# A KINETICS DRIVEN COMMENSURATE - INCOMMENSURATE TRANSITION

Abhishek Chaudhuri, P.A. Sreeram and Surajit Sengupta

Satyendra N ath B ose N ational C entre for B asic Sciences B lock-JD, Sector-III, Salt Lake C alcutta - 700098

#### A bstract

The steady state structure of an interface in an Ising system on a square lattice placed in a non-uniform external eld, shows a commensurate -incommensurate transition driven by the velocity of the interface. The non-uniform eld has a pro le with a xed shape which is designed to stabilize a at interface, and is translated with velocity y. For small velocities the interface is stuck to the pro le and is rippled with a periodicity which may be either com m ensurate or incom m ensurate with the lattice param eter of the square lattice. For a general orientation of the pro le, the local slope of the interface locks in to one of in nitely many rational directions producing a devil's staircase structure. These \lock-in" or com m ensurate structures discappear as ve increases through a kinetics driven com m ensurate - incommensurate transition. For large  $v_e$  the interface becomes detached from the eld pro le and coarsens with Kardar-Parisi-Zang exponents. The complete phase -diagram and the multifractal spectrum corresponding to these structures have been obtained num erically together with several analytic results concerning the dynam ics of the rippled phases. Our work has technological in plications in crystal growth and the production of surfaces with various desired surface m orphologies.

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

Commensurate-in -commensurate (C-I) transitions[1] have been extensively studied over alm ost half a century following early experiments on noble gases adsorbed on a crystalline substrate[2] eq. Kr on graphite. Depending on coverage and temperature, adsorbates may show high density periodic structures the reciprocal lattice vectors (RLVs) of which are either a rational (commensurate) or irrational (in -commensurate) multiple of a substrate RLV.By changing external parameters (eg. tem perature) one may induce phase transitions between these structures. Recently, the upsurge of interest in the fabrication of nanodevices have meant a renewed interest in this eld following a large number of experimental observations on \self-assem bled" dom ain patterns (stripes or droplets) on epitaxially grown thin lmsforeq. Ag lmson Ru (0001) or Cu-Pb lmson Cu (111)β] etc. The alloy lms often show composition modulations in the lateral direction forming patterned superlattices. These self-assembled surface patterns m ay have potential applications in the eld of optoelectronics, hence the interest. In general, the whole area of surface structure modi cation has trem endous technological in plications including, for example, the recording industry where magnetic properties are intimately connected [4] to surface structure.

A lm ost universally, C-I transitions m ay be understood using some version of the sim – ple Frenkel -K ontorova [5] (FK) m odel, which m odels them as arising from a competition between the elastic energy associated with the distortion of the adsorbate lattice and substrate -adsorbate interactions. A complicated phase diagram involving an in nity of phases corresponding to various possible commensuration ratios (rational fractions) is obtained as a function of the two energy scales. In-between two commensurate structures one obtains regions where the periodicity of the adsorbate lattice is in -commensurate. A llC-I transitions are equilibrium transitions in the sense that at any value of the relevant parameters, the structures observed optimize a free -energy. Indeed, despite its importance, the dynamical aspect of C-I transitions is a relatively unexplored domain.

In this paper, on the other hand, we discuss a C –I transition entirely driven by kinetics. We show that (1) a simple Ising interface in a square lattice, held in place by a non-uniform external magnetic eld, can have a variety of commensurate \phases" characterized by the local slope expressed in terms of the unit vectors of the underlying lattice and (2) it is possible to induce transitions in-between these phases by externally driving the interface with the

2

help of the eld. The independent variables are therefore the average slope of the interface and its velocity both of which, as we show, can be externally controlled. P relim in any results from this work has been published elsewhere [6, 7].

The dynamics of a 2-d Ising interface between the  $\lfloor up \rfloor$  and  $\lfloor down \rfloor$  spin phases at low temperature T, in a (square) lattice driven by uniform external elds is a rather well studied [8, 9] subject. The interface moves with a constant velocity,  $v_1$ , which depends on the applied eld, h and the orientation measured with respect to the underlying lattice. The interface is rough and coarsens with KPZ [8] exponents = 1=2 and = 1=3 where and are the roughness and dynam ical exponents respectively. We explore the possibility of driving such an interface with a pre-determ ined velocity  $v_f$  using an external non-uniform

eld which changes sign following a sharp sign oidal prole forcibly stabilizing a stationary, macroscopically at interface at the region where the eld crosses zero. We study system atically the structure and dynamics of this \forced" Ising interface as the eld prole is moved without change of shape at an externally controlable velocity  $v_e$ . We show that for low driving velocities  $v_e$ , the interface velocity  $v_f = v_e$  and the interface is stuck to the prole le interface, though macroscopically at (i.e. = = 0) is patterned on the scale of the lattice spacing. It is these patterns which we show, undergo a series of C-I transitions determined by the  $v_e$  and the geometry characterized by the average slope of the interface in terms of the lattice.

