Thermal entanglement in superconducting quantum-interference-device qubits coupled to cavity field*

PAN Hai-Zhong and KUANG Le-Man[†]

Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, China

In this paper, we investigate thermal entanglement in a superconducting-quantum-interferencedevice qubit coupled to a cavity field. We show that the entanglement can be manipulated by varying temperature and an effective controlling parameter B which depends on the external field and characteristic parameters of the system. We find that there exists a critical value of the controlling parameter B_c . Under a fixed temperature, increasing B can increase entanglement in the regime of $B < B_c$, while the entanglement decreases with increasing B in the regime of $B > B_c$.

PACS number(s): 03.67.-a, 03.65.Ud, 85.25.Dq

For decades, quantum entanglement has been the focus of much work in the foundations of quantum mechanics. Beyond this fundamental aspect, creating and manipulating of entangled states are essential for quantum information applications. Hence, quantum entanglement [1] has been viewed as an essential resource for quantum information processing. In recent years, much attention has been paid to the presence of entanglement in condensed-matter systems at finite temperatures. The state of a typical condensed-matter system at thermal equilibrium (temperature T) is described by the density operator $\rho = e^{-\beta H}/Z$ where H is the Hamiltonian, $Z = tre^{-\beta H}$ is the partition function, and $\beta = 1/kT$ where k is Boltzmanns constant. The entanglement associated with the thermal state ρ is referred to as thermal entanglement [2].

Superconducting Josephson devices (SJD's) are simple but realistic and extensively studied solid systems. In fact, they may be thought of as two-state systems realizing the elementary unit of quantum information, known as a qubit. Moreover, Josephson devices [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13] can be scaled up to a large number of qubits and their dynamics may be controlled by externally applied voltages and magnetic fluxes. Superconducting devices such as Cooper pair boxes, Josephson junctions, or superconducting quantum interference devices (SQUID's) have been thus proposed and used as basic elements for the practical realization of quantum gates.

It is an interesting topic to study thermal entanglement in systems involving SJD's, such as SQUID's. As we know, so far no study on thermal entanglement of Josephson qubit systems has been reported. The purpose of this paper is to investigate thermal entanglement of such a Josephson qubit system which consists of a flux qubit (an rf-SQUID) coupled to a single-mode quantized electromagnetic field of a resonant cavity.

The system which we consider is an rf-SQUID coupled to a single-mode electromagnetic field of a high-Q resonant cavity. The rf-SQUID is a superconducting loop interrupted by a Josephson junction with the junction capacitance C and Josephson coupling energy E_J . The Hamiltonian of the rf-SQUID [3] is given by

$$H_S = \frac{Q^2}{2C} - E_J \cos(2\pi \frac{\phi}{\phi_0}) + \frac{(\phi - \phi_x)^2}{2L},$$
 (1)

where ϕ_x is an externally applied dc flux, $\phi_0 = h/2e$ the flux quantum, L the self-inductance of the loop, the charge Q on the junction capacitance and the flux ϕ in the loop are canonically conjugate operators obeying the commutation relation $[\phi, Q] = i\hbar$.

If the self-inductance is large, such that $E_J > \phi_0^2/(4\pi^2 L)$ and the externally applied flux ϕ_x is close to $\phi_0/2$, the last two terms in (1) form a double-well potential near $\phi = \phi_0/2$. Actually, the form of the potential in Hamiltonian (1) can be tuned by changing the external dc magnetic flux ϕ_x applied to the loop to the case where the two lowest-energy wells are degenerate. This case occurs for $\phi_x = \phi_0/2$. These two degenerate minima correspond to the clockwise and counterclockwise sense of rotation of the supercurrent in the loop. The two relative ground states are localized flux states, hereafter denoted $|L\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$. At low temperatures only the lowest states in the two wells contribute. Hence the rf-SQUID reduces to an effective two-state system [10] with the Hamiltonian

