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#### Abstract

W e consider the e ective $m$ ass $m$ odel of spin less electrons in single wall carbon nanotubes that is equivalent to the $D$ irac equation for $m$ assless ferm ions. W ithin this fram ew ork we derive all possible energy independent hard wall boundary conditions that are applicable to m etallic tubes. T he boundary conditions are classi ed in term $s$ of their sym $m$ etry properties and we dem onstrate that the use of di erent boundary conditions will result in varying degrees of valley degeneracy breaking of the single particle energy spectrum .


## INTRODUCTION

C arbon nanotubes are the sub ject of intense research, $m$ otivated by the desire to use their unique physical and electronic properties in the developm ent of nanoscale electrical devices [1, 2]. T he electronic properties of nanotubes follow from the band structure of graphene -a tw o-dim ensional (2D ) sheet of graphite -w hich is a sem i$m$ etal, having a vanishing energy gap at the six comers, $K-p o i n t s$, of the hexagonal rst B rillouin zone. A singlewall nanotube $m$ ay be thought of as a graphene sheet rolled up to form a nanom etre-diam eter cylinder. P eriodicity around the circum ference results in quantized transverse w avevectors leading to $m$ etallic or sem iconducting behaviour depending on whether the $K$-point $w$ avevector $K$ is an allowed wavevector.

W hile the energy spectrum of an in nitely long tube w ill be continuous, a nite tube should possess discrete energy levels corresponding to standing w aves typical of a con ned quantum particle. Evidence of discrete levels w as seen in transportm easurem ents [3, 4] a few years ago, follow ed by the direct observation of sinusoidal standing $w$ ave pattems by scanning tunneling $m$ icroscopy $[5,6]$. $T$ he $m$ easured wavelength of the standing waves $0: 75 \mathrm{~nm}$, about three tim es larger than the lattioe constant a $0: 25 \mathrm{~nm}$, corresponded to $w$ avevectors near the K-point K . M ore recently, C oulom b blockade m easure$m$ ents on carbon nanotube quantum dots $[7,8,9]$ have found evidence for fourfold periodicity of the spectra that is in agreem ent w ith expectations based on spin and K point degeneracy, although the experim ents appeared to show varying degrees of degeneracy breaking. A num ber ofauthors [10, 11, 12, 13, 14] have m odelled nite-length nanotubes in order to describe the atom ic scale variation of standing waves pattems and the opening of an energy gap displaying an oscillating dependence on the tube length. R ather than concentrating on one particular model of a boundary, we aim to describe all possible energy independent hard wallboundary conditions for $m$ etallic single wall nanotubes. W e will classify the boundary conditions in term s of their sym $m$ etry properties and show how di erent boundary conditions produce varying degrees of $K$-point degeneracy breaking.

In the scanning tunneling $m$ icroscopy $m$ easurem ents of Ref. 6 an additionalslow spatialm odulation of the standing waves was observed. It was interpreted as being a beating envelope function $w$ ith $w a v e v e c t o r q, j \dot{j} j k j$ resulting from the interference of left and right $m$ oving waves w th slightly di erent total wavevectors $K \quad q$. $T$ heoretically, the e ective $m$ ass $m$ odel [15, 16, 17, 18] provides a reliable analyticaldescription of the electronic structure near the $K$ point where the total w avevector is $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{K}+\mathrm{q}$ and the dispersion relation is linear $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{SV} \dot{\mathrm{q}} \dot{j}$ v is the Ferm ivelocity and $\mathrm{s}=1$ for the conduction and valence band, respectively. For spinless electrons, the envelope wavefunction ( $q$; r) has four com ponents corresponding to tw o inequivalent atom ic sites in the hexagonal graphite lattice ( $\backslash \mathrm{A}$ " and $\backslash \mathrm{B} "$ ) and to two inequivalent $K$-points in the hexagonal rst $B$ rillouin zone. T he resulting eigenvalue equation for is the $m$ assless D irac equation,

$$
\text { iv } \begin{align*}
x & =\text { E ; } \quad=0  \tag{1}\\
& =e^{i z_{z}=2}(x \uparrow+y \uparrow) e^{i \quad z=2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the role of spin ( $\backslash$ pseudo-spin") is assum ed by the relative am plitudes on the A and B atom ic sites: is a vector in the ( $x ; y$ ) plane rotated by the chiral angle of the tube. A lso, $v=\quad \overline{3}=2 \mathrm{a}$ is the Ferm i velocity, $a$ is the lattioe constant of graphite and is the nearest neighbour transfer integral.

