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#### Abstract

W e study level correlations of disordered system $s \mathrm{w}$ ith chiralunitary sym $m$ etry (A III sym m etry). $W$ e use a random $m$ atrix $m$ odelw ith a nite correlation length to derive a supersym $m$ etric nonlinear m odel. The result is com pared w ith existing results based on other m odels. U sing the m ethods by $K$ ravtsov and $M$ irlin ( $P$ is'm a Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 60, 645 (1994) [JE T P Lett. 60, 656 (1994)]) and A ndreev and A ltshuler $\mathbb{P}$ hys. Rev. Lett. 75, 902 (1995)], we calculate the density of states and two-level correlation function. T he result is expressed using the spectral determ inant as in traditional nonchiral system $s$. W e discuss the renorm alization of the $m$ ean level spacing which is not present in the traditional system $s$.


PACS num bers: $05.30 .-\mathrm{d}, 05.45 .-\mathrm{a}, 72.15 \mathrm{Rn}, 71.30 .+\mathrm{h}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

The classi cation of disordered system $s$ is based on sym $m$ etries of the $H$ am iltonian. A ccording to invariance properties under tim e-reversal and spin rotation, three sym $m$ etry classes \{ unitary, orthogonal, and sym plectic \{ are well known since the work by $W$ igner and D yson [11]. The m odem classi cation is based on the notion of sym $m$ etric spaces [12] and indicates that ten universality classes exist. A lthough there was an early e ort at a universality classi cation in 80 's [ seven classes did not attract much attention until physical applications were found [ chiral sym $m$ etry in disordered system $s$ was rst notioed in Ref.[4] by using random $m$ atrix theory (RMT) in the context of quantum chrom o dynam ics (QCD) and mesoscopic quantum w ires. In system $s w$ ith chiral sym $m$ etry, eigenvalues of the H am iltonian appear in pairs and the origin $=0$ plays a special role for level correlations.

In order to analyze such system $s$, the supersym $m$ etry $m$ ethod $[\underline{6}]$ is known to be a useful tool for both perturbative and nonperturbative calculations. This method allows one to obtain a nonlinear $m$ odel with super$m$ atrix elds as e ective modes. O ne can discuss weak localization e ects using perturbation theory, where an expansion in term s of di usion propagators ( $2 \bar{g}_{1}$ ) is perform ed. A diagram $m$ atical interpretation is thus possible, and weak localization im plies a large conductance g 1, where $g$ is proportional to the di usion constant in the propagator. T he localization property can also be discussed using the renom alization group $m$ ethod. This expansion is justi ed only for nonzero modes $q \in 0$ in the propagator. The zero $m$ ode sector contains a totally di erent contribution and gives the ergodic result $g=1$. U sing the zero mode, we can calculate level correlation functions scaled in term $s$ of the $m$ ean level spacing $[\underline{-1} 1]$. $T$ he result is nonperturbative, param eter-free, and universal. $W$ e know that treating the zero $m$ ode perturba-

[^0]tively gives only the asym ptotic form of the exact result.
$T$ hus it is im portant to notioe the di erent roles of the zero and nonzero $m$ odes. At nite $g$, the nonzero $m$ odes m odify the universal result of level correlation functions [ nonzero m odes separately and found nite-g corrections to the universal result [801]. D ue to technical problem s , the result was restricted to the dom ain $z \quad g$ where $z$ is the scaled energy variable. U sing another $m$ ethod, A $n-$ dreev and A ltshuler (AA) considered the dom ain $z \quad 1$ where the perturbative expansion $m$ akes sense $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$. They reached the nonperturbative regim e by noticing the existence of a set of nontrivial saddle points. C onsidering the expansion around two saddle points the result $w$ as expressed using the determ inant of the di usion propagator, which is called the spectral determ inant in the literature. A though their $m$ ethod did not treat the zero and nonzero $m$ odes separately, it was show $n$ in $R$ ef.[1d] that the separation, just as in K M 's m ethod, gives the sam e result.

U sing the derived result, the authors in Ref. $\left[\frac{9}{9}\right]$ found a sm earing of the singularity at the $H$ eisenberg tim $e$ in the form factor (the Fourier transform of the two-level correlation function). Furthem ore, the use of the spectral determ inant represents a link from disordered to chaotic system s. The authors in Ref. [1] in noticed that a sim ilar treatm ent can be applied to general chaotic system s just by replacing the di usion operator in the spectral deter$m$ inant by the Perron $\mp$ robenius operator. For a chaotic system, the expression of the determ inant using the trace form ula was discussed in Ref.[1]]. Thus the expression using the spectral determ inant is im portant for a unied treatm ent of disordered and chaotic system s. The result w as applied to critical statistics [1] ${ }_{1}^{1}$ ] and the relation to the density-density correlation in the C alogeroSutherland model at nite tem perature w as discussed.

In this paper we consider system $s$ w ith chiral unitary sym $m$ etry. Starting from a chiral random $m$ atrix $m$ odel with a nite correlation length, we derive a nonlinear $m$ odel and calculate the density of states (DOS) and tw o-level correlation function (TLCF). Our aim in this paper is not to discuss a speci cm odelbut to discuss the
generic properties of chiral sym $m$ etric system s. A ctually the $m$ odelwe use in this paper is believed to be applicable to a broad range of physicalsystem s and we discuss the relation to other m odels for speci c system s . T hen, we calculate the DOS and TLCF using a nonperturbative $m$ ethod which is equivalent to both $m$ ethods by K M and AA. O ut m ethod is sim ilar to that in Ref. [1d] and the zero and nonzero $m$ odes are separated explicitly. For chiral sym $m$ etric system s , the calculation using the KM $m$ ethod has been carried out in Ref.[14]. In contrast to the approach in Refs. [gid , 11 4, w, we integrate the zero m ode
rst, and then, treat the nonzero $m$ odes perturbatively. $T$ he advantage of this $m$ ethod is that all dom ains are treated in a uni ed way. $W$ e also discuss the e ect of the DOS renorm alization, which is speci c for nonstandard sym $m$ etry system $s$. W e restrict our discussion to chiral unitary sym $m$ etry (A III sym $m$ etry) and the extentions to other chiral sym $m$ etric classes, chiral orthogonal (BD I) and chiral sym plectic (C II), w ill be discussed elsew here.
$T$ he organization of this paper is as follow s. In Sec.I工, starting from the random H am iltonian, we derive the supersym $m$ etric nonlinear $m$ odel. It di ers from the traditional $m$ odelw ritten in term $s$ of a superm atrix $Q$ by sym $m$ etries of the $m$ atrix and the presence of an additional term. W e discuss relations to other m odels. $N$ ext, the DOS and TLCF are calculated in Sec'III. In Sec'IV', ', we discuss the e ect of the additional term and the DO'S renorm alization. Sec'N is devoted to discussions and conclusions.

## II. SUPERSYMMETRICNONLINEAR M O D EL

A . D erivation

In this paper we treat the H am iltonian in the form

$$
\mathrm{H}=\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{~W}  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{~W} & \mathrm{y} \\
0
\end{array} \text {; }
$$

$w$ here $W$ is an arbitrary $m$ atrix. This $H$ am iltonian possesses chiralsym $m$ etry, which $m$ eans that the eigenvalues appear in pairs $i$. The $m$ atrix $W$ can be a rectangular $m$ atrix ( $n \quad m$ ) as well as a square one ( $n=m$ ).
$=$ jn $\mathrm{m} j$ is the topological num ber and is equal to the num ber of zero eigenvalues of the H am iltonian. H ere we consider $=0$ and the extention to a nite willbe discussed elsew here. M aking a unitary transform ation, we have

$$
\mathrm{H}=\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 2  \tag{2}\\
2 & 1
\end{array}
$$

1;2 are $n \quad n$ Herm itian $m$ atrices. Treating these $m$ atrioes as random ones, we can obtain the original chiral RM T [ $[4]$. D ue to the chiralstructure of the H am iltonian, tw o random $m$ atrices couple in the single $H$ am iltonian and nontrivial correlations of the single $G$ reen function
are expected. W e restrict our discussion to the chiralunitary ensemble, which $m$ eans 1;2 are arbitrary H em itian m atrioes.

W e consider a system written in eld theoretical form as

$$
H={ }_{x y}^{Z}{ }^{y}(x) H(x ; y) \quad(y) ;
$$

where is the ferm ionic eld operator and ${ }^{R}={ }^{R} d^{d} x$. The random Ham iltonian $H$ ( $x ; y$ ) has the chiralstructure (2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 ; 2(x ; y)=!_{1 ; 2}(x ; y) a(j x \quad y j): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$!_{1 ; 2}$ are random $m$ atrices and are averaged using the G aussian integral

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z} \Rightarrow!_{1 ; 2}\left(\operatorname { e x p } _ { 2 } ^ { 1 } { } _ { x y } ^ { \mathrm { Z } } \left(!_{1}(x ; y)!_{1}(y ; x)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+!2(x ; y)!_{2}(y ; x)\right) ; \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

where is a free param eter. The function a (r) represents a nite correlation of the Ham iltonian. W e assum e the range of the correlation, denoted by $r_{0}$, is large so that the saddle point approxim ation is applicable in the follow ing calculation. In the lim it $r \quad r_{0}, a(r) \quad 1$ and we have the fully $G$ aussian correlation. In the opposite lim it $r \quad r_{0}$, we assum e the correlation decays fast enough, e.g., a (r) $\exp (j \lessdot j$ j).

This nite-range $m$ odel is $m$ ore realistic than chiral RMT in which all the $m$ atrix elem ents correlate $w$ ith each other in the sam e way. The nite-range e ect can be realized as a weak localization correction and a new energy scale $E_{c}=D=L^{2}$ (T houless energy), where $D$ is the di usion constant and L the system length, com es into the analysis. A nother interesting situation is when the decay of the $m$ atrix is pow er-law. For a certain range of param eters this m odel reproduces the physics of the A nderson transition [1]-]. Extentions of the present w ork to the pow er-law case are discussed in Ref.[1]].
 sim ilar nonlinear $m$ odels were considered for system $s$ $w$ ith chiral sym m etry. Ourmodel is a sim ple generalization of m odels used in $\left[17_{1}, 2 d\right]$. In other works, the random ux model [1] $\overline{1}$, the random gauge eld model [21], and the partially quenched chiral perturbation theory as the low -energy m odel of QCD [19 ered. The derived nonlinear $m$ odels di er from the standard di usion $m$ odel for nonchiral system $s$ by sym $m$ etries of the $m$ atrix. Furtherm ore, an additional term w as found in $R$ efs. $[1$ Refs. ["d, "21]. H ere we rederive the m odel and discuss relations to these m odels. In fact the additional term can exist and can be derived by a careful treatm ent of the $m$ assive $m$ odes integration. A though these $m$ odels are di erent, we expect com $m$ on low -energy properties. O ur goal is to investigate them in the fram ew ork of the nonlinear model.

