

Probing the superconducting gap symmetry of $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$: A
comparison with $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$

Eibert E. M. Chia and M. B. Salamon

Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,
1110 W. Green St., Urbana IL 61801

H. Sugawara and H. Sato

Department of Physics, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan

(Dated: March 22, 2024)

Abstract

We report measurements of the magnetic penetration depth λ in single crystals of $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ down to 0.1 K. Both λ and superfluid density ρ_s exhibit an exponential behavior for $T < 0.5T_c$, with parameters $\lambda(0)/k_B T_c = 1.9$ and $\lambda(0) = 2900 \text{ \AA}$. The value of $\lambda(0)$ is consistent with the specific-heat jump value of $\Delta C = T_c = 1.87$ measured elsewhere, while the value of $\lambda(0)$ is consistent with the measured value of the electronic heat-capacity coefficient γ . Our data are consistent with $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ being a moderate-coupling, fully-gapped superconductor. We suggest experiments to study how the nature of the superconducting state evolves with increasing Ru substitution for Os.

The recent discovery^{1,2} of the Heavy Fermion (HF) skutterudite superconductor (SC) $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ has attracted much interest due to its differences with the other HFSC. Measurements of dc magnetic susceptibility, specific heat, electrical resistivity and inelastic neutron scattering showed that the ninefold degenerate $J = 4$ Hund's rule multiplet of Pr is split by the cubic crystal electric field, such that its ground state is a nonmagnetic Γ_3 doublet, separated from the first excited state Γ_5 by ~ 10 K. Hence its HF behavior, and consequently the origin of its superconductivity, might be attributed to the interaction between the electric quadrupolar moments of Pr^{3+} and the conduction electrons. It is thus a candidate for the first superconductor mediated by quadrupolar fluctuations, i.e. by neither electron-phonon nor, as with other HFSC, magnetic interactions.

Surprisingly, replacement of Os by Ru, i.e. in $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, yields a superconductor with $T_c = 1.25$ K with significantly different properties. From the slope of the upper critical field near T_c , $(dH_{c2}/dT)_{T_c} = 2.4$ kOe/K and using the procedure in Ref. 1, we get the effective mass of the heavy electrons $m^* \sim 20m_e$. This contrasts with the value $m^*/m_e = 45$ for $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, showing that while $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is clearly a heavy-fermion material, $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is at most, a marginal HF. Various experimental results suggest that these two materials have different order-parameter symmetry. Firstly, there is an absence of a Hebel-Slichter peak in the nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) data⁴ for $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, while a distinct coherence peak was seen⁵ in the Sb-NQR $1/T_1$ data for $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. Secondly, the low-temperature power-law behavior seen in specific heat¹ and penetration depth⁶, and the angular variation of the normal conductivity⁷, suggest the presence of nodes in the order parameter of $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. Specifically, Refs. 6 and 7 reveal the presence of point nodes on the Fermi surface (FS). For $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, however, an exponential decrease in $1/T_1$ is observed below the Hebel-Slichter peak⁵ and was fit with an isotropic gap of magnitude $\Delta(0) = 1.5k_B T_c$, where $\Delta(0)$ is the magnitude of the zero-temperature superconducting gap. Thirdly, muon spin rotation (SR) experiments on $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ reveal the spontaneous appearance of static internal magnetic fields below T_c , providing evidence that the superconducting state is a time-reversal-symmetry-breaking (TRSB) state⁸. Such experiments have not been performed on $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$.

In this paper, we present high-precision measurements of penetration depth $\lambda(T)$ of $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ at temperatures down to 0.1 K using the same experimental conditions⁶ as for $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. Both $\lambda(T)$ and superfluid density $\rho_s(T)$ exhibit exponential behavior at low temperatures, suggesting the presence of an isotropic superconducting gap on the FS. Data

are best fit by the parameters $\rho(0)/k_B T_c = 1.9$ and $\rho(0) = 2900 \text{ A}$, and thus suggest that $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is a moderate-coupling, fully-gapped superconductor. The values of $\rho(0)/k_B T_c$ and $\rho(0)$ are consistent with values³ derived from the specific-heat jump $C = T_c = 1.87$, and the linear specific-heat coefficient.

