

The linear Ising model and its analytic continuation, random walk

B. H. Lavenda

Universita degli Studi, Camerino 62032 (MC) Italy
(Dated: March 22, 2024)

A generalization of Gauss's principle is used to derive the error laws corresponding to Types II and V II distributions in Pearson's classification scheme. Student's r-pdf (Type II) governs the distribution of the internal energy of a uniform, linear chain, Ising model, while analytic continuation of the uniform exchange energy converts it into a Student t-density (Type V II) for the position of a random walk in a single spatial dimension. Higher dimensional spaces, corresponding to larger degrees of freedom and generalizations to multidimensional Student r- and t-densities, are obtained by considering independent and identically distributed random variables, having rotationally invariant densities, whose entropies are additive and generating functions are multiplicative.

PACS numbers: 05.40.+j, 05.50.+q, 05.70.-a

A relation between the Ising problem and random walks has been conjectured a number of times over the years [1]. Rather than one of technique, we shall show in this letter that the two are interconvertible through analytic continuation of the uniform exchange energy which transforms a probability density function (pdf) of finite range into one of infinite range. Specifically, a Student r-pdf [2], of limited range, which governs the distribution of the internal energy of a linear, uniform Ising chain, is transformed into Student's t-pdf, of unlimited range, for the position of a random walker along an infinite linear chain, through analytic continuation of the uniform exchange energy between spins. These two families of distributions will be derived as error laws from a generalization of Gauss's principle, which will serve in uniting these two seemingly unrelated phenomena.

Gauss's principle asserts that if the probability of an error, given the true value, is a function of the error alone, and the log-likelihood function is maximum when the true value coincides with the mean of the observed values, then the law of error must be normal [3]. However, many other error laws exist, such as the beta pdf, in which the probability of an error is not a function of the error alone [3, pp. 165]. Moreover, other error laws tend to the normal law only in the asymptotic limit, where the number of observations increases without limit. Student's r- and t-pdfs fall into this category.

A law of error for determining the probability of deviations from a location parameter is given in the form:

$$P(dx|j) = p(x) dx: \quad (1)$$

The method of maximum likelihood switches the roles of variate, x , and parameter, j , thereby converting the probability (1) into a likelihood function. Maximum likelihood is determined from a solution of

$$\frac{d}{d} \log L(j) = \frac{d}{d} \log \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p'(x_i)}{p(x_i)} = 0; \quad (2)$$

where the prime stands for differentiation with respect to j .

If the arithmetic mean,

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0; \quad (3)$$

is the most probable value of the quantity measured, then the normal law follows by integration of the log-likelihood equation. The log-likelihood equation is formed by setting deviations from (2) proportional to deviations from (3), where the constant of proportionality is conveniently taken as the second derivative of an, at least, twice differentiable continuous function [4]. The normal law corresponds to the case of a negative unit weight

$$\frac{p''(x_i)}{p(x_i)} = -1: \quad (4)$$

Integrating and using the integration function to complete the square yields the normal pdf. For any other constant weight, we would get the weighted average [3, pp. 214]

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0;$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^n 1 = n$, rather than the arithmetic mean, (3).

Actually, (4) must be true for whatever i is chosen. Hence, it must be independent of i , and, at most, can be a function of the location parameter j . Except for the normal law, where j is a negative constant, the Poisson law, where $j = 1$, the binomial, and negative binomial laws, where $j = [(1 - p)]^{-1}$, respectively, other error laws cannot be derived from the conventional form of Gauss's principle [5]. One example is Student's t-pdf, even though it tends to the normal law as the number of degrees of freedom increases without limit. The t-pdf is nearly normal at the center but it has fatter tails than the normal pdf because higher order moments do not exist. Another example is Student's r-pdf, notwithstanding the fact that all the moments of the distribution exist.

