Condensation transition in zero-range processes with di usion

E.Levine, D.M ukam eland G.Ziv

Department of Physics of Complex Systems, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel 76100.

A bstract. Recent studies have indicated that the coarse grained dynam ics of a large class of tra c m odels and driven-di usive system s m ay be described by um m odels. We consider a class of one-dimensional um m odels whereby particles hop from an um to its nearest neighbor by a rate which decays with the occupation number k of the departure site as (1 + b = k). In addition a di usion process takes place, whereby all particles in an um m ay hop to an adjacent one with some rate . C ondensation transition which m ay take place in this m odel is studied and the (b;) phase diagram is calculated within the mean eld approximation and by numerical simulations. A driven-di usive m odel whose coarse grained dynam ics corresponds to this um m odel is considered.

PACS num bers: 02.50 Ey, 64.75.+ g, 89.40.+ k

1. Introduction

O rdering and condensation transitions in one-dimensional systems far from thermal equilibrium have been studied extensively in recent years [1, 2, 3]. It has been repeatedly demonstrated that unlike systems in thermal equilibrium, driven one-dimensional systems whose dynamics does not obey detailed-balance can be ordered even when the dynamics is local and noisy.

By making a correspondence between phase separation in one-dimensional systems and condensation in unmmodels, two mechanisms which allow for phase separation in driven systems have been suggested. Ummmodels are simple lattice models de med on a ring geometry, where each site can either be vacant or occupied by one orm ore particles. The mstmmechanism is described in term softhe Zero Range Process (ZRP) [2,4]. In this model particles hop between nearest neighbor lattice sites with rates $!_k$ which depend only on the number of particles k at the departure site. If the rates decay to zero in the large k limit, or if the rates decay slow ly enough to a mite value, a condensation transition takes place, whereby a single lattice site becomes macroscopically occupied as the density is increased beyond a critical value. It has been suggested that the coarse-grained dynamics in a broad class of one-dimensional driven models can be described

by a ZRP with rates which at large k decay as $!_k = !_1$ (1 + b=k) [5, 6]. In this case phase separation takes place only if b > 2.

A second mechanism is described in terms of the of the Chipping Model (CM) [7, 8]. The dynamics of this model involves two processes: chipping, where a single particle hops to a nearest neighbor site at a constant rate !; and di usion, where all particles in a site hop together to an adjacent site with rate . This model can be viewed as a ZRP with constant hopping rate (b = 0), extended to include di usion processes as well. Mean- eld analysis of this model indicates that this model exhibits a condensation transition at a critical density. This result remains valid beyond the mean- eld approximation as long as the chipping process is symmetric [7]. It has also been shown that if the chipping process is biased either to the left or to the right, no condensation transition takes place [8].

Recently it has been suggested that the coarse-grained dynam ics of certain cellularautom ata tra cm odels can be modeled by the asymmetric CM [9]. Within this picture, the coarse-grained evolution of tra cm odels is described in terms of domain dynamics, which essentially involves asymmetric chipping and di usion processes. It has thus been concluded that no phase separation transition should be expected in this class of models, and that jamming phenomena take place as a broad crossover process rather than via a sharp phase transition. On the other hand, there exist models which may be related to tra c, in which the rate of chipping a particle from a domain is not constant, but rather depends on the domain size. Such an example is the Bus R oute model [10], where an approximate description assigns hopping rates to buses that decay as a function of the distance to the next bus ahead. It is thus of interest to combine the features of the chipping model and the ZRP processes and consider um modes which exhibit chipping and di usion processes with occupation dependent chipping rates.

In this paper we consider in details a class of um models which incorporate both di usion and chipping processes with occupation (k) dependent chipping rates of the form $!_k = 1 + b = k$. In Section 2 we introduce the generalized ZRP and analyze its behavior both within mean- eld approximation and by numerical simulations. In Section 3 we consider a simple driven di usive model, and show that its coarse grained dynamics is described by this generalized ZRP.C onclusions and sum mary are presented in Section 4.

