# M axwell's Demon, Rectiers, and the Second Law: Computer Simulation of Sm oluchowski's Trapdoor

P.A.Skordos

Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM and M assachusetts Institute of Technology, 545 Technology Square, Cambridge, MA 02139

W.H.Zurek

Theoretical D ivision, M S B213, Los A lam os N ational Laboratory, Los A lam os, NM 87545 (D ated: D ecem ber, 1991)

We have simulated numerically an autom ated version of M axwell's demon inspired by Sm oluchowski's ideas of 1912. Two gas chambers of equal volume are connected via an opening that is covered by a trapdoor. The trapdoor can open to the left but not to the right, and is intended to rectify naturally occurring uctuations in density between the two chambers. Our results con m that though the trapdoor behaves as a recti er when large density di erences are im posed by externalm eans, it can not extract useful work from the therm alm otion of the m olecules when left on its own.

### I. INTRODUCTION

The second law of therm odynamics has been a subject of debate ever since it was form ulated. It says that the entropy of a closed system can only increase with time, and thus natural phenomena are irreversible. In other words a system left on its own can only evolve in one direction, tow ards equilibrium. This is in contrast to tim e-reversible dynam ics and raises the question of how reversible dynam ics can lead to m acroscopic irreversibility. An answer can be furnished using probabilistic argum ents in statisticalm echanics, but the argum ents are di cult to translate into a rigorous proof without postulating a new axiom about nature, the stosszahlansatz, also called the assum ption ofm olecular chaos [1, 2], which is at odds with dynam ic reversibility. As a result, the origin of the second law of therm odynam ics rem ains elusive and provides a source of interesting discussions on the foundations of physics.

A popular way of challenging the second law is the idea of \perpetual machine of the second kind", which is supposed to extract useful work in a closed cycle from the perpetual therm alm otion of gas molecules. This is prohibited by the second law because if it were possible to convert therm alenergy into useful work in a closed, equilibrated system, then the entropy of an isolated system could be made to decrease. However, it remains puzzling why a microscopic device can not be constructed to take advantage of spontaneous variations in density between microdom ains of gas, to bring a system from a state of maximum disorder (equilibrium) into an ordered state, and eventually to convert therm alenergy into useful work.

The history of m icroengines that convert therm al energy into useful work began when JC. M axwellproposed such a m icroengine at the end of his book Theory of Heat  $\beta$ , which he named a \demon". Since then the term \dem on" has become a standard. M axwell's dem on works by opening and closing a tiny door between two gas

chambers, based on the information that the dem on has about individual molecules. The method used to obtain information is not specied. The demonallows only fast molecules to pass from left to right, and only slow ones to pass from right to left. This leads to a tem perature di erence between the two gas chambers, which can be used to convert therm alenergy into usefulw ork. It is currently believed, how ever, that M axwell's dem on can not violate the second law [5, 6, 7, 8] because the inform ation needed to operate the dem on's door does not com e w ithout a price. As Bennett explains in [5] following an idea that goes back to [6] and [9], the dem on must dissipate energy into a heat bath in order to erase the inform ation that it obtains by examining molecules. The heat bath may be the molecules that the demon examines or it may be another system that is colder than the dem on and the gas molecules. The energy lost in the heat bath is always greater than or equal to the energy that can be extracted after the dem on has nished its operations. Thus, the second law of therm odynam ics is not violated even when the dem on is \intelligent" that is, capable of universal computing ability | as it was pointed out by one of us [10, 11].

There is an alternative approach, how ever, to designing m icroengines that convert therm alenergy into useful work; and that is to focus on purely m echanical devices, and to avoid the issues of m easurem ent and inform ation that haunt the original M axwell's dem on. Purely m echanical, autom ated M axwell's dem ons are completely described within a theoretical fram ework such as new tonian physics. In particular, there is no m easurem ent m echanism outside of such m echanical m odel. An example is the trapdoor m echanism discussed by Sm oluchowski in [12, 13]. A well-known and sim ilar in the spirit to Sm oluchowski's trapdoor is the ratchet and paw 1 m echanism discussed by Feynm an in [14].