We [5] have identified the weights, w_i , as the second derivatives of the entropy. The weights we will consider here [3, pp. 210{211],

$$w_i = \frac{1}{s^2} \frac{(\partial^2 S}{\partial u^2})^2}^{(i)}; \quad (5)$$

will lead to Pearson distributions of Types II (negative sign) and VII (positive sign). We assume that the information regarding the values of the location, μ , and scaling, s , parameters depend on [3, p. 379]

$$u = \frac{x - \mu}{s}$$

alone.

In the case of Type II distributions a single integration of (5) gives the 'equation of state'

$$u = \tanh^{-1} u; \quad (6)$$

where we will soon appreciate u as the intensive variable conjugate to the extensive variable u . In essence, (6) contains the statement of the second law of thermodynamics

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial u} = \beta;$$

where $S(u)$ is the entropy. A further integration yields the entropy reduction

$$\begin{aligned} S(u) &= S(u) - S(0) \\ &= u \tanh^{-1} u - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 - u^2) + \text{const.}; \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where $S(0)$ is the maximum entropy in the high temperature limit $\beta \rightarrow 0$, where $u = 0$.

Introducing the equation of state (6) into (7) gives

$$S = -\log \cosh u + \log 2 + \text{const.} \quad (8)$$

Setting $\beta = J/T$, where J is the uniform exchange energy between spins, and T the absolute temperature in energy units where Boltzmann's constant is unity, u in (6) is identified as the ratio of the internal energy per unit spin to the exchange energy, and (8) is the zero-field entropy reduction per spin of the uniform, linear chain, Ising model [6]. Since there are 2^m configurations, the maximum entropy per spin is $S(0) = \log 2$.

For m spins (7) will be m times as large. Viewed as the Legendre transform

$$S(u) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \log Z(\beta); \quad (9)$$

the generating function per spin is identified as

$$Z(\beta) = \cosh \beta; \quad (10)$$

The generating function for m spins is [7]

$$Z_m(\beta) = \cosh^m \beta; \quad (11)$$

which is the hallmark of an infinitely divisible distribution [8].

The Legendre transform (9) is asymptotically equivalent to the Laplace transform

$$Z_m(\beta) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{\beta u - S(u)} du \quad (12)$$

in the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$. The function, $u - S(u)$, has a minimum on $[-1; 0]$ at the interior point (6). Employing Laplace's method, the integral (12) can be evaluated by developing the exponent in a Taylor series to second order about the minimum (6), and using the fact that m is so large that the value of the resulting Gaussian integral is barely changed when the lower limit of integration is set equal to -1 . We then obtain

$$Z_m(\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi m}} \cosh^m \beta$$

for the expression of the generating function of m spins. Consequently, (10) and (11) are logarithmically equivalent.

The entropy reduction determines the univariate pdf through Boltzmann's principle [9]:

$$p(u) = K e^{-S(u)}; \quad (13)$$

where K is a constant. Introducing (7) into (13) gives

$$p(u) = K \frac{e^{u \tanh^{-1} u}}{(1 - u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}} = K (1 - u^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + O(u^4); \quad (14)$$

Consider a uniform distribution of mass inside a hypersphere of m -dimensions with unit radius. The energies $U_1; \dots; U_m$ of m spins are supposed to be independent and identically distributed (iid), and, in addition, they have rotationally invariant densities. Such a hypersphere will be spanned by the coordinates, $u_1; \dots; u_m$. The Euclidean distance from the origin to any point

$$|u| = \sqrt{u_1^2 + \dots + u_m^2};$$

The section at $|u|$ has radius $\sqrt{1 - u^2}$, and an 'area' proportional to $(1 - u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(m-1)}$. Hence, it has a density

$$\begin{aligned} p_m(u) &= K e^{(m-1)S(u)} \\ &= K (1 - u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(m-1)} + O(u^4); \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The multidimensional pdf, (15), shows that we are essentially dealing with a beta pdf

