box around the origin can be perform ed through a suitable path of allow ed $m$ ovesti. Furtherm ore p can be taken arbitrary close to one taking a suitable (large but nite) (). In the follow ing we let a con guration w th such property be a fram eable con $g^{-}$ uration and refer to $[\overline{9}, r i=1]$ in for the dem onstration of above property and an explanation of the chosen name.

[^2]
## 4 D i usion of the tagged particle

C onsider a kinetically constrained $m$ odel on the in nite lattice $=Z^{d}$ and start at time zero from the equilibrium distribution, so that the process w ill be stationary. T hen single out one particle, the tracer, and analyze its $m$ otion. In the density regim ew here the process is ergodic one can repeat the argum ents in the tracer at tim et,x $(t)$, converges to a $B$ row nian $m$ otion $w i t h$ self di usion $m$ atrix $D_{S}()$. M ore precisely, $\lim !0_{x}\left({ }^{2} t\right)=P \overline{2 D_{S}} \tilde{b}(t)$, where $\tilde{\delta}(t)$ is standard $B$ row nian $m$ otion and the self di usion $m$ atrix $D_{s}()$ is given by the variational form ula

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.f\left(y^{0 y}\right) f()\right]^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \underbrace{X}_{\substack{x x ; y g \\
x \in 0 ; y \in 0}} ; 0 C_{x i y}()\left[f\left({ }^{x y}\right) f()\right]^{2} \frac{7}{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

 the con guration obtained translating the con guration ofy, $; 0$ is the Bemoullim easure at density conditioned to the existence of a particle in the origin and the in mum is over all real\{valued local functions f , ie. functions which depend on a nite num ber of occupation variables. For spatially isotropic system $s$, as the one we will deal $w$ ith, the self di usion $m$ atrix is usually proportional to identity, the proportionality coe cient being the so \{ called self di usion coe cient. For future punposes it is useful to de ne the two sums in (G) as $\mathrm{D}_{1}(; f)$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2}(; f)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1}(; f)=\frac{1}{2}_{f y \in 0 g}^{X} \quad ;\left(C_{0 ; y}()(1 \quad(y)) \sum_{i=1}^{X^{d}}(I đ)+\right. \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

[^3]It is $\mathrm{im} m$ ediate to notice that $\mathrm{D}_{1}\left(\right.$; f) 0 and $\mathrm{D}_{2}($; $f) \quad 0$ at any density , and therefore $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}() \quad 0$. H ow ever, for the tagged particle process to be di usive, D s should be strictly positive. For the RLG case in any dim ension d 2 the result $D_{s}>0$ at any density $<1$ holds. Since the proof $\left.|\bar{\sigma}|\right]$ uses as a key ingredient the fact that jum ps from occupied to neighboring em pty sites are alw ays allow ed, it cannot be trivially extended to kinetically constrained $m$ odels. $W$ e em phasize that this is not only a technicaldi culty. Indeed, due to the presence of kinetic constraints, the physical m echanism behind the di usion of the tagged particle $m$ ay be rather di erent as we shall show in the next sections.

In the follow ing section we will prove that $D_{s}>0$ at any $<1$ for KA m odel on a triangular lattice. For this choige of the lattice there exist \defects", nam ely chusters of two vacancies that can freely $m$ ove through an otherw ise totally lled lattice and such that the tagged particle can $m$ ove whenever such \defects" passes by. Therefore these defects play the sam e role of the vacancies for the RLG case and the above mentioned proof of
 th is result in som e detail since it can be extended to otherm odels w ith nite \defects" and it is usefiul to introduce the notation and the $m$ ethod before analyzing the m ore di cult case ofK A m odelon hypercubic lattioes. Indeed, in this case it is not possible to construct nite cluster of vacancies that can freely $m$ ove into an otherw ise totally lled lattioe and additional work is required to prove di usivity. A s explained in [ip] $\overline{1}$, in this case the $m$ echanism behind di usion is based on the existence of \quasi\{defects", nam ely cluster of vacancies which can $m$ ove into typical regions of the system and allow the $m$ otion of a tagged particle (via a particular path ofelem entary $m$ oves) when they pass by. The size of such defects is density dependent and diverges for ! 1, which $m$ akes the $m$ otion highly cooperative.

## 5 A m odelwith $n$ ite size defects: $K A$ on a triangular lattice

C onsider the KA m odel w ith $s=1$ on a triangular lattice represented in gure'․․ M ore precisely, the set of sites in is the union of sites in a square lattice 1 and in its dual 2 , i.e. the lattioe obtained by displacing 1 of $\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)=2 w$ th $e_{1}=(1 ; 0), e_{2}=(0 ; 1)$. Furtherm ore, two sites fx;yg 2 are nearest neighbors if $x \quad y=e_{1}$ or $x \quad y=\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)=2$ or else $x \quad y=\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)=2$. A s already notioed, rates $\left.(\underline{-1})_{1}^{2}\right)$ reform ulated in term $s$ of vacancies correspond to the rule that a vacancy can move only if the


Figure 1: The triangular lattioe.
in itial and nal sites have at least $s$ neighboring vacancies, where $s=1$ in the case we are considering. In the triangular lattioe two neighboring sites alw ays share a com $m$ on third neighbor. Therefore any of two nearest neighbor vacancies can $m$ ove to the com $m$ on third neighbor. In other words a set of two neighboring vacancies, which we call \a defect", can be freely $m$ oved into an otherw ise totally lled lattioe, as can be im m ediately checked (see gure

## 5.1 $H$ euristic argum ents and upper bound on the self

 di usion coe cientThere is a sim ple heuristic argum ent based on the independent motion of these defects that leads to the correct density dependence of the self-di usion coe cient at high density. C all $a$ the density ofdefects and $d$ the tim escale on which defects $m$ ove. The self-di usion coe cient D s of a tagged particle is expected to be proportional to the inverse of the time $e_{p}$ on which each particle $m$ oves of one step. On the tim escale $d$ the num ber of particles that have jum ped is of the order $V$ d where $V$ is the total num ber of sites. T hus we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\underline{p}_{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{V}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a consequence, at density close to one, we get D s / ${ }_{d}={ }_{d}$. N ote that we have assum ed that the size of the defects do not change, in particular do not diverge in the lim it ! 1, otherw ise the reasoning has to be changed slightly. Since for the KA m odel on the triangular lattice we are focusing on the defects are form ed by two vacancies we nd, in the lim it ! 1,


Figure 2: Sequence of $m$ oves which allow the displacem ent of a couple of neighboring vacancies. C ircles denotes em pty sites, lled dots stand for occupied sites
${ }_{\mathrm{a}} /(1 \quad)^{2}$ and $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{O}(1)$, hence, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}} /(1 \quad)^{2}$ (sim ilarly in the SSEP case a defect is just a vacancy and one gets $\left.D_{s} /(1 \quad)\right)$.