In this paper we consider the e ective boundary conditions for the envelope function in a nite size carbon nanotube. Since the e ective $m$ ass $m$ odel for corresponds to the D irac equation, we begin by deriving all possible energy independent hard wall boundary conditions for the $D$ irac equation. $W$ e write them in term $s$ of a sm all num ber of arbitrary param eters, $m$ ixing angles, that describe $m$ ixing betw een boundary conditions $w$ ith di erent discrete sym $m$ etries. T hen, in order to illustrate the m eaning of the generalboundary conditions, we evaluate the resulting energy levelspectra for non-interacting electrons in nite-length $m$ etallic nanotubes and the corresponding standing wave envelope functions. To anticipate a little, we nd that energy independent hard wall
boundary conditions for the $D$ irac equation $m$ ay be expressed in general term s as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{M} ; \quad \mathrm{M}^{2}=1 ; \quad \mathrm{fn}_{\mathrm{B}}: ; \mathrm{M} g=0 \text {; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is an Herm itian, unitary $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix $\mathrm{M}^{2}=1$ $w$ ith the constraint that it anticom $m$ utes $w$ ith the operator $n_{B}$ : , proportional to the com ponent of the current operator nom al to the interface, $n_{B}$ is the unit vector norm al to the interface. A s explained in the A ppendix, we nd four possible linear com binations ofm atrices satisfying these constraints on $M$, which, assum ing $n_{B}$ is a vector con ned to the ( $x ; y$ ) plane, $m$ ay be written in term s of a sm all num ber of arbitrary param eters:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1}=\cos \left(I \quad n_{1}:\right)+\sin \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{z} & \left.\mathrm{n}_{2}:\right) ; ~
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3}\\
& M_{2}=\cos (1: I)+\sin \left(2: \quad n_{B}:\right) ;(4)  \tag{4}\\
& M_{3}=\cos \left(2: \quad n_{B}:\right)+\sin \left(I \quad n_{1}:\right) \text {; (5) }  \tag{5}\\
& M_{4}=\cos (1: I)+\sin \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{z} & \left.\mathrm{n}_{2}:\right) ; ~ ; ~
\end{array}\right. \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where the angles , , and are arbitrary, n 1 and $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ are three-dim ensionalspace-like vectors satisfying the contraints $n_{1} n_{B}=n_{2} n_{B}=n_{1} n_{2}=0$, and 1 and 2 are two-dim ensional (con ned to the ( $x ; y$ ) plane) spacelike vectors satisfying the constraint $1_{1}:{ }_{2}=0$. H ere we have adopted a m atrix direct product notation to highlight the separate $K$-point space and A B space structure, using the notation $f_{x} ; y_{y} ;{ }_{z}$ I for 2 2 Paulim atrices and the unit $m$ atrix that operate $w$ ithin a block (AB space') and $f x$; $y$; $z$; I g for 22 Paulim atrioes and the unit $m$ atrix that operate in $K-$ point space. For exam ple, the operator $m$ ay be w ritten as a direct product