In the next section we introduce the model and brie y sketch the main results from a mean eld treatment. In section III we map our interface dynamics to the dynamics of a one dimensional \exclusion process" { a system of hard core particles on a line. In section III-A and B we present our results for the ground state structure and the dynamics within this model. Finally, in section IV we conclude.

# II. THE MODEL AND MEAN FIELD THEORY

We consider here (see Fig.1) a one-dimensional interface Y (x;t) between phases with magnetization, (x;y;t) > 0 and (x;y;t) < 0, in a 2-d square lattice obeying single-spin

ip G lauber dynam ics [10] in the lim it h=J;T=J ! 0. Here J is the Ising exchange coupling and T the temperature. An external non-uniform eld is applied such that  $h = h_{ax}$  in



FIG.1: (a) An Ising interface Y (x;t) (bold curved line) between regions of positive (m arked +) and negative (m arked ) m agnetization in an external, inhom ogeneous eld with a prole which is as shown (dashed line). The positions of the edge of the eld prole and that of the interface are labelled  $S_e$  and  $S_f$  respectively. (b) A portion of the interface in a square lattice showing a corner. (c) The interface velocity  $v_f$  as a function of the velocity of the dragging edge  $v_e$  for  $N_s = 100(2);1000(3);10000(+)$  and = 0.5. All the data (2;3;+) collapse on the mean eld solution (dashed line). Inset shows the graphical solution (circled) of the self-consistency equation for  $v_f$ ; dashed line represents  $v_f = v_f$ .

the + ve and  $h_{max}$  in the -ve regions separated by a sharp edge. The edge of the eld (i.e. where the eld changes sign) lies at S<sub>e</sub>. The front or interface, Y (x;t), separates up and down spin phases. The interface is the bold curved line (Fig.1) with the average position S<sub>f</sub>. When the edge is displaced with velocity v<sub>e</sub>; the front, in response, travels with velocity v<sub>f</sub>. Parts of the front which leads (lags) the edge of the eld experience a backward (forward) force pulling it towards the edge. The driving force therefore varies in both space and time and depends on the relative position of the front compared to that of the edge of the dragging eld. In the low temperature limit the interface m oves solely by random corner ips[8] (Fig.1(b)), the uctuations necessary for nucleating islands of the minority phase in any region being absent. We study the behaviour of the front velocity  $v_f$  and the structure of the interface as a function of  $v_e$  and orientation.

Naively, one would expect uctuations of the interfacial coordinate Y (x;t) to be com – pletely suppressed in the presence of a eld pro le. This expectation, as depicted by our main results (Fig.1, Fig.3 and Fig.5) is only partially true. W hile, as we show below, a mean

eld theory gives the exact behaviour of the front velocity  $\psi$  as a function of  $v_e$  (Fig.1); sm all interfacial uctuations produce a dynam ical phase diagram showing in nitely many dynam ical phases (Fig 3) and dynam ic phase transitions (Fig.5). For  $v_e < v_1$  the interface is stuck to the pro le  $\psi = v_e$ . The stuck phase has a rich structure showing microscopic, \lock-in", commensurate ripples. These discappear at high velocities through a dynam ical commensurate-incommensurate (C-I) transition.

Consider, rst, a continuum coarse-grained description of the model shown in Fig.1. We assume that for h=J;T=J ! 0 the magnetization is uniform everywhere except near the interface, Y (x;t) so that the magnetisation = (y Y (x;t)). The eld pro le is given by h (y;t) =  $h_{m ax} f(y;t)$  where f (y;t) = tanh ((y  $v_et$ )= ) and is the width of the pro le (see Fig 1 (a)). Using M odel A dynam ics[1] for and integrating out all degrees of freedom except those corresponding to the interfacial position, we obtain the eld pro dynam ical equation satis ed by the interface,

$$\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial x^2} = \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial x$$

