# For A Lecture on Scienti c M eteorology within Statistical ("Pure") Physics Concepts

M .Ausloos

SUPRATECS, Institute of Physics, B5, University of Liege, B-4000 Liege, Belgium (M arch 22, 2024)

# Abstract

Various aspects of m odem statistical physics and m eteorology can be tied together. Critical comments have to be made. However, the historical importance of the University of W roclaw in the eld of m eteorology should be rst pointed out. Next, some basic di erence about time and space scales between m eteorology and climatology can be outlined. The nature and role of clouds both from a geometric and therm alpoint of view are recalled. Recent studies of scaling laws for atm ospheric variables are mentioned, like studies on cirrus ice content, brightness tem perature, liquid water path uctuations, cloud base height uctuations, .... Technical time series analysis approaches based on modern statistical physics considerations are outlined.

I. INTRODUCTION AND FOREW ORD

This contribution to the 18th M ax Born Sym posium Proceedings, cannot be seen as an extensive review of the connection between meteorology and

SUPRATECS = Services Universitaires Pour la Recherche et les Applications Technologiques de m ateriaux E lectroceram iques, Com posites et Supraconducteurs

various aspects of m odern statistical physics. Space and time (and weather) lim it its content. M uch of what is found here can rather be considered to result from a biased view point or attitude and limited understanding of the author frustrated because the developments of what he thought was a science (meteorology) turns out to be unsatisfactory to him and som etim es m isleading. It seems that other approaches m ight be thought of. New im plem entations carried forward. Som e are surely m ade and understood by m eteorologists but are not easily available in usual physics literature. Thus the lines below may be rather addressed to physicists. The paper will be satisfactory if it attracts work toward a huge eld of interest with m any m any publications still with m any unanswered questions. As an immediate warning it is emphasized that deep corrections to standard m odels or actual ndings can NOT be found here nor are even suggested. Only to be found is a set of basic considerations and re ections expecting to give lines of various investigations, and hope for som e scienti c aspects of m eteorology in the spirit of m odern statistical physics ideas.

A historical point is in order. The author cam e into this subject starting from previous work in econophysics, when he observed that som e "weather derivatives" were in use, and som e sort of gam e initiated by the Frankfurt D eutsche Borse<sup>1</sup> in order to attract custom ers which could predict the tem – perature in various cities within a certain lapse of time, and win som e prize thereafter<sup>1</sup>. This subject therefore was obviously similar to predicting the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A common measure of temperature has arisen from the market : the degree-day. The Heating Degree Day is a (bose) measure of how much heating is needed. For a given day, HDD is equal to the larger of M ax [0, 18 C - daily average temperature]. The Cooling Degree Day (CDD) is a (bose) measure of how much cooling is needed: CDD = M ax [0, daily average temperature -18 C].

S& P 500 or other nancial index values at a certain future time. W hence various techniques which were used in econophysics, like the detrended uctuation analysis, the multifractals, the moving average crossing techniques, etc. could be attempted from scratch.

Beside the weather (tem perature) derivatives other e ects are of interest. C lim ate is said to be fast changing now adays. M uch is said and written about e.g. the ozone layer and the K yoto "agreem ent". The ElN ino system is a great challenge to scientists. Since there is some data available under the form of tim e series, like the Southern O scillation Index, it is of interest to look for trends, coherent structures, periods, correlations in noise, etc. in order to bring some know ledge, if possible basic parameters, to this meteorological eld and expect to import some modern statistical physics ideas into such clim atological phenomena.

It appeared that other data are available like those obtained under various experiments, put into force by various agencies, like the Atlantic Stratocum ulus Transition Experiment (ASTEX) for ocean surfaces or those of the Atm ospheric Radiation M easurement Program (ARM) of the USD epartment of Energy, among others. Much data is about cloud structure, e.g the cloud base height evolution, on liquid water paths, brightness temperature, ...; they can often freely downloaded from the web. Therefore many time series can be analyzed.

However it appeared that the data is sometimes of rather limited value because of the lack of precision, or are biased because the raw data is already transformed through models, and arbitrarily averaged ("ltered") whence

This notion seems to be a measure that the energy suppliers could use to hedge their supply in adverse tem perature conditions.

even som etim es lacking the meaning it should contain. Therefore a great challenge com es through in order to sort out the wheat from the cha in order to develop meaningful studies.

In Sect.2, I will comment on the history of meteorology, observe that the evolution of such an old science is slow and limited by various a priori factors. Some basic recall on clouds and their role on climate and weather will be made (Sect.3). This should remind us that the rst modern ideas of statistical physics were in plemented on cloud studies through fractal geometry. Indeed, modern and pioneering work on clouds is due to Lovejpy who looked at the perimeter-area relationship of rain and cloud areas<sup>2</sup>, fractal dimension of their shape or ground projection. He discovered the statistical self-similarity of cloud boundaries through area-perimeter analyses of the geometry from satellite pictures. He found the fractal dimension D<sub>p</sub> ' 4=3 over a spectrum of 4 orders of magnitude in size, for small fair weather cumuli ( 1021 km) up to huge stratus elds ( 103 km). O ccasional scale breaks have been reported<sup>374</sup> due to variations in cloudiness. C loud size distributions have also been studied from a scaling point of view. It is hard to say whether there is perfect scaling<sup>5 {7</sup>; why should there be scaling ?

I will point out, as others do, the basic well known pioneering work of m odern essence, like the Lorenz m odel. It was conceived in order to induce predictability, but it turned out rather to be the basic nonlinear dynam ical system describing chaotic behavior. However this allows for bringing up to its level the notion of fractal ideas for m eteorology work, thus scaling laws, and m odern data analysis techniques. I will recall m ost of the work to which I have contributed, being aware that I am failing to acknow ledge m any m ore im portant reports than those, - for what I deeply apologize.