2. Zero-Range Processes with di usion

The generalized zero-range process is de ned on a lattice of M sites, with periodic boundary conditions, occupied by N = M particles. The dynamics is de ned through the rates by which two nearest neighbor sites containing k and m particles, respectively, exchange particles:

di usion: (k;m) ! (k+m;0)chipping: $(k;m)^{q!m}$! (k+1;m 1) ; $(k;m)^{(1-q)!k}$ (k 1;m + 1): Condensation transition in zero-range processes with di usion

where the rate $!_k$ depends on the number of particles in the departure site,

$$l_k = 1 + \frac{b}{k} : \tag{1}$$

Thus the model is specified by three dynamical parameters, ;b and q, and by the average occupancy. The lim it = 0 corresponds to a ZRP with no diffusion; the lim it b = 0 recovers the chipping model. The parameter q controls the spatial bias, with q = 1=2 being the symmetric case.

2.1. Mean-Field analysis

We rst analyze the model within mean-eld approximation, where correlations between sites are neglected. Let p_k be the probability of a given site to be occupied by k particles. The mean-eld evolution equations for p_k are given by

$$\frac{dp_{0}}{dt} = (1 \quad p_{0})^{2} + !_{1}p_{1}(1 \quad p_{0}) \quad (\quad !_{1}p_{1})p_{0} \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{dp_{n}}{dt} = 2 \quad p_{n}(1 \quad p_{0}) + p_{k}p_{n-k} + [(p_{n-1} \quad p_{n}) + !_{n+1}p_{n+1} \quad !_{n}p_{n}];$$
with n 1 and = $P_{1}^{k-1} + !_{k}p_{k}.$

To solve these equations in the steady state, where all time derivatives vanish, let us de ne a generating function $g(s) = \prod_{n=1}^{P} p_n s^n = n \cdot M$ ultiplying the nth equation in (2) by s^n and summing over n 1 one obtains

$$s^{2}g^{0}(s)^{2} = 2 s (1 p)g^{0}(s) + (1 s) (1 s)g(s)$$
(3)
+ $b\frac{1 s}{s}g(s) p (1 s) + (1 p)^{2} = 0 :$

It is assumed that at criticality p_k has the asymptotic large k behavior p_k 1=k. One is interested in evaluating as a function of and b. For the model to exhibit a condensation transition one needs > 2. W ithin the mean-eld approximation the model exhibits condensation transition already at b = 0. We therefore expect such transition to take place for any b 0 (an assertion which has been checked num erically), and hence assume in the following that > 2. This form of p_k in plies that g(s) is well-de ned for jsj < 1, and is singular at s = 1. Taking s = r, w ith > 0, we not that for non-integer the singular part of g(s) has the following behavior for 1,

g(s)
$$\int_{1}^{L_{1}} \frac{e^{n}}{n+1} dn$$
; (4)

where for the purpose of extracting the leading singularity we replace the sum by an integral. For integer the singularity of g(s) is of the form log . In the following analysis we assume for convenience that is non-integer. The results derived in this analysis apply to the integer case as well. We thus make the anzats

 $g(s) = a_0 + a_1 + a_2^2 + \dots + b_0 + b_1 + b_2^2 + \dots + \dots;$ (5)

where the term s in the st brackets represent the regular part of g (s), while the term s in the second brackets correspond to the singular part, with being its leading power.




Figure 1. The exponent as a function of b for = 02. Solid line is given by the solution to the mean-eld equations. Boxes are results of numerical simulations of the mean-eld dynamics with M = 1000; = 3. An estimation for is obtained by tting p_k to k over the range 10 < k < 100. An example for such a t in the case b = 3 is given in the inset.

C learly non-leading singularities with powers 0 can exist in this expansion as well. In the following we proceed by inserting this anzats into (3), and system atically solving this equation order by order in . From the equations for terms of order 0;1;2 and one readily derives expressions for both the critical occupancy $_{c}$ and p_{0} in terms of :

$$_{c} = \frac{1}{2}$$
 ; $p_{0} = 1$ $\frac{1}{b} + \frac{(1 - \hat{f})}{4 - b}$: (6)

Note that non-leading singular terms cannot contribute to terms of these orders, and they thus need not be considered.

