Dependence of spin susceptibility of a two-dimensional electron system on the valley degree of freedom

Y.P.Shkolnikov, K.Vakili, E.P.De Poortere, and M.Shayegan

Department of Electrical Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

We report m easurem ents of the spin susceptibility, / $g_v g m$, in an A A s two-dimensional electron system where, via the application of in-plane stress, we transfer electrons from one conduction-band valley to another (g_v is the valley degeneracy, and m and g are the electron electron electron electron electron. At a given density, when the two valleys are equally populated ($g_v = 2$), the measured g m is smaller than when only one valley is occupied ($g_v = 1$). This observation counters the common assumption that a two-valley two-dimensional system is electricely more dilute than a single-valley system because of its smaller Fermi energy.

PACS numbers: 71.70 Fk, 73.43 Qt, 73.50.-h, 71.70.-d

An unsettled question regarding the physics of a dilute, two-dimensional electron system (2DES) concems the behavior of its spin susceptibility, $(_B m_0 = 2 h^2) (g_v g m)$, where _B is the Bohr m agneton and h the P lanck constant, gv is the valley degeneracy, and m and g are the electron e ective mass (in units of free electron m assm $_0$) and q-factor, respectively. As the 2DES density is lowered, the Coulomb energy of the system dom inates over its kinetic energy. A measure of the diluteness is the rs parameter, the inter-electron spacing measured in units of the e ective Bohr radius, or equivalently, the Coulomb energy measured in units of the kinetic (Ferm i) energy. Theory [1] predicts that g m increases with increasing $r_{\rm s}$ and eventually diverges above a critical r_s, where the 2D electrons attain a ferrom agnetic ground state. Experim entally, although there is yet no consensus as to the divergence of g m , most m easurem ents in dilute 2DESs show an increasing g m with r_s [2, 3, 4, 5]. In this Letter, we report measurements of g m in an A IA s 2D E S where we change the valley occupation via the application of uniaxial in-plane stress. The data add an interesting twist to this problem as they reveal that, at a xed density, g m depends on the valley degree of freedom in an unexpected manner: compared to g m for a single-valley system, g m is smaller when two valleys are occupied. This observation appears to be at odds with the widely made assum ption that a two-valley system is e ectively more dilute than its single-valley counterpart because of its sm aller Ferm i energy [6, 7, 8].

O ur sam ples contain 2D electrons con ned to a modulation doped, 11 nm wide, A IA s quantum well grown on a G aA s (001) substrate using molecular beam epitaxy [9]. The quantum well is anked by A $l_{0.4}$ G $a_{0.6}$ A s barrier layers. Using m etal electrodes (gates) deposited on the front and back sides of the sam ple and illum ination, we can vary the 2DES density, n, between 2:9 10^{15} and 7:4 10^{15} m². In this density range, the low tem perature electron mobility is about 20 m²/V s. The resistance ism easured using a lock-in am pli er at 0.3K on a H allbar

sam ple aligned with the [100] crystal direction. The sam ple is mounted on a tilting stage so that its orientation with respect to the applied magnetic eld can be varied. W e studied three sam ples from two di erent wafers. Here we present data from one sam ple; the measurements on other sam ples corroborate the reported results.

We rst show how valley occupancy can be tuned in our samples. In bulk A lAs, conduction band m in im a (or valleys) occur at the six equivalent X -points of the Brillouin zone. The constant energy surface consists of six half-ellipsoids (three full ellipsoids in the rst Brillouin zone), with their major axes along one of the < 100> directions; here we designate these valleys by the direction of their major axes. These valleys are highly anistropic with longitudinal and transverse e ective mass ' 1:0 and 02, respectively. In an A A s quantum well with width ≥5 nm, grown on a GaAs (001) substrate, biaxial com pression due to the lattice m ism atch between A lAs and GaAs raises the energy of the [001] valley so that only the [100] and [010] valleys, with their major axes lying in the plane, are occupied [10]. This is the case for our sam ples. In our experiments, we apply additional, uniaxial compression along the [100] direction to transfer electrons from the [010] valley to the [100] valley while the total 2D electron density remains constant. W em easure and compare g m for the two cases where all the electrons are either in the [100] valley ($q_v = 1$) or are distributed equally between the [100] and [010] valleys $(g_v = 2)$. Note that in our work, $g_v = 2$ refers strictly to an equal electron concentration in the two valleys.