In the follow ing we w ill show how, using the existence of these defects, it
 $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}} /(1 \quad)^{2}$. In principle our procedure is generalizable to all cases w ith defects having a size which doesn't diverge in the lim it ! 1. To obtain $D_{s} /(1 \quad)^{2}$ we shall prove that when is close enough to one $D_{s}$ is bounded from above and below respectively by $K_{U}(1 \quad)^{2}$ and $K_{L}(1 \quad)^{2}$ $w$ here $K_{U ; L}$ are two positive constants (of course $K_{U} \quad K_{L}$ ).

The proof of the upper bound is very easy: it consists just in choosing an appropriate test function $f()$ and evaluating the term in the parenthesis in the variational form ula ( which for each con guration is equal to the occupation num ber in the origin. Plugging it into ( to have a vacancy on a xed site and at least another vacancy close to it (this is nothing else that the probability to have a defect). Thus we obtain $D_{S} \quad K_{U}(1 \quad)^{2}$ where $K_{U}$ is a suitable positive constant.

### 5.2 Low er bound on the self-di usion coe cient

N ow let us focus on the lower bound which is m ore involved. Instead of dealing directly w ith the variational form ula ( $\overline{(5)}$ ), we de ne a proper auxiliary $m$ odel and proceed in two steps. First, we establish that $D{ }_{s}^{\text {aux }}>0$; second,


Figure 3: Sites inside the closed line correspond, form left to right, to sets $R_{x}^{1}$ and $R_{x}^{2}$.
we prove that $D_{s} \quad C D_{S}^{\text {aux }}$ with c a positive constant. M ore precisely, we $w$ ill introduce an auxiliary process and prove that the di usion coe cient in direction $e_{1}$ is positive, i.e. $e_{1} D_{s}^{\text {aux }} e_{1}>0$ and $e_{1} D e_{s} e_{1} e_{S}^{a u x} e_{1}$. In an analogous way one can then introduce an auxiliary process to show the sam e inequalities in direction $\left(e_{2}+e_{1}\right)$, which com pletes the proof of the positivity of the self di usion $m$ atrix.

### 5.2.1 C onstruction of the auxiliary process

Let us introduce som e notation. C onsider the follow ing subsets of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}^{1}=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{e}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}+\frac{e_{1}+e_{2}}{2} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}=\left(\mathrm{x} e_{1} ; \mathrm{x}+\frac{e_{1}+e_{2}}{2}\right. \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

nam ely $R_{x}^{i}$ are the two couples of neighboring sites of $x$ represented in gure冽。

W e next de ne ${ }^{1 ; 2 ; x}$ as the con guration obtained from by exchanging the occupation num bers in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}^{1}$ w th the corresponding ones in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$, nam ely

$$
1 ; 2 ; x=\begin{array}{ll}
8 & \text { if } z=x+e_{1}  \tag{10}\\
z=\begin{array}{l}
x e_{1} \\
x+e_{1}
\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { if } z=x \\
x+\frac{e_{1}+e_{2}}{2} \\
\text { if } z=x+\frac{e_{1}+e_{2}}{2} \\
x+\frac{e_{1}+e_{2}}{2} \\
z
\end{array} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { if } z=x+\frac{e_{1}+e_{2}}{2} \\
\text { if } z R_{x}^{1}\left[R_{x}^{2}\right.
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

F inally, we introduce the events

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}^{i}:=2 \quad: \quad{ }_{z}=08 z 2 R_{x}^{i} \quad A_{x}:=A_{x}^{1}\left[A_{x}^{2}\right. \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contain con gurations having the two neighboring sites in $R{ }_{x}^{i}$ em pty, nam ely con guration $w$ ith a defect in $R_{x}^{i}$.

Let us denote by $x$ the position of the tagged particle and de ne the auxiliary process as follow s . At tim e $t=0$ the tagged particle is at the origin and there is a \defect" in a neighboring couple of sites, nam ely $x(0)=0$ and
(0) $2 A_{x} \cdot\left(\right.$ i.e. $e_{1}(0)=e_{2}=2+e_{1}=2(0)=0$ or $\left.e_{1}(0)=e_{e_{1}=2+e_{2}=2}(0)=0\right)$. $T$ hen the process evolves as follow s
(i) The tagged particles can jump from $x$ to $x+e_{1}$. The rate of the jump is one if $2 A_{x}^{1}$ (i.e. $x_{x+e_{1}}=0$ and $x e_{1}=2+e_{2}=2=0$ );
(ii) The tagged particles can jump from $x$ to $x \quad e_{1}$. The rate of the jump is one if $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$ (i.e. $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{e}_{1}=0$ and $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{e}_{1}=2+\mathrm{e}_{2}=2=0$ );
(iii) $C$ on guration is exchanged to ${ }^{1 ; 2 ; x}$ (i.e. the occupation variables of sites $x+e_{1}, x+e_{1}=2+e_{2}=2$ are exchanged $w$ th the occupation variable in $x \quad e_{1}$ and $x \quad e_{1}=2+e_{2}=2$, respectively). The rate of the exchange is one;
(iv) A ll other m oves are not allow ed.
$W$ ith this de nition of the rules it is im mediate to check that, since the con guration at time zero is such that (0) $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}(0)}$, at any subsequent time $t>0$ condition (t) $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t})}$ will hold. M oreover, since the defect can be $m$ oved from $R_{x}^{1}$ to $R_{x}^{2}$ and vioeversa ( $m$ ove (iii) above), the jum $p$ of the tagged particle to any of the two neighbors in direction $e_{1}$ can always be perform ed via a sequence of at $m$ ost $t w o m$ oves. Therefore the self di usion coe cient in direction $e_{1}$ is strictly greater than zero at any density $<1$, nam ely $e_{1} D_{s}^{\text {aux }} e_{1}>0$. This can be rigorously proved in the sam eway as for