$=z \quad . N$ ote that the boundary conditions of the $H$ adron bag $m$ odel[19], in which elem entary particles are con ned by a scalar ( $m$ ass) term at the boundary, are described by $M={ }_{2}: \quad n_{B}:$. Berry and $M$ ondragon [20] considered \neutrino billiards" with a two com ponent $D$ irac equation con ned by a term proportional to
$z_{z}$, corresponding to $M=I \quad n_{1}$ : or $M=z \quad n_{2}$ : w th either $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ lying in the $(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})$ plane.
 as $K$ and $\mathbb{R}$. The $D$ irac equation is diagonal in $K$-point space, so that, in the absence of boundary conditions, there are tw o right moving $\left(\begin{array}{c}(\mathbb{R}) \\ \left.\mathrm{K}^{(\mathrm{R}}\right)\end{array}{ }_{\mathrm{re}}^{(\mathrm{R})}\right.$ ) and tw o left moving ( ${ }_{\mathrm{K}}^{\text {(L) }}$ and ${ }_{\text {re }}^{\text {(L) }}$ ) plane wave solutions near the Ferm isurface of a m etallic tube. T he solutions ${ }_{K}^{(R)}$ and
${ }_{k}^{(L)}$ are eigenvectors of the pseudo-sp in com ponent along the tube axis $n_{B}$ w ith eigenvalue $+s$, whereas the $s o-$ lutions ${ }_{\underset{R}{(R)}}^{(R)}$ and ${ }_{K}^{(L)}$ have eigenvalue $s$, where $s=1$ for the conduction and valence band, respectively. A lso, the solutions ${ }_{K}^{(R)}$ and ${ }_{K}^{(L)}$ are eigenvectors of pseudohelicity i $r=j \dot{j} j w$ th eigenvalue $+s$, whereas the solutions ${ }_{\left.{ }^{(R}\right)}^{(R)}$ and ${ }^{(L)}$ have eigenvalue $s$. There are different $w$ ays of com bining the right and left $m$ oving $w$ aves in order to create standing waves. The rst possibility
is that w aves at the sam e K -point com bine, nam ely ${ }_{\mathrm{K}}^{(\mathrm{R})}$ and ${ }_{K}^{(L)}$ form a standing $w$ ave $w$ ith helicity eigenvalue $+s$, and ${ }^{(R)}$ and ${ }^{(\mathrm{L})}$ form a standing wave $w$ th helicty eigenvalue $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{T}$ his situation is realised by the m atrix $\mathrm{M}_{1}$, Eq.(3), because it is diagonal in K -point space. A second possibility is that waves from opposite $K$-points com bine, nam ely ${ }_{\mathrm{K}}^{(\mathrm{R})}$ and ${ }_{\text {Re }}^{(\mathrm{L})}$ form a standing wave
 form a standing $w$ ave $w$ th spin com ponent eigenvalue
$\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{T}$ his situation is realised by the m atrix $\mathrm{M}_{2}$, Eq.(4), because it is o -diagonal in $K$-point space. A third possibility is a com bination of the previous tw $O$, $w$ ith waves scattered back at the boundary into a m ixture of both of the $\mathrm{K}-$ points. T his situation is realised by the m atrices $M_{3}$, Eq.(5), and M ${ }_{4}$, Eq.(6), because they have both diagonal and o -diagonalin $K$-point space parts.