We apply stress to the sample by gluing it on the side of a commercial piezoelectric (piezo) stack actuator with the sample's [100] crystal direction aligned to the poling direction of the piezo [11]. Under a positive applied voltage bias, the piezo stack expands along the poling direction and shrinks in the perpendicular directions. This deform ation and the resultant stress are transmitted to the sample through the glue. U sing this technique, we can achieve a strain range of 4:7 10^4 which, for $n < 4:5 = 10^{15}$ m², is large enough to transfer all the

electrons into a single valley [12]. Since the maximum valley splitting (3 meV) is much smaller than the 150 meV conduction band o set between the A IA's well and the barriers, we expect a negligible strain-induced change (< 1%) in n, consistent with our transport data in perpendicular magnetic elds. Using a calibrated, metal strain gauge glued to the opposite side of the piezo, we monitor the applied strain with a relative accuracy of 5%.

In our experim ents, we carefully analyze the frequency com position of the Shubnikov-de Hass oscillations as a function of strain. From such data, we determ ine the electron densities in the valleys. A dditionally, we monitor the dependence of sam ple's resistance on strain (piezoresistance) at zero and nite magnetic elds. The saturation of the piezoresistance at large (negative) strains signals the onset of the [010] valley depopulation; this depopulation is con med by the Shubnikov-de Haas data. From such measurements, we know, for example, that for the data shown in Fig. 1, electrons occupy the [100] and [010] valleys equally in the second trace from bottom (bold), and that for the top two traces (bold) which were taken at strain values of 4:3 and 3:9 10⁴, only the [100] valley is occupied (note that these two traces completely overlap in the entire eld range).

W e employ two commonly used methods to measure g m. Both involve the application of a magnetic eld, B, but each determines g m at a dierent degree of spin polarization. In the rst technique, we measure the magneto-resistance (MR) of the sample as a function of B applied strictly in the plane of the 2DES (Fig. 1). W ith increasing B, the Zeem an splitting $E_Z = g_{B} B$ between the electrons with oppositely polarized spins also increases and, at certain eld (B_p), equals the Fermienergy, E_F . Beyond B_p, the 2DES becomes fully spinpolarized. At B_p, we have:

$$g m = (2 h^2 = m_0 B) (n = g_v B_p):$$
 (1)

Because of the depopulation of one of the spin subbands at B_p , the electron scattering rate changes, resulting in a kink in the MR trace [2].

10¹⁵ We show examples of such traces for n = 2.85m 2 in Fig. 1. Each trace corresponds to a di erent amount of strain, between 4:3 10 4 and 3:9 10 5 , applied along [100]. In all traces, B is also applied along [100]. A pronounced kink is observed in all the traces. The position of the kink is the highest for the top two traces, where all the electrons occupy the [100] valley. As the compression is decreased and the electrons are transferred to the [010] valley, the kink moves to lower elds. The kink's eld position is the smallest for the second trace from bottom; for this trace the m agnitude of strain is the sm allest, and the two [100] and [010] valleys have equal populations. The lowest trace corresponds to positive (tensile) strain along [100]; we again have two unequally populated valleys, but now the [010] valley has