### 5.2.2 Proof of the inequality betw een $D_{s}$ and $D_{S}^{\text {aux }}$

Let us now tum to the second step, nam ely establishing inequality $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}>$ $D_{s}^{\text {aux }}$, $w$ ith $c>0$. Since $m$ ove (iii) for the auxiliary process is not allowed for KA, som e work is required to establish such inequality. The basic idea is to show that all the $m$ oves allowed for the auxiliary process can be perform ed through a proper nite sequence ofelem entary nearest neighbors jum psw hich are allowed for the originalm odel. If this is true then it is natural to expect that the above inequality am ong the di usion coe cients can be rigorously established. Let us outline the proof. C onsider the second term, $\mathrm{D}_{2}$, of the variational form ula ( ${\underset{-1}{(5)}) .}^{(1) T h e ~ a b o v e ~ c h o i c e ~ o f ~ t h e ~ r a t e s ~ y i e l d s ~ f o r ~ t h e ~ a u x i l i a r y ~}$ process

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{2}^{\operatorname{aux}}(\mathrm{f} ;)=\frac{1}{4 \underset{; 0}{ }\left(\mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)}<\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}}() \mathrm{f}\left({ }^{1 ; 2 ; \mathrm{x})} \mathrm{f}()^{2}>0\right. \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As already $m$ entioned any couple of neighboring vacancies can be freely $m$ oved through the lattice using elem entary $m$ oves allow ed by KA, see gure '2.- In particular, thanks to the fact that the which enters in (12í) have a couple of vacancies either in the set $R_{x}^{1}$ or $R_{x}^{2}$ (or both), by using the basic $m$ oves in gure $\underset{-1}{-1}$ it is possible to construct a path of elem entary nearest neighbor exchanges which have unit rate for KA model and connect to
${ }_{1 ; 2 ;}$. M oreover, such path is independent on the con guration outside $R_{x}^{1}$ and $R_{x}^{2}$. In other words, by recalling de nition (SU-1) and letting the exchange operator $\mathrm{T}_{x \text {; }}$ be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{xiy}^{\prime} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

the follow ing equivalence holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 ; 2 ; \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{x}_{j+1} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the length of the above de ned path and ! ${ }^{x_{j+1} ; x_{j}}$ is the elem entary exchange which constitute the $j\{$ th $m$ ove of the path. Therefore, term $f\left({ }^{1 ; 2 ; x}\right) \quad f()$ in (12-1) can be rew ritten by a telesoopic sum as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left({ }^{1 ; 2 ; x}\right) f()=X_{i=1}^{X^{n}} f\left(T_{x_{i+1} ; x_{i}}\right) f\left(i_{i}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

 and $C$ auchy $\{S$ charw $z$ inequality we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left({ }^{1 ; 2 ; x}\right) f()^{2} n_{j=1}^{X^{n}} f\left(T_{x_{j+1} ; x_{j}}\right) f(j)^{2} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the path $1 ;:::{ }_{n}$ has been chosen in order that the exchanges in the right hand side are all elem entary exchanges allowed for KA process, ie. w ith unit rate, we can rew rite the above inequality by introducing in the right hand side the corresponding jum $p$ rates

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left({ }^{1 ; 2 ; x}\right) \quad f()^{2} n_{j=1}^{X^{n}} C_{x_{j+1} ; x_{j}}(j) f\left(T_{x_{j} ; x_{j+1}}\right) \quad f(j)^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the above inequality in ( $\overline{1} \overline{\overline{2}})$, using the change of variables $i$ ! and the invariance of equilibrium $m$ easure under exchange of occupation num bers yields, for any real\{valued local function f,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}_{2}^{\text {aux }}(\mathrm{f} ;)=\frac{1}{4 \underset{; 0}{ }\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{x}}\right)}<\underset{\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{A}}}{ }() \mathrm{f}\left({ }^{1 ; 2 ; \mathrm{x}}\right) \mathrm{f}()^{2}>0 \\
& \frac{1}{4 ;_{; 0}\left(A^{x}\right)} \mathrm{nN} \underset{\substack{\text { fx;ig } \\
d(x ; y)=1}}{X}<C_{x ; y}()(f(x i y) f())^{2}>_{0} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where we let $N$ be the $m$ axim al num ber of tim es a single exchange has been used in the path. In other words, let $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ij }}$ be the num ber of tim es operator $\mathrm{T}^{\text {ij }}$ appears in expression 1 taken over all the couples of neighboring fi; jg. W e em phasize that N and $n$ are independent on the choige of the con guration, since the path is xed once for all and does not depend on the value of the con guration outside $A_{x} .>$ From the above inequality and recalling de nition ( $\overline{\underline{W}} \mathbf{1}$ ) it is im $m$ ediate to conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{2}(; f) \quad \frac{; 0\left(\mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{x}}\right)}{\mathrm{nN}} \mathrm{D}_{2}^{\operatorname{aux}}(; f) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any and $f$. On the other hand, since the rates of the $m$ oves for the tagged particle in the auxiliary process are alw ays sm aller or equal to the correspondent rates for KA (see m oves (i) and (iii)), the follow ing inequality trivially holds am ong the rst term of the variational expression ( for the two processes

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{1}(; f) \quad ; 0\left(A^{x}\right) D_{1}^{\text {aux }}(; f) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any and f. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{S}() \quad \frac{; 0\left(A^{x}\right)}{n N} D^{\text {aux }}() \quad C \quad ; 0\left(A^{x}\right) D^{\text {aux }}() \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith ca strictly positive constant and $\quad ;\left(A^{x}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 4 & 4^{3}(1) & 4\end{array}{ }^{2}(1\right.$ $)^{2}$ ), which is also strictly positive at any density $<1$. In particular, in the high density lim $\operatorname{tr}, \quad ; 0\left(\mathbb{A}^{x}\right) /(1 \quad)^{2}$, hence, we nally get $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}(1 \quad)^{2}$ $w$ ith $K_{L}$ a positive constant.