There is a quite positive view of m ine how ever. Even though the techniques have not yet brought up m any codes in plem ented in weather and cli-

m ate evolution prediction, it was recently stressed<sup>8</sup> in a sarcastic way ("Chaos : usefulat last?") that som e applications of nonlinear dynam ics ideas are nding their way onto weather prediction<sup>9</sup>, even though it has to be said that there is much earlier work on the subject<sup>10</sup>.

There are (also) very interesting lecture notes on the web for basic m odules on m eteorological training courses, e.g. one available through ECMW F website<sup>11</sup>. But I consider that beyond the scienti cally sound and highly sophisticated computer m odels, there is still space for simple technical and useful approaches, based on standard statistical physics techniques and ideas, in particular based on the scaling hypothesis for phase transitions<sup>12</sup> and percolation theory features<sup>13</sup>. These constraint allow m e to shorten the reference list ! A few examples will be found in Sect. 4.

At the end of this introduction, I would crown the paper with references to two outstanding scientists. First let me recall Friedmann<sup>2</sup> who said that "if you can't be a good mathematician, you try to become a good physicist, and those who can't become meteorologists". Another, Heisenberg was surely aware about errors and prediction di culties resulting from models.<sup>3</sup> Both men should be guiding us to new endeavors with modesty anyway.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A .Friedm ann (1888–1925) had a bad experience with his ideas, e.g. rejected by E instein. "Thus" in July 1925 Friedm ann m ade a record-breaking ascent in a balloon to 7400 m eters to m ake m eteorological and m edical observations. N ear the end of A ugust 1925 Friedm ann began to feel unwell. H e w as diagnosed as having typhoid and taken to hospital where he died two weeks later. H e never becam e a sociologist.

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>W$  . Heisenberg (1901–1976) had much di culty to get his PhD. at TU M unchen because he was not a good experimentalist!

#### II. HISTORICAL INTRODUCTION

From the beginning of times, the earth, sky, weather have been of great concern. As soon as agriculture, commerce, travelling on land and sea prevailed, men have wished to predict the weather. Later on airborne machines need atmosphere knowledge and weather predictions for best ying. Nowadays there is much money spent on weather predictions for sport activities. It is known how the knowledge of weather (temperature, wind, hum idity, ...) is relevant, (even fundamental !), e.g. in sailing races or in Formula 1 and car rally races. Let it be recalled the importance of knowing and predicting the wind (strength and directions), pressure and temperature at high altitude for the (recent) no-stop balloon round the world trip.

A long time ago, druids and other priests were the up-to-date m eteorologists. It is known that m any proverbs on weather derive from farm er observations, - one of the m ost precise ones reads (in french) "Apres la pluie, le beau temps", which is (still) correct, in spite of the H eisenberg principle, and m odern scienti c advances.

A fter land travel and com m erce, the control of the seas was of great in portance for econom ic, whence political reasons. Therefore there is no surprise in the fact that at the time of a British Empire, and the Dutch-Spanish-Portuguese rivalry the rst to draw sea wind m aps was  $H alley^{14}$ . That followed the "classical" isobaths and isoheights (these are geometrical measures !!!) for sailors needing to go through channels. Halley, having also invented the isogons (lines of equal magnetic elds) drew in ca. 1701, the rst trade wind and monsoon maps<sup>14</sup>, over the seas<sup>4</sup>. It may be pointed out that he did

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>H alley is also known for the discovery of a com et bearing his name and for using B reslaum ortality tables, - the basis of useful statistical work in actuary science.

not know Coriolis forces yet.

A second major step for meteorology seems to be due to Karl Theodor who between 1781 and 1792 was responsible for the Palatinate Meteorological Society. He invited (39) friends around the world<sup>14</sup>, from Massachusetts to Ural, to make three measurements per day, and report them to him, in order to later publish "Ephemerides", in Manheim.

I am very pleased to point out that H einrich W ilhelm B randes (1777–1834), P rofessor of M athem atics and P hysics at the U niversity of B reslau was the  $rst^{14}$  who had the idea of displaying weather data (tem perature, air pressure, a.s.o.) on geographical m aps<sup>5</sup>.

Later von Hum boldt (1769-1859) had the idea to connect points in order to draw isotherm  $s^{14}$ . No need to say that this was a bold step, - the rst truly predictive step in plying quantitative therm odynam ics data. Most likely a quite incorrect result. It is well known now adays that various algorithm s will give various isotherms, starting from the same temperature data and coordinate table. In the same line of lack of precision, there is no proof at all that the highest temperature during the 2003 summer was 42.6 C at the point of measurement at Cordoba A inport on Aug. 12, 2003. There is no proof that the highest temperature was 24.9 C in Poland, in W arsaw -0 kecie, on that day in 2003. There is no proof that we will ever know the lowest temperature in Poland in 2003 either. In fact the maximum or minimum temperature as de ned in meteorology<sup>15;16</sup> are far from the ones acceptable in physics laboratories. Therefore what isotherms are drawn from such data? They connect data points which values are obtained at di erent times! W hat

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> It seems that H W . Brandes left Breslau to get his PhD. thesis in Heidelberg in 1826. A las it seems that the original drawings are not available at this time. W here are they?

is their physical meaning? One might accept to consider that isotherm s result from some truly averaged tem perature during one day (!) at some location (?). W hat is an average tem perature? In meteorology, it is NOT the ratio of the integral of the tem perature distribution function over a time interval to that time interval $1^{15;16}$ . Nor is it a spatial mean. In fact, what is a "mean tem perature" ... for a city, a country, ... for the world? One m ight propose that one has to measure the tem perature everywhere and continuously in time, then make an average. Questions are not only how many therm om eters does one need, but also what precision is needed. Does one need to distribute the therm om eters hom ogeneously? W hat about local peculiarities? like nuclear plants ? Should we need a fractal distribution in space of therm on eters? M ight one use a M onte C arlo approach to locate them such that statistical theories give some idea on error bars. W hat error bars? There is no error bar ever given on weather maps, in new spapers or TV, on radio and rarely in scienti c publications. Errors are bad ! and forgotten. There is rarely a certitude (or risk) coe cient which is mentioned. It might not be necessary for the public, but yet we know, and it will be recalled later, that for com puter work and predictions the initial values should be well de ned. Therefore it seem sessential to concentrate on predicting the uncertainty in forecast m odels of weather and clim ate as emphasized elsew here<sup>17;18</sup>.