## EFFECTIVEMASSMODEL

In the e ective $m$ ass $m$ odel of two-dim ensional graphite [15], the total w avefunction tot is w ritten as a linear com bination of four com ponents $m=f 1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4 \mathrm{~g}$ corresponding to two K-points $=f 1 ; 2 g$ and type atom ic onbitals ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}\left(r \quad R_{j}\right)$ on two non-equivalent atom ic sites $j=f A ; B g$ in the unit œell,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}=1 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{m}^{(0)}(r)=P_{\bar{N}}^{1}{ }_{R_{j}}^{\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{N}}} e^{i K} \quad: R_{j}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{j}\left(\begin{array}{rl}
r & R_{j}
\end{array}\right) ;  \tag{8}\\
& G_{m}(r)=P_{\bar{N}}^{1}{ }_{R_{j}}^{X^{N}} e^{i K}:_{j}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{j}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
r & R_{j}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & R_{j}
\end{array}\right) ; \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

are $B$ loch type functions constructed from the atom ic orbitals, $R_{j}$ is the position of an atom in realspace and the sum $m$ ation is over the num ber of unit cells $N$ 1. The functions $m$ ( $r$ ) are com ponents of the envelope function ( $q ; r$ ). Substituting this expression for tot into the Schrodinger equation and integrating $w$ ith respect to fast degrees of freedom that vary on the scale of the unit cell leads to the D irac equation Eq. (2) for the envelope function where the $K$-points are taken as $K=(4=3 a ; 0)$ and the com ponents of are w ritten in the order K A, K B, Ie B, RA. The appearance of the chiralangle of the tube in the D irac equation shows that the axes of the ( $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}$ ) coordinate system have been rotated to be transverse and parallel to the tube axis. A pplying periodic boundary conditions to the wavefunction tot, Eq.(7), in the direction transverse to the nanotube axis produces a
condition for the envelope function that leads to $m$ etal－ lic or sem iconducting behaviour depending on whether the transverse com ponent of $w$ avevector $q$ is allow ed to be zero $[16,17]$ ．

BOUNDARYCONDITIONSFORTHE EFFECTIVEMASSMODEL

In order to obtain hard wall boundary conditions for the D irac equation，we place an additional con nem ent potential at the boundary $r=r_{B}$ ，

$$
\text { h iv } x+\operatorname{con} \quad(r \quad \xi)^{i}=E ;
$$

where C is a real constant and 话 is an arbitrary 44 H erm itian，unitary m atrix， $\mathrm{If}^{2}=1$ ．The orientation of the boundary is de ned by a unit vector $n_{B}$ norm al to it，and we assum e that the wavefunction is zero outside the con ned region，but non－zero inside it．Then，we integrate across an in nitesim al width of the boundary， giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{in}_{\mathrm{B}}:=\operatorname{crif}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this equation back into itself，we nd the requirem ents that $c^{2} \mathrm{r}^{2}=1$（thus we set $c=1$ ）and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ：； $\mathbb{r}=0$ ．The boundary condition can be writ－ ten as $=M$ where $M=$ in $B: M{ }^{2}=1$ and $\mathrm{fn}_{\mathrm{B}}: ; \mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}=0$ ，giving the result quoted in the introduc－ tion Eq．（2）．

If，in the graphite coordinate system，we de ne the norm al to the boundary as $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}}=(\sin ; \cos ; 0)$ ， where is the chiral angle of the tube，then we may choose two mutually orthogonal 3D vectors as $\mathrm{n}_{1}=(\cos \sin ; \sin \sin ; \cos )$ and $2^{\mathrm{n}}=$ （cos cos ； $\sin \cos$ ； $\sin$ ），and two additional or－ thogonal 2D vectors as $1=(\cos ; \sin ; 0)$ and $2=$ （ $\sin ; \cos ; 0$ ）．This introduces two new mixing an－ gles，and ：the anbitrary param eters contained w ithin the boundary conditions describe the am ount of m ix－ ing between di erent discrete sym $m$ etries．First，we note that the pseudo－spin of a 2D graphite sheet does not transform in the same way as the spin of relativis－ tic ferm ions，because certain transform ations result in a sw apping of the orientation of $A$ and $B$ atom s ．This additionaloperation is described by a \pseudo－spin－ip＂ operator $z=x \quad i_{z}$ that corresponds to a re ection in the（ $x ; y$ ）plane of relativistic ferm ions．For exam ple， an active rotation of the 2D graphite sheet anticlockw ise by $=3$ about the perpendicular $z$ axis，$\left(r^{0}\right)=C_{6}(r)$ ， is described by $C_{6}={ }_{z} R(=3)=x \quad \exp \left((2 i=3){ }_{z}\right)$ where $R()=I \quad \exp \left((i=2)_{z}\right)$ is a continuous rotation operator．

Table 1 show $s$ a sum $m$ ary of the discrete sym $m$ etries of the boundary conditions in term $s$ of the orientation
of the vectors $\mathrm{n}_{1}, \mathrm{n}_{2}, 1$ and 2 ．In addition to z we consider parity $P=x \quad I$ ，corresponding to a rota－ tion by about the $z$ axis（ $x$ ！$x$ and $y!y$ ），and charge conjugation（C）and tim e reversal sym metry（T） that involve the com plex conjugation operator com bined w ith $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{y}$ and $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{I} \quad \mathrm{y}$ ，respectively． The angles and $m$ ix term $s$ with di erent sym $m$ etry w th respect to z ：$=0$ and $=0$ correspond to even－ ness w th respect to $z$ whereas $=2$ and $=2$ correspond to oddness．Since spin and／or helicity label di erent states at the sam e energy，values of and not equal to m ultiples of $=2 \mathrm{w}$ ill lead to broken degeneracy． The angles，，and $m$ ix di erent sym $m$ etries $w$ ith respect to combinations of $P, C$ and $z$ ．