O urpaper describes the com puter sim ulation of a trapdoor m echanism inspired by Sm oluchow ski's ideas. O ur results con m Sm oluchow ski's insight that though the trapdoor acts as a recti er w hen large density di erences

are imposed by external means, it can not extract usefulwork from the therm alm otion of the molecules when left on its own. The next section describes our trapdoor mechanism and the simulation program. Following that, we discuss how the trapdoor succeeds at rectifying large density di erences that are imposed by external means. Then, we show how our trapdoor system fails to work when left to operate on its own. Finally, we discuss how the trapdoor can be modi ed to work successfully as a pump and create density di erences in the system initialy in equilibrium. This requires, however, dissipation of its random motions which can be accomplished only by \opening" the system, that is, for example, by keeping the trapdoor at a lower tem perature than the molecules. Such a pump, of course, is nom ore a threat to the second law than is a refrigerator.

#### II. DESCRIPTION OF THE MODEL

The system that has been simulated is shown in Figure 1. It consists of two gas chambers of equal area, connected via an opening that is covered by a trapdoor. The simulation is two-dimensional and the gas molecules are billiard balls moving on the plane and colliding with each other. All the collisions conserve energy and momentum, except for particle-wall collisions that relect a particle's momentum like light rays relect of mirrors. The collision forces are derived from in nite hard core potentials, and angular momentum (spin of the billiard balls) is not included in the model. All collision forces act radially through the center of the colliding balls.

The trapdoor is constrained to move between two door stops, one of which is located at the middle wall, and the other is located inside the left chamber. The location of the door stops allows the trapdoor to open to the left but not to the right. This endows the trapdoor with rectifying properties, as we shall see in the next section. The trapdoor is a line segment of zero width, im penetrable by the molecules, which moves horizontally at constant speed, and reverses its direction when it com es in contact with the door stops. During its motion, the trapdoor slides along ideal rails and thus remains vertical at all times. A coordingly, collisions between the trapdoor and the molecules conserve energy and momentum except for collisions at the edges of the trapdoor which do not conserve the y-component of m om entum (that is, the trapdoor does not move at all in that direction it can be thought of as moving on rails which are attached to the in nitely massive box containing the gas). The collision equations are discussed in detail below .

To evolve the system of molecules and the trapdoor we use the following algorithm : Given the positions and velocities at time  $t_0$ , we nd all the collisions that are about to occur. We select the shortest collision time t, and move all the particles and the door freely during t. At time  $t_0$  + two perform the collision that occurs at this time, and then repeat the cycle looking for the next shortest collision time. The algorithm works because collisions in our system are instantaneous. The types of collisions that can occur are of four types: particle against particle, particle against wall, particle against door, and door against door stop.

The evolution algorithm can be implemented e ciently on a computer if we are careful to avoid unnecessary com putations. For example, we do not need to exam ine all pairs of particles at every time step. If we see that two particles are far from each other, then we need not exam ine them again until a num ber of time steps have elapsed. Only then these two particles will have another chance of being near each other and being able to collide. A lso, if we compute the collision time for a pair of particles that are near each other, and another pair of particles collides before them, we need not discard the st collision time. We simply decrement the rst collision time by the time interval by which the whole system is evolved. A word of caution, however, is necessary. The process of decrem enting collision times should not be repeated m ore than a few times because the roundo error in subtracting sm all intervals of time becomes signi cant very quickly. A lso, a collision involving some particle must invalidate all pre-com puted collision times involving that particle.