where  $_{1, 2}$  and  $_{3}$  are parameters. Note that Eq. (2.1) lacks G alilean invariance [11] Y<sup>0</sup>! Y + x; x<sup>0</sup>! x  $_{2}$  t; t<sup>1</sup>! t. A mean eld calculation amounts to taking Y Y (t) i.e. neglecting spatial uctuations of the interface and noise. For large times (t! 1), Y ! yt, where v<sub>f</sub> is obtained by solving the self-consistency equation;

$$v_{f} = \lim_{\substack{t \leq 1 \\ t \leq 1}} \operatorname{sign} (v_{f} \quad v_{e}) t$$

$$= \operatorname{sign} (v_{f} \quad v_{e})$$
(2.2)

For small  $v_e$  the only solution to Eq.(2.2) is  $v_f = v_e$  and for  $v_e > v_1$ , where  $v_1 = _3$ we get  $v_f = _3 = v_1$ . We thus have a sharp transition (Fig.1(c)) from a region where the interface is stuck to the edge to one where it moves with a constant velocity. How is this result altered by including spatial uctuations of Y? This question is best answered by mapping the interface problem to an assymmetric exclusion process [8, 12] and studying the dynamics both analytically and numerically using computer simulations.

## III. FLUCTUATIONS: THE EXCLUSION PROCESS

The mapping to the exclusion process follows [9, 12] by distributing N  $_{\rm p}$  particles among  $N_s$  sites of a 1-d lattice. The particles are labelled  $i = 1;2; \dots, N_p$  sequentially at t = 0. Any conguration of the system is specified by the set of integers figure where  $y_i$  denotes the location of the ith particle. In the interface picture im apsonto a horizontal coordinate (x in Fig. 1), and  $y_i$  as the local height Y (x). Each conguration fyg de ness one-dimensional interface inclined to the horizontal with mean slope tan  $_{\rm f}$  = 1= where = N\_{\rm p}=N\_{\rm s}. The  $y_i$  satisfy the hard core constraint  $y_{i+1}$   $y_i + 1$ . The local slope near particle i is given by  $y_{i+1} = y_i$  and is equal to the inverse local density  $_i$  m easured in a region around the  $i^{th}$ particle. A Itematively, one associates a vertical bond with a particle and a horizontal bond with a hole [9], in which case, again, we obtain an interface with a slope tan  $\int_{f}^{0} = = (1 + 1)$ ). The two mappings are distinct but equivalent. Periodic boundary conditions amount to setting  $y_{i+N_p} = y_i$  N<sub>s</sub>. Motion of the interface, by corner ips corresponds to the hopping of particles. In each time step (Np attempted hops with particles chosen random ly and sequentially [12]),  $y_i$  tends to increase (or decrease) by 1 with probability p (or q); it actually increses (or decreases) if and only if  $y_{i+1}$   $y_i > 1$ . The dynamics involving random sequential updates is known to indroduce the least amount of correlations among  $y_i$  which enables one to derive exact analytic expressions for dynam ical quantities using simple mean eb argum ents[12]. The right and left jum p probabilities p and q (p + q = 1) them selves depend on the relative position of the interface  $y_i$  and the edge of the eld pro le i = + t. Note that this relative position is de ned in a moving reference frame with velocity y (t), the instantaneous average particle velocity de ned as the total num ber of particles moving right pertime step. We use a bias  $_i(t) = p q =$ sign (y<sub>i</sub> i= v₄t) with = 1 unlessotherwise stated. In addition to the front velocity  $v_f$ , we also examine the behaviour of the average position,

$$< y(t) > = N_{p}^{1} X_{j_{p}} y_{j_{1}}(t)$$
 (3.1)



FIG. 2: Variation of < y(t) > with t for v<sub>e</sub> = 0.025 and p = 1.0. Lines denote analytic results while points denote M onte C arlo data for = 1=5 (upperm ost curve), 2=5 and an incom m ensurate near 1=3. Inset (a)-(c) shows the corresponding ground state interfaces (y<sub>i</sub> i= ). The arrows in (c) m ark the positions of two discom m ensurations.

and the width of the interface:

$${}^{2}(t) = N_{p} {}^{1} {}_{i=1,N_{p}} < (y_{i}(t) < y_{i}(t) >)^{2} >$$
(3.2)

as a function of time and system size  $N_s$ . Here,  $\langle y_i(t) \rangle = i = + v_e t$ . Angular brackets denotes an average over the realizations of the random noise. Note that the usual particle hole symmetry for an exclusion process [8, 12] is violated since exchanging particles and holes changes the relative position of the interface compared to the edge.