$$p_m(u) = K (1 + u)^{\frac{1}{2}(m-1)} (1 - u)^{\frac{1}{2}(m-1)} + O(u^4);$$

defined over $[-1; +1]$, rather than over $[0; 1]$. In fact, the entropy reduction, (7) can be written as an entropy of mixing

$$S(u) = -\frac{1}{2} f(1+u) \log(1+u) - \frac{1}{2} f(1-u) \log(1-u) g;$$

The distribution of mass inside a spherical layer whose radius varies from (1) to 1, with an infinitesimal quantity is [3, p. 191]

$$p_m(u) = K (1-u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(m-1)} [(1-u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(m-1)}]^{-1} \\ = K (m-1) (1-u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(m-3)} = K (1-u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(m-3)};$$

where the constants have been absorbed into the new definition of K . This is the same pdf as (15), except that m has been reduced by a factor of 2. A change of variable, $u = \cos \theta$, converts it into

$$p_m(\theta) = K \sin^{m-2} \theta : \quad (16)$$

For a sphere, $m = 3$, and it is well-known that two points on its surface subtend an angle between θ and $\theta + d\theta$, at the center of the sphere, if and only if the second point falls in a ring of area $2 \sin \theta d\theta$. This occurs with probability $2 \sin \theta d\theta = 4 \sin \theta d\theta$ [10].

The approach to the normal pdf can be viewed in the following terms. As m increases, jumps to the left and right will tend to equalize, like heads and tails, so that the distribution will tend to concentrate around the origin. To avoid this, and derive the shape of the asymptotic distribution, we introduce the scaling $u \rightarrow u/\sqrt{m}$ [3, p. 59] so that $(1-u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}(m-1)} \rightarrow e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2}$. The normal distribution is obtained in the limit without having to invoke any assumptions regarding the central limit theorem.

The form of the pdf (15) agrees with that found from the log-likelihood equation for the centering parameter [3, p. 210]

$$\frac{d}{d} \log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i}{1 - (x_i)^2} :$$

Integrating gives the likelihood function

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(x_i)^2}{m}^{\frac{1}{2}m} ;$$

of a Type II law. Apart from the normalizing constant, the likelihood function is seen to be the product of pdfs of the form (15).

Analytic continuation of the exchange energy, (i.e., $J \rightarrow iJ$) converts the thermalequation of state (6) into¹

$$= \tan^{-1} u; \quad (17)$$

¹ Feller [11] gives a 2-dimensional example where the random equation of state, (17), for u has the density (22) with $m = 2$. It consists of a light source at the origin on a wall and a rotating mirror whose axis of rotation is at a unit distance from the wall. The angle of rotation is distributed uniformly between $-\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$, according to (17), and u represents the distance between the light source and the point where the reflected ray intersects the wall. The Cauchy pdf represents the distribution of the inten-

sity of light along lines of constant v , the axis orthogonal to u in the $u;v$ -plane. The convolution property of the Cauchy pdf, according to Feller, is a statement of Huygen's principle which states that intensity of light is the same for a source located at the origin along the line $v = 1$, as it would be if the source were distributed along this line and the intensity were measured along $v = 2$. Moreover, if we make m measurements, the average, $(U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_n)/n$, has exactly the same pdf as that of a single measurement, so that nothing is gained by making repeated measurements.

A similar transformation leads from aperiodic to periodic behavior in Newton's reiteration scheme. An approximate root x_n of the equation $g(x) = 0$ has $x_{n+1} = x_n - g(x_n)/g'(x_n)$ as its next approximation. For $g(x) = x^2 - 1$, this reads $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + 1/x_n)$. Setting $x_n = \coth \theta_n$ gives $\theta_{n+1} = 2\theta_n$, without any trace of periodicity. However, by making x purely imaginary, $x = iy$, the iterative scheme $y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(y_n - 1/y_n)$ has the solution $y = \cot(\theta_n)$, which demands that $\theta_{n+1} = 2\theta_n \pmod{1}$. Now, initial aperiodic behavior can lead to preperiodic orbits by an appropriate choice of a rational number for θ_n , instead of to a fixed point [12].