Let us derive the nonlinear $m$ odel using the supersym $m$ etry $m$ ethod. O ur derivation is sim ilar to that in Refs. $\left.\overline{[1]}={ }^{-1}, \overline{2}_{2}^{2}\right]$. We rst de ne the generating function for the single $G$ reen function. Follow ing the $E$ fetov's Rotation and conventions [ílil] we de ne it as $Z_{1}[\mathrm{~J}]=$

D ( ; ) exp ( L ) , w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=i_{x y}^{Z}(x)\left[^{+}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y
\end{array}\right)\right. \\
& \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})+\mathrm{kJ}(\mathrm{x})(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{y})](\mathrm{y}) \text {; } \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k=\operatorname{diag}(1 ; 1)$ operates in superspace, is a fourcom ponent supervector and $={ }^{y}$. The source eld $J$ is a 22 m atrix in chiral space. W e take the ensem ble averaging to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & \frac{1}{4}_{Z x y}^{Z} A(x ; y) \operatorname{str} \sim(x) \sim(y) \\
& i^{\mathrm{xy}}(x){ }^{+}+k J(x) \quad(x) ; \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A(x ; y)=a^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & y) \text {, and }\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
(x) & x & (x) \\
x
\end{array}\right] \\
&(x)={\underset{z}{1=2}}^{(x)} \quad(x) \quad{ }_{z}^{1=2}: \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

$x_{\text {; }}$ are the $P$ aulim atrioes in chiralspace. T he $H$ ubbardStratonovich eld $Q$ is introduced in the standard way.爷fter integrations over and, we have hZ ${ }_{1}[\mathrm{~J}] i=$

$$
D Q \exp \left(F_{1}[J]\right) w \text { th }
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1}[J]= & {\frac{A_{0}}{2}}_{x y}^{Z}\left(A^{1}\right)(x ; y) \operatorname{str} Q(x) Q(y) \\
& \operatorname{str} \ln ^{+}{ }_{z}+J k_{z}+i^{P} \overline{A_{0} Q} ; \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{0}={ }_{y}^{R} A(x ; y) \quad r_{f}^{d} \cdot Q$ is a $4 \quad 4$ superm atrix and has the sam e sym $m$ etry as $\sim(x)$, which gives the condition fQ ; $\mathrm{x} \mathrm{g}=0$.

W e consider the saddle-point approxim ation. $W$ e are interested in the vicinity of the origin $=0$ where chiral sym $m$ etry becom es im portant. At this point, the saddle point equation gives $Q^{2}=1$ and the saddle-point $m$ anifold is obtained as $Q=T \quad{ }_{z} T$ where $T$ is the inverse of $T$. Sym $m$ etries of the $T$-m atrix were considered in Ref.[][] and the explicit param etrization w as obtained as
$T=P \overline{1} \quad P^{2} \quad i P ; \quad P=\begin{array}{ll}0 & t \\ t & 0\end{array} \quad ; \quad t=a \begin{aligned} & \text { ib } \quad ;\end{aligned}$
where $a, b$ are real variables and , grassm ann variables. In addition, we m ust take into account the m assive degrees of freedom which are not on the saddle point m anifold. U sually, in nonchiral system s , integrations of the $m$ assive degrees of freedom do not give any contribution. H ow ever, in the present case, the integrations give
additional contributions w ritten in term sof the m assless $m$ odes. $W$ e can write the $Q m$ atrix as $Q=T\left(z^{+} Q\right) T$ where $Q$ denotes the $m$ assive $m$ odes and changes the saddle point. Since the $Q \rightarrow m$ atrix anticom $m$ utes $w$ ith $x$, the structure of $Q$ in chiral space is determ ined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=0^{q} \quad 0 \quad . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is a 2 superm atrix. This $Q$ is substituted to the generating function and the functionalF ${ }_{1}$ is expanded in powers of $Q . W$ e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1}[\mathrm{~J}]=\mathrm{F}_{1}^{(0)}[\mathrm{J}]+\mathrm{F}_{1}^{{ }^{(0)}}+\mathrm{F}_{1}^{(\mathrm{I})} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1}^{(0)}[J]={\frac{A_{0}}{2}}_{x^{Z}}^{Z} R(x ; y) \operatorname{str} Q(x) Q(y) \\
& +\frac{i}{2 \mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{x}} \operatorname{str}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x}) \\
& +\frac{i}{2 V_{Z}}{ }_{x} \operatorname{strJ}(x) k_{z Q} Q \text { ( } x \text {; } \\
& F_{1}^{(0)}={\frac{A_{0}}{2}}_{x y}\left(A^{1}\right)(x ; y)+(x \quad y) A_{0}{ }^{1} \\
& \operatorname{str}_{Z} Q(x) Q(y) ; \\
& F_{1}^{(I)}={\frac{A_{0}}{2}}_{x y}^{R}(x ; y) \\
& \text { str } 2 T(y) Q(x) T(y) \quad z \quad Q(y) \\
& +T(x) Q(x) T(x) T(y) Q(y) T(y) \\
& Q(x) Q(y)+\quad: \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

$Q(x)=T(x)_{p z}{ }^{T}(x), R(x ; y)=A^{1}(x ; y) \quad(x \quad y) A^{1}$, and $=\quad \overline{\mathrm{A}_{0}}=2 \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{~V}$ is the system volum e, and we put the lattice constant $a=1$ ) is the inverse of the D OS ( m ean level spacing) at $=0 . \mathrm{F}_{1}^{(0)}[\mathrm{J}]$ is independent of the $m$ assive $m$ odes, $\mathrm{F}_{1}^{(0)}$ is the purely m assive m ode, and $\mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{(\mathrm{I})}$ is the m ixing term. U sing the cum ulant expansion and integrations of the $m$ assive $m$ odes we obtain $F_{1}$ $\mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{(0)}[\mathrm{J}]+\mathrm{h} \mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{(\mathrm{I})} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{F}_{1}}(0)$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{hF}{ }_{1}^{(\mathrm{I})} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{F}_{1}^{\prime(0)}}=\frac{1}{4}_{\mathrm{XY}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}) \\
& \text { [stri (y)T (x)strT (x)T (y) } \\
& \left.\operatorname{str} T(y) T(x) x_{x} \operatorname{str} T(x) T(y) \quad x\right]:(14)
\end{aligned}
$$

This calculation can be system atically done by using contraction rules derived in A ppendix 'A. 1. W e neglected contributions that can be considered higher order ones. The rst term in the above equation is also neglected since the expansion does not include second order in P [see Eq. (10 $\left.\left.{ }_{-}^{\prime}\right)\right]$. W e obtain

$$
F_{1}={\frac{A_{0}}{2}}_{x y}^{Z} R(x ; y) \operatorname{str} Q(x) Q(y)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{4}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{xy}} \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}) \operatorname{str} \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{x} \operatorname{str} T(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{x} \\
+ & \frac{i^{2 V}}{2 \mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{x}} \operatorname{str} \mathrm{zQ}(\mathrm{x}) \\
+ & \frac{i}{2 \mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}} \operatorname{strJ}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x}): \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

The second term has a double-supertrace form and is not present in nonchiral system $s$. The crucial point is that the $m$ assive $m$ odes w ere param etrized as in E q. (1] $\left.\overline{1})_{1}^{1}\right)$. $T$ hey have the structure $z$ in chiralspace. $Q$ in a form $Q=\operatorname{diag}(q ; q)$ would give the rst term of $\mathrm{hF}_{1}{ }^{(\mathrm{I})}{ }_{i}$ only, which is the case for nonchiral system $s$.

U sing the gradient expansion, we obtain the nal form of the model

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1}= & \frac{D^{Z}}{4 V} \operatorname{str}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{V}
\end{array}\right)^{2} \frac{D_{1}}{16 \mathrm{~V}} \quad(\operatorname{strQrQ} \mathrm{x})^{2} \\
& +\frac{i}{2 \mathrm{~V}} \operatorname{strQ} \mathrm{z} ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where we neglected the source term, $Q(x)=T(x){ }_{z} T(x)$ is a 44 superm atrix, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{D}{V}=\frac{R}{R^{2} r^{2}(r)} \frac{D_{1}}{r a^{2}(r)} ; \quad \frac{R}{V} r_{r}^{2} a^{2}(r) a^{2}(r)^{2}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

D ue to the relation $D=D_{1}{ }_{r}^{R} a^{2}(r) \quad D_{1} r_{0}^{d}$, the constant $D_{1}$ is sm aller than $D$ by the factor $1=r_{0}^{d}$ and the second term in Eq.(1]) can be neglected. H ow ever, it can be im portant when the quantum e ect is taken into account by the renorm alization group $m$ ethod. It is discussed in Sec'īN..

The generating function $\mathrm{Z}_{1}$ is used only for a single G reen function. It is straightforw ard to extend the calculation to the case of products of $G$ reen functions. T he generating function for the product of the retarded $G$ reen function $\operatorname{trG}{ }^{(R)}\left(1_{1}\right) \operatorname{trg}{ }^{(R)}(2)$ is de ned as

$$
Z_{2}[J]={ }^{Z} D(;) \exp i^{Z} \quad\left({ }^{+} \quad H+k J\right) \quad \text {; }
$$

where , are eight-com ponent supervectors. $\wedge=$ diag ( $1 ; 2$ ) is the matrix in \two-point" space. In chiral symmetric system S , the identity $\operatorname{trg}{ }^{(\mathrm{A})}(\mathrm{O}=$
$\operatorname{tr} G^{(R)}()$ holds and the generating function for the advanced $G$ reen function can be found from $Z_{2}$. Repeating the falculation in a sim ilar way, we nd the $m$ odel $h Z_{2} i=D Q \exp \left(F_{2}\right) w$ th

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}_{2}= & \frac{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{Z}}}{4 \mathrm{~V}} \operatorname{str}(\mathrm{rQ})^{2} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{D}_{1}}{32 \mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{~h}(\operatorname{strQrQ} \mathrm{x})^{2}+(\operatorname{str} Q r Q \quad \mathrm{x})^{2^{i}} \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \mathrm{~V}} \operatorname{str}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{Z} ; \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q=T{ }_{z} T$ is an 8 superm atrix and $=$ diag $(1 ; 1)$ in two-point space.
B. C om parison w ith other m odels

O ur derived nonlinear m odel is equivalent to the models in Refs. [14, $\left.\underline{2}_{2}^{2}-1\right]$ except for the presence of the double trace term. The reason why that term was absent in $R$ efs. [14, w as not taken carefully.