## III. CLIM ATE AND W EATHER.THE ROLE OF CLOUDS

Up to von Humboldt there was no correlation discussed, no model of weather, except for qualitative considerations, only through the in uence of the earth rotation, moon phases, Saturn, or Venus or Jupiter or constellation locations, etc. However the variables of interest were becoming to be known, but predictive meteorology and more generally climate (description and) forecasting had still a need for better observational techniques, data collecting, subsequent analysis<sup>19</sup>, and m odel outputs.

Earth's climate is clearly determined by complex interactions between sun, oceans, atmosphere, land and biosphere<sup>20;21</sup>. The composition of the atmosphere is particularly important because certain gases, including water vapor, carbon dioxide, etc., absorb heat radiated from Earth's surface. As the atmosphere warm s up, it in turn radiates heat back to the surface that increases the earth's "m ean surface temperature", by some 30 K above the value that would occur in the absence of a radiation-trapping atmosphere<sup>21</sup>. Note that perturbations in the concentration of the radiation active gases do alter the intensity of this e ect on the earth's climate.

Understanding the processes and properties that e ect atm ophenic radiation and, in particular, the in uence of clouds and the role of cloud radiative feedback are issues of great scienti c interest<sup>22,23</sup>. This leads to e orts to im prove not only models of the earth's clim ate but also predictions of clim ate change<sup>24</sup>, as understood over long time intervals, in contrast to shorter time scales for weather forecast. In fact, with respect to clim atology the situation is very complicated because one does not even know what the evolution equations are. Since controlled experiments cannot be performed on the climate system, one relies on using ad hocm odels to identify cause-and-e ect relationships. Now adays there are several climate models belonging to many di erent centers<sup>25</sup>. Their web sites not only carry som etimes the model output used to make images but also provide the source code. It seems relevant to point out here that the stochastic resonance idea was proposed to describe climatology evolution<sup>26</sup>.

Phenom ena of interest occurring on short (!) time and small (!) space scales, whence the weather, are represented through atmospheric models with a set of nonlinear di erential equations based on Navier-Stokes equations<sup>27</sup>

for describing uid motion, in term s of mass, pressure, tem perature, hum idity, velocity, energy exchange, including solar radiation ... whence for predicting the weather<sup>6</sup>. It should be remembered that solutions of such equations force-fully depend on the initial conditions, and steps of integrations. Therefore a great precision on the tem perature, wind velocity, etc. cannot be expected and the solution (s) are only looking like a mess after a few num erical steps<sup>29</sup>. The M onte C arb technique suggests to introduce successively a set of initial conditions, perform the integration of the di erential equations and m ake an average thereafter<sup>29</sup>. If som e weather m ap is needed, a grid is used with constraints on the nodes, but obviously the precision (!) is not remarkable, -but who needs it ?

It is hereby time to mention Lorenz's<sup>30</sup> fam ous pioneering work who simplied N avier-Stokes equations <sup>7</sup>. However, predicting the result of a complex nonlinear interactions taking place in an open system is a discult task <sup>32</sup>.

M uch attention has been paid recently<sup>33;34</sup> to the importance of the main components of the atm osphere, in particular clouds, (see Appendix A) in the water three forms | vapor, liquid and solid, for bu ering the global tem perature against reduced or increased solar heating<sup>35</sup>. Ow ing to its special properties, it is believed, that water establishes low er and upper boundaries on how far the tem perature can drift from today's. How ever, the role of clouds and water vapor in clim ate change is not well understood. In fact, there may

 $<sup>^{6}</sup>$ It is fair to mention Sorelwork<sup>28</sup> about generalmotion of the atmosphere in order to explain strong winds on the Mediterranean sea. The quoted reference has some interesting introduction about previous work

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>B eautiful and thought provoking illustrations can be found on various websites<sup>31</sup>, dem onstrating Poincare cross sections, strange attractors, cycles, bifurcations, and the like.

be positive feedback between water vapor and other greenhouse gases. Studies suggest that the heliosphere in uences the Earth climate via mechanisms that a ecting the cloud  $cover^{36;37}$ . Surprisingly the in uence of solar variability is found to be strong on low clouds (3 km), whence pointing to a microphysical mechanism involving aerosol formation enhanced by ionization due to cosmic rays.

At time scales of less than one day, signi cant uxes of heat, water vapor and momentum are exchanged due to entrainment, radiative transfer, and/or turbulence<sup>21;38 {42</sup>. The turbulent character of the motion in the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) is one of its most in portant features. Turbulence can be caused by a variety of processes, like therm al convection, or mechanically generated by wind shear, or following interactions in uenced by the rotation of the Earth<sup>39;41</sup>. This complexity of physical processes and interactions between them create a variety of atmospheric formations. In particular, in a cloudy ABL the radiative uxes produce local sources of heating or cooling within them ixed-layer and therefore can greatly in uence its turbulent structure and dynamics, especially in the cloud base.

The atm ospheric boundary layer is de ned by its inner (surface) layer<sup>20;21;38;39</sup>. In an unstably strati ed ABL the dom inating convective motions are generated by strong surface heating from the Sun or by cloud-topped radiative cooling processes<sup>21</sup>. In contrast, a stably strati ed ABL occurs mostly at night in response to the surface cooling due to long-wave length radiation em itted into the space.