## 6 Selfdi usion coe cient for highly cooperative K C LG

In the follow ing we analyze the $s=1 \mathrm{KA} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{odel} \mathrm{on} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{square} \mathrm{lattige}$. a case in which it is im possible to identify defects $w$ ith a density independent size that can freely $m$ ove inside the lattioe. T hus, the key ingredient for the di usivity proof discussed in the previous section does not hold. This is not a simple technical di culty but it is deeply related to the fact that di usion
 analytical and num erical argum ents the di usion takes place thanks to the cooperative $m$ otion of a num ber of vacancies that diverges approaching unit density. This leads to an extrem ely rapid decreasing of the self-di usion coe cient that, how ever, rem ains positive until unit density.
In the follow ing we w ant to show how one can prove that indeed $D_{s}$ rem ains positive for < 1. O ur procedure is very general and can be applied to the other highly cooperative KA m odels as well as to m any other interacting lattice particle system $s$. N ote that in this case, at variance $w$ ith what done in the previous section, we w ill not discuss the upper bound on $D_{S}$. M ainly because we do not expect that the lower bound we establish does give the right density dependence (see $\left.{ }_{\underline{9}, 1,1}^{1} \overline{1}_{1} 1\right]$ ).

The strategy of the proof is sim ilar to the one discussed in the previous section: we introduce a proper auxiliary process such that $D_{S}>D_{S}^{\text {aux }}$ w ith $\mathrm{c}>0$ and then we prove that $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\text {aux }}>0$. The key physical ingredient that allows us to nd that such an auxiliary process exists is that ( $[\overline{\underline{9}}, \underline{1}$ section (i3-1) all the particle exchanges inside a nite box around the origin can be perform ed w th a very high probability p through a suitable path in con guration space that involves particles at m ost at distance ( ) from the origin. In this case the restricted con guration in the sublattioe of size around the origin is called fram eable (see section 'ili'). Furtherm ore p can be chosen arbitrary close to one taking a suitably large ( ) . If this property is veri ed then it's possible to nd an auxiliary process that m aps onto a random walk in a random environm ent and has $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\text {aux }}>0$.

Let us explain the idea in m ore detail. Consider a con guration on the in nite lattice $Z^{2}$ sorted at random w ith B emoullim easure at density and
focus on a sub-lattice of linear size (). As recalled in section (3n), we know that the restriction of the con guration to is fram eable w ith probability alm ost one. Therefore in the intial con guration the tagged particle is with very high probability inside a fram eable region of size . $M$ oreover, if one divides the in nite lattice in sub-lattioes of linear size , there exists w ith unit probability a percolating cluster of sub-lattioes such that the initial con guration restricted to each sub-lattioe is fram eable.

Thus, roughly speaking, if we de ne an auxiliary process such that: (1) the tagged particle can $m$ ove if it is inside a fram eable square, (2) during the dynam ics fram eable sublattioes rem ain fram eable and the tagged particle rem ains alw ays inside the percolating cluster then we can reconstruct any $m$ ove of such process through a nite sequence of $m$ oves allowed by KA (indeed any nearest neighborm ove in a fram eable con guration of linear size can be perform ed through a sequence of elem entary m oves allowed by K A rules and the length of such path is at m ost of order ${ }^{2}$ ) and prove inequality $D_{S}>C D{ }_{S}^{\text {aux }}$. Furtherm ore since in the auxiliary process the particle $m$ oves on a percolating giant cluster then $D_{S}^{\text {aux }}>0$.

### 6.1 C onstruction of the auxiliary di usive process for the KA $s=1$ on a square lattice

Let us introduce som e notation. C onsider the follow ing subsets of $Z^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{x}^{(1)}:=\left(x_{1} \quad e_{1} ; x_{2}\right) ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & \left.e_{1} ; x_{2}+e_{2}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& R_{x}^{(2)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} & \left.e_{1} ; x_{2}\right) ;\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & e_{1} ; x_{2} & e_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}\right. \\
& R_{x}^{(3)}:=\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} e_{2}\right) ;\left(x_{1}+e_{1} ; x_{2} e_{2}\right) \\
& R_{x}^{(4)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x_{1} ; x_{2} & e_{2}
\end{array}\right) ;\left(\begin{array}{lll}
x_{1} & e_{1} ; x_{2} & e_{2}
\end{array}\right) \\
& Q_{x}^{(1)}:=\left(x_{1} ; x_{2}+e_{2}\right)\left[R_{x}^{(1)}\right. \\
& Q_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{(2)}:=\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} \quad \mathrm{e}_{2}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{(2)}
\end{array}\right. \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

$R_{x}^{i}$ are the eight possible couples ofneighboring sites fy; zg such that $y ; z \in x$
 and $z$ is neighboring site to $x$ and the couple does not contain $x ; Q \frac{i}{x}$ are the four possible choiges of three sites that, together $w$ ith $x$, form $a$ two by two
 con guration obtained from by exchanging the occupation numbers in $R_{x}^{+i}$


Figure 4: Sites inside the closed line correspond, form left to right an up dow $n$, to sets $R_{x}^{+1} ; R_{x}{ }^{1} ; R_{x}^{+2} ; R_{x}{ }^{2} ; R_{x}^{+3} ; R_{x}{ }^{3} ; R_{x}^{+4} ; R_{x}{ }^{4} ; Q_{x}^{+1} ; Q_{x}{ }^{1} ; Q_{x}^{+2} ; Q_{x}{ }^{2}$
w ith the corresponding ones in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{x}^{+i} ; R_{x}^{i}  \tag{23}\\
z
\end{align*}=\quad \begin{array}{ll}
x^{2} e_{1} & \text { if } z 2 R_{x}^{i} \\
z & \text { if } z \bar{d} R_{x}^{+i}\left[R_{x}^{i}\right.
\end{array}
$$