$$H_S = -\frac{\hbar}{2}\Delta\sigma_x + \frac{\hbar}{2}\epsilon\sigma_z,\tag{2}$$

where the diagonal term $\hbar \epsilon = 2I_c \sqrt{6(\beta - 1)}(\phi_x - \phi_0/2)$ is the bias, i.e., the asymmetry of the double well, $\hbar \epsilon$ can be tuned by the applied flux ϕ_x . The off-diagonal term Δ describes the inter-well tunnelling amplitude that depends on the Josephson coupling energy E_J which may be manipulated by a dc flux ϕ_c in a dc-SQUID replacing the single Josephson junction in the rf-SQUID.

The eigenvectors of Hamiltonian (2) are given by

$$|-\rangle = N_{-}[|R\rangle + (\epsilon + \sqrt{\epsilon^{2} + \Delta^{2}})/\Delta|L\rangle], \qquad (3)$$

^{*}Supported by the National Fundamental Research Program Grant No. 2001CB309310, the National Natural Science Foundation under Grant Nos. 10325523, 90203018 and 10075018, the key projects of the Education Ministry of China, and the Educational Committee of Human Province under Grant Nos. 03094 and 02A026. †Corresponding author. Email: lmkuang@hunnu.edu.cn

0.4




FIG. 1: Entanglement as a function of the effective controlling parameter for different values of temperature: T = 0.1 (star line), T = 0.2 (dashed line), and T = 0.3 (solid line). Here B is in unit of 10^{-35} J s.

$$|+\rangle = N_{+}[|R\rangle + (\epsilon - \sqrt{\epsilon^{2} + \Delta^{2}})/\Delta |L\rangle],$$
 (4)

where the normalization constants $N_{\pm} = (1 + [(\epsilon \pm \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2})/\Delta])^{-1/2}$, and the corresponding eigenvalues are $E_{\pm} = (\pm \hbar/2)\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2}$.

The Hamiltonian of the single-mode cavity field can be written as $H_c = \hbar \omega_c (\hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} + 1/2)$ where ω_c is the frequency of the cavity field. One may model the cavity field as an LC resonator with the resonant frequency $\omega_c = 1/\sqrt{L_c C_c}$ where the capacitive parameter C_c depends on the cavity field frequency via the quantization volume and on the rf-SQUID geometry [10].

The flux qubit and the LC-resonator modelling the single-mode cavity field can be thought of as coupled together inductively. The interaction Hamiltonian [10] can be described by

$$H_I = B(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger})(-\epsilon\sigma_z + \Delta\sigma_x), \qquad (5)$$

where the controlling parameter B depends on the coupling strength and the system characteristic parameters, it can be explicitly expressed as

$$B = \frac{k}{L} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega_c C_c}} \frac{\phi_0}{\sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \Delta^2}},\tag{6}$$

where k is the flux linkage factor with the order of 0.01.

Hence, the total Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = H_s + H_c + H_I. ag{7}$$

If we focus our attention on the initial condition $|n\sigma\rangle = |n\rangle|\sigma\rangle$ such that no more than one matter-radiation excitation $n + (n_{\sigma} + 1/2)$ with $n_{\sigma} = \pm 1$ is present. Under this initial condition, it can be shown that most of the evolution occurs within the low-lying energy subspace of the free Hamiltonian $H_0 = H_s + H_c$ spanned by the four states $|n\sigma\rangle$, with n = 0, 1 and $\sigma = -, +$. In such a four-state subspace, the dynamics system is governed by the

reduced Hamiltonian

ш

0.06

0.04

0.02


ᅆ

0.05

0.1

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -B\epsilon & 0 & B\Delta \\ -B\epsilon & \hbar\omega_c & B\Delta & 0 \\ 0 & B\Delta & \hbar\omega_c & B\epsilon \\ B\Delta & 0 & B\epsilon & 2\hbar\omega_c \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(8)$$