In order to compare our result $w$ ith the $m$ odels in


$$
Q=z\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.P^{2}\right)^{1=2}+i P^{2} ; \quad P=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & t \\
t & 0
\end{array}, ~ ; ~ \tag{20}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $t$ is a 22 supem atrix. The random ux model in $R$ ef. [1 $\left.{ }^{d}\right]$ is $m$ apped onto the ective action

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{R F}= & \frac{2}{b}^{Z} \operatorname{strr} T^{1} r T \frac{1}{c}^{Z} \operatorname{str} T^{1} r T^{2} \\
& \frac{2 i!}{\mathrm{b}} \text { (tre } T+T^{1} ; \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where T $2 \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{n} \eta \mathrm{n})$. Thismodel is reduced to ourm odel by using the param etrization

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\left(t+\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{1=2}\right]^{2} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and putting $n=1$. The $\backslash$ avor" degrees of freedom $n$ represents di erent species of electrons and are not im portant for the present problem. W e note that di erent notation and conventions are used in this expression. In contrast w ith our de nition of superm athem atics $\left[\frac{6}{6}\right]$, the de nition in Ref. [24] w as used in Eq. ( $\left.\mathbf{2}_{2}^{\prime} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, which explains the di erence in appearance betw een Eqs. (1-1 $\overline{1}_{1}$ ) and (2 $\left.\overline{2}_{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$.

It is worthwhile to mention the relation of the coupling constants $b$ and $c$. The authors in $R$ ef. [18 found the relation $\mathrm{b} \quad \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ where N are the \color" degrees of freedom. N m ust be large in order to justify the saddle point approxim ation. Thus the second term in Eq. (2111), is $s m$ all com pared $w$ ith the rst term. This is precisely what we found and the correlation length $r_{0}$ corresponds to $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{W}$ e also note that we neglected the topological term com ing from the boundary condition [1] 연. Such a term is expected to be derived in ourm odelby considering a nite topological number and it willbe discussed elsew here.

In a sim ilarw ay, our result is com pared w th the $G$ ade's replica $m$ odelbased on the sublattice $m$ odels $\left.[1]]_{1}^{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{G}=\frac{2}{b}^{Z} \operatorname{trr}(Z+W) r(Z \quad W) \\
& \left.\frac{1^{Z}}{\mathrm{C}} \operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{WrZ} \operatorname{ZrW})\right\} \frac{4 i!}{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{trW} \text {; } \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $Z$ is a $m$ atrix $w$ th som e sym $m$ etry and $W=(1+$ $\left.Z^{2}\right)^{1=2}$. The param etrization

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=2 t\left(1+t^{2}\right)^{1=2} ; \quad W=1+2 t^{2} ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

is used to nd a form al agreem ent w ith our m odel. We note that the G ade's m odel was obtained by using the replica $m$ ethod and the structure of the $m$ atrix $t$ is different from ours. H ow ever we show in the follow ing that, at least in the perturbative regim e, both calculations give the sam e result. It is known that the replica and supersym $m$ etry $m$ ethods give the sam e perturbative result for the sam e sym $m$ etry class.

The relation of the coupling constants b and cwas not discussed in Ref. $\left[1 \bar{\eta}_{1}\right]$. It is not clear what is the large param eter in the $m$ odel to justify the saddle-point approxim ation. It is expected that introduction of such a param eter leads to a sim ilar relation just as in other calculations.

B oth works $\left[1 \bar{T}_{1}^{\prime}, \overline{1} \overline{1} \underline{8}\right]$ did not use the $Q-m$ atrix representation. It has been used in traditional $m$ odels and is useful for com parison of $m$ odels and for form ulation of perturbative and nonperturbative calculations as we dem onstrate below. It is also im portant to nd gauge invariance of the $m$ odel.

## III. LEVELCORRELATION FUNCTIONS

In this section, we calculate the DOS and TLCF by using the nonlinear $m$ odels derived in the previous section. W e neglect the double-trace term contribution and put $D_{1}=0$. This is because $D_{1}$ is sm aller than $D$ by the factor $1=r_{0}$ at the classical level. T he e ect of the double-trace term is discussed in Sec 'IVI'.

We write down the DOS and TLCF in a functional integral form. The DOS is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h() i=\frac{1}{4 V} \operatorname{Re}^{Z} D Q^{Z} \quad \operatorname{strk}{ }_{z} Q(x) e^{F_{1}} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}$ is given by Eq.(1]) (we put $D_{1}=0$ ) and $Q$ is a 44 superm atrix. The T $\overline{\mathrm{L} C F}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(1)(2) i=\frac{1}{4} \mathbb{W}(1 ; 2)+W(1 ; \quad 2) \\
& \left.+W\left(1 ;{ }_{Z}^{2}\right)+W_{Z}(1 ; \quad 2)\right] ;  \tag{26}\\
& W(1 ; 2)=\frac{1}{16{ }_{Z}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{2}} \mathrm{DQ} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}} \operatorname{strk} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x}) \\
& \text { strk } 2 z^{2}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{F}_{2}} \text {; } \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ is given by Eq. $\overline{1}_{1}^{-1} \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}, Q$ is an 8 superm atrix, and $1 ; 2=(1 \quad)=2$. In the follow ing we use the connected part of the TLCF hh (1) (2)ii=h (1) (2)i h (1) ih (2) i.
A. Sum m ary of the result

Before entering into the detailed analysis, we give an outline of the derivation and the result for reference. For
perturbation theory, the $Q-m$ atrix is expanded in pow ers of the $P$ m atrix:

$$
Q(x)=z \frac{1+i P}{1 \quad i P}=z 1+2 i P \quad 2 P^{2}+\quad: \quad \text { (28) }
$$

C orrespondingly, the result is expressed by using the expansion of the di usion propagator $[\underline{1}[\underline{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q ;)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{D q^{2}}: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expansion param eter is $1=g$ where $g=E_{c}=$ $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{L}^{2}$ is the dim ensionless conductance. It does not appear in the zero-m ode sector of the propagator ( $q=0$ ) and the expansion is not justi ed. A ctually, treating the zero mode exactly (nonperturbatively), and neglecting other nonzero modes, we can obtain the ergodic result. $T$ he $Q \mathrm{~m}$ atrix for the zero m ode is w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{T} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~T} ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is independent of the spatial coordinate and its explicit param etrization is given in the follow ing. In order to incorporate the zero and nonzero modes into the analysis we should use the param etrization

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=T \widetilde{Q}(x) T: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\widetilde{Q}$ param etrizes the nonzero $m$ odes and is expanded in powers of the $P \rightarrow$ atrix as in Eq.(28). The zero m ode $Q=T{ }_{z} T$ is treated nonperturbatively so that the ergodic result is obtained. T his param etrization is rem in iscent of the renorm alization group calculation (see, e.g., Ref.t[]) and was used by KM. They considered integrations of the nonzero $m$ odes rst and found corrections to the ergodic result. For a technical reason the result w as applicable only to the dom ain $z \quad g$ where $z=\quad=$ is the scaled energy variable. H ere we consider the zero$m$ ode integration rst and then integrate the nonzero m odes. This m ethod allow s us to consider the dom ain z 1 discussed by AA. For com parison, we present the KM m ethod in Sec 'ilici.

The zerom ode model is equivalent to chiral RMT. $T$ his ergodic lim it can be obtained by putting $g=1$ in the above fiunctional integral form. T he result is scaled by the $m$ ean level spacing to give

$$
\begin{align*}
1(z) & =h(=z=) i={ }_{1}^{(0)}(z) ;  \tag{32}\\
2\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) & ={ }^{2} h h\left({ }_{1}=z_{1}=\right)\left({ }_{2}=z_{2}=\right) \text { ii } \\
& =K^{2}\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) ; \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{1}^{(0)}(z) & =\frac{z}{2}\left[J_{0}^{2}(z)+J_{1}^{2}(z)\right] ; \\
K\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) & =\frac{\frac{z_{1} z_{2}}{z_{1}^{2}}\left[z_{1}^{2} J_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) J_{0}\left(z_{2}\right) \quad z_{2} J_{0}\left(z_{1}\right) J_{1}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]:}{}=\text {, } \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his result does not depend on any param eter and is universal. It was obtained in Ref. [51] by using the orthogonal polynom ial $m$ ethod and in Ref. $\left.23^{1} 1\right]$ using the supersym $m$ etry $m$ ethod.

H ow is it changed if we include the nonzero modes? If we treat all the modes perturbatively, the result is expressed by the di usion propagator. The expansion (28) is used to give

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h ( )i } \quad \frac{1}{-} 1+\frac{1}{2} R e^{X} \quad(q ;)^{2} \text {; }  \tag{35}\\
& \text { hh (1) (2)ii } \frac{1}{2^{2}} R e^{X} \quad{ }^{2}(q ;(1+2)=2) \\
& +{ }^{2}(q ;(1 \quad 2)=2): \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression includes the zero-m ode and is justi ed for $g \quad 1$ and $z \quad 1$. The zero $m$ ode contribution gives the asymptotic form of the ergodic result as was shown in $R$ ef. [14].

B efore discussing the exact treatm ent of the zero $m$ ode we m ust $m$ ention the ect of the renorm alization of the m ean level spacing. The quantity was introduced as the $m$ ean level spacing at $g=1$ and $z=1$. For traditional sym $m$ etry classes, it rem ains unchanged even if we include the nonzero $m$ odes (nite-ge ect), which is a consequence of the particle conservation law. H ow ever, this is not the case in chiral system s. For nite-g, the nonzero $m$ odes contribute to , which $m$ eans that the DOS is renorm alized as was discussed in Ref. [1] $\left.\bar{\eta}_{1}\right]$. Referring to Eq.(35), we de ne the renorm alized $m$ ean level spacing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sim} \quad 1+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \underset{q \notin 0}{X}(q ;)^{2}+ \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the zero $m$ ode is excluded in this expression. C ontributions of the zero m ode are totally di erent from those of other $m$ odes. The nonzero $m$ odes determ ine the $m$ acroscopic behavior of the DOS $1={ }^{\sim}$, while the zero m ode determ ines the universalm icroscopic behavior after scaling in term $s$ of ${ }^{\sim}$.