Then, for i2 f 1 ; $2 g$, we introduce the events

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}^{i}:=2: z_{z}=08 z 2 Q_{x}^{i} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. con gurations having all the sites of set $Q_{x}^{i}$ em pty and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{x}^{i}:=2: z_{z}=18 z 2 R_{x}^{i} ; 2 F_{x}^{i} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

fori2 f 1; 2; 3; 4g, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x}^{i}:=2: 92 S: R{ }_{x}^{i} \quad ;\left(R_{x}^{i} ; @\right) 3 ; j 2 F \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $S$ is the set of squares in $Z^{2}$ of linear size at most. Here @ is the boundary of square sublattice, $j$ is the restriction of a con guration to ,$F$ is the set of fram eable con guration in and ( $A$; $B$ ) is the minim um over the Euclidean distance of all the couples fx;yg with x 2 A and y 2 B . In otherw ords, $B_{x}^{i}$ is the set of con gurations in which a pair of sites adjacent to $x$ (region $R_{x}^{i}$ ) is led and is intemal to a fram eable square of linear size at most. Let (0) be the con guration and $x(0)$ the position of the tagged particle at time zero, we de ne (0) as

The dynam ics of the auxiliary process is chosen as follow s:
(i) The tagged particle can $m$ ove from $x$ to $x+e_{1}$. The jum $p$ has rate one if $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+1}$ and (0) $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+1}$ or $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+2}$ and $(0) \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+2}$, zero otherw ise;
(ii) The tagged particle can $m$ ove from $x$ to $x \quad e_{1}$. The jum $p$ has rate one if $2 A_{x}{ }^{1}$ and (0) $2 B_{x}{ }^{1}$ or $2 A_{x}{ }^{2}$ and (0) $2 B_{x}{ }^{2}$, zero otherw ise;
(iii) T he tagged particle can $m$ ove from $x$ to $x+e_{2}$. The jum $p$ has rate one if $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+1}$ and (0) $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+1}$ or $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{1}$ and (0) $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{1}$, zero otherw ise;
(iv) The tagged particle can $m$ ove from $x$ to $x ~ e_{2}$. The jum $p$ has rate one if $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2}$ and (0) $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2}$ or $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+2}$ and $(0) 2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+2}$, zero otherw ise;
(v) C on guration can be transform ed in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{1}$, nam ely the exchange of occupation variables in $R_{x}^{+1}$ and $R_{x}{ }^{1}$ can be perform ed. The $m$ ove has rate one if $2 A_{x}^{+1}$ and (0) $2 B_{x}{ }^{1}$ or $2 A_{x}{ }^{1}$ and (0) $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+1}$, zero otherw ise.
(vi) Con guration can be transform ed in $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2}$, nam ely the exchange of occupation variables in $R_{x}^{+2}$ and $R_{x}{ }^{2}$ can be perform ed. The $m$ ove has rate one if $2 A_{x}^{+2}$ and (0) $2 B_{x}{ }^{2}$ or $2 A_{x}{ }^{2}$ and (0) $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+2}$, zero otherw ise.
(vii) $C$ on guration can be transform ed in $R_{x}^{+3}: R_{x}{ }^{3}$, nam ely the exchange of occupation variables in $R_{x}^{+3}$ and $R_{x}{ }^{3}$ can be perform ed. The $m$ ove has rate one if $2 A_{x}^{+1}$ and (0) $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{3}$ or $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+2}$ and (0) $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+3}$, zero otherw ise;
(viii) C on guration can be transform ed in $R_{x}^{R_{x}^{4}} ; R_{x}{ }^{4}$, nam ely the exchange of occupation variables in $R_{x}^{+4}$ and $R_{x}{ }^{4}$ can be perform ed. The $m$ ove has rate one if $2 A_{x}{ }^{1}$ and (0) $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{4}$ or $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2}$ and (0) $2 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+4}$, zero otherw ise;
(ix) A ll other m oves are not allow ed.

In the follow ing we will show that the above choioe of the rates is a suitable choice to perform the proof of di usivity, since the auxiliary process has a positive di usion coe cient and any $m$ ove can be reconstructed by a nite sequence of elem entary m oves allow ed by K A.
$C$ onsider an initial con guration such that the tagged particle is inside a fram eable square of size and such that all the sites in at least one of the sets $Q_{x(0)}^{(1)} ; Q_{x(0)}^{(2)}$ (see gure ${ }_{-1}^{(1 /-1)}$ ) are em pty, where $x(0)$ is the position of the tagged particle (i.e. (0) $2 A_{x}^{i}$ for som e i). Then, both conditions will hold at any subsequent tim e. Indeed, $m$ oves (i) $\{$ (iv) are such that the tagged particle
rem ains always inside the em pty two by two square. On the other hand, $m$ oves ( $v$ ) \{ (viii) are devised in order that the only vacancies that are $m$ oved during the process are those which belong at tim e zero to this two by two square, therefore sublattioes of size that are fram eable at tim e zero rem ain fram eable at later tim est. The fact that $m$ oves for the auxiliary process occur alw ays inside fram eable regions of size at $m$ ost im plies that any $m$ ove can be perform ed through a nite sequence of elem entary m oves allowed by KA. Indeed, by the properties of fram eable con gurations, any m ove inside a con guration of size can be perform ed by a sequence of order $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$
 analogous to those used in section '⿹\zh26灬' for the triangular case, it is then possible to establish inequality $D_{s} \quad C D{ }_{s}^{\text {aux }}$, w ith c positive. Let us shortly recall how this argum ent w orks and em phasize an im portant di erence occurring in this case. For the triangular case we have de ned an auxiliary process such that any $m$ ove of the latter can be perform ed by a nite path of at $m$ ost $n$ nearest neighborm oves allow ed for the considered $m$ odel. Such path does not depend on the choice of the con guration. Then, we have rew ritten each term of the variational formula ( $(\underline{5})$ ) of $D{ }_{S}^{\text {aux }}$ as a telescopic sum on the exchanges along this path. Finally, by using $C$ auchy Schw artz inequally, the fact that each possible $m$ ove is used at $m$ ost tw ice in the path and by perform ing an exchange of variables, we concluded that $D_{S}^{\text {aux }} \quad D D_{s} w$ ith $c>0$. In this case we can proceed analogously, w th the length $n$ of the paths at most
${ }^{2}$. H ow ever, the path now depends on the whole con guration. Indeed the sequence of allowed $m$ oves that one has to do in order to perform a pair exchange depends on the position of the vacancies, see $\left[\bar{q}_{1}^{\prime}, \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} 1\right]$. This yields in the inequally am ong $D_{S}^{\text {aux }}$ and $D_{S}$ an overall factor $N=2^{2}$ besides the factor due to $n={ }^{2}$. Let us explain in som e detail this statem ent.
$W$ ith a path argum ent analogous to the one done before, we rew rite each term in $D{ }_{s}^{\text {aux }}$ corresponding to the exchange of particles in $R_{x}^{i}, R_{x}{ }^{i}$ as a telescopic sum over allow ed exchanges for K A, nam ely
 the path of allowed elem entary $m$ oves which connects to $R_{x}^{+i} R_{x}{ }^{i}$ and such that ${ }_{i}={ }_{i l_{1}}^{x_{i}{ }^{1 x_{i}}}$ for a couple ofnearest neighbors $f x_{i} ; x_{i}{ }_{1} g$. To obtain above