Details of sample growth and characterization are described in Ref. 3. The observation of the de Haas-van Alphen (dHvA) effect from the same batch of samples⁹, and the large residual resistivity ratio (RRR = 76), reflect the high quality of the samples. Measurements were performed utilizing a 21-MHz tunnel diode oscillator¹⁰ with a noise level of 2 parts in 10^9 and low drift. The magnitude of the ac field was estimated to be less than 40 mOe. The cryostat was surrounded by a bilayer MuMetal shield that reduced the dc field to less than 1 mOe. The sample was mounted, using a small amount of GE varnish, on a single crystal sapphire rod. The other end of the rod was thermally connected to the mixing chamber of an Oxford Kelvinox 25 dilution refrigerator. The sample temperature is monitored using a calibrated RuO_2 resistor at low temperatures (T_{base} to 1.8 K).

The deviation $\rho(T) = \rho(0) \{ 1 - (T/T_c)^2 \}$ is proportional to the change in resonant frequency $\nu(T)$ of the oscillator, with the proportionality factor G dependent on sample and coil geometries. We determine G for a pure Al single crystal by fitting the Al data to extreme nonlocal expressions and then adjust for relative sample dimensions¹¹. Testing this approach on a single crystal of Pb, we found good agreement with conventional BCS expressions. The value of G obtained this way has an uncertainty of 10% because our sample, with approximate dimensions $0.7 \times 0.5 \times 0.25 \text{ mm}^3$, has a rectangular, rather than square, basal area¹².

Figure 1 () shows $\rho(T)$ for $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ as a function of temperature in the low-temperature region. The inset shows $\rho(T)$ for the entire temperature range. The value of T_c , taken to be the mid-point of the transition, is 1.25 K. The data points flatten out below 0.22 K ($0.18T_c$), implying activated behavior in this temperature range. Contrasting this, we superpose data for $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ on the same figure (), which show $\rho(T)$ varying strongly ($\propto T^2$) with temperature, indicative of low-lying excitations⁶. We fit the $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ data to the BCS low-temperature expression in the clean and local limit, from T_{base} (0.1 K = $0.08 T_c$) to 0.65 K ($0.5T_c$), using the expression

$$\rho(T) / \rho(0) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{\rho(0)}{2k_B T} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho(0)}{k_B T}\right) \right]^{-1}; \quad (1)$$

with the proportionality constant and $\lambda(0)$ as parameters. The best fit (solid line in Fig. 1) is obtained when $\lambda(0) = 2.4 \text{ K} = 1.9k_B T_c$. This value is larger than the BCS weak-coupling value of $1.76k_B T_c$, suggesting that $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is in the moderate-coupling regime. To check the validity of this value of $\lambda(0)$ we make use of the strong-coupling equations^{13,14}

$$\lambda(0) = 1 + 5.3 \frac{T_c}{\omega_0} \ln \frac{\omega_0}{T_c}; \quad (2)$$

$$c_v(\omega_0) = 1 + 1.8 \frac{T_c}{\omega_0} \ln \left(\frac{\omega_0}{T_c} \right) + 0.5; \quad (3)$$

$$\lambda(0) = \frac{q \frac{1 + \left(\frac{T_c}{\omega_0}\right)^2 (0.6 \ln \left(\frac{\omega_0}{T_c}\right) - 0.26)}{1 + \left(\frac{T_c}{\omega_0}\right)^2 (1.1 \ln \left(\frac{\omega_0}{T_c}\right) + 0.14)}}{q}; \quad (4)$$

where each q represents the correction factor to the corresponding weak-coupling BCS value. If we take $\lambda(0) = 1.9k_B T_c$, then Eq. (2) gives the characteristic (equivalent Einstein) frequency $\omega_0 = 17 \text{ K}$ and Eq. (3) gives $c_v = T_c = 1.9$. This value of $c_v = T_c$ agrees excellently with the measured value (1.87) in Ref. 3, giving further evidence that $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is indeed a moderate-coupling superconductor.