$$S(u) = -u \tan^{-1} u + \frac{1}{2} \log(1+u^2) + \text{const.} \quad (18)$$

For small u , the entropy reduction (18) reduces to the negative quadratic form of the normal law,

$$S(u) \approx -\frac{1}{2} \log(1+u^2) \approx -\frac{1}{2}u^2 :$$

Introducing (17) into (18) enables the comparison of

$$S = -\tan^{-1} u \log \cos \theta + \text{const.}; \quad (19)$$

and (8). Under $\theta \rightarrow i\theta$, one becomes the negative of the other. On the strength of the Legendre transform, (9), the logarithm of the generating function can be identified from (19) as

$$\log Z(\theta) = -\log \cos \theta : \quad (20)$$

The characteristic function of a random walk model with equal a priori probabilities of a jump to the left or to the right is $\cos \theta$ ³. This symmetric Bernoullian

² A similar transformation leads from aperiodic to periodic behavior in Newton's reiteration scheme. An approximate root x_n of the equation $g(x) = 0$ has $x_{n+1} = x_n - g(x_n)/g'(x_n)$ as its next approximation. For $g(x) = x^2 - 1$, this reads $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(x_n + 1/x_n)$. Setting $x_n = \coth \theta_n$ gives $\theta_{n+1} = 2\theta_n$, without any trace of periodicity. However, by making x purely imaginary, $x = iy$, the iterative scheme $y_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2}(y_n - 1/y_n)$ has the solution $y = \cot(\theta_n)$, which demands that $\theta_{n+1} = 2\theta_n \pmod{1}$. Now, initial aperiodic behavior can lead to preperiodic orbits by an appropriate choice of a rational number for θ_n , instead of to a fixed point [12].

³ The generating function exists for all real θ in all cases where the pdf has a finite range, and in the case of the normal law [3, p. 87]. In cases where the integral exists, the logarithm of the generating function will be equal in magnitude and opposite in sign to the logarithm of the characteristic function.

distribution has mass $\frac{1}{2}$ at sites $+1$ and -1 . Since the jumps are iid, the characteristic function of m jumps is simply \cos^m . The inverse Fourier transform

$$\begin{aligned} p(u) &= \frac{2^{-m}}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^m e^{iu} e^i + e^{-iu} e^i \\ &= \frac{1}{2^m} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{2} (m+i) ! e^{-u^2=2m} \end{aligned}$$

gives the pdf, which in the large m -limit, transforms into the normal pdf. The approach to the normal pdf can be obtained from Boltzmann's principle, (13).

With the entropy reduction given by (18), the pdf for a single jump of length u is given by Boltzmann's principle, (13):

$$p(u) = K [1 + u^2]^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-u \tan^{-1} u}.$$

Expanding the exponent, we get

$$p(u) = K (1 + u^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + O(u^4). \quad (21)$$

If the steps, $U_1; U_2; \dots; U_m$, are iid, then the length,

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^m U_j = u_1^2 + \dots + u_m^2;$$

will have an m -dimensional pdf of the form⁴

$$p_m(u) = K [1 + u^2]^{-\frac{1}{2}m} + O(u^4). \quad (22)$$

The change of variable, $u = \cot w$ will transform (22) into (16) [8, p. 193].

The form of the pdf (22) is, again, supported by the log-likelihood equation for the centering parameter [3, p. 210]

$$\frac{d}{d} \log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i}{1 + (x_i)^2}.$$

⁴ The distinction between (22) and the Cauchy distribution in $<^m$ is the following. For $m = 2$, the probability that a vector in a randomly chosen direction with a length R will be greater than

r is $\Pr(R > r) = (1 + r^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ [11, p. 71]. The extreme observations that $m-1$ will be greater than r while the remaining one will lie in the range dr will have a density proportional to $r(1 + r^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}(m+1)}$. The change of variable, $r = \frac{P}{x}$ will convert it into a beta density of the second kind, proportional to $(1+x)^{-(\frac{1}{2}m+1)}$. Likewise, the property of extreme observations

for $\Pr(R > r) = (1 + r^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ will lead to a beta density of the first kind, which is closely allied to order statistics. For extreme observations of largest value, Boltzmann's principle (13) must be modified in such a way that the logarithm of the tail of the distribution is proportional to the entropy reduction [13].