[^4]inequality we used C auchy Schw artz inequality and the fact that $n{ }^{2}$. In order to obtain from left and right hand side the term $s$ which appear in the variational form ula $(\underset{-1}{(5)})$ for the auxiliary process and for KA , respectively, we should average inequalty ( $(\overline{2} \overline{-})$ ) over the B emoullim easure conditioned to have a particle in zero. A s we already em phasized, the sequence $x_{0}::: x_{n}$ of sites in which the exchange is done, depends on the positions of vacancies in con guration . Therefore, if we do the change of variable i ! in ( $\overline{2} \bar{B}_{-1}$ ) and use the invariance ofm easure under exchange ofvariables, $m$ any di erent term s on the left can give rise to the sam e term on the right. A ccually, the crucial thing to know is the follow ing. To each con guration for which the exchange is allow ed by the auxiliary process, associate the correspondent path oi:::; $n$ in con guration space ${ }_{1}^{5_{1}}$. Then, for each elem entary nearest neighbor exchange $e$, denote by $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}$ the num ber of di erent con gurations that use such exchange and let $N \quad \max _{e} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{e}}$. Therefore N is the overall factor com ing from possible overcounting of con guration when going from the $m$ ean of the left hand side of ( $2 \overline{-1} \mathbf{B}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) to the term $s$ in the variational form ula $\left(\overline{5}_{1}\right)$ for $D_{S}$. Physically $N$ takes into account the m ost severe dynam ical bottleneck in phase space (see $\underset{\underline{1}-1]}{-1})$. M oreover, since each path is com posed of $m$ oves intemal to the fram eable region ofsize ' which contains the tagged particle, $N$ is for sure less or equal to the totalnum ber ofcon gurations inside a square of size , nam ely inequality $N \quad 2^{2}$ holds. Therefore, we nally obtain, closely enough to unit density,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}} \quad \mathrm{C}(1 \quad)^{3} \quad ; \quad(\mathrm{F}) \frac{1}{{ }^{4} 2^{2}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\operatorname{aux}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where c is a positive constant. The term $\left(1{ }^{3}\right)$; ( $F$ ) com es from the condition that the con guration at tim e zero should have the tagged particle $w$ th three vacancies around and be inside a fram eable square of size at $m$ ost and $D{ }_{S}^{a u x}$ is the di usion coe cient of the auxiliary process sub ject to this condition. This ends the rst part of the proof. In the follow ing section we shall show that indeed $D_{S}^{\text {aux }}>0$.

### 6.2 Low erbound for the selfdi usion coe cient of the auxiliary process

Let us now prove that $D_{S}^{\text {aux }}>0$, i.e. that di usivity holds for the auxiliary process. In this case, the $m$ echanism which guarantees di usivity is di erent

[^5]from the one discussed in section A nalogously to the KA on a triangular lattice (section ',․․․), the auxiliary process we have just introduced is such that if the tagged particle is at tim e zero in a two by two square of vacancies (ie.
(0) $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}(0)}^{i}$ for som ei) and inside a larger fram eable square of size at $m$ ost , both conditions w ill.be alw ays ful lled at later tim es. H ow ever, now it is not true that the tagged particle can alw ays be $m$ oved in a chosen direction $e_{i}$ through a proper path. For exam ple, if we want to $m$ ove it in direction $\mathrm{e}_{1}$ this is possible only if $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+1}$ or $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+2}$. Otherw ise, if $2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{1}$ or $2 A_{x}{ }^{2}$, the $m$ ove is allowed only if before one $m$ akes the exchange ! $R_{x}^{+1}{ }^{1} R_{x}{ }^{1}$ or ! $R_{x}^{+2}{ }^{2} R_{x}{ }^{2}$, respectively. H ow ever these exchanges of rectangles (which are the analogous of exchange ! $x e_{i} ; x+e_{i}$ for RLG) are not alw ays allowed. Indeed (see rules (v) \{ (viii)) they have positive rate only if in the initial con guration the rectangular regions $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{1}$, respectively $R_{x}{ }^{2}$, do not contain vacancies and are inside a fram eable square of size at $m$ ost . N ote that the rate of such exchanges (i.e. the rate of the exchange ! $R_{x}^{+1} ; R_{x}{ }^{1}$ and ! $R_{x}^{+{ }^{+} ; R_{x}}{ }^{2}$ conditioned to the fact that $2 A_{x}{ }^{1}$ or
$2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2}$ respectively) does not depend on the con guration, but is xed once for all by the choice of the initial con guration (0). In other words the choice of the initial con guration xes the good rectangles that can be exchanged. This observation will allow us to $m$ ap the $m$ otion of the two by two square of three vacancies plus tagged particle to a random walk in a random environm ent corresponding to the cluster of good rectangles. W e em phasize that this cluster does not change during dynam ics, therefore the random ness of the environm ent is quenched. $N$ ote that the probability $p_{g}$ for a given rectangle to be good is greater than ${ }^{2}$ (the probability that both the sites inside the rectangle are occupied) $m$ ultiplied for the probability that it is inside a fram eable region of size at most which is alm ost one (this is the key physical ingredient). Therefore in the high density regin e $p_{g}$ is well above the threshold of conventional site percolation. This im plies that with unit probability the initial con guration has a percolating cluster of good rectangles. By using that above the percolation threshold random walk on random environm ent has a positive di usion coe cient [ 20.101 in w w ill therefore obtain that the di usion coe cient of the auxiliary process is strictly positive. In the follow ing wew illsketch the proofof the above argum ent in som e detail.