We perform numerical simulations of the above model for N<sub>s</sub> upto  $10^4$  to obtain v<sub>f</sub> for the steady state interface as a function of v<sub>e</sub> as shown in Fig. 1(c). A sharp dynamical transition from an initially stuck interface with v<sub>f</sub> = v<sub>e</sub> to a free, detached interface with v<sub>f</sub> = v<sub>1</sub> = (1) is clearly evident as predicted by mean eld theory. The detached interface coarsens with KPZ exponents[6]. Note that, even though the mean eld solution for v<sub>f</sub> (v<sub>e</sub>) neglects the uctuations present in our simulation, it is exact. The detailed nature of the stuck phase (v<sub>f</sub> = v<sub>e</sub> and bounded) is, on the other hand, considerably more complicated than the mean eld assumption Y (x;t) = Y (t).

#### A. G round state structure and the D evil's staircase

The ground state of the interface in the presence of a stationary ( $v_e = 0$ ) eld pro le is obtained by minimizing  $E = {P \atop i} (y_i \quad i= \quad c)^2$  with respect to the set fyig and c. This maybe shown from Eq.(2.1) by neglecting the terms non-linear in QY=Qx; the resulting equation of motion, for small deviations of Y from the edge may be derived from the elective H am iltonian E. The form of E leads to an in nite range, non-local, repulsive, interaction between particles in addition to hard core repulsion and the minimization is subject to the constraint that  $y_i$  be an integer. For our system, the result for the energy may be obtained exactly for density = m = n, an arbitrary rational fraction. For even m we have the follow ing expression.

$$E = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1,m=2}^{X} [(\frac{j}{m} + c)^{2} + (\frac{j}{m} - c)^{2}] + (\frac{1}{2} - c)^{2} + c^{2} = \frac{1}{6} (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{m}) (1 - \frac{1}{m}) + \frac{1}{4m} - \frac{1}{4m^{2}}$$
(3.3)

W here in the last equation we have m in in ized the expression with respect to c. Sim ilarly for odd m we have the following expression for energy,

$$E = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1,m=2}^{K} [(\frac{j}{m} + c)^{2} + (\frac{j}{m} - c)^{2}] + c^{2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{12} (1 - \frac{1}{m^{2}})$$
(3.4)

The resulting ground state profiles are shown in Fig. 2 (insets). The lower bound for E() is zero which is the energy for all = 1=n. For invational the energy is given by  $\lim_{m \to 1} E(m=n) = 1=12$  which constitutes an upper bound. For an arbitrary 0 < < 1 the system (fy<sub>i</sub>g) therefore prefers to distort, conforming within local regions, to the nearest low -lying rational slope  $1=\sim$  interspersed with \discom m ensurations" of density  $d = j \sim j$  and sign + ve (-ve) if these regions are shifted towards (away) from each other by 1. A plot of ~ () shows a \D evil's staircase" structure[1]. In order to observe this in our simulation we analyze the instantaneous distribution of the local density of the particle hole system to obtain weights for various simple rational fractions. A time average of these weights then

give us the most probable density  $\sim |$  distinct from the average which is constrained to be the inverse slope of the prole. Increasing the width of the external eld prole away from zero gradually washes out this D evil's staircase structure (Fig. 3).



FIG. 3: Devil's staircase structure in a plot of ~ Vs for small velocities (y = 0.05) and = 0.01;1;5.

As the velocity  $v_e$  is increased, steps corresponding to  $\sim = m = n$  (rational fractions) disappear sequentially for  $v_e > 1=m$  so that for  $v_e > 1=2$  only fractions of the form 1=n remain which persist up to  $v_e = v_1$ . The bous of the discontinuities in the  $\sim$ () curve for various velocities  $v_e$  gives the phase diagram (Fig. 4) in the  $v_e$  plane. Note that the phase diagram for this C-I transition as given in an earlier publication [7] contained inaccuracies which have been now corrected.



FIG. 4: The dynamical phase diagram in  $v_e$  and plane. The numbers on the axis mark the fractions ~, which determ ines the orientation of the lock-in phase. The three regions white, black and grey correspond to the rippled, the disordered and the detached phases respectively.