A naive calculation show $s$ that ${ }^{\sim}$ is divergent in som $e$ cases and should be renorm alized to a nite value using a regularization. W e are interested in the $m$ icroscopic behavior after the $m$ ean level spacing is scaled out. The $e$ ect of nonzero $m$ odes in the $m$ icroscopic dom ain is present even after the scaling and we discuss it in the follow ing.
$W$ e tum to the $m$ ain results in this section. W e use the param etrization (311) to treat the zero and nonzero $m$ odes separately. The zero mode is param etrized so that the ergodic results (322) and (331) are reproduced and the nonzero m odes are treated perturbatively. The dom ain z $\quad \mathrm{g}$ was rst considered by KM for nonchiral system s and we call it KM 's dom ain. Up to second order in $1=g$, the DOS in the KM's dom ain is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
1(z)= & \Upsilon h\left(=\sim_{z}=\right) i \\
& 1+\frac{a_{d}}{8 g^{2}} 2 z \frac{d}{d z}+z^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} \quad{ }_{1}^{(0)}(z):(38)
\end{aligned}
$$

$a_{d}$ is the $m$ om entum integration

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{d}=\frac{1}{8^{4}}{\underset{n}{0 ; n^{2} \notin 0}}_{x}^{n^{2}}{ }^{2}: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e used the periodic boundary condition. The TLCF is

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)={ }^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{hh}\left({ }_{1}=\tilde{z}_{1}=\right) \quad\left(2=\sim_{z_{2}}=\right) \text { ii } \\
& 1+\frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}}{8 \mathrm{~g}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{z}_{1} \frac{@}{@ \mathrm{z}_{1}}+\mathrm{z}_{2} \frac{@}{@ \mathrm{z}_{2}} \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}}{8 \mathrm{~g}^{2}} \quad \mathrm{z}_{1} \frac{@}{@ \mathrm{z}_{1}}+\mathrm{z}_{2} \frac{@}{@ \mathrm{z}_{2}} \quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{~K}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)^{2} \quad: \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

The result was scaled by the renom alized $m$ ean level spacing ( $\overline{3} \overline{7}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). The calculation of the D O S for chiral system shasbeen done in $R$ ef.[14] but the renorm alized $m$ ean level spacing was not introduced. It leads to a di erent conchusion on level statistics as we discuss in Secs'IIIC' and

W e now consider the AA dom ain $z \quad 1, g$ 1. The scaled D O S is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
1(z) \quad 1 \quad \frac{\cos 2 z}{2 z} D(z)+\frac{1}{8 z^{2}} \text {; } \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D(z)$ is the spectral determ inant

$$
\begin{align*}
D(z) & =Y_{q 0 ; q^{2} \notin 0} \frac{\left(D q^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(D \mathrm{q}^{2}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{n 0 ; n^{2} \notin 0} \frac{g^{2}\left(4^{2} n^{2}\right)^{2}}{g^{2}\left(4^{2} n^{2}\right)^{2}+z^{2}}: \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

The TLCF is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} R e_{q^{2} \notin 0}^{X}{ }_{+}^{2}+{ }^{2}+\frac{\sin 2 z_{1}}{2 z_{1}} D_{1} \operatorname{Im}{\underset{q}{ }{ }^{2} \notin 0}_{X}(++\quad)+\frac{\sin 2 z_{2}}{2 z_{2}} D_{2} \operatorname{Im}{ }_{q^{2} \neq 0}^{X}(+\quad) \\
& +\frac{1}{8 z_{1} z_{2}} D_{1} D_{2}\left(D_{+}^{2} D^{2} \quad 1\right) \cos 2\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)+D_{1} D_{2}\left(D^{2} D_{+}{ }^{2} \quad 1\right) \cos 2\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \frac{1}{2\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)^{2}} 1+D_{1} D_{2} D_{+}^{2} D^{2} \cos 2\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{2}} 1 \quad D_{1} D_{2} D^{2} D_{+}{ }^{2} \cos 2\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right) \\
& +  \tag{43}\\
& \frac{1}{z_{1}^{2} z_{2}^{2}}\left(D_{1} \sin 2 z_{1} \quad D_{2} \sin 2 z_{2}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{D}_{1 ; 2}=\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1 ; 2}\right), \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}\left(\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} \quad \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)=2\right)$, and $\quad 1 ; 2=$ $(q ; 1 ; 2), \quad=\left(q ;\left(\begin{array}{rl}1 & 2\end{array}\right)=2\right)$. The result is expressed using the spectral determ inant as the AA result [9] $]$. Equation (4는) can be interpreted as follow S . C onsider the asym ptotic form of the ergodic result (32')

$$
1 \text { (z) } \quad 1 \frac{\cos 2 z}{2 z}+\frac{1}{8 z^{2}}+\quad:
$$

$T$ hen, including the spectral determ inant in the oscillat-
 but we can see that the ergodic lim it gives the asym ptotic form of the exact result ( $\left.\overline{3} 3_{1}^{-1}\right)$. W hile standard perturbation theory gives nonoscillating term $s$, expansions around tw o saddle points $\left[\frac{d}{d}\right]$ are required to get oscillating term s .
 the $m$ ain results in this section. They have the follow ing properties.

Comm on dom ain $1 \quad z \quad$. TheKM and AA results have a com $m$ on dom ain $1 \quad z \quad g$ where the asym ptotic expansion of the B essel function and the expansion of the spectraldeterm inant in $z=g$ can be used. In this dom ain, the DOS and TLCF are approxim ated as

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
1(z) & \frac{\cos 2 z}{2 z}+\frac{1}{8 z^{2}}+\frac{a_{d}}{4 g^{2}} z \cos 2 z ; \\
2\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) \quad & \frac{\sin \left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)}{z_{1}} \frac{\cos \left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)}{z_{1}+z_{2}} \\
+ & \frac{a_{d}}{8 g^{2}}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) \cos \left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) \\
& \frac{a_{d}}{8 g^{2}}\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right) \sin \left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right):
\end{array}
$$

Sm all $z$. At sm allenergies, the expansion of the B essel function in z is used in Eqs. ( $3 \mathrm{Z} \overline{\mathrm{q}}$ ) and (40) to give

$$
\begin{gather*}
1(\mathrm{z}) \quad \frac{\mathrm{z}}{2} 1+\frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}}{4 \mathrm{~g}^{2}} ;  \tag{47}\\
2\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \quad \frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{z}_{1} \mathrm{z}_{2}}{4} 1+\frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}}{2 \mathrm{~g}^{2}} \quad: \tag{48}
\end{gather*}
$$

These results show that levelrepulsion at the origin w eakens, which is consistent $w$ ith the intuitive picture.

Unitary lim it. Taking $z ; z_{1}+z_{2}$ ! 1 , we obtain the unitary lim it as $1(z)!1$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
R\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)= & 1+\frac{2\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)}{1\left(z_{1}\right) 1\left(z_{2}\right)} \\
! & 1+\frac{1}{2} R e^{X} \quad \frac{1}{2\left(z_{1} z_{z}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{\cos 2\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)}{2\left(z_{1} z_{z}\right)^{2}} D \quad \frac{z_{1} \quad z}{2}: \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

This result is consistent w ith the AA's result $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ d\end{array}\right]$ for the unitary class. $W$ e note the relations ( $q ;=2 ; q$ ) $=$ $2(\mathrm{q} ; ~ ; 2 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{z}=2 ; \mathrm{g})=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{z} ; 2 \mathrm{~g})$. The coe cient 2 in front of $g$ originates from chiralsym m etry. C om pared our $m$ odel (19) w th the $m$ odel for unitary sym $m$ etry $[\underline{6}]$, we see the size of the $Q \mathrm{~m}$ atrix is doubled due to (44thiral sym m etry.
$z_{1}=z_{2}$. The relation $2(z ; z)={ }_{1}^{2}(z)$ holds for arbitrary $g$. It can be used to derive the DOS from the TLCF.

B . D en sity of states

## 1. Perturbative calculation

N ow we go into details of the calculation of the DOS (25). ${ }^{-1}$ ) The perturbative calculation for nonzero $m$ odes is considered using the expansion of the $Q-m$ atrix in $P$ as Eq. (28, $\mathbf{B}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). The P $m$ atrix is param etrized for the chiral unitary class as

$$
\mathrm{P}=\begin{align*}
& 0 \mathrm{t}  \tag{50}\\
& \mathrm{t} 0
\end{align*} ; \quad \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{a} \text { ib } \text {; }
$$

where $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ are real variables, and , G rassm ann ones. $T$ he $m$ easure of this param etrization is norm alized to unity. W e de ne the average

$$
\begin{align*}
& h \quad i \neq Q\left(\quad F_{1}^{(0)} e_{i}^{(0)}\right. \\
& F_{1}^{(0)}=\frac{D}{V}_{x}^{\operatorname{str}(r P)^{2} \frac{i}{V}_{x}^{Z} \operatorname{str}^{2} ;} . \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{1}{ }^{(0)}$ is the second order part of $F_{1}$. Perform ing expansions in $P$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{)i} \quad & \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} 1} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~V}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{strkP}^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~V}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{strkP}^{4}+\quad ; \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

and using the contraction rules derived in A ppendix AIA $_{2}$


A sweem phasized in the previous subsection, this perturbative calculation of the nonzero $m$ odes suggests that them ean levelspacing ${ }^{\sim}$ is renorm alized as Eq. (37ㄱㄱㄱ. T he exact de nition of $\sim$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sim}=\frac{1}{Z}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{D} \frac{1}{4 V}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{strk}{ }_{z} \widetilde{Q}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{F}_{1}[\widetilde{Q}]}: \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus e ect of the self-interacting di usion bubble is renorm alized to the $m$ ean level spacing. It corresponds to im posing the constraint ho $i_{F_{1}}=z$. In Sec'IIIC' we give a detailed analysis using the K M m ethod.