Two kinds of elementary form ulas are used in the evolution algorithm : collision equations give the new velocities in terms of the old velocities, and timing equations give the time interval until an upcoming collision. The tim ing equations are the sim pler of the two. They are derived from geom etrical constraints and the fact that the particles and the doorm ove at constant velocity between collisions. For example, to compute the collision time between two particles, we \draw a straight line" from the current position of the particles to the point where the particles are tangential to each other. The geom etric constraint of tangency allows two possibilities, and we have to choose the one that occurs st and is the physical one. A lgebraically we have to solve a quadratic equation, and to pick the sm allest positive solution. The tim ing equations for the other types of collisions in our system are derived in a similar manner.

The collision equations are a little more complicated than the timing equations. As usual the collision equations are derived from conservation of kinetic energy, conservation of linear momentum, and the condition that forces act radially. The last condition means that the force vector must pass through the center of the particle disk that is colliding, and hence momentum is exchanged along this direction. For nearly all collisions the radial force condition is satis ed automatically in setting up the geom etry of the problem. How ever, there is one type of collision in our system that requires explicit use of the radial condition. This occurs when a particle disk collides with the edges of the moving trapdoor. Since it is not discussed in most textbooks, we review brie y the equations.

The radial action condition requires that the change

in y-m om entum divided by the change in x-m om entum equals the tangent of the angle form ed by the center of the colliding disk, the point of contact, and the x-axis. The point of contact is the edge of the m oving trapdoor. If  $v_x$ ;  $v_y$  are the old velocities and  $v_x^0$ ;  $v_y^0$  are the new velocities of the colliding disk, we have the equation

$$(v_x v_x^0) = \frac{\cos}{\sin} (v_y v_y^0)$$
 : (1)

To nd the velocities following a collision in terms of the velocities before the collision, we use equation 1 together with kinetic energy and x-m om entum conservation. The y-m om entum is not conserved because the trapdoorm oves on ideal rails, and its y-velocity is always zero. A fter som e algebra we get the following equations for the new velocities,

$$v_{x}^{0} = \frac{2csv_{y} + 2c^{2}V_{x} + (s^{2} - c^{2})v_{x}}{(c^{2} + s^{2})}$$
(2)

$$v_{y}^{0} = \frac{2csv_{x} + 2csV_{x} + (c^{2} - s^{2})v_{y}}{(c^{2} + s^{2})}$$
(3)

$$V_x^0 = V_x + \frac{m}{M} (v_x - v_x^0)$$
 (4)

where

$$c = cos$$
  
 $s = sin$   
 $= (1 + m = M)$   
 $= (1 - m = M)$ 

and where M ; $V_x$  are the m ass and x-velocity of the trapdoor; m ; $v_x$ ; $v_y$  are the m ass and velocities of the particle; and is the angle form ed by the center of the particle, the colliding edge of the trapdoor, and the x-axis. The collision equations for all other types of collisions in our system can be found in standard textbooks [15].

The numbers we used in our simulations were chosen to correspond to a standard gas like nitrogen. We experimented with dierent values for the size of the gas chambers, molecular speeds, and other quantities, and the qualitative behavior of the gas was the same for all choices. We looked at systems containing a number of molecules ranging from 20 to 500. We chose the radius of the molecules to be 3 10  $^{8}$  cm, mass 4:7 10  $^{23}$  gm, and velocities of the order  $10^{4}$  cm =sec. We chose the size of the gas chambers to give a mean free path between collisions of the order of the size of the chambers. Speci cally in the case of 500 molecules, the width of the each chamber was 13:5 10  $^{6}$  cm and the height was 18 10  $^{6}$  cm. The mean free path at equilibrium in each chamber is estimated by the ratio [16],

$$=\frac{A rea}{n 2 R}$$

which gives = (13:5 18 10  $^{12}$ )=(250 2 3 10  $^8$ ) = 16:2 10  $^6\,{\rm cm}$  .