## B. D ynam ics of the forced Interface

For low velocities and density where correlation e ects due to the hard core constraint are negligible, the dynam ics of the interface m ay be obtained exactly. Under these circum stances the N<sub>p</sub> particle probability distribution for the y<sub>i</sub>'s, P (y<sub>1</sub>; y<sub>2</sub>; N<sub>j</sub>;) factorizes into single particle term sP (y<sub>i</sub>). K now ing the time development of P (y<sub>i</sub>) and the ground state structure the motion of the interface at subsequent times may be trivially computed as a sum of single particle motions. A single particle (with say index i) moves with the bias  $_{i}$  (v<sub>e</sub> t) which, in general, may change sign at y <  $i = +v_e t < y + 1$ . Then P (y<sub>i</sub>) satis es the following set of

master equations,

$$P_{-}(y_{i}) = P(y_{i}) + P(y_{i} + 1) \quad \text{for } y_{i} > y + 1$$

$$P_{-}(y_{i}) = P(y_{i} - 1) \quad P(y_{i}) + P(y_{i} + 1) \quad \text{for } y_{i} = y_{i}y + 1$$

$$P_{-}(y_{i}) = P(y_{i}) + P(y_{i} - 1) \quad \text{for } y_{i} < y: \quad (3.5)$$

The average position of the particle is given by  $\langle y_i(t) \rangle = P_{y_i=1}^1 y_i P(y_i)$  and the spread by  $(t) = P_{y_i=1}^1 (y_i \langle y_i(t) \rangle)^2 P(y_i)$ . Solving the appropriate set of master equations we obtain, for  $v_e < 1$  the rather obvious steady state solution  $P(y_i) = 1=2(y_{ixy} + y_{ixy+1})$  and the particle oscillates between y and y+1. Subsequently, when  $i = +v_e t + y_{ixy+1}$ , the particle jumps to the next position and  $P(y_i)$  relaxes exponentially with a time constant

= 1 to it's new value with y ! y + 1. For = 1=n the entire interface moves as a single particle and the average position advances in steps with a periodicity of 1= $v_e$  (see Fig. 2) In general, for rational = m =n, the motion of the interface is composed of the independent motions of m particles each separated by a time lag of  $_L = 1=m v_e$ . The result of the analytic calculation for small  $v_e$  and has been compared to those from simulations in Fig. 2 for = 1=5 and 2=5. For a general irrational < 1=2, m ! 1 consequently,  $_L$  ! 0.

The forward motion of an irrational interface is accompanied by the motion of discom – mensurations along the interface with velocity  $v_e$  which constitutes a kinematic wave[8, 9] parallel to the interface.

## C. The C-I transition

As the velocity  $v_e$  is increased the system onds it increasingly different to maintain its ground state structure and for  $_L$  the instantaneous value of ~ begins to make excursions to other nearby low-lying fractions and eventually becomes free. Steps corresponding to ~ = m = n dissappear (i.e. ~ ! ) sequentially in order of decreasing m and the interface loses the ripples. The transition, as in the case of the FK model[5] is characterized by well de ned exponents. This may be seen by computing the spectrum of singularities

$$f() = q \frac{d}{dq} [(q \ 1)D_q] \quad (q \ 1)D_q \qquad (3.6)$$



FIG.5: The function ~() for two di erent velocities  $v_e = (1) = 0.1$  and 0.5. Note that the steps corresponding to higher rational fractions tend to disappear with increasing  $v_e$ . Inset shows the corresponding multifractal spectrum f(). Note that with increasing velocity, min ! 0 (see text).

with  $(q) = (d=dq)((q \ 1)D_q)$  and  $q = f^{\circ}((q))[13]$  corresponding to the D evil's staircase in  $\sim$ ().  $D_0$  is the H ausdor dimension and  $D_q$  the generalized dimensions.  $D_q$ 's are obtained by solving for

$$(3.7) _{i}^{X} (p_{i}^{q} = l_{i}^{(q-1)D_{q}}) = 1:$$

The changes in determined the scales  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the partition (de ned following the Farey construction) whereas the changes in ~ were de ned to be the measures p. The high -order gaps in the vicinity of primary steps (the 1=n fractions) scale like[13]  $p_1 = \frac{1}{4}m^{in}$  where  $m_{in} = D_1$ . Near these steps ~ ( $m_{ax}$ ) where  $m_{ax}$  is the maximum value of for a step. This universal critical exponent [14] =  $m_{in}$  has been determined to be 0:71 :001 from our data at  $v_e = (1 = 0) = 0$ :1. The exponent determines the stability of the rippled pattern to small changes in the orientation of the external eld (). As y increases, ! 0 (Fig. 5).