Let $(0 ; 0)=(0)$ be the initial con guration. Let us de ne the follow ing sequence of con gurations ( $m$;n) for $(m ; n) 2 Z^{2}$

where $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n})$ is the position of the tagged particle in con guration $(m ; n)$ (we drop the dependence of $x$ on $m$ and $n$ to get a m ore readable
notation). N ote that given (m;n) and $x(m ; n)$ for a couple ( $m ; n$ ), using the above de nitions one can reconstruct the whole sequence.
Let us de ne a $M$ arkov process on $Z^{2} w$ th generator $G$ acting on functions $f:(m ; n)!R$ as

$$
\mathrm{Gf(m;n):} \begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{X} \underset{\mathrm{i}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{X}}(m ; n)(\mathrm{f}(m+i ; n)} \mathrm{f}(m ; n)\right)+ \\
& \\
& i=1 \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

nam ely a two dim ensional random walk with rates ${ }^{i}{ }_{1}$ for the jump in direction $e_{i}$. W e can now chose these rates in order that $(m(t), n(t))=(t)$ for the auxiliary process. M ore precisely, we chose the rates in order that for any function $f()$ the expectation value over the probability $t$ evoluted $w$ ith the generator of the auxiliary process coincides $w$ ith the expectation over the $m$ easure on $(m(t)$; $n(t))$ generated by $(\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{1})$. By considering the dynam ics of the auxiliary process and de nition ( $\overline{3} \overline{4} \overline{1} \mathbf{1})$, one can directly check that the choice of ${ }^{i}{ }_{1}$ which satis es above requirem ent is the follow ing

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.{ }_{+1}^{1}(m ; n)=\mathbb{I}_{A_{x}^{+1}}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{X}^{+1} \backslash B_{X}^{+2}}((0 ; 0))+ \\
& \left.\mathbb{I}_{A_{X}^{+2}}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{X}^{+1} \backslash B_{X}^{+2}}((0 ; 0))+ \\
& \mathbb{I}_{A_{X}}((m ; n)) \mathbb{X}_{B_{X}^{+1}}((0 ; 0))+ \\
& \left.\mathbb{I}_{A_{x}}{ }^{2}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}^{+2}}((0 ; 0)) \\
& { }^{1}{ }_{1}(m ; n)=\mathbb{I}_{A_{x}}{ }^{1}((m ; n)) I_{B_{x}}{ }^{1} \backslash B_{x}{ }^{2}((0 ; 0))+  \tag{35}\\
& \left.\mathbb{I}_{A_{x}}{ }^{2}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}}{ }^{1} \backslash B_{B_{x}}{ }^{2}((0 ; 0))+ \\
& \mathbb{I}_{A_{X}^{+1}}((m ; n)) \mathbb{I}_{B_{X}}{ }^{1}((0 ; 0))+ \\
& \left.\left.\mathbb{I}_{A_{x}}{ }^{+2}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}}{ }^{2}(0 ; 0)\right) \\
& \left.{ }_{+1}^{2}(m ; n)=\mathbb{I}_{A_{x}^{2}}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}^{+3} \backslash B_{x}^{+4}}((0 ; 0))+  \tag{36}\\
& \left.\mathbb{I}_{A_{x}}{ }^{1}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}^{+3} \backslash B_{X}^{+4}}\left({ }_{(0 ; 0)}\right)+ \\
& \left.\left.\mathbb{I}_{A_{x}^{2}}(m ; m)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}^{+3}}{ }^{+}(0 ; 0)\right)+ \\
& \left.\mathbb{H}_{A_{x}}{ }^{2}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}^{+4}}((0 ; 0))  \tag{37}\\
& \left.{ }^{2}{ }_{1}(m ; n)=\mathbb{I}_{A_{x}^{2}}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}}{ }^{3} \backslash B_{x}{ }^{4}((0 ; 0))+ \\
& \left.\mathbb{I}_{A_{x}}{ }^{2}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}}{ }^{3}{ }^{3} \backslash B_{x}{ }^{4}((0 ; 0))+ \\
& \left.\mathbb{H}_{A_{x}+1}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}}{ }^{3}((0 ; 0)) \\
& \left.\mathbb{I}_{A_{x}}{ }^{1}(m ; n)\right) \mathbb{I}_{B_{x}}{ }^{4}((0 ; 0)) \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us de ne also

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{+1}^{1}(m ; n)=\Pi_{B_{x}^{+1} \backslash B_{x}^{+2}}((0 ; 0)) \\
& { }_{1}^{1}(m ; n)=\mathbb{I}_{B_{x}}{ }^{1} \backslash B_{x}{ }^{2}((0 ; 0)) \\
& \left.{ }_{+1}^{1}(m ; n)=\mathbb{I}_{B_{x}^{+3} \backslash B_{x}^{+4}}(10 ; 0)\right) \\
& { }_{+1}^{1}(\mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{n})=\mathbb{I}_{B_{x}{ }^{3} \backslash B_{x}{ }^{4}((0 ; 0))} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G f(m ; n):=\quad X \quad{ }_{i}^{1}(m ; n)(f(m+i ; n) \quad f(m ; n))+ \\
& \stackrel{\overline{1}}{\mathrm{X}}^{1} \\
& { }_{i}^{2}(m ; n)(f(m ; n+i) \quad f(m ; n)) \\
& \mathrm{i}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

 Because the variational form ula ( (-, ) im plies that the self di usion coe cient is a $m$ onotonic increasing function of the jump rates we nd that $D_{s}$ for the random walk process with rates ${ }^{i}{ }_{1}$ is certainly larger than the one for the process w ith rates ${ }^{i}{ }_{1}$. By recalling de nition ( $2 \underline{S}_{1}$ ), and results on crossover length, we know that for su ciently high density the probability w r.t. Bemoullim easure of events