W e experim ented with di erent m asses for the trapdoor, and in the results reported below the mass of the trapdoor is of the order of three to four times the mass of one particle, unless otherwise indicated. Using a trapdoor mass which is comparable to the molecular mass leads to an average speed for the trapdoor that is com parable to that of the molecules, and facilitates num ericalsimulation. A very light trapdoorm oves too fast and increases the num erical roundo error; while an excessively heavy trapdoor (orders of m agnitude heavier than a molecule) can delay the approach to equilibrium and requires longer time averaging. It should be emphasized that our qualitative conclusions are expected to be independent of the mass of the trapdoor, and we certainly do not have any indications in our num erical results to question this expectation. Thus, the choice of the mass of the door was dictated primarily by the above considerations of num erical convenience. Our simulations took typically several days using standard Unix workstations, and the relative error in the total energy of the system was kept less than  $10^{10}$  using double precision arithm etic. The running times were dictated primarily by the desire to gather good statistics. By contrast, the rectifying behavior described in the next section can be seen on a much less imposing timescale of a few minutes, depending of course on the e ciency of the algorithm and on the number of particles used.

# III. THE TRAPDOOR AS A RECTIFIER

This section discusses the behavior of the trapdoor when large density di erences between the two cham bers are imposed by external means. It is found that under these circum stances the trapdoor acts as a diode, and prolongs the duration of states of higher density in the left cham ber. There are a num ber of ways to exhibit this rectifying behavior. We shall describe here three of them.

The rst way is to measure the equilibration time or transient response to an initial density di erence, for example when all molecules start in the left chamber. To be precise we place all molecules along the outerm ost wall. of one chamber with the trapdoor set motionless in the closed position, and measure the density in each cham ber until the populations in the two chambers equalize. Figure 2 shows the absolute value of the di erence in the num ber of m olecules between the two cham bers plotted against time. The di erence in the num ber of m olecules is norm alized by the total number of molecules, which is 500 in this experiment. Two curves are shown, one for the case when all molecules start in the left chamber, and one for the case when all molecules start in the right chamber. We see that in the latter case the populations equalize \im m ediately". In other words the density difference vanishes much more quickly when the molecules

start in the right cham ber than when the m olecules start in the left cham ber.

The second way of observing the rectifying behavior of the trapdoor is shown in Figure 3. The data com es from the same kind of equilibration experiment as Figure 2, where all the particles are positioned initially along the outerm ost wallofone cham ber. Now, we look at the time interval it takes 25 m olecules to pass from one chamber to the other as a function of the density di erence. If T is this time interval, then the ratio 25=T m easures the current of particles | the rate | at which they pass through the middle wall opening in response to the density di erence during that time. Figure 3 plots the particle current against the density di erence between the two chambers for a system of 500 particles. The resulting curve resem bles qualitatively the voltage-current characteristic of an electrical diode, and indicates that the trapdoor acts as a recti er when large density di erences are in posed by externalm eans [3, 17].

The precise quantities plotted in Figure 3 are the rates inverse time intervals  $1=(T_{i+1} \quad T_i)$  as a function of the average num ber of particles di erence between the two chambers  $(N_{i+1} + N_i)=2$  which exists during the interval (T $_{i+1}$  T $_i$ ). N $_i$  is the number of particles di erence at the starting time  $T_{i,j}$  and  $N_{i+1}$  is the number of particles di erence at the nishing time  $T_{+1}$ when 25 m olecules have m oved from the source chamber (high density) to the sink chamber (low density). The y-axis is in units of 25 10<sup>9</sup>particles=sec. The x-axis is in units of the normalized di erence in the number of particles, so that an interval of size 0:1 corresponds to 25 particles moving from one chamber to the other  $\frac{1}{2}$  = 25). The intervals (1; 0:9) and 500 (0:1 (0:9;1:0) are not included in the plot because the times immediately after the release of the system from our initial conditions do not correspond to sm ooth OW.