In presence of clouds (shallow cum ulus, stratocum ulus or stratus) the structure of the ABL is modiled because of the radiative uxes. Therm odynam ical phase changes become important. During cloudy conditions one can distinguish mainly: (i) the case in which the cloud and the sub-cloud layers are fully coupled; (ii) two or more cloud layers beneath the inversion,

with the lower layer well-mixed with an upper elevated layer, decoupled from the surface mixed layer or (iii) a radiation driven elevated mixed cloud layer, decoupled from the surface.

Two practical cases can be considered : the marine ABL and the continentalABL.The form er is characterized by a high concentration of moisture. It is wet, mobile and has a well expressed lower boundary. The competition between the processes of radiative cooling, entrainment of warm and dry air from above the cloud and turbulent buoyancy uxes determine the state of equilibrium of the cloud-topped marine boundary layer<sup>21</sup>. The continental ABL is usually dryer, less mobile, better de ned lower and upper boundaries. Both cases have been investigated for their scaling properties<sup>43{45</sup>}</sup>

## IV. MODERN STATISTICAL PHYSICS APPROACHES

The modern paradigm in statistical physics is that system s obey "universal" laws due to the underlying nonlinear dynamics independently of microscopic details. Therefore it can be searched in meteorology whether one can obtain characteristic quantities using the modern statistical physics methods as done in other laboratory or computer investigations. To distinguish cases and patterns due to "external eld" in uences or mere self-organized situations in geophysics phenom ena<sup>46</sup> is not obvious indeed. W hat sort of fæedback can be found? or neglected ? Is the equivalent of chicken-egg priority problem in geophysics easily solved? The coupling between hum an activities and determ inistic physics is hard to model on simple term s<sup>47</sup>, or can even be rejected<sup>48</sup>.

Due to the nonlinear physics laws governing the phenomena in the atmosphere, the time series of the atmospheric quantities are usually nonstationary<sup>48;49</sup> as revealed by Fourier spectral analysis, -whith is usually the

rst technique to use. Recently, new techniques have been developed that can system atically elim inate trends and cycles in the data and thus reveal intrinsic dynam ical properties such as correlations that are very offen m asked by nonstationarities,<sup>50;51</sup>. W hence m any studies reveal long-range power-law correlations in geophysics tim e series<sup>46;49;52</sup> in particular in m eteorology<sup>53 {60</sup>. M ulti-a ne properties <sup>45;61 {69</sup> can also be identied, using singular spectrum or/and wavelets.

There are di erent levels of essential interest for sorting out correlations from data, in order to increase the con dence in predictability<sup>70</sup>. There are investigations based on long-, m edium -, and short-range horizons. The idiagram variability (iV D) m ethod allows to sort out some short range correlations. The technique has been used on a liquid water cloud content data set taken from the Atlantic Stratocum ulus Transition Experiment (ASTEX) 92 eld program <sup>71</sup>. It has also been shown that the random m atrix approach can be applied to the empirical correlation m atrices obtained from the analysis of the basic atm ospheric param eters that characterize the state of atm osphere<sup>72</sup>. The principal component analysis technique is a standard technique<sup>73</sup> in m eteorology and climate studies. The Fokker-P lanck equation for describing the liquid water path<sup>74</sup> is also of interest. See also some tentative search for power law correlations in the Southerm O scillation Index uctuations characterizing E1N ino<sup>75</sup>. But there are m any other works of interest<sup>76</sup>.

## A. Ice in cirrus clouds

In clouds, ice appears in a variety of form s, shapes, depending on the form ation m echanism and the atm ospheric conditions<sup>3;4;41;61;77;78</sup> The cloud inner structure, content, tem perature, life time, ... can be studied. In cirrus clouds, at tem peratures colder than about 40 C ice crystals form . Because

of the vertical extent, ca. from about 4 to 14 km and higher, and the layered structure of such clouds one way of obtaining som e information about their properties is mainly by using ground-based remote sensing instruments (see Appendix B), and searching for the statistical properties (and correlations) of the radio wave signal backscattered from the ice crystals. This backscattered signal received at the radar receiver antenna is known to depend on the ice m ass content and the particle size distribution. Because of the vertical structure of the circus cloud it is of interest to exam ine the tim e correlations in the scattered signal on the horizontal boundaries, i.e., the top and bottom, and at several levels within the cloud.

We have reported<sup>60</sup> along the DFA correlations in the uctuations of radar signals obtained at isodepths of winter and fall cirrus clouds. In particular we have focussed attention on three quantities: (i) the backscattering crosssection, (ii) the D oppler velocity and (iii) the D oppler spectral width. They correspond to the physical coe cients used in N avier Stokes equations to describe ows, i.e. bulk m odulus, viscosity, and therm al conductivity. It was found that power-law time correlations exist with a crossover between regimes at about 3 to 5 m in, but also 1=f behavior, characterizing the top and the bottom layers and the bulk of the clouds. The underlying mechanism s for such correlations likely originate in ice nucleation and crystal grow th processes.

# B.Stratus clouds

In another case, i.e. for stratus clouds, long-range power-law correlations<sup>55;59</sup> and multi-a ne properties  $^{44;45;67}$  have reported for the liquid water uctuations, beside the spectral density<sup>79</sup>. Interestingly, stratus

cbud data retrieved from the radiance, recorded as brightness tem perature,<sup>8</sup> at the Southern G reat P lains central facility and operated in the vertically pointing m ode<sup>80</sup> (see Appendix B for a brief technical note on instrum entation) indicated for the Fourier spectrum S(f) = f, a exponent equal to 1.56 0.03 pointing to a nonstationary time series. The DFA statistical m ethod applied on the stratus cloud brightness m icrow ave recording<sup>55;81</sup> indicates the existence of long-range power-law correlations over a two hour time.

Contrasts in behaviors, depending on seasons can be pointed out. The DFA analysis of liquid water path data m easured in A pril1998 gives a scaling exponent = 0.34 0.01 holding from 3 to 60 m inutes. This scaling range is shorter than the 150 m in scaling range<sup>55</sup> for a stratus cloud in January 1998 at the sam e site. For longer correlation times a crossover to = 0.50 0.01 is seen up to about 2 h, after which the statistics of the DFA function is not reliable.