| M |  |  |  | z | P | C | T |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ ： | $\mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})}$ | $\mathrm{u}=\overline{2}$ | 1 | ＋ 1 | ＋ 1 |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $\mathrm{u}=0$ | $+1$ | ＋1 | ＋1 | 1 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ ： | $\mathrm{n}_{2}=\mathrm{n}_{(\mathrm{x} \text { ；} \mathrm{y})}$ | $\mathrm{u}=0$ | $+1$ | 1 |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{n}_{2}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}$ | $\mathrm{u}=\overline{2}$ | 1 |  |  | 1 |
|  | I | ${ }_{1}=$ 个 | $\mathrm{u}=0$ | ＋1 | ＋ 1 | ＋ 1 | ＋1 |
|  |  | $1=\uparrow$ | $\mathrm{u}=\overline{2}$ | 1 |  | ＋ |  |
| $2:$ | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ： | $2=$ 个 | $\mathrm{u}=\overline{2}$ | 1 | ＋ 1 |  |  |
|  |  | $2=\uparrow$ | $\mathrm{u}=0$ | ＋1 | 1 |  | $+$ |

D iscrete sym $m$ etries of the boundary conditions

SINGLEPARTICLEENERGY SPECTRUM

In order to ilhustrate them eaning of the generalbound－ ary conditions，we calculate the form of non－interacting single particle standing waves created by the boundary conditions and the corresponding energy spectrum ．For sim plicity，we w ill consider only m etallic nanotubes w ith arbitrary chiral angle ．W e suppose that the x axis is perpendicular to the tube axis and we consider only the zero $m$ om entum transversem ode so that $\ddagger j<2 v=\mathbb{F}_{h} j$ where $J_{h} j$ is the circum ference．The D irac equation is diagonal in $K$－point space，so that，in the absence of boundary conditions，there are two right $m$ oving $\binom{(\mathrm{R})}{\mathrm{K}}$ and ${ }_{R_{e}^{(R)}}^{(\mathbb{R})}$ ）and two left moving（ $\begin{gathered}(\mathrm{L}) \\ \mathrm{K}\end{gathered}$ and ${ }_{\text {Re }}^{(\mathrm{L})}$ ）plane wave solutions：

where A, B , C and D are arbitrary constants, $q$ is the wavevector along the tube and we consider q 0 and $\mathrm{E}=$ svq, $\mathrm{s}=1$. The solutions ${ }_{\mathrm{K}}^{(\mathrm{R})}$ and ${ }_{\mathbb{R}^{(L)}}^{(\mathrm{e})}$ are eigenvectors of pseudo-spin com ponent $\quad \uparrow=$
 solutions ${ }_{F}^{(\mathbb{R})}$ and ${ }_{K}^{(L)}$ have eigenvalue S . A lso, the solutions ${ }_{K}^{(R)}$ and ${ }_{K}^{(L)}$ are eigenvectors of pseudohelicity $i \quad r=\dot{q} j=\dot{q} j^{1} I \quad \dot{e}^{j} z^{=2}\left(i_{y} @_{y}\right) e^{i \quad z^{2}=2}$ $w$ ith eigenvalue $+s$, whereas the solutions ${ }_{F e}^{(R)}$ and
${ }_{\text {re }}^{(\mathrm{L})}$ have eigenvalue s . In the follow ing we consider each of the four linear combinations $M_{1}$ to $M_{4}$ separately, and we consider a system with the sam e type of boundary condition on the right (at $y=+L=2$ ) and on the left (at $y=L=2$ ). We introduce an index $u=f R ; L g \quad 1$ to label the right and left hand side so that the nom al to the boundary, dened with respect to the graphite coordinate system, is $n_{B}=u(\sin ; \cos ; 0)$, and we take into account the possibility of di erent $m$ ixing angles, $u$, $u$, $u$ and $u$, and vectors $n_{1}=(u \cos \sin u ; u \sin \sin u ; \cos u)$, $\mathrm{n}_{2}=(\mathrm{ucos} \cos \mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{u} \sin \cos \mathrm{u} ; \sin \mathrm{u}), 1=$ $(\cos u ; \sin u ; 0)$ and $z_{2}=\left(\sin _{u} ; \cos u ; 0\right)$.