The third and last m ethod of exhibiting the rectifying behavior of the trapdoor focuses on steady state behavior. In contrast to the equilibration experiments above, thism ethod measures the time averaged ow of molecules through the middle wallopening when a large density difference ism aintained articially. In particular, we continually \reverse bias" the system by removing the molecules that hit the rightmost wallof the right chamber and reinserting them in the left side of the left chamber. This results in a density dierence that pushes the trapdoor towards the closed position. In an opposite experiment we forward bias the system by reinserting molecules from the leftmost wall into the right chamber.

Table I lists the ow of molecules (number of particles per second) passing through the middle wall opening under reverse and forward bias conditions. Molecules passing left to right are counted positive and molecules passing right to left are counted negative. We list the results for two di erent systems, a system of 500 particles and a system of 100 particles. The time intervals used to time averaged are about 10  $^5$ sec for the 100 particle system and 10  $^6$ sec for the 500 particle system, which

	N = 500		N = 100	
Reverse Bias	4:26	10 <sup>9</sup>	1:05	10 <sup>9</sup>
Forward Bias	9 <b>:</b> 31	10 <sup>10</sup>	1:81	10 <sup>10</sup>
ratio		1:22		1:17

TABLE I: The ow of molecules through the middle wall opening in forward and reverse bias conditions, for system s of 100 and 500 molecules. The molecules crossing from left to right are counted positive, and those crossing from right to left are counted negative.

are both large enough to guarantee convergence; that is the average values will not change over longer time intervals. We have checked this by plotting the time averages against time, and seeing that the curves approach a horizontal slope and a constant value. The values in table I show that the ow allowed by the trapdoor in the forward bias condition is 22 times as large as the ow allowed in the reverse bias condition for 500 particles, and 17 times as large in the case of 100 particles. In other words, the trapdoor acts as a recti er.

It is worth pointing out that the rectifying behavior of the trapdoor depends greatly on the geometry of the system . Experim entally we have found that our trapdoor becom es a better recti er the longer the trapdoor is, and the more molecules there are near the trapdoor. W hen m any collisions take place exclusively on one side of the trapdoor during the tim e interval it takes the trapdoor to move signi cantly, the trapdoor is pushed and kept near one door stop. The probability of moving signi cantly away from that door stop is very small. For example, if many collisions take place exclusively on the left side of the trapdoor, the trapdoor will be kept near the middle wallopening, bouncing between the middle walldoor stop and the large number of particles on its left side. The trapdoor perform ance can be improved further by placing one door stop slightly inside the right chamber. This centers the jittering of the door exactly on the middle wall and decreases the chance of a molecule leaking from the high density left chamber into the low density right chamber. For sim ilar reasons we expect that making the trapdoor have nite width, that is using a two dim ensional trapdoor in the shape of a rectangle will result in even better rectifying behavior for large density di erences.

### IV. VERIFICATION OF THE SECOND LAW

Having seen that the trapdoor acts as a recti er under external bias, we now consider what happens when the trapdoor and molecules are left to evolve on their own in an isolated container. The asym metry of the trapdoor's location, opening to the left but not to the right, intends to hinder the passage of molecules from left to right while providing an easy access from right to left. In this way, the trapdoor attem pts to exploit the naturally occurring

uctuations in density between the two chambers and to m ake states of high density in the left cham ber last longer than corresponding states of high density in the right chamber. Ultim ately, the trapdoor attempts to keep a higher average num ber of molecules in the left chamber than in the right chamber.

However, our simulations show that the trapdoor does not succeed. W hen the trapdoor and m olecules are left to evolve on their own, the time average number of m olecules in the left chamber is actually sm aller than the tim e averaged num ber ofm olecules in the right cham ber. M oreover, this in balance is not a true density di erence and does not violate the second law. The reason for the unequal num ber of m olecules is that the presence of the trapdoor in the left cham ber occupies space, which m akes the available area in the left chamber slightly smaller than the available area in the right chamber.