However a change in regime from G aussian to non-G aussian uctuation regimes has been clearly de ned for the cloud structure changes using a nite size (time) interval window. It has been shown that the DFA exponent turns from a low value (about 0.3) to 0.5 before the cloud breaks. This indicates that the stability of the cloud, represented by antipersistent uctuations is (for some unknown reason at this level) turning into a system for which the uctuations are similar to a pure random walk. The same type of nding was observed for the so called Liquid W ater Path<sup>9</sup> of the cloud.

<sup>8</sup>http://www.phys.unm.edu/duric/phy423/11/node3.htm 1

<sup>9</sup>The liquid water path (LW P) is the am ount of liquid water in a vertical column of the atm osphere; it is measured in cm<sup>3</sup>; sometimes in cm<sup>!!!</sup>

The value of 0:3 can be interpreted as the H  $_1$  parameter of the multifractal analysis of liquid water content<sup>44;45;62</sup> and of liquid water path<sup>67</sup>. W hence, the appearance of broken clouds and clear sky following a period of thick stratus can be interpreted as a non equilibrium transition or a sort of fracture process in m ore conventional physics. The existence of a crossover suggests two types of correlated events as in classical fracture processes: nucleation and grow th of diluted drop lets. Such a m arked change in persistence in plies that speci c uctuation correlation dynamics should be usefully inserted as ingredients in ad hoc m odels.

The non equilibrium nature of the cloud structure and content<sup>82</sup> should receive some further thought henceforth. It would have been interesting to have other data on the cloud in order to understand the cause of the change in behavior.

# C.Cloud base height

The variations in the local -exponent ("multi-a nity") suggest that the nature of the correlations change with time, so called interm ittency phenom - ena. The evolution of the time series can be decomposed into successive persistent and anti-persistent sequences. It should be noted that the interm ittency of a signal is related to existence of extreme events, thus a distribution of events away from a G aussian distribution, in the evolution of the process that has generated the data. If the tails of the distribution function follow a power law, then the scaling exponent de nest the critical order value after which the statistical moments of the signal diverge. Therefore it is of interest to probe the distribution of the uctuations of a time dependent signal y (t) prior investigating its interm ittency. M uch work has been devoted to the cloud base height<sup>64 {66</sup>, under various ABL conditions, and the LW P<sup>67;74</sup>. Neither the

distribution of the uctuations of liquid water path signals nor those of the cloud base height appear to be G aussian. The tails of the distribution follow a power law pointing to "large events" also occurring in the meteorological (space and time) framework. This may suggest routes for other models.

### D. Sea Surface Tem perature

T in e series analysis m ethods searching for power law exponents allow to look from speci c view points, like atm ospheric<sup>83</sup> or sea surface tem perature uctuations<sup>84</sup>. These are of in portance for weighing their in pacts on regional climate, whence nally to greatly increase predictability of precipitation during all seasons.

Currently, scientists rely on clim ate patterns derived from global sea surface tem peratures (SST) to forecast precipitation e.g. the U.S. winter. For example, rising warm moist air creates tropical storms during ElN into years, a period of above average tem peratures in the waters in the central and eastern tropical Pacic. While the tropical Pacic largely dictates fall and winter precipitation levels, the strength of the SST signal falls o by spring through the summer. For that reason, summer climate predictions are very di cult to make.

Recently we have attempted to observe whether the uctuations in the Southern O scillation index (SO I) characterizing ElN inowere also prone to a power law analysis. For the SO I monthly averaged data time interval 1866-2000, the tails of the cumulative distribution of the uctuations of SO I signal it is found that large uctuations are more likely to occur than the G aussian distribution would predict. An antipersistent type of correlations exist for a time interval ranging from about 4 months to about 6 years. This leads to favor speci c physical models for ElN ino description<sup>75</sup>.

#### V.CONCLUSIONS

M odern statistical physics techniques for analyzing atm ospheric time series signals indicate scaling laws (exponents and ranges) for correlations. A few examples have been given brie y here above, mainly from contributed papers in which the author has been involved. Work by many other authors have not been included for lack of space. This brief set of comments is only intended for indicating how meteorology and climate problems can be tied to scaling laws and inherent time series data analysis techniques. Those ideas/theories have allowed m e to reduce the list of quoted references, though even like this I might have been unfair. O ne example can be recalled in this conclusion to make the point: the stratus clouds break when the molecule density uctuations become Gaussian, i.e. when the molecular motion becom es Brownian-like. This should lead to better predictability on the cloud evolution. Many other examples can be in agined. In fact, it would be of interest for predictability m odels to exam ine w hether the long range uctuations belong to a Levy-like or T sallis or ... rather than to a G aussian distribution as in many self organized criticality models. This answer, if positive, would enorm ously extend the predictability range in weather forecast.

A cknow ledgm ents

Part of this studies have been supported through an Action Concertee Program of the University of Liege (Convention 02/07-293). Comments by A.Pekalski, N.K itova, K. Ivanova and C.Collette are greatly appreciated.