## 2. E rgodic lim it

At the ergodic lim it $g!1$, spatial dependence of the $Q$ m atrix is neglected and the D O S is reduced to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}(z)=\frac{1}{4}^{Z} D Q \operatorname{strk} \quad z Q \exp \quad \frac{i z}{2} \operatorname{str} \quad z Q: \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Follow ing R ef. [2]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{T}{ }_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~T} ; \quad \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{UT}_{0} \mathrm{U} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{T}_{0}=\quad \begin{array}{cc}
\cos \hat{2} \\
i \sin \hat{2} & i \sin \hat{\overline{2}} \\
\cos \frac{\hat{2}}{2}
\end{array} ; \hat{} \quad=\quad \begin{array}{lc}
\mathrm{F} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{B}}
\end{array} \text {; } \\
& U=\begin{array}{l}
u 0 \\
0 \mathrm{u}
\end{array} \quad ; \quad u=\exp \quad 0 \quad \text {; } \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F$ and 0 B 1 . Them easure is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
D Q= & d_{B} d_{F} d d \frac{1}{2} \\
& \frac{\cosh _{B} \cos { }_{F} \quad 1 \quad i \sinh _{B} \sin { }_{F}}{\left(\cosh \cos _{F}\right)^{2}}: \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

W enote that the com pact (noncom pact) variable $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{E}}$ ( $\mathrm{B}_{\text {) }}$ is used for the ferm ion-ferm ion (boson-boson) block [6]1. Substituting this param etrization into Eq. ( $5 \bar{L}_{1}^{1}$ ) and integrating the $G$ rassm ann variables, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{1}(\mathrm{z})=1+\mathrm{Im}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{dt}_{0}^{Z_{1}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Z}_{0} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{F}}-1 \\
& \left(\underset{Z}{\mathcal{Z}_{1}} \cos _{\mathrm{F}} \quad 1\right) \mathrm{e}^{\text {it }^{+}}\left(\cosh \text { b } \cos _{\mathrm{F}}\right) \\
& =1 \quad \overline{2} \quad \mathrm{dt} \mathrm{~J}_{0}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \quad \mathrm{J}_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \text { : } \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere we introduced the auxiliary variable $t^{+}=t+i 0$ and assum ed $z>0$ ( $>0$ ). For the Bessel function, we used integral representations

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{Re}^{2 \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{Z}}}{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iz}+} \cosh _{\mathrm{B}} ; \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the noncom pact variable and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{Z}_{0}^{Z} d_{F} e^{i z \cos F} ; \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the com pact variable. $J_{1}$ is given by $J_{1}(z)=\breve{f}_{6}^{0}(z)$. Integrating the variable $t$, we obtain Eq.(3Z).
$T$ he asym ptotic form at $z \quad 1$ is given by Eq. (444'). $T$ his cannot be obtained by standard perturbation theory which gives only nonoscillating term s , the rst and
third term s in Eq. $\left(4{ }^{-} \underline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. The oscillating second term can be obtained by taking into account tw o saddle points for integrals of $\mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{F}$ in Eq. $\left(5 \overline{7}_{1}\right)$. In addition to the \standard saddle point" ( в ; ғ) = (0;0) we have another \supersym $m$ etry-breaking saddle point" ( $0 ;$ ). W e note that the point $(0 ; 0)$ corresponds to $Q=z$ and $(0 ;)$ to $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{k} \mathrm{z}$. This is precisely the idea of the calculation in Ref. $\left.{ }^{1} 1\right]$. Taking into account uctuations around these points, we can obtain the desired result.

In fact this idea is used to nd the correct asym ptotics of the B essel function. The noncom pact representation ( 5 O ) is used for $B$ and has the saddle point $B=0$. The com pact representation ( 5 gin) for $F$ has the saddle points ${ }_{F}=0$; . Expanding around these saddle points respectively, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{0}(z) & \frac{r}{z} 1 \frac{1}{8 z}+\quad \cos z \\
& +1+\frac{1}{8 z}+\quad \sin z: \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

It is interesting to note that the expansion around a single saddle point is required for the noncom pact representation ( $50^{\prime}$ ') and two points for the com pact representation ( 5 point ${ }_{B}=0$ splits into the two points ${ }_{F}=0$; . It can be shown by considering the deform ation of the integral contour used in Ref.[2]_걱. We nd

$$
\begin{aligned}
ـ^{2 i^{Z} 1} d e^{i z^{+} \cosh }= & \frac{2}{Z}_{0}^{Z} d e^{i z \cos } \\
& \underbrace{2 i^{Z} 1}_{0} d e^{z \sinh }:
\end{aligned}
$$

This representation is known as the H ankel function $\mathrm{H}_{0}=\mathrm{J}_{0}+\mathrm{i} \mathrm{N}_{0}$. Taking the realpart, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{0}(z)=\frac{1}{Z}_{0}^{Z} d e^{i z \cos }+\frac{1}{Z}_{0}^{Z} d e^{i z \cos }: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression is reduced to Eq. $(5)$ by changing the variable ! in the second term. T hus the point 0 in the second term is changed to. N ote that the realpart of the integral is taken in the noncom pact representation ( $5 \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), which gives the second term.
This $m$ ethod, taking into account a set of nontrivial saddle points, is the $m$ ain idea of the nonperturbative calculation. It produces the exact result for the unitary class and the asym ptotic ones for the orthogonaland sym plectic classes $\left[\frac{19}{1}\right]$. It has been used even for the replica [26] and $K$ eldysh [27] models. For chiral sym $m$ etric system $s$ at the ergodic lim it, a sim ilar technique has been
 follow ing, we exam ine how the e ect ofnonzero $m$ odes is inconporated into the asym ptotic form .

## 3. Integration of the zero $m$ ode

W e write the Q m atrix as Eq. (3) $\overline{1}_{1}$ ) and use the param etrizations $\left(28_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(50_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ for $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\left(55^{-1}\right)$ for $T$. It is slightly m odi ed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(x)=U T_{0} U \mathbb{Q}(x) U T_{0} U \quad!\quad U T_{0} \widetilde{Q}(x) T_{0} U: \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a result, $F_{1}$ becom es independent of the $G$ rassm ann variables of the zero m ode. The preexponential term in Eq.(25) is w ritten explicitly using the G rassm ann vari-
ables as

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { strk } \quad \mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x}) \quad! & \operatorname{strk} & { }_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~T}_{0} \mathscr{Q}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{T}_{0} \\
& +2 & \operatorname{str}{ }_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~T}_{0} \Upsilon(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{T}_{0}: \tag{64}
\end{array}
$$

W e neglected contributions that vanish after integrations over and. The rst term does not include those variables and we can put $T_{0}=1$ for the integrations. $T$ he second term is also easily integrated out and we thus have h ( ) i = h ( ) $\dot{1}+\mathrm{h}$ ( ) $\mathrm{i}_{2}$ where
h ( ) it gives the perturbative result ( $3 \overline{2} \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) w ithout the zero $m$ ode contribution and is equal to the inverse of the renorm alized $m$ ean level spacing $1=\sim$. $h() i_{2}$ includes the ergodic result and is nonperturbative.

W e note that the Jacobian J_ $\mathbb{Q}]$ contribution exists in the present param etrization (311). It depends on the nonzero m odes only and can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J[\widetilde{Q}]=\exp \frac{1}{4 V}^{Z}(\operatorname{str} P \quad x)^{2}+O\left(P^{4}\right): \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contribution changes the renorm alized $m$ ean level spacing slightly and the scaled DOS $1(z)$ is not changed in our approxim ation. For this reason, we neglect this contribution in the present section. It is treated in Sec' 'בַ̄', when we discuss the DOS renorm alization.

Let us tum to the calculation of $h(){ }_{i}$. The kinetic term in $F_{1}$ does not include the zero $m$ ode and is expanded in powers of $P$. The second term in $F_{1}$ (and the preexponential term) is expanded as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} \text { str }{ }_{z} \mathrm{~T}_{0} \widetilde{\mathscr{} \mathrm{~T}_{0}} \\
& =\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Z}}\left(\cosh \mathrm{~B} \quad \cos _{\mathrm{F}}\right) \\
& +\quad \operatorname{str} k_{F} P^{2} \cos { }_{F}+\operatorname{str} k_{B} P^{2} \cosh { }_{B} \\
& \text { Z } \\
& \operatorname{str} k_{F} x P^{3} \quad \sin F \quad \text { istr } k_{B} \quad x P^{3} \sinh B \\
& +\quad \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ here $k_{F ; B}=(1 \quad k)=2$. In the follow ing calculation we neglect odd term $s$ in the $P \mathrm{~m}$ atrix. Their contributions give $1=g^{3}$-corrections at $m$ ost. A nother reason to neglect them is that they involve a factor $\sin ^{\wedge}$ which goes to zero
at the saddle points $F=0$; and $B=0 . U \operatorname{sing}$ this approxim ation, we nd the sim pli ed expression

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{F ; B}[\widetilde{Q}]=Z_{Z}^{\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~V}}} \operatorname{strk}_{\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{z}[\widetilde{\mathrm{Q}} \quad \mathrm{z}] ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{k \text { in }}=\frac{D}{4 \mathrm{~V}} \operatorname{str}\left(\mathrm{C} \widetilde{)^{2}}\right. \text {; } \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{z}==, \mathrm{B}=\cosh \mathrm{B}$, and $\mathrm{F}=\operatorname{Cos} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}$. $A_{F ; B}[\widetilde{Q}]$ include even powers in $P$. Introducing the auxiliary variable $t$, we obtain
$N$ ow the problem is how integrations of the variables B; F are perform ed. They can be done by noting that the variable $t$ in the exponential is shifted to $t^{+}+z A_{B}$ or $t \quad z A_{F}$ com pared $w$ ith the ergodic lim it. For the ferm ion part $F$, there is no convergence problem and the B essel (67function is derived. It is also the case for the boson part $B$ since the convergence problem does not arise for the part including $G$ rassm ann variables and the other parts are real. The only di erence is that we cannot take the real part for the expression after integration of $B$ since the argum ent $t+z A_{B}$ includes $G$ rassm ann variables. $W$ e
get the H ankel function $\mathrm{H}_{\underline{\Omega}}=\mathrm{J}_{0}+\mathrm{iN} 0$ instead of the B essel function $J_{0}$ [see Eq. (61,)]. H ow ever, the im aginary part in 0 does not contribute to the nal result since the functional $A_{B}$ is reduced to a real function in the end. $T$ his is valid in our approxim ation keeping contributions up to second order in 1=g. T hus we neglect the im aginary part and obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h ( ) i } \quad \frac{2_{D}}{2_{D}} \operatorname{e} \frac{d}{d z}_{z}^{Z_{1}} \operatorname{dt}(t \quad z) \\
& J_{0}\left(t+z A_{B}\right) J_{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
t & \left.z A_{F}\right) \\
E
\end{array}\right. \\
& J_{1}\left(t+z A_{B}\right) J_{1}\left(t \quad z A_{F}\right)_{k \text { in }}: \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

The ergodic lim it $g=1$ can be found easily by putting
 obtained by neglecting contributions including sin $F$ or $\sinh \quad$. This approxim ation is valid up to second order in $1=g$. It still rem ains to carry out integrations over the nonzero $m$ odes. In the follow ing we consider tw o lim iting cases.