It is important to realize that the C-I transition seen in our system is driven by uctuations of the local slope and therefore di erent from the C-I transition in a mechanistic Frenkel-K ontorova [1] model appropriate for dom ain structures arising from atom ic m is ts. The non-local energy E () and the non-linear constraint  $y_i$  = integer m akes it extrem ely di cult to devise a natural mapping of this problem onto an e ective F-K model. However, an approach based on the Langevin dynam ics of particle of a single particle with coordinate <sup>0</sup> di using on a energy surface given by,  $F(^{0}) = E(^{0}) + (^{0})^{2}$  can obtain the main qualitative results of this section [7]. Here, is an arbitrary constant which ensures that the long time limit of  $^{0} =$ .

## IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have studied the static and dynam ic properties of an Ising interface in 2-d subject to a non-uniform, time-dependent external magnetic eld. The system has a rich dynam ical structure with in nitely many steady states. The nature of these steady states and their detailed dynam ics depend on the orientation of the interface and the velocity of the external eld pro le. In future we would like to study the statics and dynam ics of such forced interfaces in more realistic system s eg. a liquid-solid interface produced by coupling to a patterned substrate [15]. The authors wish to thank S.S.M anna for discussions. A  $\mathcal{L}$ . addenow ledges C S.IR.Govt. of India for a fellow ship and S.S. thanks the D epartment of Science and Technology, G ovt. of India, for nancial support.

- P.M. Chaikin and T.C. Lubensky Principles of condensed matter physics, (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- [2] P.W. Stephens, P.Heiney, R.J.Birgeneau, and P.M.Hom, "X-Ray Scattering Study of the Commensurate-Incommensurate Transition of Monolayer Krypton on Graphite," Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 47-51 (1979)
- [3] B.D.K rack, et.al., \D evil's Staircases" in Bulk-Imm iscible Ultrathin Alby Films, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 186101 (2002).
- [4] D. Zhao et. al, Magnetization on rough ferrom agnetic surfaces, Phys. Rev. B 62, 11316, (2000).
- [5] V.L.Pokrovsky and A.L.Talopov, Theory of Incommensurate Crystals, Soviet Science Reviews (Harwood, Zurich, 1985).
- [6] A. Chaudhuri and S. Sengupta, Pro le-driven interfaces in 1+1 dimensions: periodic steady states, dynamicalmelting and detachment, Physica A, Vol 318, 1-2, 30-39 (2003).

- [7] A. Chaudhuri, P.A. Sreeram and S. Sengupta, Growing Smooth Interfaces with Inhomogeneous M oving External Fields: D ynam ical Transitions, D evil's Staircases and Self-A seem bled Ripples, Phys. Rev. Lett, 89, 176101 (2002).
- [8] A L Barabasi and H E Stanley Fractal Concepts in Crystal Growth (Cambridge University Press, 1995);
- [9] SN. Majum dar and M. Barma, Tag di usion in driven systems, growing interfaces, and anomalous uctuations, Phys. Rev. B 44 5306 (1991).
- [10] A L C Ferreira, S K M endiratta and E S Lage Simulation of domain wall dynamics in the 2D anisotropic Ising model, J Phys A : M ath. G en. 22 L 431 (1989).
- [11] Note that f (Y;t) in Eq. (2.1) generates a space and time dependent, (annealed) random, G alilean boost.R andom G alilean transform ations often lead to multifractal steady states; see for eg.U.Frish, Turbulence (C am bridge University Press, 1995)
- [12] T M Ligget, Interacting Particle Systems (New York: Springer) (1985); N. Rajewsky et al., The asymmetric exclusion process: Comparison of update procedures.
- [13] T.C.Halsey, M.H.Jensen, L.P.Kadano, I.Procaccia, and B.J.Shraiman, Fractalmeasures and their singularities: The characterization of strange sets, Phys. Rev. A 33, 1141 (1986).
- [14] A.A.M iddleton, O.B iham, P.B.Littlewood and P.Sibani, Complete mode locking in models of charge-density waves, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1586, (1992).
- [15] J.P. Hoogenboom, D.L.J. Vossen, C. Faivre-Moskalenko, M. Dogterom and A. van Blaaderen, Patterning surfaces with colloidal particles using optical tweezers Appl. Phys. Lett., 80, 4828 (2002).