## M 1: diagonalboundary conditions

$W$ th the above de nitions of the $m$ ixing angles, the boundary condition $=M_{1}$ produces the follow ing relations betw een the com ponents of the wavefunction at the interface:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \sin (u+u) e^{i} \text { АК }[1+\cos (u+u)] \text { ВК }=0 \text {; } \\
& \left.u \sin (u \quad u) e^{+i} \text { AR }^{u} \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \operatorname{COs}(u & u
\end{array}\right)\right]_{\text {BRE }}=0:
\end{aligned}
$$

The equations are diagonalin $K-p o i n t ~ s p a c e ~ s o ~ d o ~ n o t ~ d e-~$ scribe intervalley scattering. W ith these boundary conditions on the right (at $\mathrm{y}=+\mathrm{L}=2$ ) and on the left (at $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{L}=2$ ), standing waves at K are created from com bining ${ }_{K}^{(R)}$ and ${ }_{K}^{(L)}$ and are labelled by helicity $=+s$,
 label = s.We nd that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=(1)^{p_{1}} A \exp \left[i s\left(\begin{array}{lr}
\mathrm{R} & \left.\mathrm{~L})=2+\text { is }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R} & \mathrm{~L}
\end{array}\right)=2\right] ; \\
D=(1)^{p_{2}} C \exp \left[\text { is } \left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{R}}\right.\right. & \mathrm{L})=2+\text { is }(\mathrm{R} \\
\mathrm{L}
\end{array}\right)=2\right] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and the corresponding w avevectors are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{(=+s)}=\frac{s(R+L)}{2 L} \quad \frac{s(R+L)}{2 L}+\frac{p_{1}}{L} ; \\
& q^{(=s)}=+\frac{s(R+L)}{2 L} \quad \frac{s(R+L)}{2 L}+\frac{p_{2}}{L} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f p_{1} ; p_{2} g$ are integers such that $q \quad 0 . U \operatorname{sing} E=$ svq show sthat the mixing angles $R_{R}$ and $L$ break Kpoint degeneracy whereas $R$ and $L$ break electron-hole sym $m$ etry.

M 2: o -diagonalboundary conditions
The boundary condition $=M_{2}$ is equivalent to the follow ing relations betw een the com ponents of the enveloped w avefunction at the interface:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A K+u \sin u e^{+i} i_{u} \quad \operatorname{COS} u e^{i_{u}}{ }_{B R E}=0 \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

The equations are 0 -diagonal in K space so describe intervalley scattering. Standing waves are created from combining ${ }_{k}^{(R)}$ and ${ }_{R}^{(L)}$, with spin eigenvalue $=+s$, and ${ }_{\mathrm{Re}^{(R)}}^{(\mathrm{e})}$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{K}}^{(\mathrm{L})}$, with spin eigenvalue $=$ s. We nd that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D=(1)^{p_{1}} A \exp [i s(R \\
& B=(1)^{p_{2}} C \exp [i s(R \quad \text { is }(R+L)=2] ; \\
& R \quad \text { is }(R+L)=2]:
\end{aligned}
$$

and the corresponding w avevectors are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.q^{(=+s)}=\frac{s(R+L)}{2 L} \frac{(R}{L L}\right) \\
& q^{(=s)}=\frac{S_{1}(R+L)}{2 L} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f p_{1} ; p_{2} g$ are integers such that $q \quad 0 . T$ he angles ${ }_{R}$ and $L$ break degeneracy whereas $R$ and $L$ break electron-hole sym $m$ etry.