## 4. KM's dom ain (z g)

The case z g can be considered using $K M$ 'sm ethod
 ourm ethod, the B esselfunctions in E q. (70) are expanded in powers of $z A_{F ; B} \quad O(z=g)$ to $n d$

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(1) \frac{1}{2} \quad-\operatorname{Re} 1+\frac{1}{2} h A_{B} \quad A_{F} i_{k i n} \frac{d}{d z} z \\
&+\frac{1}{8} \quad\left(A_{B} \quad A_{F}\right)^{2} \quad \text { kin } \frac{d}{d z} z^{2} \frac{d}{d z} \quad{ }_{1}^{(0)}(z) \quad 1: \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Com bining w ith the perturbative contribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
h() i \frac{1}{i} R e 1+\frac{1}{2} h A_{B} \quad A_{F} i_{k i n}+ \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

we nd

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h} \text { ( )i } \quad & \quad \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re~} 1+\frac{1}{2} h A_{B} \quad A_{F} i_{k \text { in }} \frac{d}{d z} z} \\
& +\frac{1}{8} \quad\left(A_{B} \quad A_{F}\right)^{2} \quad \text { in } \frac{d}{d z} z^{2} \frac{d}{d z} \quad{ }_{1}^{(0)}(z):(73)
\end{aligned}
$$

Up to here the DOS is scaled in term $s$ of the bare $m$ ean level spacing . $W$ e introduce the renorm alized $m$ ean level spacing as $1=^{\sim}=h() i_{1}$. De ning the energy variable as $z=\quad=^{\sim}$, we use the transform ation form ula for a function $f(z)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(z)=1+\frac{\sim}{\sim} 1 z \frac{d}{d z}+\quad f^{\#}(z) \\
& 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} h A_{B} \quad A_{F} i_{k i n} z \frac{d}{d z}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$f(z):(74)$

It is applied to Eq. (T-3') to nd

$$
\begin{align*}
1(z)= & h(=\tilde{z}=) i \\
& \operatorname{Re} 1+\frac{1}{8} \operatorname{hh}\left(A_{B} \quad A_{F}\right)^{2} i i_{k \text { in }} \frac{d}{d z} z^{2} \frac{d}{d z} \quad 1_{(0)}^{(0)} ; \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{hh}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}= & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)^{2} & \mathrm{kin} \quad \mathrm{hA}_{B} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{F} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k} \text { in }}^{2} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}}{\mathrm{~g}^{2}}:
\end{array} \quad\right. \text { (76) }
\end{align*}
$$

This is obtained by expanding $Q$ in powers of $P$ and using the contraction ( A_ tum sum $m$ ation and is given by Eq. (391). Thus w e obtain


$$
\text { 5. A A's dom ain }(1 \quad z)
$$

In the lim it $1 \quad z$, the asym ptotic form of the B essel function ( 6 ( $\mathbf{O}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is used to write

$$
\begin{align*}
& h(1) i_{i} \quad \frac{1}{R e} \frac{d}{d z}_{z}^{Z_{1}} d t \quad \frac{t \quad z^{D}}{4 t^{3}} e^{i z\left(A_{B}+A_{F}\right)}{ }_{k \text { in }}^{E} \\
& \left.\frac{t \quad z^{D}}{t} e^{2 \text { it+iz }\left(A_{B}\right.} A_{F}\right)^{E}{ }_{k \text { in }} \\
& \underline{1}^{1} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{8 z^{2}} \mathrm{D}(z ; 1 ; 1) \quad \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{z}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{iz}} \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{z} ; 1 ; 1) ; \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(Z_{B} ;_{F}\right)=F_{k i n}+\frac{i z_{F}^{2}}{2 V} \operatorname{strk}_{F} \quad z(\widetilde{Q} \quad z) \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{iz} \mathrm{~B}}{2 \mathrm{~V}} \operatorname{strk}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{z}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Q}} \quad \mathrm{z}): \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

$F(z ; 1 ; 1)=F_{1}$ does not break supersymm etry, which $m$ eans it does not include the supem atrix $k=$ diag $(1 ; 1)$. As a result we obtain $D(z ; 1 ; 1)=1$. On the other hand, $F(z ; 1 ; 1)$ breaks supersym $m$ etry and the function $D(z ; 1 ; 1)$ is not norm alized to unity. It is calculated as $D(z ; 1 ; 1) \quad D(z)$, where the spectral determ inant $D(z)$ is given by Eq. (424) . W e used the approxim ation ofkeeping second order in $P$ for $F(z ; 1 ; 1)$. W e refer to A ppendix'A. for details (see also the follow ing paragraph).

Eq. (TT1) is rew ritten in tem s of the energy variable scaled by the renorm alized $m$ ean levelspacing $z=\quad=^{\sim}$. W e use the form ula (7-1 ) and the di erence betw een and ${ }^{\sim}$ is expressed by the di usion propagator ( $q$; ). It represents the self-interacting di usion bubble and should be canceled out. A ctually we have contributions
from the function $D(z ; 1 ; 1)$ by keeping higher-order term $s$ in $P$. We nd

Z

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { D ( } \mathbf{z} ; 1 ; 1 \text { ) } \\
& \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{DQe}^{\mathrm{F}^{(2)}(\mathrm{z} ; 1 ; 1)} 1 \\
= & \mathrm{F}^{(4)}(\mathrm{z} ; 1 \\
= & \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{z}) 1+\underset{\mathrm{izR} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{q} \in 0}}{(\mathrm{X} ;)^{2} ;}
\end{aligned} \\
& q \notin 0 \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F^{(n)}$ denotes $n$th order part in the expansion. T he second term cancels $w$ ith a contribution com ing from the transform ation (7́) . Noting $D(z ; g)=D(z ; q)$, where $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{E} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ and $\mathrm{g}=\mathrm{E} \mathrm{c}^{=}{ }^{\sim}$, we nally obtain the result Eq.(41-1) for $1 \quad z$.

> C. C om parison w ith the K M 's m ethod

The obtained result ( 3 (3) for the K M 's dom ain di ers slightly from Eq. (21) in Ref.[14] by the presence of mo$m$ entum integration of the propagator $q \notin 0(q ; 0)$. As we can understand from Eq. $\left(3 \bar{T}_{-}\right)$, the di erence com es from the introduction of the renom alized $m$ ean level
 is expressed as a self-interacting di usion diagram s. [it can pe understood by noting the coordinate representation $\left.q^{(q)}=(x ; x)\right]$ and is renorm alized to the $m$ ean level spacing. In order to $m$ ake this di erence clear, we repeat the calculation using the $\mathrm{K} M$ 'sm ethod considered in Ref. [14]. In this $m$ ethod, the nonzero $m$ odes are integrated out while keeping the zero $m$ ode variables. It allow s us to obtain the renorm alized e ective zero-m ode action and is useful to understand how we can introduce the renorm alized $m$ ean level spacing.

W e start from the functional for the DOS w th the source term

$$
F=\frac{D}{4 V}^{Z} \operatorname{str}[r Q(x)]^{2}+\frac{i}{2 V}^{Z} \operatorname{strQ}(x)_{z}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{i J}{2 V}^{Z} \operatorname{strkQ}(x)_{z}: \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $Q \mathrm{~m}$ atrix param etrization $\left(\overline{3} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ is substituted and the nonzero $m$ odes $Q$ are expanded in $P$ as Eq.(28). In our approxim ation keeping second order in $1=g$, the expansion is perform ed up to fourth order in $P$. The functionalF consists of four parts:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{0}+\mathrm{F}^{N}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{I}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{J}}: \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F_{0}$ is the zero mode part $\left.F_{0}=F Q=T{ }_{z} T\right], F^{\sim}$ the nonzero mode part $\left.F^{N}=F \mathbb{Q}\right], F_{I}$ the $m$ ixing part, and $F_{J}$ the source term. They are expanded in $P$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}^{\sim} & =\mathrm{F}^{\sim}(2) \\
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{I}} & =\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(2)}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(3)}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(4)}+ \\
\mathrm{F}_{J} & =\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{J}}^{(0)}+\mathrm{F}_{J}^{(2)}+\mathrm{F}_{J}^{(3)}+\mathrm{F}_{J}^{(4)}+; \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F^{(n)}$ denotes the nth order part in $P$.
$T$ he e ective functional is obtained by integrating the nonzero modes. We de ne $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{e}}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
e^{F_{\text {eff }}} & =\mathrm{D} \underset{\mathrm{D}}{ } \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{F}} \\
& =e^{\mathrm{F}_{0}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{F}^{(4)}+\quad \mathrm{IFF}_{J}}{ }^{\mathrm{F}} ; \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

where the average is perform ed w ith respect to $\mathrm{F}^{r}\left({ }^{(2)}\right.$. W e use the contraction rules derived in A ppendix ${ }_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{1}$.' U p to second order in cum ulant expansion,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{0}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{J}}^{(0)}+\mathrm{hF}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(4)} \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{hF}_{\mathrm{J}}^{(4)} \mathrm{i} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{hhF}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(2) 2} \mathrm{ii} \quad \mathrm{hhF}_{\mathrm{I}}^{(2)} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{J}}^{(2)} \mathrm{ii}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m$ om entum sum $m$ ations potentially involve divergence, this expansion is som ew hat cum bersom e. This can be clearly seen by considering the KM dom ain $z \quad g$. Then the energy in the propagator is neglected in our approxim ation ( $q ;$ ) ( $q ; 0$ ) and the e ective func-
tional can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad & \frac{i}{2} 1+\frac{a_{d}^{(1) 2}}{8 g^{2}} \operatorname{str} Q_{z}+\frac{22}{8{ }^{2}} \frac{a_{d}}{4 g^{2}}(\operatorname{str} Q \quad z)^{2} \\
& +\frac{i J}{2} 1+\frac{a_{d}^{(1) 2}}{8 g^{2}} \operatorname{strk}{ }_{z Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{2}{4^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~J}}{4 \mathrm{~g}^{2}} \text { stre }{ }_{\mathrm{z}} \operatorname{strk}{ }_{\mathrm{z}} Q ; \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{d}$ is given by Eq. $\left(3{\underset{1}{9}}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{d}^{(1)}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n_{i} 0 ; n^{2} \notin 0} \frac{1}{n^{2}}: \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his sum $m$ ation is divergent at d 2 and we need som e regularization. Fortunately, and as it should be, the quantity $a_{d}^{(1)}$ can be renom alized to the $m$ ean levelspacing by de ning the renorm alized spacing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sim}=\frac{1}{\sim} 1+\frac{a_{d}^{(1) 2}}{8 g^{2}}+O\left(1=g^{3}\right): \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is nothing but the expression $\left(3 \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ at the KM dom ain. $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{d}}^{(1)}$ corrections com e from the average hQ (x)i. On the other hand the second and fourth term in Eq. (85) come from the contraction hhe ( $x$ ) Q ( y ) ii and cannot be renom alized to . They give the corrections obtained in Eq. (3d).