In order to determ ine and one needs to distinguish between two cases :

(i) > 3 | One proceeds by considering the orders 3;4 and + 1 terms in (3).
 Since > 3, non-leading singularities do not contribute to these terms as well. A straightforward calculation yields

$$=\frac{2 + b + 1}{3} \frac{p}{r};$$
(7)

$$= 9b 3b \frac{p}{3} 4 + 3b^{2} + 3 6b 4(2 + b + 1)^{p-1=2^{\perp 1}};$$
(8)

where = 4 $(+b+1) + (b 2)^2$. Inserting (7) into (6) one gets the critical occupancy _c in terms of the model parameters. This solution holds for values of b larger than b, de ned by (b) = 3. Note that in the lim it b! 1 one has ! b. This is a result of the fact that at large values of b di usion becomes rare and the ZRP result is approached.

(ii) $2 < \langle 3 |$ In this interval we not that for b < b (for which $\langle 3 \rangle$) becomes independent of b, with = 5=2. To analyze this case we consider the 2 2 term




Figure 2. Mean-eld critical occupancy $_{c}$ as a function of b for several values of . Solid lines are given by (6) and (7) for > 3. Dots are obtained numerically from (3) for < 3, as explained in the text. The = 0 line is given by the ZRP solution, $_{c} = 1 = (b \ 2)$. The $\}$ symbols indicate the value of b on each curve. At b = 0 the critical density is given by the CM solution $_{c} = \frac{P}{1 + 1} = 1$. The value of b as a function of , as obtained in mean-eld, is given in the inset.

in (3). This term is given by ${}^{2}b_{0}^{2}{}^{2}$, which does not vanish since by de nition $b_{0} \in 0$. In this interval non-leading singular terms in g (s) cannot contribute to this term. To see this, let 0 > correspond to a such sub-leading term. Its leading contribution to (3) is of the order ${}^{0+1}$, which is of higher order than 2 . This analysis in plies that 2 2 has to be an integer. Within this interval, the only possibility is = 5=2.

The parameter , and thus the critical occupancy, cannot be determined perturbatively in this interval. It is determined by the two boundary conditions in posed on (3), namely g(0) = 0 and $g(1) = (1 + p_0) = b$, with p_0 given by (6). Integrating 3 numerically, one identi es the value of which satis es the boundary conditions.

In summary, we not that within the mean-eld approximation = 5=2 for b < b(), while is a continuous function of b for b > b(). The exponent exhibits a discontinuity at b() (see gure 1). The critical occupancy c is given as a function of b in gure 2. For b > b() the curve is deduced from (7) and (6), while for b < b() it is obtained numerically by noting the value of for which the solution of (3) satisfies the appropriate boundary conditions. One observes that although the exponent

exhibits a discontinuity at b, the critical occupancy is a continuous monotonically decreasing function of b. Note that increasing either or b results in decreasing the critical occupancy. This is due to the fact that condensation is favored by both the di usion process and by the size-dependency of the chipping. The value of b as a function of is depicted in the inset of this gure.




Figure 3. The exponent as a function of b, obtained from numerical simulations. Solid lines are = 2 corresponding to asym metric CM (b = 0), and = b corresponding to ZRP (= 0). Simulations were performed on systems of size M = 1000 and occupancy = 3.

The (b) curve found above corresponds to the mean-eld approximation in the therm odynamic limit. It is of interest to exam ine how this behavior is manifested in

nite systems. This could give a useful insight for the model with nearest-neighbor exchange, where no analytic results are available, and where one has to resort only to num erical sin ulations of nite system s. To this end we carried out num erical sin ulations of the model, with a modi ed dynamics for which the mean-eld equations yield the correct steady state. This modi ed dynamics is de ned by the same rates as those of the original model, except that the two sites exchanging particles in each dynam ical move are chosen at random, and are not necessarily nearest neighbors. The results obtained for from a simulation of a system of size M = 1000 are presented in gure 1. It is observed that the qualitative behavior derived in the previous section is recovered. Namely, assumes the value 5=2 for small b, while it continuously varies with bat large b. In the vicinity of the critical parameter b, large crossover e ects dominate the dynam ics, and the num erical curve seems to deviate from the analytical one. This nite size e ect, and one needs far larger systems in order to recover the true is a in this region, as given by the exact solution found above. We asym ptotic exponent carried out sim ilar calculations for larger system s and found that indeed the trend is to m ove tow ards the analytical curve.