0.2

0.15

0.25

We now investigate the thermal entanglement in the combined system of the rf-SQUID and the cavity field. For the simplicity, we consider the symmetric case of $\epsilon = 0$. In this case, the eigenvectors of Hamiltonian (8) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0+\rangle - |1-\rangle), |\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0+\rangle + |1-\rangle), \ (9) \\ |\psi_3\rangle &= N_3(|1+\rangle - b_+|0-\rangle), |\psi_4\rangle = N_4(|1+\rangle - b_-|1+\rangle), \end{aligned}$$

where the normalization constants $N_3 = (1 + b_+^2)^{-1/2}$, and $N_4 = (1 + b_-^2)^{-1/2}$ with $b_{\pm} = (\hbar \pm \sqrt{B^2 + \hbar^2})/B$, and corresponding eigenvalues are given by

$$E_{1} = (\hbar - B)\omega_{c}, \quad E_{2} = (\hbar + B)\omega_{c}$$
(10)
$$E_{3} = (\hbar - \sqrt{B^{2} + \hbar^{2}})\omega_{c}, E_{4} = (\hbar + \sqrt{B^{2} + \hbar^{2}})\omega_{c}.$$

We use the entanglement of formation as the entanglement measure for a state described by a density operator ρ . To calculate this measure, starting from the density matrix , one can introduce the product matrix Rassociated with the density matrix and its time-reversed matrix $R = \rho(\sigma_1^y \bigotimes \sigma_2^y)\rho^*(\sigma_1^y \bigotimes \sigma_2^y)$ [14]. Then concurrence is defined as $C = max\{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4, 0\}$ where the λ_i are the square roots of the eigenvalues of R, in decreasing order. In this method the standard basis, $|0+\rangle, |0-\rangle, |1+\rangle, |1-\rangle$ must be used. The entanglement of formation is a strictly increasing function of concurrence; thus there is a one-to-one correspondence. The amount of entanglement is given by entropy defined as

$$E = h\left(\frac{1}{2}\left[1 + \sqrt{1 - C^2}\right]\right) \tag{11}$$

where $h(x) = -x \log_2 x - (1 - x) \log_2 (1 - x)$.

For the composite system of the rf-SQUID and the cavity field in thermal equilibrium at temperature T, making use of the eigenvectors and eigenvalues given in expressions (9) and (10), we can get the corresponding density operator $\rho(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{4} (e_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|) /$ where $e_i = \exp(-\beta E_i)(i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ and $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{4} e_i$ is the partition function of the composite system with $\beta = 1/kT$ with k being the Boltzmann's constant. In the standard basis, $\{|+0\rangle, |+1\rangle, |-0\rangle, |-1\rangle$, the density operator can be written as

$$\rho(T) = \frac{1}{Z} \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 & 0 & v \\ 0 & x & w & 0 \\ 0 & w & y & 0 \\ v & 0 & 0 & u \end{pmatrix},$$
(12)

where the nonzero matrix elements are given by

$$u = \frac{1}{2}(e_{2} + e_{1}), \quad v = \frac{1}{2}(e_{2} - e_{1}),$$

$$x = \frac{b_{+}^{2}e_{3}}{1 + b_{+}^{2}} + \frac{b_{-}^{2}e_{4}}{1 + b_{-}^{2}}, \quad y = \frac{e_{3}}{1 + b_{+}^{2}} + \frac{e_{4}}{1 + b_{-}^{2}},$$

$$w = -\frac{b_{+}e_{3}}{1 + b_{+}^{2}} - \frac{b_{-}e_{4}}{1 + b_{-}^{2}},$$
(13)

where we have set $b_{\pm} = \left(\hbar \pm \sqrt{B^2 + \hbar^2}\right)/B$. Then the product matrix R becomes

$$R = \frac{1}{Z^2} \begin{pmatrix} u^2 + v^2 & 0 & 0 & 2uv \\ 0 & w^2 + xy & 2wx & 0 \\ 0 & 2wy & w^2 + xy & 0 \\ 2uv & 0 & 0 & u^2 + v^2 \end{pmatrix}, (14)$$

which has the following eigenvalues

$$r_1 = (w + \sqrt{xy})^2 / Z^2, \quad r_2 = (w - \sqrt{xy})^2 / Z^2, r_3 = (u + v)^2 / Z^2, \quad r_4 = (u - v)^2 / Z^2.$$
(15)