Appendix A.CLOUDS

It m ay be of interest for such a type of proceedings to de ne clouds, or at least to review brie y cloud classi cations. C louds can be classi ed by their

altitude

- H igh clouds: H igh clouds are those having a cloud base above 6000 m, where there is little m oisture in the air. Typically they contain ice crystals, often appear thin and w ispy, and som etim es appear to create a halo around the sun or the m oon. H igh clouds are C irrus (C i), C irrostratus (C s), C irrocum ulus (C c) and C um ulonin bus (C b)
- 2. Middle clouds: Middle clouds have a cloud base between 2000 m and 6000 m. These clouds are usually composed of water droplets, but som etim es contain ice crystals, if the air is cold enough. Middle clouds are Altostratus (As) and Altocum ulus (Ac).
- 3. Low clouds: Low clouds have a cloud base at less than 2000 m and appear mostly above the sea surface. U sually composed of water droplets except in winter at high latitudes when surface air temperature is below freezing. Low clouds are Stratus (St), Stratocum ulus (Sc) and Cum ulus (Cu).

or by their shape:

- 1. C irrus: The cirrus are thin, hair-like clouds found at high altitudes.
- 2. Stratus: The stratus clouds are layered clouds with distinguished top and bottom. Found at all altitudes, they generally thinner when high: (i) High: The high stratus are called C irrostratus; (ii) M iddle: The m iddle stratus are called A ltostratus; (iii) Low: The low ones are stratus and nim bostratus
- 3. Cumulus: The cumulus clouds are u y heaps or pu s. They are found at all altitudes; generally they are lighter and smaller when become high: (i) High: The high cumulus are called C irrocumulus; (ii) M iddle: The m iddle cumulus are called A ltocumulus; (iii) Low: The low ones are Stratocumulus and Cumulus.
- 4. Cum ulonim bus: The cum ulonim bus clouds are thunder clouds. Som e large cum ulus clouds are height enough to reach across low, m iddle and even high altitude.

Note that due to their relatively small sizes and life time cum ulus clouds produce short time series for remote sensing measurements (see App. B). Therefore such clouds and data series are not often suitable for many techniques mentioned in this report. It is fair to point out on such clouds the study pertaining to a phenom enon of Abelian nature, rain fall<sup>85;86</sup>. Much work has been devoted to rain of course, see e.g. Andrade et al.<sup>86{88</sup> or Lovejy et al.<sup>89{92</sup>}</sup>

## APPENDIX B. Experim ental techniques and data acquisition

Quantitative observations of the atm osphere are made in many di erent ways. Experimental/observational techniques to study the atm osphere rely on physical principles. One in portant type of observational techniques is that of rem ote sounding, which depends on the detection of electrom agnetic radiation em itted, scattered or transm itted by the atm osphere. The instrum ents can be placed at aircrafts, on balloons or on the ground. Rem ote-sounding techniques can be divided into passive and active types. In passive remote sounding, the radiation measured is of natural origin, for example the therm al radiation em itted by the atm osphere, or solar radiation transm itted or scattered by the atm osphere. Most space-born remote sounding methods are passive. In active remote sounding, a transmitter, e.g. a radar, is used to direct pulses of radiation into the atm osphere, where they are scattered by atm ospheric m olecules, aerosols or inhom ogeneities in the atm ospheric structure. Som e of the scattered radiation is then detected by som e receiver. Each of these techniques has its advantages and disadvantages. Rem ote sounding from satellites can give near-global coverage, but can provide only averaged values of the measured quantity over large regions, of order of hundreds of kilom eters in horizontal extent and several kilom eters in the vertical direction. G round-based radars can provide data with very high vertical resolution (by m easuring sm all di erences in the tim e delays of the return pulses), but only

above the radar site.

For a presentation of rem ote sensing techniques the reader can consult m any authors<sup>21,80,92 {95</sup>, or the ARM site<sup>96 {98</sup>. For example, m icrowave radiom eters work at frequencies of 23.8 and 31.4 GHz. At the DOE ARM program SGP central facility, in the vertically pointing m ode, the radiom eter m akes sequential 1 s radiance m easurem ents in each of the two channels while pointing vertically upw and into the atm osphere. A fter collecting these radiances the radiom eter m irror is rotated to view a blackbody reference target. For each of the two channels the radiom eter records the radiance from the reference and a calibrated noise diode. This m easurem ent cycle is repeated once every 20 s. Note that clouds at 2 km of altitude m oving at 10 m s<sup>-1</sup> take 15 s to advect through a radiom eter eld-of-view of approxim ately 5. The 1 s sky radiance integration tim e ensures that the retrieved quantities correspond to a speci c colum n of cloud above the instrum ent.

The Belfort Laser C eilom eter (BLC)<sup>92;98</sup> detects clouds by transm itting pulses of infrared light ( $\models$  910 nm) vertically into the atm osphere (w ith a pulse repetition frequency fr= 976.6 H z) and analyzing the backscattered signals from the atm osphere. The œilom eter actively collects backscattered photons for about 5 seconds w ithin every 30-second m easurem ent period. The BLC is able to m easure the base height of the lowest cloud from 15 up to 7350 m directly above m ean ground level. The œilom eter works w ith a 15 m spatial resolution and reaches the m axim um m easurable height of 4 km. The tim e resolution of CBH records is 30 seconds.

# REFERENCES

<sup>1</sup> http://deutsche-boerse.com/app/open/xelsius

<sup>2</sup> S. Lovejpy, Science 216 (1982) 185

<sup>3</sup>F.S.Rys and A.W aldvogel, Phys.Rev.Lett. 56 (1986) 784.

<sup>4</sup> T.C.Benner and J.A.Curry, J.Geophys.Res. 103 (1998) 28 753.

<sup>5</sup> R F.Cahalan, D.A.Short, G.R.North, Mon.W eather Rev. 110 (1982) 26.

<sup>6</sup> R A J.Neggers, H J.J. Jonker, A P. Siebesm a, AP, J. Atm osph. Sci. 60 (2002) 1060.

<sup>7</sup> SM A.Rodts, P.G.Duynkerker, H.J.J.Jonker, J.J.Ham, J.Atmosph.Sci. 60 (2002) 1895.

<sup>8</sup> J. Stark and K. Hardy, Science 301 (2003) 1192.

<sup>9</sup> F.Molteni, R.Buizza, T.N.Palmer, T.Petroliagis, Q.J.R.Meteorol. Soc. 122 (1996) 73.

<sup>10</sup> E.S. Epstein, Tellus 21 (1969) 739.

<sup>11</sup> http://www.eamwf.int/newsevents/training/roourse notes/index.html

<sup>12</sup> H.E.Stanley, Phase transitions and critical phenom ena (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1971).