FIG.1: Resistance of an A IA s 2D ES at n = 2:85 10^{15} m² as a function of in-plane eld applied along [100]. Strain increases from 4:3 10 4 to 3:9 10 5 (top to bottom) in steps of 3:9 10^{5} (thirteen traces are show n, the top two are com pletely overlapping). Negative strain indicates a uniaxial compression along the [100] direction. In the top two (bold) traces, only the [100] valley is occupied $(g_v = 1)$, while the second to the bottom trace (bold) corresponds to the case where the 2D electrons are equally distributed between the two [100] and [010] valleys ($g_v = 2$). The position of the pronounced kink seen in each trace is taken as the eld B_p above which the 2DES becomes fully spin polarized. The vertical arrow SB_{p1} and B_{p2} m ark the possible range $[B_{p1}, B_{p2}]$ of B_{p} for the lowest trace; we de ne B_{p1} and B_{p2} as the elds at which the resistance deviates from its exponential dependence on B above and below the kink position. The top and bottom insets schematically show the valley and spin-subband occupations at B_p for $g_v = 1$ and $g_v = 2$, respectively.

a larger population than the [100] valley. For this trace the kink m oves back to a slightly higher eld.

A spociating the kink position with the full spin polarization eld B_p , the above behavior can be qualitatively understood from Eq. (1) and the schematic energy diagrams shown in Fig. 1. Given a xed n, the Fermi lenergy of the system is twice larger when all the electrons are in one valley ($g_v = 1$) compared to when they are distributed equally between the two valleys ($g_v = 2$). If g m remained constant, we would thus expect a twice smaller B_p in the case of $g_v = 2$. This simple, noninteracting picture, how ever, is not quantitatively consistent with the experimental data, which show a reduction of only about 34% in the kink position. A coording to Eq. (1), this observation implies that g m for $g_v = 2$ is smaller than for $g_v = 1$.




FIG. 2: (color) Angular dependence of the measured magneto-resistance (top panels) and the associated energy fan diagram s (bottom panels) for (a) $g_v = 1$ and (b) $g_v = 2 \text{ A As}$ 2D ES with n = 4.32 10^{15} m². E⁽¹⁾ and E⁽²⁾ are the Landau energy levels for the [100] and [010] valleys, respectively.

Before discussing the above observation in detail, we present our measurements of g m using a second technique, the coincidence method [13], where we no longer restrict B to the plane of the 2DES.W ith a non-zero B₂ (out of plane component of B), Landau levels (LLs), with energy separation equal to the cyclotron energy $E_c = heB_2 = (m m_0)$, form. Each LL is further split by E_{Z} , which is a function of total B. In a typical measurement, we tilt B by an angle from the 2DES normal, such that the in-plane component of B is along the [100] direction, and sweep the magnetic eld. At certain and B, the energy levels of oppositely spin-polarized electrons coincide at the 2DES Ferm i energy. If this "coincidence" occurs when electrons occupy an integer number of LLs (integer lling factor), the MR m in im um will rise [13]. At such coincidence, we have $g m = 2 N \cos()$, where the integer N can be deduced from consecutive coincidences (in) at a xed . We show an example of such MR data for a case where n = 4:32 10¹⁵ m² and all the electrons are in the [100] valley ($g_v = 1$) in the top panel of Fig. 2 (a). The corresponding energy level fan diagram is shown in the bottom panel. At allodd > 7(and similarly at all even > 6), of the coincidences are the same. This indicates that g m is independent of B in this high lling range. From the data shown in Fig. 2 (a), we deduce g m = 2.8.

The data shown in top panel of Fig. 2 (b) were taken for the same n as in the (a) panels, but at zero strain so that the [100] and [010] valleys are equally occupied at B = 0.W hen both valleys are occupied, the dependence 3

ofMR on becomes more complicated, with coincidence angles form ing a "diam ond" pattern with periodicity of [14]. This dependence of MR can be explained four in by an energy fan diagram (lower panel of Fig. 2 (b)) in which E_v (energy splitting between valleys) and E_c increase linearly with B $_{2}$, and E $_{7}$ increases linearly with totalB and is the sam e for both valleys [14]. By m atching the values of coincidence angles predicted by the energy fan diagram to the the experimental data, we extract values for both gm and E_v . The coincidences at all odd > 6 occur at the same in data of Fig. 2(b), implying that g m is independent of B for high llings in the $g_v = 2$ case also. From the data of Fig. 2 (b), we nd g m = 22, again sm aller than 2.8 that we nd for the case of $q_v = 1$ for the same density.