2.2. Num erical sim ulations

In the case of nearest-neighbor exchange no analytical solution for the steady-state distribution function is available, and one has to resort to num erical simulations. The exponent (b) for the totally asymmetric case (q = 0), as extracted from studies of systems of size M = 1000, is given in gure 3. It is readily seen that the num erical

results are consistent with = 2 at small b and with a continuously varying at large b. This indicates that as in the CM (b = 0) the generalized model does not exhibit a condensation transition for small b > 0. Condensation is obtained only above a certain threshold of the parameter b. The precise nature of the curve in hard to determ ine num erically, due to the nite size e ects which dom inate the dynamics in the vicinity of b, as for the mean-eld dynamics. Thus, for example, accurate determ ination of b is not possible, although it seems close, or equal, to 2. It is also not clear from the simulations whether or not (b) is discontinues at b.

We also carried out num erical studies of the symmetric case, $q = \frac{1}{2}$. For b = 0 it is known that = 5=2, as in mean-eld [7]. We not that remains locked at 5=2 for su ciently small b, while varies continuously above this value for large b.

3. Corresponding one-dim ensional driven di usive m odel


We now demonstrate that the generalized zero-range process considered in the previous section could be relevant to the coarse-grained dynamics of certain driven-di usive models. To this end we introduce a simple driven-di usive model, and analyze it using the generalized ZRP model discussed above. This model is related to a class of models whose coarse-grained dynamics has been described in term softhe ZRP [5,6]. In contrast to those models, the model presented here exhibits also domain di usion. The model evolves in discrete time (namely through a parallel update scheme), and is therefore related to cellular autom ata models introduced to study tra c ow [11, 12].

The model is de ned on a lattice of L sites. Each site can either be vacant (0), or occupied by positive (+) or negative () particle. The dynam icalm oves are carried out in parallel by two consecutive update steps.

Step 1:	x0x ¹ ! 0xx	
Step 2:	+ ^q ! +	
	+00 ^a ! 0+0	00 ^a ! 0 0
	+0000 ^a ! 0+000	0000 ^a ! 000 0
	+ 0000 ^u ! 00 + 00	0000 ^u ! 00 00:

Here x is a particle of either type and u + a 1. The model allows for single and double site jumps, and it exhibits features which do not exist in models with only single-site jumps. Note that while all transition probabilities in step 2 are symmetric under particle-type exchange and left-right relation, this symmetry is broken by the transitions of step 1. At high density the system has states in which all vacancies are isolated, and are thus determ inistic. In this case ergodicity is broken.

Consider now the coarse-grained dynam ics of this model. Let us de ne a dom ain in this model as a sequence of particles, interrupted only by isolated vacancies. These vacancies move determ inistically to the left. The evolution of a dom ain can be described in term s of two processes: (a) a chipping process, in which a positive (negative) particle



F igure 4. Space time con gurations of the driven model starting from random initial con guration. A system of size L = 300 is occupied by 100 particles of each type, and the model parameters are a = 0.45; u = 0.5 and p = 0.1. Positive particles are colored black, negative particles are in gray, and vacancies are in white.

leaves a domain of size k to the right (left) with rate w_k^+ (w_k); and (b) a di usion process, in which a vacancy penetrates the domain from its right (with probability a) and advances determ inistically to its left, thus shifting its center of mass one unit to the right. In gure 4 a space-time con guration of the model is given. One readily observes the evolution of domains through chipping and di usion, and the coalescence of domains upon contact.

We now consider the current which ow s through a domain. The size k of a domain is de ned as the number of particles it contains, ignoring the vacancies in it. Since vacancies move determ inistically through a domain, one may project the vacancies out of the domain dynamics, and consider only the dynamics of the charges. This dynamics is in fact described by the totally asymmetric exclusion process (TA SEP) [13]. Typically, a domain is asymmetric, composed of an unequal + and charge densities. In its maximal current state, obtained for large a and u, the current through such a domain takes the form j_k 1 + b = k with b = 3 = 2 [14, 15]. We thus conclude that $w_k = w_1$ (1 + b = k), with $w_1^+ \notin w_1$ some constants, and b = 3 = 2.