The e ect of the excluded area by the trapdoor has been m easured by perform ing an experim ent of 20 particles where each chamber measured 13:5 10<sup>7</sup> cm horizontally and 18 10 <sup>7</sup> cm vertically. The particle radius was R = 6 10 <sup>8</sup> cm giving a mean free path in the order of 20 10<sup>7</sup> cm . The length of the trapdoor (vertical direction) was 10  $10^{-7}$  cm . G iven these numbers we can estim ate the average num ber of particles in the left chamber by assuming uniform density (equilibrium) in a time average sense. If N  $_{\rm L}\,$  is the time averaged number of particles in the left cham ber and A L the available area in the left chamber, we have

$$\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{L}}} = \frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}}{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{R}}} = \frac{1 \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}}}{\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{R}}}$$

which gives N  $_{\rm L}$  = A  $_{\rm L}$  = (A  $_{\rm L}$  + A  $_{\rm R}$  ). To estim ate the available area in each chamber,  $A_{\rm L}\,$  and  $A_{\rm R}$  , we consider the area in which the centers of the particles can travel. Thus  $A_R = [(13:5 \ 18) \ (13:5 + 13:5 + 18) \ 0:06]$  $10^{-14}$  cm<sup>2</sup> for the right cham ber, and for the left cham ber we subtract from the above  $A_R$  the area excluded by the trapdoor  $(10 \ 0.06) + (0.06)^2$ 10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>. Putting these together we nd  $N_{L} = 0.484$ . In simulating this experiment we found the time averaged number of particles in the left cham ber to be 0:486 in excellent agreem ent with the theoretical estim ate [18].

There is also an alternative way of checking that the observed particle number di erence between the two chambers is not a true density di erence, and it can not lead to a violation of the second law. The idea is to open a second hole in the middle wall, in addition to the opening covered by the trapdoor. If the trapdoor could lead to a true density di erence, pum ping m olecules from one chamber to the other through the opening covered by the trapdoor, then the second free opening should exhibit the return ux of molecules and lead to perpetual

ow between the two chambers. Our simulations of this experiment did not show any ow.

Our simulations show that the operation of the trapdoor is consistent with the second law of therm odynam ics

5

in the sense that the particles are distributed uniform ly in the available area on the average, and the entropy of the system is maximized. Our simulations have also shown that the time averaged tem perature is the same in each chamber and equal to the tem perature of the trapdoor (average kinetic energy divided by the num ber of degrees of freedom, two for the particles, and one for the trapdoor). Finally, we have con med that the time averaged velocities in each chamber are distributed as Gaussian distributions in  $v_x$  and  $v_y$ . The two Gaussian distributions are identical to each other and identical between the two cham bers, which is consistent with the M axwell-Boltzm ann distribution law and equipartition of energy.

An intuitive explanation of why our trapdoor system fails to work when operating in an isolated container of gas molecules is that the trapdoor gets therm alized its tem perature equals the tem perature of the particles and the trapdoor's therm alm otion prevents the rectifying behavior [12, 13, 14]. To contrast, a m acroscopic trapdoor works successfully as a recti er because it can get rid of excess energy through dissipation. Following this analogy a little further, we may expect that our trapdoor would work successfully if a reservoir of lower tem perature than the particles were used to dissipate its motion. The trapdoor would then act as a pump, letting the molecules through from one chamber to the other more easily in one direction than the other, on the average. W e have tested this idea in simulations, and we report the results in the next section.

# V. THE TRAPDOOR AS A PUMP

To convert our trapdoor system into an e ective pump, we modify the evolution algorithm to cool the trapdoor by removing energy in small increments. In particular, we scale the trapdoor's velocity by 0:5 every time interval with t su ciently sm all. The lost energy is reinserted in equal amounts to all the particles by scaling their velocities, conserving the total energy of the system . M oreover, the cooling of the trapdoor is perform ed only when the trapdoor is near the closed position, which makes the trapdoor tend to remain closed. The goal of the cooled trapdoor is to pump molecules from the right chamber into the left chamber.