In order to calculate the amount of entanglement, we have to know which one among the square roots of the eigenvalues of R is the largest. This depends on the values of the controlling parameter and temperature. Hence, it is difficult to analytically to calculate the amount of entanglement, and we turn to numerical investigation. In Figure 1 we have plotted how entanglement between the rf-SQUID qubit and the cavity field varies with the controlling parameter B for different values of temperature T. From Fig. 1 we can see that (i) for every given

temperature, entanglement exhibits a peak, which corresponds to the critical value of the controlling parameter. Entanglement increases with increasing B in the controlling regime of $B < B_c$, while entanglement decreases with increasing B in the regime of $B > B_c$; (ii) entanglement at the critical point of the controlling parameter B_c increases while the critical point may move to the left with increasing temperature; (iii) in the limit of $B \to \infty$, entanglement approaches zero which means that the composite system disentangled. This large B behavior can be obtained analytically. Indeed, when $B \gg \hbar$, we have $b_{\pm} = \pm 1$, $E_1 \approx E_3$ and $E_2 \approx E_4$. In this case, $\sqrt{r_2}$ is the largest one among the square roots of the eigenvalues of the product matrix R, and the concurrence $C = max \{-e_2/(e_1 + e_2), 0\} = 0$ which implies that entanglement vanishes E = 0.

Finally we look to the dependence of entanglement on temperature. In Figure 2 we have plotted the variation of entanglement with temperature for different fixed values of the controlling parameter B in the regime below the critical value B_c . Figure 2 indicates that for every fixed value of B entanglement decreases slowly with increasing temperature in the low temperature regime of T < 0.15 while entanglement drops rapidly in the middle temperature regime of 0.15 < T < 0.35, and disentanglement appears in the high temperature regime of T > 0.35. From Fig. 2 we can also see that entanglement increases with enhancing the value of the controlling parameter B.

In summary, we have investigated thermal entanglement in a SQUID qubit coupled to a cavity field. We have shown that the entanglement can be manipulated by varying temperature and an effective controlling parameter B which depends on the external field and characteristic parameters of the system. In general, thermal entanglement increases with increasing the controlling parameter and decreases with increasing temperature. We have found that there exists a critical value of the controlling parameter B_c . Thermal entanglement between the SQUID and the cavity field exhibits different properties below and above the critical value. Under a fixed temperature, increasing B can increase entanglement below the critical value, while the entanglement decreases with increasing B above the critical value. We hope that the finding of the existence of the critical value of the controlling parameter is useful to realize quantum information processing by using SQUID qubits.

- [1] Cai X H and Kuang L M 2002 Chin. Phys. Lett. ${\bf 19}$ 1407
- [2] Arnsen M C, Bose S and Vedral V 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 017901
- [3] Makhlin Y et al 2001 Rev. Mod Phys. 73 357
- [4] Pashkin Y A et al 2003 Nature 421 823

- [5] Berkley A J et al 2003 Science **300** 1548
- [6] Yu Y et al 2002 Science **296** 889
- [7] Vion D et al 2002 Science **296** 886
- [8] Shnirman A et al 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 2371
- [9] Nakamura Y et al 1999 Nature **398** 786

757

- [10] Migliore R and Messina A 2003 Phys. Rev. $\,{\bf B}$ 67 134505
- [11] Liu W M et al 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 170408
- [12] Kuang L M 1996 Phys. Rev. B53 11764
 [13] Yang M and Kuang L M 2003 Commun. Theor. Phys. 39
- [14] Wootters W K 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 2245