To extract the superfluid density ρ_s from our data, we need to know $\lambda(0)$. For a type-II superconductor, $\lambda(0)$ can be obtained from¹⁵

$$\lambda(0) = \frac{[\omega_0 H_{c2}(0)]^{1/2}}{24 \rho_s T_c^{1/2}}; \quad (5)$$

where $\omega_0 = 2.06 \cdot 10^9 \text{ G A}^2$ is the flux quantum, $H_{c2}(0)$ is the upper critical field at $T=0$, $\rho_s(0) = k_B T_c$, and λ is the electronic specific heat coefficient. Using $(dH_{c2}/dT)_{T_c} = 2.4 \text{ kOe/K}$ from Ref. 3 and the expression $H_{c2}(0) = 0.693 (dH_{c2}/dT)_{T_c} T_c$, we obtain $H_{c2}(0) = 2.16 \text{ kOe}$. The superconducting coherence length ξ_0 can be estimated from the relation $H_{c2}(0) = \xi_0^{-2} \omega_0^2$, yielding $\xi_0 = 400 \text{ \AA}$. The value $\lambda = 59 \text{ mJ/mol K}^2$ is also obtained from Ref. 3. We calculate $\rho_s(0)$ using two methods: (1) Taking $\rho_s = 1.9$, or (2) taking $\rho_s = 1.76$ along with strong-coupling corrections (Eqs. 2 and 4). Both methods yield $\rho_s(0) = 3200 \text{ A}$. This puts $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ in the local limit. Furthermore, from dHvA data⁹, we estimate the mean free path $l = 1300 \text{ \AA}$, implying that the sample is close to the clean limit. To calculate ρ_s for an isotropic s-wave superconductor in the clean and local limits we use the expression

$$\rho_s = 1 + 2 \int_0^{\xi_0} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} d''; \quad (6)$$

where $f = [\exp(E/k_B T) + 1]^{-1}$ is the Fermi function, and $E = [\mu^2 + (\hbar v_F)^2]^{1/2}$ is the quasi-particle energy. The temperature-dependence of $\chi(T)$ can be obtained by using¹⁵

$$\chi(T) = \frac{\chi_s}{k_B T_c} \tanh \left(\frac{a}{C} \frac{T_c}{T} \right) ; \quad (7)$$

where χ_s is the only variable parameter, $T_c = 1.25$ K, $a = 2/3$, and the specific heat jump $C = C(T_c) = 1.87$ is an experimentally obtained value³.

Fig. 2 shows the experimental (\square) and calculated (solid and dotted lines) values of χ_s as a function of temperature. The best fit from 0.1 K to 0.95 K ($0.8T_c$) is obtained when $\chi_s(0) = 2900$ A and $\chi_s(0) = 1.9k_B T_c$ (solid line). This value of $\chi_s(0)$ is 10% lower than the earlier-calculated value of 3200 A, but is acceptable because of the uncertainty in obtaining the calibration factor G . The value of $\chi_s(0)$ once again agrees with the specific-heat jump $C = T_c$ obtained in Ref. 3 via Eqs. (2) and (3), though it disagrees with the weak-coupling value of $1.5k_B T_c$ in Ref. 5. The dotted line in Fig. 2, calculated using the weak-coupling parameters $\chi_s(0) = 1.76k_B T_c$ and $C = T_c = 1.43$, clearly does not fit the data. Our superfluid data once again suggest that $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ is a moderate-coupling superconductor with a superconducting gap on the entire FS.

It is apparent in Fig. 2 that the data deviate from local BCS expression above 0.95 K. We also noticed a small hump in χ_s near 1.1 K shown in Fig. 1, which shows up as a curvature change in χ_s in Fig. 2. These features may be due to inhomogeneities and/or impurity effects in the sample, because the T_c 's of these single crystals vary¹⁶ between 1 K and 1.3 K.

It is puzzling that the substitution of Ru for Os (same column in the periodic table) causes $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ to differ in so many respects from $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. Further, evidence for gap anisotropy in the latter compound is contradicted by SR measurements¹⁷ (suggesting either s or p-wave pairing, with $\chi_s(0) = 2.1k_B T_c$), scanning tunneling spectroscopy¹⁸, and NQR measurements⁴ (with $\chi_s(0) = 2.6k_B T_c$). If both $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ and $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ have isotropic gaps, then they are unique, especially the latter, among HFSC, suggesting the possibility of (a) an important difference in superconducting properties between HFSC with magnetic and non-magnetic f-ion ground states, and (b) a correlation between pairing symmetry (isotropic or nodal gap) and mechanism (quadrupolar or magnetic fluctuations) of superconductivity¹⁷.