The coarse-grained dom ain dynam ics of thism odel is thus related to the generalized ZRP picture of Section 2, with $q \notin \frac{1}{2}$ and b = 3=2. Since our num erical data (gure 3) suggests that b > 3=2, we expect the dom ain size distribution p_k to take the form $p_k \quad k$, with = 2. W ithin the dom ain length accessible in num erical studies one could not get an accurate estimate for the exponent . However, num erical simulations of the model indicate that is larger than its zero-di usion limit (3=2), and is close or equal to 2. Note that the correspondence between thism odel and the zero-range process can only be made in the region of the model param eters where the dom ains are in the maxim al-current state, and where the absorbing states are not reached.

Before concluding let us note that nearest-neighbor attractive interaction between particle of the same species could result in larger values of b, possibly reaching b > b ' 2. In this case the dynam ics within a dom ain becomes identical to that of the KLS model [16, 17]. Here $w_k = w_1 (1 + b)$, where is the strength of the interaction [6]. For

large values of one expects the exponent to increase beyond = 2. This should be accompanied by a phase separation at high densities.

4. Sum m ary and D iscussion

In this work we generalize a class of zero-range processes with hopping rates of the form ! (1 + b=k) to include di usion. In particular the exponent associated with the occupation distribution $p_k = k$, and its dependence on the parameter b, is studied. Both mean-eld approximation and numerical analysis show that for su ciently small b the exponent is bindependent, and assumes its b = 0 value. In particular, in the mean-

eld approximation = 5=2 while for asymmetric nearest-neighbor chipping numerical simulations indicate that = 2. Above a critical value of b the exponent becomes a continuously increasing function of b.

This model could be relevant for describing the coarse-grained dynamics of certain driven di usive models, and possibly tra cmodels. A particular example of a driven di usive system for which this is the case is presented.

The fact that = 2 in the asymmetric chipping-model has been interpreted as an indication that jamming in trace models do not take place via a sharp phase transition [9]. The analysis presented in this paper indicates that this result is rather robust, and could hold even for a more general class of trace models, for which the chipping-like process exhibits a weak dependence on the length of the domain (b < b). Such dependence may be of relevance for trace models which allow overtaking.

A cknow ledgm ents

We thank L.G ray for useful discussions. The support of the Israel Science Foundation (ISF) is gratefully acknow ledged.

References

- D.Mukamelin Soft and Fragile Matter: Nonequilibrium Dynamics, Metastability and Flow, Eds.
 M.E.Cates and M.R.Evans (Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol, 2000) cond-mat/0003424.
- [2] M.R.Evans, Braz.J.Phys. 30, 42 (2000).
- [3] G.M.Schutz, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. 36, R 339 (2003).
- [4] F. Spitzer, A dvances in M ath. 5, 246 (1970).
- [5] Y.Kafri, E.Levine, D.Mukamel, GM.Schutz, and J.Torok, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 035702 (2002).
- [6] Y.Kafri, E.Levine, D.Mukamel, G.M.Schutz, and R.D.Willman, Phys. Rev. E 68 035101 (2003).
- [7] S.N.Majum dar, S.K irshnam urthy, and M.Barma, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3691 (1998); R.Rajesh, S.N.Majum dar, Phys. Rev. E 63, 036114 (2001).
- [8] R.Rajesh and S.Kirshnam urthy, Phys.Rev.E 66, 046132 (2002).
- [9] E.Levine, G.Ziv, L.Gray, and D.Mukamel, preprint cond-mat/0312459; cond-mat/0402071.
- [10] O.J.O'Loan, M.R.Evans and M.E.Cates, Phys.Rev.E 58, 1404 (1998).
- [11] D. Chow dhury, L. Santen, and A. Schadschneider, Phys. Rep. 329, 199 (2000).
- [12] D.Helbing, Rev. M od. Phys. 73, 1067 (2001).

- [13] B.Derrida, M.R.Evans, V.Hakim, and V.Pasquier, J.Phys. A 26 1493 (1993); G.Schutz and E.Dom any, J.Stat. Phys. 72 277 (1993).
- [14] K.Mallick, J.PhysA 29, 5375 (1996).
- [15] T.Sasam oto, Phys.Rev.E 61, 4980 (2000).
- [16] S.Katz, J.L.Lebow itz, and H. Spohn, J. Stat. Phys. 34, 497 (1984)
- [17] J.S.Hager, J.Krug, V.Popkov, and G.M.Schutz, Phys.Rev.E, 63, 056110 (2001).