By integration we find

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left[1 + \frac{(x_i)^2}{m} \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}m};$$

for the likelihood function of a Type VII law. To within a constant factor, the likelihood function is a product of pdfs of the form (22).

The pdf (22) is a generalization of the 1-dimensional Student t-pdf with m degrees of freedom to an m -dimensional distribution having the same number of degrees of freedom [8, p. 194]. In the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$, (22) transforms into the normal pdf,

$$p(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}u^2}; \quad (23)$$

where the normalizing constant K

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m^{\frac{1}{2}}} [B(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}(m-1))]^{-1} = (2)^{-\frac{1}{2}};$$

and the scaling $u \rightarrow u \sqrt{\frac{P}{m}}$ has been introduced. Student's t-distribution (22) can, therefore, rightly be considered the last stop before normality for large, but finite, m .

We have derived Student's t-pdf from Boltzmann's principle, (13), where the entropy (18) is independent of the number of degrees of freedom. As a consequence of their statistical independence, the entropy is additive. For m iid random variables, or degrees of freedom, the total entropy is m times as great as the entropy of a single variate, while the joint pdf is the product of functions, and this can only be true if there is a logarithmic relation between the two. If this were not the case, it would be impossible to talk about the entropy of a single constituent of the system, or the probability of a single event. Consequently, any putative expression for the entropy which is defined in terms of the degrees of freedom of the system [14] must be considered not as an entropy, but, rather, as an interpolation formula between two bona fide expressions of the entropy. A prototype is the Renyi entropy, which is an interpolation formula connecting the Boltzmann-Hartley and the Gibbs-Shannon entropies as a parameter ranges over its permitted values (i.e., those that preserve the property of Schur-concavity [15]).

Electronic address: bernard.lavenda@unicam.it

- [1] M. N. Barber and B. W. Ninham, Random and Restricted Walks (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970) Ch. 13.
- [2] G. A. Korn and T. M. Korn, Mathematical Handbook for Scientists and Engineers, 2nd ed. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968) pp. 683 and 700.
- [3] H. Jeffreys, Theory of Probability, 3rd ed. (Oxford U.P., London, 1961) p. 166.

- [4] J.M .Keynes, Treatise on Probability (St.M artin's Press, New York, 1921) p.218.
- [5] B . H . Lavenda, Statistical Physics: A Probabilistic Approach (W iley-Interscience, New York, 1991) Ch.3.
- [6] See, for instance, G .W annier, Statistical Physics (W iley, New York, 1966) p.355.
- [7] H . E. Stanley, Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phenom ena (Oxford U .P., Oxford, 1971) p.118.
- [8] B . de Finetti, Theory of Probability, vol. 2 (W iley, New York, 1975) pp.98{99.
- [9] B . H . Lavenda, Nonequilibrium Statistical Therm ody- nam ics (W iley, Chichester, 1985) p.13.
- [10] H .Solom on, Geom etric Probability (SIAM , Philadelphia, 1978) p.90.
- [11] W .Feller, An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications, vol. II, 2nd ed. (W iley, New York, 1971) p.51.
- [12] See, for instance, M . Schroeder, Fractals, Chaos, and Power Laws (W .H .Freem an, New York, 1991) pp.239{241.
- [13] B .H .Lavenda, Therm ody nam ics of Extrem es (H orwood, Chichester, 1995) p.9.
- [14] A .M .C .de Souza and C .T sallis, Physica A 236 (1997) 52.
- [15] B .H .Lavenda, J. Phys. A .31 (1998) 5651.