Recently, Frederick et al. performed X-ray powder diffraction, magnetic susceptibility and electrical resistivity measurements¹⁹ on single crystals of $\text{Pr}(\text{Os}_{1-x}\text{Ru}_x)_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. They found that (1) the lattice constant a decreases approximately linearly with increasing Ru

concentration, (2) the splitting between the ground and first excited state increases monotonically with x , with the results consistent with a Γ_3 doublet ground state for all values of x , although reasonable results can be obtained for a Γ_1 ground state for x near 0 and 1, and (3) T_c decreases nearly linearly with substituent concentration away from $x=0$ and $x=1$, but exhibits a deep minimum (0.75 K) at $x = 0.6$. The smooth evolution of λ and T_c with x , and the presence of superconductivity for all values of x , may suggest that both $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ and $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ possess the same order-parameter symmetry. The minimum in T_c at $x = 0.6$ could simply mark the shift from quadrupolar-mediated heavy fermion superconductivity to phonon-mediated BCS superconductivity. On the other hand, one still has to contend with measurements^{6,7,8,20} that indicate point-node gap structure, TRSB and double superconducting transitions in $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. If so, the minimum in T_c could be a consequence of competing order-parameter symmetries with a possible quantum critical point between them. It is also interesting to notice in Ref. 19 that the step-like structure seen in ac susceptibility data in the $x=0$ sample, i.e. $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, indicative of an intrinsic second superconducting transition, is not seen for all other values of x .

To further elucidate the relationship between $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ and $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, work is underway looking at the changes in penetration depth on $\text{Pr}(\text{O}_{1-x}\text{Ru}_x)_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ for a range of values of x . We want to know at which value of x (paying close attention to the value $x = 0.6$), if any, does the isotropic superconducting gap evolve into a nodal one. Moreover, we want to know whether the second superconducting transition²⁰ seen in $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ can also be seen in samples where $0 < x < 1$. If we do not see the second superconducting transition in the other samples, then it is possible that the superconductivity in the two superconducting phases of $\text{PrO}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ respond differently to impurities (Ru substituents) — one is completely destroyed by even tiny amounts of impurities, while the other persists (though weakened) all the way to $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. It might be useful also if the impurity introduced is of an element that would not produce an isostructural superconducting compound.

In conclusion, we report measurements of the magnetic penetration depth in single crystals of $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ down to 0.1 K using a tunnel-diode based, self-inductive technique at 21 MHz. Both λ and λ_s exhibit an exponential behavior for $T < 0.5T_c$, with parameters $\lambda(0)/k_B T_c = 1.9$ and $\lambda(0) = 2900 \text{ \AA}$. The value of $\lambda(0)$ is consistent with the specific-heat jump value of $C = T_c = 1.87$ measured elsewhere³, while the value of $\lambda(0)$ is consistent with the measured value³ of the electronic heat-capacity coefficient γ , and $\lambda(0)$. Our data are

consistent with $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ being a moderate-coupling, fully-gapped superconductor. We also suggest further experiments that can be done to study how the nature of the superconducting state evolves with Ru substitution, to further elucidate the relationship among HF behavior, superconducting gap structure, and the presence of TRSB in the superconducting state.

This work was supported by the NSF through Grant No. DMR 99-72087 and DMR 01-07253, and the Grant-in Aid for Scientific Research on the Priority Area "Skuttenudites" from MEXT in Japan. Some of the work was carried out in the Center for Microanalysis of Materials, University of Illinois, which is partially supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under grant DEFG 02-91-ER 45439.