M 3: m ixed boundary conditions (i)

The boundary condition $=\mathrm{M}_{3}$ produces the fol low ing relations between the com ponents of the wavefunction at the interface:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \sin u \sin u e^{+i} \quad \text { BK }=0 \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u \sin u \sin u e^{i} A K=0 \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

Them atrix $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ has both diagonal and O -diagonalin K point space parts. Standing w aves are created from linear
 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\sin u e^{i s u u^{u}} A e^{i u q L}+i s u \cos u e^{i u} C e^{i u q L} ; \\
& D=\sin u e^{i s u{ }_{u}} C e^{i u q L}+i s u \cos u e^{+i} u e^{i u q L}:
\end{aligned}
$$

and the corresponding w avevectors are given by

```
COs (2qL) = cos ;
COS = sin R Sin L COS (R + L )
    COS R COS L COS ( R L );
```

where $q 0$ and 0 . The energy levels are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\operatorname{sv} \quad \overline{2 \mathrm{~L}} ; \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~L}} \quad \overline{2 \mathrm{~L}} \quad ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

 w ays has positive-negative energy sym $m$ etry, but broken degeneracy for $f 0 ; g$.

M 4: m ixed boundary conditions (ii)

The boundary condition $=\mathrm{M}_{4}$ produces the follow ing relations between the com ponents of the wavefunction at the interface:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ак }(1+\sin u \sin u) \quad u \sin u \cos u e^{+i} \text { В } \\
& \cos \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{u}}} \text { в }_{\mathrm{f}}=0 \text {; } \\
& \text { BK (1 } \sin u \sin u) u \sin u \cos u e^{i} A K
\end{aligned}
$$

The matrix $\mathrm{M}_{4}$ has both diagonal and O -diagonal in K space parts. Standing waves are created from linear
 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=\text { isu } \sin u e^{i s u{ }_{u}} A e^{i u q L}+\cos u e^{i{ }_{u}^{u}} C e^{i u q L} ; \\
& D=\text { isusin }{ }_{u} e^{i s u}{ }^{u} C e^{i u q L}+\cos { }_{u} e^{+i{ }_{u}} A e^{i u q L}:
\end{aligned}
$$

and the corresponding $w$ avevectors are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos (2 q L)=\cos ; \\
& \cos =\cos R \operatorname{Cos} L \cos (R \quad L) \\
& \quad \sin R \sin L \cos \left(R+L_{1}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $q 0$ and 0 . The energy levels are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{sv}^{\mathrm{n}} \overline{2 \mathrm{~L}} ; \frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{~L}} \quad \overline{2 L}^{\circ} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=f 1 ; 2 ; 3 ;:: 9$ are integers. The spectrum alw ays has positive-negative energy sym $m$ etry, but broken degeneracy for $f 0 ; g$.

## D ISC U SS IO N

In this paper, we considered the e ective $m$ ass $m$ odel of spinless electrons in single w all carbon nanotubes that describes slow ly varying spatial envelope wavefiunctions
( $q$;r) w ith sm all wavevectors $q$ in the region of linear dispersion $E=$ víj near the $K$-points. Taking into account the tw o inequivalent $K-$ points, the envelope $w$ avefunctions obey the D irac equation for $m$ assless ferm ions, w ritten in term $s$ of four com ponent spinors, w th the role of spin assum ed by the relative am plitude of the wave function on the sublattice atom $s$ ( $\backslash \mathrm{A}$ "
and \B").W e found that energy independent hard wall boundary conditions for the $D$ irac equation $m$ ay be w ritten as $=M \quad$ where $M$ is an Herm itian, unitary 44 $m$ atrix $M^{2}=1 \mathrm{w}$ ith the additional constraint that it antioom $m$ utes $w$ ith the com ponent of the current operator norm alto the boundary. A llpossible linear com binations of $m$ atrioes $M$ obeying these constraints were expressed in term sofa sm all num ber of anbitrary param eters, $m$ ixing angles, that describe $m$ ixing betw een boundary conditions $w$ ith di erent discrete sym $m$ etries. $T$ hen, in order to illustrate how the presence of non-zero $m$ ixing angles breaks K -point degeneracy and electron-hole sym $m$ etry, we evaluated the resulting energy level spectra for noninteracting electrons in nite-length $m$ etallic nanotubes and the corresponding standing w ave envelope functions.