Thus the KM's method makes the problem of the renorm alization transparent. The idea of integrating out fast variables $m$ atches the philosophy of the renorm alization. N evertheless, we did not use this $m$ ethod for the reason that it is not convenient for calculations in the A A dom ain $z$ 1. Integrations of zero-m ode variables naturally bring contributions from nontrivial saddle points, which is an im portant idea for nonperturbative calculations.

## D. T wo-level correlation function

N ow we tum to the calculation of the TLCF (2б). $Q$ is an $8 \quad 8$ supem atrix and the explicit param etrization is di erent from the previous case.

For the standard perturbative calculation, we use the expansion Eq.(28). The explicit param etrization of the

P m atrix is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& P=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & t \\
t 0
\end{array} ; \quad t=\begin{array}{cc}
t_{1} & t_{12} \\
t_{21} & t_{2}
\end{array} ; \\
& t_{1}=\begin{array}{rr}
a_{1} & { }^{1} \\
1 & i b_{1}
\end{array} ; \quad t_{2}=\begin{array}{rr}
a_{2} & { }^{2} \\
2 & i_{2}
\end{array} ; \\
& t_{12}=\quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{i} \\
\text { id }
\end{array} \quad ; \quad t_{21}=\begin{array}{c}
c \\
i
\end{array} \quad \text { id }: \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

$a_{1 ; 2}, b_{1 ; 2}$ are real variables, $c, d$ com plex variables, and the greek sym bols denote $G$ rassm ann variables. A $s$ the explicit param etrization im plies, $t_{1 ; 2}$ represent the \chiral" part and $t_{12 ; 21}$ the \unitary" part. Starting from the expression ( $\mathbf{2}_{2} \overline{7}_{1}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& W(1 ; 2)=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{DQe} \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{F}_{2}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)+} \\
& 1 \quad \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~V}}{ }_{\mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{X}}}^{\mathrm{Strk}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathrm{x})+ \\
& 1 \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~V}}{ }_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \text { strk }{ }_{2} \mathrm{P}^{2}(\mathrm{y})+ \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2} ; \mathrm{z}_{2}\right)$ given by Eq. $(\overline{\mathrm{A}} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{1})$ is second order in $P$ and is the base of the perturbative expansion. The contraction rule given by Eq. (AA $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{I}^{-1}$ ) is used to evaluate the above expression. T he leading order contribution to the connected part com es from the contraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { strk }{ }_{1} P^{2}(x) \operatorname{strk}{ }_{2} P^{2}(y)=4^{2}(x \quad y ;(1+2)=2): \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain the result $(\overline{3} \overline{6})$ which is valid at $g 1$ and $z_{1 ; 2}=1 ; 2=1$.

The ergodic lim it $g$ ! 1 was considered in Ref. $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{3}_{1}^{1}$. $T$ he $Q \mathrm{~m}$ atrix is param etrized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{z} T ; \quad \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ch}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{u}}: \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ch}}$ is the chiralpart
and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{u}}$ the unitary part

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{u}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(0)} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{u}}
$$

, , , and are G rassm ann variables. The integration ranges of the real variables , , and ' are chosen properly according to the com pact or noncom pact param etrization [231]. Them easure is given by

The nonperturbative calculation using the param etrization (3I) can be done in the sam ew ay as that of the D OS. $F$ irst we integrate the zerom ode variables. The details are presented in A ppendix ${ }^{B}$ ', and we nd for $W$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{F ; B}=A_{F ; B 1} \quad A_{F ; B 2}: \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e neglected odd term $s$ in $P$ as before. $W_{1}$ inchides the perturbative contributions (3G) and $W_{2}$ includes the ergodic result (3-3).

In the KM dom ain $\mathrm{z}_{1 ; 2} \quad \mathrm{~g}$, the expansion in zA can be used. The integrations of the nonzero $m$ odes are calculated up to second order in $1=g$. Introducing the renorm alized m ean level spacing we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { where } S_{1}=\cosh \quad \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}=\cos \mathrm{F} \text {, and } \\
& A_{B 1 ; 2}=\frac{1}{2 V}_{Z}^{Z} \operatorname{strk}_{B} 1 ; 2 \quad z\left(\widetilde{V^{2}}\right) ; \\
& \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{F} 1 ; 2}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~V}} \operatorname{strk}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad 1 ; 2 \quad \mathrm{z}(\widetilde{\mathrm{Q}} \quad \mathrm{z}) \text {; } \\
& C_{1 ; 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[S_{1} A_{B}+S_{2} A_{F} \quad\left(A_{B}+A_{F}\right)\right] ; \\
& D_{1 ; 2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[s_{1} A_{B}+s_{2} A_{F} \quad\left(A_{B}+A_{F}\right)\right] ; \\
& A_{F ; B}=A_{F ; B 1}+A_{F ; B 2} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{W}=\mathrm{W}_{1}+\mathrm{W}_{2} ; \\
& \left.\mathrm{W}_{1} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad 1+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{B} 1} & \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{F} 1}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathrm{Z}_{1}(\mathrm{~A}}{ }_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{+1}\right) \\
& +\frac{-}{2}{\frac{@}{@ z_{1}}}_{z_{1}}^{z_{1}} d t_{1}\left(t_{1} \quad z_{1}\right)\left[J_{0}\left(t_{1}+z_{1} A_{B 1}\right) J_{0}\left(t_{1} \quad z_{1} A_{F 1}\right) \quad J_{1}\left(t_{1}+z_{1} A_{B 1}\right) J_{1}\left(t_{1} \quad z_{1} A_{F 1}\right)\right] \\
& 1+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{B 2} & \left.A_{F 2}\right)
\end{array} e^{i Z_{2}\left(A_{B 2}+A_{F 2}\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{ds}_{1} \mathrm{ds}_{2} \frac{4 \mathrm{~s}_{1} \mathrm{~s}_{2}}{\left(\mathrm{~s}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~s}_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{s}) \text {; } \\
& I(z ; s)=\frac{2}{4} \quad z_{1} z_{2}\left(s_{1} \quad s+C_{1}\right)\left(s_{1}+s_{2} \quad D_{1}\right) J_{0} \quad z_{1} s_{1}+z_{1} \frac{C_{1}}{2} D_{1} \quad J_{0} \quad z_{1} s_{2} \quad \underset{z}{ } \frac{C_{1}+D_{1}}{2} \\
& \text { (s } \left.\quad \mathrm{s}+\mathrm{C}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{s}_{1}+\mathrm{s}_{2} \quad \mathrm{D}_{2}\right) \mathrm{J}_{0} \quad \mathrm{z}_{2} \mathrm{~s}_{1}+\mathrm{z}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}}{2} \mathrm{~J}_{0} \quad \mathrm{z}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \quad \mathrm{z}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{C}_{2}+\mathrm{D}_{2}}{2} \quad \text { kin } ; \tag{95}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\frac{a_{d}}{8 g^{2}} z_{1}^{2} \frac{@^{2}}{@ z_{1}}+2 z_{1} z_{2} \frac{@}{@ z_{1}} \frac{@}{@ z_{2}}+z_{2}^{2} \frac{@^{2}}{\varrho z_{2}} \quad{ }_{1}^{(0)}\left(z_{1}\right)_{1}^{(0)}\left(z_{2}\right) \quad K^{2}\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right) ; \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{z}_{1 ; 2}=1 ;{ }^{\sim}$, and $\sim$ is given by Eq.(87) ${ }^{-1}$. Subtracting the disconnected part, we derive Eq. ( $40_{1}^{\top}$ ).

The AA's dom ain $1 \quad z_{1 ; 2}, 1 \quad g$ is considered using the asym ptotic form of the Bessel function ( 60 I'). T he details are presented in A ppendix B1. From $\mathrm{W}_{1}$ we obtain the rst part

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hh (1) (2) ii } i_{1} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} R e_{q^{2} \notin 0}^{X} \quad \stackrel{2}{+}+2 \\
& +\frac{\sin 2 z_{1}}{2 z_{1}} D_{1} \operatorname{Im} \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{q}^{2} \neq 0}^{\mathrm{X}}(++\quad) \\
& +\frac{\sin 2 z_{2}}{2 z_{2}} D_{2} \operatorname{Im}{ }_{q^{2} \in 0}^{X}(+\quad) \\
& +\frac{\cos 2\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)}{8 z_{1} z_{2}} D_{1} D_{2}\left(D_{+}^{2} D^{2} \quad 1\right) \\
& +\frac{\cos 2\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)}{8 z_{1} z_{2}} D_{1} D_{2}\left(D^{2} D_{+}{ }^{2} \quad 1\right) ; \tag{98}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{D}_{1 ; 2}=\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1 ; 2}\right), \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}\left(\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)=2\right)$, and $=$
( $q$; ( $1 \quad 2$ ) 2 ). The rst term represents the purely perturbative contribution. The second connected part $\mathrm{W}_{2}$ is calculated in the sam e way. W e obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{hh}\left({ }_{1}\right)(2) i_{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\left.1 e^{2 i\left(z_{1}\right.} z_{2}\right) D_{1} D_{2} D^{2} D_{+}{ }^{2}}{2\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{1+e^{2 i\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)} D_{1} D_{2} D_{+}^{2} D^{2}}{2\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{i e^{2 i z_{1}} D_{1} \frac{e^{i z_{2}} D_{2}}{z_{1}^{2}} z_{2}^{2}}{}= \tag{99}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he derived expressions are w ritten in term sof the unrenorm alized quantity and wem ust carry out rescaling in term s of ~. A dditional contributions com ing from the rescaling should cancel out w ith tem s we did not show explicitly here. This situation is the same as the DOS case and we nally arrive at Eq. ( 4 3 $\overline{3})$.
IV. THEDOUBLE-TRACE TERM AND THE DOSRENORMALIZATION

In the previous section, we neglected the second term in Eqs. (1-1) and (1-1). This double-trace term includes nonzero m odes only and changes the perturbative result. It appears only in system $s w$ ith chiral sym m etry and we therefore concentrate on the DOS.

At second order in P, we have instead of Eq. (51 그́)

$$
\mathrm{F}_{1}^{(0)}=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{~V}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{str}(r \mathrm{P})^{2}+{\frac{\mathrm{D}_{1}}{4 \mathrm{~V}}}^{\mathrm{Z}}(\operatorname{strr} \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{x})^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i}{V}^{Z} \operatorname{str}^{2}: \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

The presence of the second term $m$ odi es the contraction rule as Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \overline{-} \overline{-})$. In this case perturbation theory is form ulated by expansions in , Eq. ( $\overline{2} 9 \mathbf{9} 1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(q ;)={\frac{D_{1} q^{2}}{2}(q ;): ~}_{2} \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding expansion param eters are $1=g / 1=D$ and $g_{1}=g^{2} / D_{1}=D^{2}$.