Figure 3 captures the highlight of our study. It sum m arizes the results of m easurem ents for the cases where all the electrons occupy the [100] valley or are distributed equally between the [100] and [010] valleys. P lotted are the values of g m deduced from both the coincidence method, and the kink positions in the parallelMR data and using Eq. (1) [15]. Since the two methods measure g m at very di erent degrees of spin polarizations - as low as 20% in the coincidence method, and 100% in the $B_{\rm p}$ m ethod – the overlap of g m $\,$ from these m ethods asserts that there is negligible non-linearity in spin polarization, implying a nearly constant g m as a function of spin polarization [16]. To check whether the values of g m are isotropic, we repeated both coincidence and B_{p} m easurem ents while applying the in-plane com ponent of the magnetic eld along the [010] rather than [100] direction. The data shown in Fig. 3 include results from both eld orientations, evincing that g m is indeed isotropic.

The results presented in Fig. 3 are puzzling. In an independent electron picture, g m should not depend on the 2D density or the valley degeneracy, clearly in disagreement with the experimental data shown here. Electron-electron interaction, on the other hand, is known to increase g m as the density is lowered and the system becomes more dilute [1]. This trend is indeed seen in the data of Fig. 3 for both $g_v = 1$ and $g_v = 2$ [17]. At any given density, however, the measured g m for the $g_v = 1$ case is larger than g m for $g_v = 2$. This is supprising since the $g_v = 2$ system is expected to be more "dilute" because of its smaller Fermi energy.

In view of our results, it is useful to ask what the proper de nition of the r_s parameter for a two-valley system is. This parameter is commonly dened as the ratio of the Columb to kinetic (Fermi) energy, $(e^2=4 \ r)=E_F$, where r is the average inter-electron distance. For a one-valley system, r_s is well dened, and is equal to $(1=a_B)(1=n)^{1=2}$, where a_B is the elective Bohr radius. For a two-valley system, there appears to be no clear consensus on the denition of r_s [2, 7, 8]. In all reported denitions, how ever, it is assumed that, at a xed density, the two-valley system is equally orm ore dilute than




FIG.3: The product g m in units of $g_{b}m_{b}$ (product of band m ass and band g-factor) determ ined from both coincidence and B_{p} m easurements as a function of n. Data are shown for when all electrons occupy the [100] valley ($g_{v} = 1$, open symbols; orientation of the in-plane component of B along [100] and [010] is indicated by x and y, respectively), or are distributed equally between [100] and [010] valleys ($g_{v} = 2$, closed symbols). The ranges of possible g m from the parallel eld measurements (i.e., B_{p1}, B_{p2}]) are shown as shaded bands. Uncertainty of g m deduced from the coincidence measurement is less than 4%.

the single-valley system . For example, in a model where intervalley interaction is ignored, r_s for the two-valley system is larger by a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ (see for example, Ref. [8]). It is clear from our data, that any de nition of r_s that assumes the two-valley system is equally or more dilute than the one-valley system will be in apparent disagreem ent with our data.