The intention of this paper was to classify all possible boundary conditions for the spatially long-range envelope functions of a closed nanotube w ith length much greater than its circum ference $L \quad L_{c}$. The analysis was restricted to energy independent boundary conditions, although in principle they could be generalised by perform ing a gradient expansion. We focused on arm chair tubes and did not consider the possibility of edge states that $m$ ay exist in zigzag graphite edges [21, 22, 23]. R ather than m odelling the m icroscopic details of a boundary, such as shape or roughness, the nature of the boundary is characterised by $m$ ixing angles that describe the degree of symmetry breaking. In practice, the correct choice of a particular set ofboundary conditions and values ofsym $m$ etry $m$ ixing angles needed to describe a given nanotube $w i l l$ depend on experim ental details and $m$ ay not be known beforehand. To illustrate this, we com pare our results to the idealised $m$ icroscopic $m$ odels of a a capped am chair nanotube and a model of a boundary obtained by setting the wavefunction to zero along a straight line of atom $s$. $W$ e nd that the description of a capped nanotube considered in Ref. [13] corresponds to our o -diagonalboundary conditions M 2, = M 2 , with $u=0$ or sothat the com ponent AK ofthewavefunction is related to в re $^{\text {at }}$ at the boundary. A m odel of a boundary obtained by setting the wavefunction to zero, which is equivalent to a particle-in-a-box $m$ odel [14], also corresponds to ouro -diagonalboundary conditionsM 2,
$=\mathrm{M}_{2}$, but w ith $\mathrm{u}=\quad=2$ so that the component AK of the wavefunction is related to $A$ Re at the boundary. A though the two models are described by a di erent $m$ ixing angle , they both correspond to the - -diagonalboundary conditions, introduce inter-valley scattering at the boundary and, in general, they break K -point degeneracy w th the m ixing angle u dependent on the length of the nanotube.

The authors thank J T Chalker and C J Lambert for discussions, and EPSRC for nancial support.

## A P P END IX

In this appendix, we brie $y$ describe the $m$ ethod of nding linear com binations of $m$ atrices that satisfy the constraints on $M$ described by the boundary conditions, Eq. (2). A ny 44 m atrix m ay be written as a linear combination of the $m$ atrices $I \quad I, I \quad(n:),(:)$ $I$, and (: ) ( $\mathrm{n}:$ ), where n and are arbitrary threedim ensional space-like vectors. The rst step is to nd all the linear com binations that produce the unit $m$ atrix I I when squared:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
M_{a}=I & I ; \\
M_{b}=\cos (I & \left.n_{1}:\right)+\sin \left(l_{1}:\right. & \left.n_{2}:\right) ; \\
M_{c}=\cos (1: & I)+\sin \left({ }_{2}:\right. & \left.n_{2}:\right) ;
\end{array}
$$

where the vectors are unit vectors $w$ ith additional constraints $n_{1} n_{2}=0,1: 2=0$ that ensure no cross-tem $s$ survive. The next step is to nd the conditions under which the $m$ atrioes $M_{a}, M_{b}$, and $M_{c}$ anticom $m$ ute $w$ ith the operator $n_{B}$ : that is proportional to the com ponent of the current operator norm al to the interface. C learly, $M_{a}$ does not anticom $m$ ute, so it is discarded. Them atrix $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{b}}$ anticomm utes if $\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{B}}=0, \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}}=0$, and ${ }_{1}=\widehat{k}$ (M1), or if $n_{1} m_{B}=0, n_{2}=n_{B}$, and 1 is con ned to the ( $x ; y$ ) plane ( $M$ 3). The $m$ atrix $M_{c}$ anticom $m$ utes if 1 and 2 are con ned to the ( $x ; y$ ) plane and $n_{2}=n_{B}$ (M2), or if ${ }_{1}$ is con ned to the ( $x ; y$ ) plane, $2=\hat{k}$, and $\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}}=0(\mathrm{M} 4)$.
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