<sup>13</sup>D. Stau er and A. Aharony, Introduction to Percolation Theory (Taylor & Francis, London, 1992).

<sup>14</sup> M .M onm onier, A ir A pparent. H ow m eteorologists learned to m ap, predict, and dram atize weather (U.Chicago P ress, Chicago, 1999).

<sup>15</sup> http://wwwmaa.org/features/mathchat/mathchat 4 20 00 htm 1

- <sup>16</sup> R E. Huschke, (Ed.), G lossary of M eteorology (Am. M eteorol. Soc., Boston, 1959).
- <sup>17</sup> T N.Palmer, Rep.Phys.Rep.63 (2000) 71.
- <sup>18</sup> S.G. Philander, Rep. Phys. Rep. 62 (1999) 123.

- <sup>19</sup>S.Lovejpy, D.Schertzer, Ann.Geophys.B 4 (1986) 401.
- <sup>20</sup> R A . Anthens, H A . Panofsky, J.J. Cahir, A . Rango: The Atm osphere (Bell & Howell Company, Columbus, OH, 1975).
- <sup>21</sup> D.G. Andrews, An Introduction to Atmospheric Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- <sup>22</sup> R R. Rogers, Short Course in C bud Physics (Pergam on Press, New York, 1976).
- <sup>23</sup> B A . W ielicki, R D . Cess, M D . King, D A . Randall, E F . Harrison, Bull. Am er. M eteor. Soc. 76 (1995) 2125.
- <sup>24</sup> K. Hasselm ann, in The Science of Disasters, A. Bunde, J. Kropp, H.J. Schellnhuber (Springer, Berlin, 2002) 141.
- <sup>25</sup> http://stom m eltam u edu/ baum /clim ate m odeling htm 1
- <sup>26</sup> R. Benzi, A. Sutera, A. Vulpiani, J. Phys. 14 (1981) L453.
- <sup>27</sup> L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz: Fluid Mechanics (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1959)
- <sup>28</sup> M .Sorel, Ann.Sci.ENS Ser.1, 4 (1867) 255.
- <sup>29</sup> A. Pasini, V. Pelino, Phys. Lett. A 275 (2000) 435.
- <sup>30</sup> E.N. Lorenz, J. Atm os. Sci. 20 (1963) 130.
- <sup>31</sup> http://astronomy.swin.edu.au/ pbourke/fractals/lorenz/; http://www.wam.umd.edu/ petersd/lorenz.html
- <sup>32</sup> J.B. Ram sey and Z. Zhang, in Predictability of C om plex D ynam ical System s, (Springer, Berlin, 1996) 189.
- <sup>33</sup> A.M aurellis, Phys.W orld 14 (2001) 22.
- <sup>34</sup>D.Rosenfeld, W.W oodley, Phys.W orld 14 (2001) 33.

<sup>35</sup> H.-W. Ou, J.C lim ate 14 (2001) 2976.

<sup>36</sup> N D . M arsh, H . Svensm ark, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 5004.

<sup>37</sup> H. Svensmark, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 5027.

- <sup>38</sup> J. R. Garratt, The Atmospheric Boundary Layer (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).
- <sup>39</sup> H A. Panofsky and J A. Dutton, Atmospheric Turbulence (John W iley & Son Inc. New York 1983).
- <sup>40</sup> R.F.Cahalan and J.H.Joseph, Mon.W eather Rev. 117 (1989) 261.
- <sup>41</sup> A.G.D riedonks and P.G.D uynkerke, Bound. Layer M eteor. 46 (1989) 257.
- <sup>42</sup> A.P.Siebesm a and H.J.J.Jonker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 214
- <sup>43</sup> N.K itova, Ph.D. thesis, University of Liege, unpublished
- <sup>44</sup> A.Davis, A.Marshak, W.W iscombe, R.Cahalan, J.Atmos.Sci. 53 (1996) 1538.
- <sup>45</sup> A.Marshak, A.Davis, W.W iscombe, R.Cahalan, J.Atmos.Sci.54 (1997) 1423.
- <sup>46</sup> D L. Turcotte, Phys. Rep. 62 (1999) 1377; D L. Turcotte, Fractals and Chaos in Geology and Geophysics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997).

- <sup>48</sup> O.Kamer, J.Geophys.Res. 107 (2002) 4415.
- <sup>49</sup> A.Davis, A.Marshak, W.J.W iscombe, and R.F.Cahalan, in Current Topics in Nonstationary Analysis, Eds.G.Trevino, J.Hardin, B.Douglas, and E.Andreas, (World Scientic, Singapore, 1996) 97-158.
- <sup>50</sup> Th.Schreiber, Phys.Rep. 308 (1999) 1.
- <sup>51</sup> P.J. Brockwell and R.A. Davis, Time Series : Theory and Methods (Springer-Verlag,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> S.Corti, F.Molteni, T.N.Palmer, Nature 398 (1999) 789.

Berlin,1991).