Can our results be explained by som e unusual properties of 2D E Ss in A IA s? W hile we cannot rule out subtle or unknown phenomena, we remark on three possibilities. First, m can depend on valley population if the energy dispersion is non-parabolic. Our measurements [11] of the sample resistance as a function of strain (valley population), while n and B₂ (i.e.,) are kept constant, how ever, provide evidence against this possibility: The resistance oscillates periodically with strain, im plying that m does not vary with valley occupancy. Second, it is possible that when $q_v = 2$, the lower Ferm i energy of the system leads to its being more susceptible to disorder [18]. While the role of disorder in modifying g m is not fully known, recent calculations [19] indicate an increase in m when disorder is present; opposite to our observation of a smaller gm for $g_v = 2$. Third, the Ferm i contours in our A IA s 2D E S are highly an isotropic with a longitudinal to transverse e ective mass ratio of approxim ately 5:1, im plying an isotropic Bohr radius for electrons in a given valley. A tantalizing possibility m ight be that the anom alous behavior we observe is an indication of an isotropic interaction.

W e thank the NSF for support, and E.Tutuc and R. W inkler and K.Karrai for illum inating discussions.

- [L] C.Attaccalite et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 256601 (2002), and references therin.
- [2] T.Okam oto et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3875 (1998).
- [3] A.A.Shashkin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 086801 (2001).
- [4] V.M. Pudalov et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 196404 (2002).
- [5] J. Zhu et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 056805 (2003).
- [6] E.Abraham set al, Rev. M od. Phys. 73, 251 (2001).
- [7] A.A.Shashkin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 046403 (2003).
- [8] M. W. C. Dharma-wardana and F. Perrot, condmat/0402253 (2004).
- [9] E. P. De Poortere et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 1583 (2002).
- [10] K.Maezawa et al, J.Appl.Phys.71, 296 (1992).
- [11] M. Shayegan et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 83, 5235 (2003).
- [12] Here we refer to the shear strain, de ned as (L $_x$ =L $_x$ L $_y$ =L $_y$), where L $_x$ and L $_y$ are the sample dimensions along [100] and [010], respectively. The splitting between the < 100> valleys is proportional to this strain.
- [13] F.F.Fang and P.J.Stiles, Phys. Rev. 174, 823 (1968).
- [14] As shown by Y.P. Shkolnikov et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 226805 (2002)] the valley degeneracy is lifted in the presence of B₂. We nd, however, that when strain is zero, valley splitting at all B₂ is at its minimum value.
- [15] W e use $g_b = 2$ [H.W. van Kesteren et al, Phys.Rev.B 39,13426 (1989)] and m $_b = 0.46$ [T.S.Lay et al, Appl. Phys.Lett.62,3120 (1993)].
- [16] One source for non-linearity of g m stems from an increase of m when a 2D ES with nite layer thickness is placed in a large parallel B [E. Tutuc et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 241309 (2003)]. G iven the small layer thickness of our 2D ES, we expect this increase to be unimportant in our system. This conclusion is further corroborated by our measurements. M ass ehnancement due to nite-layer thickness depends on the band m ass perpendicular to the orientation of B. Therefore, we would expect a di erent g m value when the in-plane eld is applied along the major axis of the Ferm i contour as opposed to its m inor axis; this is contrary to our observation of an isotropic g m (Fig. 3).
- [17] In a previous study of sim ilar A lAs 2D ESs but without controlling the valley occupation, E.P.DePoortere et al. [Phys.Rev.B 66, 161308 (2002)] concluded that g m is nearly independent of density. An assumption was made that, at B_p, all electrons occupy only one valley, regardless of the valley occupation at B_{jj} = 0.D at a presented here reveal that this assumption was only justi ed in a narrow range of low densities, for which $g_v = 1$ and valley splitting is signi cantly larger than E_F .
- [18] As is evident from the data of F ig. 1, the m obility of the 2D ES at B_{jj} = 0 is sm aller when $g_v = 1$. This is not because of larger disorder, but rather a consequence of the geom etry of ourm easurem ent. M obility of electrons is determ ined by the transport m ass. W hen all the electrons are in the [100] valley, transport m ass along [100] (the m easurem ent direction) is just the longitudinal e ective m ass, whose larger value leads to the sm aller observed

m obility.
[19] R.A sgari et al, cond-m at/0401289 (2004).