-
- ¹ E. D. Bauer, N. A. Frederick, P.-C. Ho, V. S. Zapf, and M. B. Maple, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 100506 (R) (2002).
 - ² M. B. Maple, P.-C. Ho, V. S. Zapf, N. A. Frederick, E. D. Bauer, W. M. Yuhasz, F. M. Woodward, and J. W. Lynn, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., Suppl. B* **71**, 23 (2002).
 - ³ N. Takeda and M. Ishikawa, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **69**, 868 (2000).
 - ⁴ H. Kotegawa, M. Yogi, Y. Imamura, Y. Kawasaki, G. q. Zheng, Y. Kitaoka, S. Ohsaki, H. Sugawara, Y. Aoki, and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 027001 (2003).
 - ⁵ M. Yogi, H. Kotegawa, Y. Imamura, G. q. Zheng, Y. Kitaoka, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 180501 (R) (2003).
 - ⁶ E. E. M. Chia, M. B. Salamon, H. Sugawara, and H. Sato, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 247003 (2003).
 - ⁷ K. Izawa, Y. Nakajima, J. Goryo, Y. Matsuda, S. Otsaki, H. Sugawara, H. Sato, P. Thalmeier, and K. Maki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 117001 (2003).
 - ⁸ Y. Aoki, A. Tsuchiya, T. Kanayama, S. R. Saha, H. Sugawara, H. Sato, W. Higemoto, A. Koda, K. Ohishi, K. Nishiyama, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 067003 (2003).
 - ⁹ T. D. Matsuda, K. Abe, F. Watanuki, H. Sugawara, Y. Aoki, H. Sato, Y. Inada, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, *Physica B* **312-313**, 832 (2002).
 - ¹⁰ I. Bonalde, B. D. Yanoski, M. B. Salamon, D. J. V. Harlingen, E. E. Chia, Z. Q. Mao, and Y. Maeno, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 4775 (2000).
 - ¹¹ E. E. M. Chia, D. J. V. Harlingen, M. B. Salamon, B. D. Yanoski, I. Bonalde, and J. L. Sarrao, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 014527 (2003).

- ¹² R. Prozorov, R. W. Giannetta, A. Carrington, and F. M. Araujo-Moreira, *Phys. Rev. B* **62**, 115 (2000).
- ¹³ T. P. Orlando, J. E. J. McNiff, S. Foner, and M. R. Beasley, *Phys. Rev. B* **19**, 4545 (1979).
- ¹⁴ V. Z. Kresin and V. P. Parkhomenko, *Sov. Phys.-Solid State* **16**, 2180 (1975).
- ¹⁵ F. Gross, B. S. Chandrasekhar, D. Einzel, K. Andres, P. J. Hirschfeld, H. R. Ott, J. Beuers, Z. Fisk, and J. L. Smith, *Z. Phys. B* **64**, 175 (1986).
- ¹⁶ H. Sugawara, private conversation (2003).
- ¹⁷ D. E. Mclaughlin, J. E. Sonier, R. H. Heener, O. O. Bernal, B.-L. Young, M. S. Rose, G. D. Morris, E. D. Bauer, T. D. Do, and M. B. Maple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 157001 (2002).
- ¹⁸ H. Suderow, S. Vieira, J. D. Strand, S. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, *cond-mat/0306463* (2003).
- ¹⁹ N. A. Frederick, T. D. Do, P.-C. Ho, N. P. Butch, V. S. Zapf, and M. B. Maple, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 024523 (2004).
- ²⁰ R. Vollmer, A. Fajt, C. Peiderer, H. v. Lohneysen, E. D. Bauer, P.-C. Ho, V. Zapf, and M. B. Maple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 057001 (2003).




FIG. 1: (○) Low-temperature dependence of the penetration depth $\Delta\lambda(T)$ of $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$. The solid line is the fit to Eqn. 1 from 0.1 K to 0.65 K, with $\Delta\lambda(0) = 2.4 \text{ K} = 1.9k_B T_C$. Inset shows $\Delta\lambda(T)$ of $\text{PrRu}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$ over the full temperature range. (×) $\Delta\lambda(T)$ data of $\text{PrOs}_4\text{Sb}_{12}$, taken from Ref. 6.




FIG .2: () Super uid density $\rho_s(T) = [\lambda^2(0)/\lambda^2(T)]$ calculated from $\lambda(T)$ data in Fig.1. Lines: $\rho_s(T)$ calculated from Eqn. 6 with parameters $\Delta(0)=k_B T_c = 1:9$ and $C = T_c = 1:87$ (solid line), $\Delta(0)=k_B T_c = 1:76$ and $C = T_c = 1:43$ (dotted line).