- <sup>52</sup> K. Fraedrich, R. Blender, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 108501.
- <sup>53</sup> E.Koscielny-Bunde, A.Bunde, S.Havlin, H.E.Roman, Y.Goldreich, H.-J.Schellnhuber, Phys. Rev.Lett. 81 (1998) 729.
- <sup>54</sup> E.Koscielny-Bunde, A.Bunde, S.Havlin, Y.Goldreich, Physica A 231 (1993) 393.
- <sup>55</sup> K. Ivanova, M. Ausbos, E. E. Clothiaux, and T. P. Ackerman, Europhys. Lett. 52 (2000) 40.
- <sup>56</sup> A A.T sonis, P.J.R oeber and J.B.Elsner, Geophys. Res. Lett. 25 (1998) 2821.
- <sup>57</sup> A A.T sonis, P.J.R oeber and J.B.Elsner, J.C lim ate 12 (1999) 1534.
- <sup>58</sup> P.Talkner and R D .W eber, Phys. Rev. E 62 (2000) 150.
- <sup>59</sup> K. Ivanova, M. Ausloos, Physica A 274 (1999) 349.
- <sup>60</sup> K. Ivanova, T.P. Ackerman, E.E. Clothiaux, P.Ch. Ivanov, H.E. Stanley, and M. Ausbos, J. Geophys. Res., 108 (2003) 4268.
- <sup>61</sup> N. Decoster, S.G. Roux, A. A meodo, Eur. Phys. J B 15 (2000) 739; S.G. Roux, A. A meodo, N. Decoster, Eur. Phys. J. B 15 (2000) 765.
- <sup>62</sup> A.Davis, A.Marshak, W.Wiscombe, R.Cahalan, J.Geophys. Research. 99 (1994) 8055.
- <sup>63</sup> A.Davis, A.Marshak, H.Gerber, W.J.Wiscombe, J.Geophys. Research. 104 (1999) 6123.
- <sup>64</sup> N.K itova, K. Ivanova, M. Ausloos, T.P. Ackerman, M. A. Mikhalev, Int. J. Modern Phys. C 13 (2002) 217.
- <sup>65</sup> K. Ivanova, H.N. Shirer, E.E. Clothiaux, N.Kitova, M.A.Mikhalev, T.P.Ackerman, and M. Ausloos, Physica A 308 (2002) 518.
- <sup>66</sup> N.Kitova, K. Ivanova, M.A.Mikhalev and M.Ausloos, in "From Quanta to Societies", W. Klonowski, Ed. (Pabst, Lengerich, 2002) 263.

- <sup>67</sup> K. Ivanova, T. Ackerman, Phys. Rev. E 59 (1999) 2778.
- <sup>68</sup> C R. Neto, A. Zanandrea, F M. Ramos, R R. Rosa, M JA. Bolzan, L D A. Sa, Physica A 295 (2001) 215.
- <sup>69</sup> H F C. Velho, R R. Rosa, F M. Ramos, R A. Pielke, C A. Degrazia, C R. Neto, A. Zanadrea, Physica A 295 (2001) 219.
- <sup>70</sup> B D . M alam ud, D L . Turcotte, J. Stat. P lann. Infer. 80 (1999) 173.
- <sup>71</sup> K. Ivanova, M. Ausloos, A. B. Davis, T. P. Ackerman, Physica A 272 (1999) 269.
- <sup>72</sup> M.S.Santhanam, P.K.Patra, Phys.Rev.E 64 (2001) 16102.
- <sup>73</sup> M J.O 'Connel, Com p. Phys. Com m .8 (1974) 49.
- <sup>74</sup> K. Ivanova, M. Ausbos, J Geophys. Res. Atm osph. 107 (2002) 4708.
- <sup>75</sup> M . Ausbos and K . Ivanova, Phys. Rev. E 63 (2001) 047201.
- <sup>76</sup> J.I. Salisbury, M.W inbush, Nonlin. Process. Geophys. 9 (2002) 341.
- <sup>77</sup> K.R.Sreenivasan, Ann.Rev.Fluid Mech.23 (1991) 539.
- <sup>78</sup>C.S.Kiang, D.Stau er, G.H.Walker, O.P.Puri, J.D.W ise, Jr. and E.M. Patterson, J. Atm os.Sci. 28 (1971) 1222.
- <sup>79</sup> H.Gerber, J.B. Jensen, A.Davis, A.Marshak, W.J.W iscombe, J.Atmos. Sci. 58 (2001) 497.

<sup>80</sup> J.C. Liljegren, B.M. Lesht, IEEE Int. Geosci. and Rem ote Sensing Symp.3 (1996) 1675.

- <sup>81</sup> K. Ivanova, E.E. C. lothiaux, H.N. Shirer, T.P. Ackerman, J. Liljegren and M. Ausbos, J. Appl. M eteor. 41 (2002) 56.
- <sup>82</sup> S.S.Seker and O.Cerezci, J.Phys.D: Appl.Phys. 32 (1999) 552.
- <sup>83</sup> J.D. Pelletier, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 158 (1998) 157.
- <sup>84</sup> R A . M onetti, S. Havlin, A . Bunde, Physica A 320 (2003) 581.

- <sup>85</sup> D. Schertzer, S. Lovejby, J. Geophys. Res. 92 (1987) 9693.
- <sup>86</sup> S.T.R.Pinho, R.F.S.Andrade, Physica A 255 (1998) 483
- <sup>87</sup> R F S. Andrade, Braz. J. Phys. 33 (2003) 437.
- <sup>88</sup> J.G. V. Miranda, R.F.S. Andrade, Physica A 295 (2001) 38; Theor. Appl. Climatol. 63 (1999) 79.
- <sup>89</sup>Y. Tessier, S. Lovejy, D. Schertzer, J. Appl. M eteorol. 32 (1993) 223.
- <sup>90</sup> D. Schertzer, S. Lovejy, J. Appl. M eteorol. 36 (1997) 1296.
- <sup>91</sup> S.Lovejpy, D.Schertzer, J.Appl. M eteorol. 29 (1990) 1167.
- <sup>92</sup> C.S.Bretherton, E.K linker, J.Coakley, A.K.Betts, J.Atmos.Sci. 52 (1995) 2736.

<sup>93</sup> E.R.W estwater, Radio Science 13 (1978) 677.

- <sup>94</sup> E R. W estwater, in: Atmospheric Remote Sensing by M icrowave Radiometry, ed. by M A. Janssen (John W iley and Sons, New York 1993) pp.145-213
- <sup>95</sup> W G.Rees: Physical Principles of Remote Sensing (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990)
- <sup>96</sup>G M. Stokes, S.E. Schwartz, Bull. Am. M eteorol. Soc. 75 (1994) 1201.

<sup>97</sup> http://www.am.gov.

<sup>98</sup> http://www.am.gov/docs/instruments/static/blc.html.