Our experiments show that this design works successfully. Further, they show that the mass of the trapdoor in relation to the mass of each particle is crucial for ef-

cient operation. If the trapdoor mass is much smaller than the mass of one particle, then the action of a single particle com ing from the right chamber is enough to open the trapdoor and let the particle through, even though som e energy is lost by interacting with the trapdoor. Easy access from the right chamber is desirable. On the other hand, if the trapdoor mass is much larger than the mass of one particle, many particles must collide with the trapdoor in a short period of time in order to open the trapdoor. Clearly, this situation does not

occur very frequently, and so a heavy trapdoor does not work very well. Our simulations show that a very light trapdoorwith dissipation can acte ectively as a one-way door, opening to particles from the right, and remaining closed to particles from the left. A heavier trapdoor with dissipation works also, but not as well.

In Figure 4 we report results for a trapdoor system with dissipation, where the mass of the trapdoor is  $10^{-24}$  gm , or one tenth of the mass of one parti-4:7 cle. The time interval which controls the rate of energy dissipation is 2:5 10<sup>13</sup> sec, while the mean free path and mean collision time in the left chamber are of the order of 20 10 <sup>7</sup> cm and 5 10 <sup>11</sup> sec. The length of the trapdoor is 6  $10^{-7}$  cm and each chamber m easures 13:5 10 <sup>7</sup> cm horizontally and 18 10 <sup>7</sup> cm vertically. In this experiment we have also included a second hole in the middle wall, of size 1  $10^{-7}$  cm , in addition to the hole covered by the trapdoor. The purpose of the additional hole is to verify that the trapdoor indeed acts as a pump of m olecules from right to left, by exhibiting the return ux of molecules left to right. Graph (a) of

- D avies, P C W , The Physics of T in e A symmetry, (University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles 1977), chap. 3.
- [2] Ehrenfest P. & T., The conceptual foundations of the statistical approach in mechanics, Translated by M Moravcsik, (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N.Y., 1959).
- [3] Ehrenberg, W , \M axwell's D em on", SciAm. (N ovem ber 1967) 217, pp. 103 | 110.
- [4] M axwell, J.C., Theory of Heat, (Longmans, Green & Co, London 1871), pp. 308 309.
- [5] Bennett, C H. \D em ons, Engines, and the Second Law," Sci.Am. (November 1987), pp. 108 | 116.
- [6] Szilard, L. \On the Decrease of Entropy in a Thermodynamic System by the Intevention of Intelligent Beings," (translation) in Quantum Theory and Measurement, ed. JA. W heeler and W. H. Zurek, (Princeton University Press, Princeton 1983), pp. 539 548.
- [7] Brillouin, L. Science and Information Theory, (A cademic Press, New York 1962).
- [8] Le H.& Rex A., \Resource Letter MD-1: Maxwell's dem on", Am. J. Phys. 58 (3), (M arch 1990); Le H.S. & Rex A F. (editors), M axwell's D em on: Entropy, Information, (H ilger/P rinceton U niversity P ress, P rinceton 1990).
- [9] Landauer, R., IBM J.Res.Dev.5, 183 (1961).
- [10] Zurek, W .H., Nature 341, 119 (1989); Phys. Rev. A 40, 4731 (1989).
- [11] Caves C M , Unruh W G , Zurek W H , Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 1387 (1990).
- [12] Smoluchowski, M., \Experimentell nachweisbare der ublichen Thermodynamik widersprechende Molekularphanomene", Phys. Z. 13, 1069 | 1080 (1912).
- [13] Sm oluchowski, M., \Vortgage uber die K inetische Theorie der M aterie und der E lektrizitat," in M P lanck et al., (Teubner und Leipzig, Berlin 1914), pp. 89 | 121.
- [14] R P.Feynm an, R B.Leighton, and M.Sands, The Feyn-