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+1} \backslash \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+2} ; \\
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{1} \backslash \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\prime} ; \\
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+3} \backslash \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+4} ; \\
& \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{3} \backslash \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{4} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

is alm ost one, and therefore greater then threshold probability for conventional percolation on the square lattice. H ence, by using the result in which establishes a central lim it theorem for random walk in random environm ent when bond probability is greater than percolation threshold we nd that $D_{s}$ for the process $w$ th rates ${ }^{i}{ }_{1}$ (and therefore $D_{s}$ for the process $w$ ith rates ${ }^{i}{ }_{1}$ ) is strictly positive ${ }_{\underline{L}}{ }^{\text {º, }} \mathrm{M}$ ( oreover, since when $m$ goes to $m+2$ ( n to

[^6]$n+2$ ) the rst (second) coordinate of the tagged particle position increases at least of one unit, inequality
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t} \mathrm{x}_{1}(\mathrm{t})^{2}+\mathrm{x}_{2}(\mathrm{t})^{2} \quad \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{E} m(t)^{2}+\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{t})^{2}>\mathrm{ct} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

holds, where $t$ is the evoluted of initial m easure ; under the auxiliary process and ca positive constant. This allow s us to conclude that $\mathrm{D}_{S}^{\text {aux }}>0$ at any < 1 which, together w th inequality (20) , im plies D s > 0 forkA m odel.

## 7 C onclusion

In this work we have presented a general procedure, focusing on the KA $m$ odel as an exam ple, that allow s one to prove lower and upper bounds on interacting particle system s on a lattioe in the case of vanishing rates. This is a generalization of the Spohn's proof [her, on $\mathrm{KA} \mathrm{s}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ odel on a triangular lattioe as an exam ple, we show how to obtain the exact density dependence of $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$ in the high density lim it for KCLG w ith nite size defects (see also [1]-1]). W hereas for highly cooperative KCLG, i.e. when the size of the defects diverges approaching unit density, our procedure allows to prove di usivity, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}>\mathrm{O}$, at any density sm aller than one as we have shown for the $\mathrm{KA} \mathrm{s}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ odel on a square lattioe.
A s we have stressed previously our $m$ ethod is com pletely general and can be applied to general (short-range) interacting particle system s on a lattice, including system $s w$ th a non \{trivialequilibrium $m$ easure as for exam ple the statically constrained $m$ odels introduced in [i్1]. In order to be successful, it just needs one crucial key physical property. O ne has to know that, for a random equilibrium con guration, all the particle exchanges inside a nite box around a xed site, say the origin, can be perform ed with a very high probability p through a suitable path in con guration space (allowed by the kinetic rules) that involves particles at $m$ ost at distance from the origin. Furtherm ore one needs also that p can be chosen arbitrary close to one taking a suitably large .
This property is certainly valid if, given tw o equilibrium con gurations taken at random inside a box of size $L$, one can show that there exists w ith probability $p^{0}$ at least a path in con guration space, allowed by the kinetic rules, that connects the two con gurations and that $\lim _{L!} \mathrm{p}^{0}=11_{1}^{\eta_{1}}$. N ote that is

[^7]just equivalent to say that the system at hand is irreducible in the them odynam ic lim it. M ore correctly, in the therm odynam ic lim it one irreducible com ponent covers all the con guration space, i.e. an equilibrium con $g_{-}$ uration taken at random belongs to with probability one. Therefore our results, com bined w ith the ones obtained for B row nian interacting particle system $s$ [ī1 $\overline{1}]$, strongly suggest that the only case in which a dynam ical arrest (at which $D_{s}$ vanishes at a nite tem perature/chem icalpotential) $m$ ight happen is only when a irreducible-reducible transition takes place and that one has to identify the two types of transition.
To our know ledge, none of the short-range interacting particle system swhich have been considered so far (on a lattice as well as in the continuum ) has been proved to display such reducibility transition in dim ension larger than one.

F inally, we want to stress again that the non-vanishing of the self-di usion coe cient D s does not im ply that the structural relaxation tim e scale cannot diverge. In particular, as discussed previously, at a second order phase transition the structural relaxation tim e scale diverges whereas the self-di usion coe cient stays nite. Thus, the decoupling between $D \mathrm{~s}$ and $1=$ is a necessary condition for the existence of an ideal glass transition taking place at nite tem perature and chem ical potential. In experim ents on fragile liquids $\left.\underline{L D}_{2}^{1} 1\right]$ a decoupling is indeed observed betw een D s and $1=$ ( $m$ ore correctly between $D_{s}$ and the viscosity ) but not as strong as one would have very close to a phase transition, i.e. D s / .

It is pleasure to thank D aniel S. Fisher for all the discussions that we had on the sub ject of this work and, m ore generally, on kinetically constrained m odels for glasses.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Let $(g ; h)={ }_{2}() g() h() . L$ is reversible $w$ ith respect to if, for any functions $f$ and $g$, equality $(g ; L f)=(f ; L g)$ holds. By a direct calculation it is possible to check that detailed balance im plies reversibility w ith respect to ;N, therefore the choige
     under tim e evolution.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The length ( ) depends on the choice of $d$, s and the size of the box, we drop the dependence on these param eters for sim plicity of notation.

[^3]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that by the identity ( $\bar{S}_{1}$ ' ${ }^{-1}$ ) one obtain that the m atrix $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{s}}$ is positive, therefore the square root is a wellde ned function.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{M}$ ore precisely, sublattices that are fram eable in (0) are fram eable also for (t) at any $t$.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{O}$ fcourse there could be di erent sequences to perform the sam em ove. H ow ever, one can alw ays give a prescription associating one of them for any choice of and any give exchange.

[^6]:    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that the percolation problem we consider is a site percolation problem in which the site probability is correlated over a nite distance equal to 2 () whereas in 2 was analyzed only the case w ith independent bond probability. H ow ever we expect that their result can be generalized to our case. Furthem ore from the physical point of view there is no doubt that D s w illbe positive in our case of correlated site disorder whenever a giant cluster exists (except at the critical point).

[^7]:    ${ }^{7}$ T he boundary condition for the box has to be chosen such that it is the w orst possible in order to nd the path connecting two con gurations. For exam ple for the KA onewould