Figure 4 shows how the norm alized number of m olecules in the left cham ber builds up as soon as the system is released from a state of equal num ber of m olecules in each chamber. Sim ilar simulations that were run much longer than Figure 4 show that the tim e averaged of the num ber of m olecules in the left cham ber stabilizes around 0:76 (over 10<sup>5</sup> sec). G raph (b) of Figure 4 shows the accum ulated ux of particles through the trapdoor covered opening, and the accumulated ux of particles through the second opening that allows free passage. The slope of the accumulated ux (m easured by averaging over 10<sup>5</sup> sec) is approximately 2 10<sup>9</sup> particles=sec. The time averaged tem perature of the trapdoor is 11 degrees K elvin, com pared to 270 degrees K elvin for the particles. These results show that the trapdoor can operate successfully as a recti er when a reservoir of lower tem perature is available. However, as discussed previously it can not operate successfully when run at the same temperature as the gas molecules, in accordance with the second law of therm odynam ics.

m an Lectures on physics -Voll, (Addison-Wesley Publication, Reading, Massachusetts 1963, 1965), chapter I-46.

- [15] Loeb L B , The K inetic Theory of G ases, (D over Publications, New York 1961), pp. 64 | 66.
- [16] A derivation of the mean free path form ula in three dimensions can be found in C.K ittel, H.K roemer, \ThermalPhysics", (Second Edition, W.H.Freeman and Company, New York, 1980), pp. 395 396. To derive a corresponding form ula in two dimensions, we replace the area (2R)<sup>2</sup> swept by a molecule in three dimensions, in the sense of collisions with other molecules, with the length 2R.
- [17] Brillouin, L., \Can the Recti er Becom e a Therm odynam icalDem on?" Phys. Rev. 78, 627 | 628 (1950).
- [18] It should be noted that there is an additional small correction to the available area in each chamber because the presence of one particle in some location excludes other particles from that location. However this correction is small in our system, less than 10  $(0.06)^2$  10 <sup>14</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>, and does not change the rst three decimal places of the theoretical estimate.

FIG.1: The autom ated M axwell's dem on we simulated num erically was inspired by Sm oluchowski's trapdoor. The dashed lines show the region where the trapdoor can m ove.

FIG. 2: The normalized absolute value of the di erence between the number of particles in the two chambers is plotted against time, as the system approaches equilibrium. Two curves are shown, one for the case when the particles start in the left chamber, and one for the case when the particles start in the right chamber. FIG.3: The ux of particles from one chamber to the other is plotted against the density di erence that initiates the ux. N 1 is the num berof particles in the left chamber, N 2 the right chamber, and N the total number of particles. The y-axis is in units of 25  $10^9$  particles=sec.

FIG. 4: A trapdoor with a cooling mechanism acts as a pump. The graph on the top (a) shows how the fraction of the total number of molecules in the left chamber builds up after the system is released from an initial state with an equal number of molecules in each chamber. The graph on the bottom (b) shows the accumulated ux of molecules through the trapdoor opening (negative slope) and the accumulated ux of molecules through an additional opening that allows free passage (positive slope).



Fig. 4. A trapdoor with a cooling mechanism acts as a pump. The graph on the top (a) shows how the fraction of the total number of molecules in the left chamber builds up after the system is released from an initial state of equal number of molecules in each chamber. The graph on the bottom (b) shows the accumulated flux of molecules through the trapdoor opening (negative slope) and the accumulated flux of molecules through an additional opening that allows free passage (positive slope).



Fig. 1. The automated Maxwell's demon we simulated numerically was inspired by Smoluchowski's trapdoor. The dashed lines show the region where the trapdoor can move.



Fig. 2. The absolute value of the fractional difference in the number of particles between the two chambers is plotted against time, as the system approaches equilibrium. Two curves are shown, one for the case when the particles start in the left chamber, and one for the case when the particles start in the right chamber.



0.5

1