T he perturbative expansion gives the D O S

$$
\begin{align*}
& h() i=\frac{1}{-} \operatorname{Re} 1+^{X} \quad 2(q ;)+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{q}^{X} \quad(q ;)^{2} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \quad{ }_{2}(q ;)^{2}+\quad \text { : } \tag{102}
\end{align*}
$$

The new propagator 2 contributes to the DOS at oneloop order. The renorm alized $m$ ean level spacing is dened as the inverse ofE q. (1021) excluding zero-m ode contributions. A ctually this result $w$ as derived by $G$ ade using the renorm alization group $m$ ethod $\left[\bar{l}_{1}\right]$. In ourm odel (1]), follow ing the calculation in Ref. [G] ], we can obtain the sam e renorm alization group equations at one-loop order as

$$
\mathrm{b}=\frac{\mathrm{db}}{\mathrm{~d}}=\mathrm{b} ; \quad \mathrm{c}=\frac{\mathrm{dc}}{\mathrm{~d}}=\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{c}^{2} ; \quad=\frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\mathrm{c}} ;(103)
$$

where b $\quad 1=\mathrm{g}$ and $\mathrm{c} \quad 1=9 . \mathrm{W}$ e used expansion, $=\mathrm{d} 2$, and is the renorm alization scale. b ; are the beta functions for $b$ and $c$. is the zeta function for the wavefiunction renom alization and corresponds to the result in Eq. (1021) . These equations in ply a divergence of the DOS and delocalization of eigenstates in two di$m$ ension. Thus the presence of the double-trace term changes the behavior of the D OS signi cantly. W e note that the renorm alization procedure produces the doubletrace term even if we start the analysis from a model $w$ ithout that term. The quantum e ect in two dim ension increases the coupling constant c.

W e consider the scaled DOS ${ }_{1}(z)$ to exam ine how the double-trace term contributes to the result. The perturbative result (102') is renorm alized to the $m$ ean level spacing. In a sim $\bar{i} \bar{l} \bar{r} w$ wy as the calculation in the previous section we nd in the KM dom ain $z \quad g$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1+\frac{a_{d}}{8 g^{2}}+\frac{a_{d}}{16} \quad \frac{g_{1}}{g^{2}}  \tag{z}\\
& 2 z \frac{d}{d z}+z^{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d z^{2}} \quad 1_{1}^{(0)}(z):
\end{align*}
$$

(104)

In the AA dom ain $1 \quad z$, the spectral determ inant is $m$ odi ed as Eq. (A-10'). Subtracting the renom alization e ect, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { D (z) } \\
& \operatorname{qu;q}^{Y} \frac{\left(D q^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(D q^{2}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}} \\
& 18 z^{2} \quad j_{2}(q ;)^{2} ;  \tag{105}\\
& \text { q } 0 ; q^{2} \neq 0
\end{align*}
$$

which is consistent w ith Eq. (104').
Finally we mention the Jacobian contribution in Eq. ( 6 (6) ). It includes a term second order in $P$ and changes the contraction rules. Since this term is sim ilar to the last term in Eq.(100) it can be easily inconporated into the contraction rules by the replacem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(q ;)!\quad 2(q ;) \quad{ }^{2}(q ;): \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus this Jacobian contribution is alw ays subleading com pared to the propagator $2 . W$ e also note that this contributes only to ${ }^{\sim}$ and not to the scaled DOS ${ }_{1}(z)$ in our approxim ation.

## V. D ISCUSSIONSAND CONCLUSION S

W e have studied disordered system swith chiral unitary sym $m$ etry. $U$ sing a chiral sym $m$ etric random $m$ atrix
 W e dem onstrated that they are equivalent to related chiralsym $m$ etric $m$ odels. U sing the $m$ odels, we calculated the levelcorrelation functions. W e exploited the nonperturbative $m$ ethods developed by $K$ ravtsov and $M$ irlin, and A ndreev and A ltshuler for the traditional classes.
$T$ he equivalence of $m$ odels shows the universality of disordered system s . O ur derived models are applicable to m odels treated in Refs . $[1]^{-1}, 1$ term was not derived in Ref.[20]. This is because the $m$ assive $m$ ode integration $w$ as not considered carefiully.

For the calculation of the DOS and TLCF, we stressed the need for the renorm alization of the $m$ ean level spacing. This renorm alization is absent in traditionalnonchiral system s. A fter separating the renorm alization e ect, we found the results $\left(\overline{3} \overline{3} \bar{B}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(4 \overline{O_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$ in the KM dom ain, and (4 $4 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and ( $4 \overline{4} \overline{3}$ ) in the AA dom ain. It is interesting to note that the results in the AA dom ain are expressed using the spectraldeterm inant. It contributes to oscillating term s only, in a sim ilar way as for the traditional classes. Thus we conclude that the singularity of the form factor at the $H$ eisenberg tim e is w ashed out due to nite-g e ects $\overline{\underline{9}, 1} \overline{1}]$.

Our formulation of the perturbative and nonperturbative calculations can be useful not only for the level correlation functions but also for the conductance and other quantities. In the present work we concentrated on the level correlation functions. In Ref. $\overline{12} \bar{\prime}]$, the sam e quantities were calculated perturbatively. The di erent result obtained there is due to another param etrization
of the $Q \mathrm{~m}$ atrix. Additional contributions com ing from the integration $m$ easure would give the correct result. In Ref. [1] the $m$ odel derived in Ref.[2d]. The result $w$ as scaled in term $s$ of the bare $m$ ean level spacing, and the renor$m$ alized $m$ ean level spacing ~was not introduced. This leads us to a di erent conclusion on levelstatistics as we $m$ ention below .

Let us discuss the im portance of introducing the renor$m$ alized $m$ ean level spacing. There are num erous works on the behavior of the DOS at the origin $=0$. Them ain question is whether the DOS diverges or not, and analytically it has been considered using perturbation theory at weak disorder $g$ 1. O $n$ the other hand, chiral RM T, which corresponds to the model at $g=1$, predicts the vanishing of the DOS at the origin $z=0$. This is not a contradiction and indicates the im portance of scaling. The $m$ acroscopic behavior is determ ined by the nonzero modes and a divergence of $1=\sim$ was reported in Ref. $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{7}_{1}^{\prime} 1$. The zero $m$ ode has nothing to do $w$ ith this behavior and determ ines the universal behavior at the $m$ icroscopic scale. It can be seen by scaling the energy variable in term $s$ of the $m$ ean level spacing.

G enerally speaking, the behavior at the $m$ acroscopic scale depends on the model. From a eld theoretical point of view, the divergence can be renom alized to the $m$ ean level spacing and a de nite conclusion as to whether it is a real divergence or not can be obtained by referring to other approaches such as num erical sim ulations. O ur result relies on perturbation theory and the divergence $m$ ay be cut o som ew here before the origin. This crossover to the universalm icroscopic dom ain is highly nonperturbative. Since a high resolution is required, it $m$ ay be hard to see such a crossovernum erically.

From the view point of level statistics, the DOS must be scaled (renorm alized) to unity at all energies to nd the universality. This unfolding procedure cannot be applied to the present chiral case because the D O S itself has the universal ne structure (oscillations due to level repulsion) at the origin. For this reason, we use , the (inverse) DOS at $z=1 \quad(=0)$, for scaling in the ergodic regim e. It is m odi ed by nite-g e ects and we use ~ to see the $m$ icroscopic dom ain closely.
$T$ hus using the renorm alized $m$ ean level spacing, we can separate problems at both scales. The e ects of nonzero m odes (nite-ge ect) cannot be scaled out com pletely in the $m$ icroscopic dom ain and deviations from the universal behavior are obtained as we have shown in the present work. Such an exam ple can be found in $R$ ef.[1].]. The generalized random $m$ atrix $m$ odelw as used there and it was found that the quantity $\sim$ is di erent from our result. H ow ever, after scaling in term $s$ of the nonuniversalquantity ${ }^{\sim}$, we can nd the com plete agree$m$ ent up to nite-g corrections. This dem onstration of \universaldeviation" justi es the introduction of ~.

The double trace term contribution is sm allat the classical level because the coupling constant is sm all compared $w$ ith that in the di usion propagator. H ow ever,
quantum e ects a ect this coupling and the contribution becom es im portant in som e cases. It signi cantly a ects the D O.S_renorm alization and a diverging D O S w as found in Ref.[1] . C onceming levelstatistics, this term modi es the spectral determ inant as E q. ( $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ ).

O ur calculation is only for the chiralunitary class. T he other chiralclasses, chiralorthogonaland sym plectic, can be calculated in the sam e w ay. T he problem is that the proper param etrization of the zero $m$ ode has not been found. H ow ever the $\mathrm{KM}^{\prime}$ 's dom ain can be considered w thout know ing the zero m ode param etrization as was done in $R$ ef. [14]. T he obtained result is valid only at rst order in $1=g$. R epeating the sam e calculation up to the next order and introducing the renorm alized m ean level spacing, we found the sam e form as Eq. (38-1). T he coe cient of the second term in Eq. (38) is changed but w ith the sam e sign for all the classes. T his result also holds for the T LC F (40). W e thus obtain the sam e conclusion as $K M$, nam ely, the weakening of level repulsion [ $T$ his can be seen, e.g., in Eq. (48) drew a di erent conclusion by looking at the rst order correction to the $m$ ean level spacing. It is renom alized to the $m$ ean level spacing and should be applied to the D O S behavior and not to level repulsion.

As an interesting application, we mention a related work in Ref.[2d. For traditional nonchiral system $s$, the authors in Ref. [13] pointed out that the AA's result is related to the C alogero-Sutherland model at nite tem perature. It is shown in $R$ ef.[3d] that this $m$ odel is equal to the generalized random $m$ atrix model proposed in $\mathrm{Ref}.\left[\mathrm{~B}_{-1}^{1}\right]$. In this problem the nonlinear model is mod $i$ ed due to power-law correlations of random $m$ atriges [1]ㄷ﹎ㄴ ${ }^{-1}$ and the di usion propagator and spectral determ inant are m odi ed. A s a result agreem ent $w$ ith the result in $R$ ef. [B]'] w as found and a con jecture to $m$ ore general cases wasm ade. W e expect this holds also for chiral system $s$ and the result is presented in $R$ ef. [16].

A nother future problem is the $w$ avefiunction statistics.
 the KM method. It will be interesting to see how this result is $m$ odi ed in the chiral sym $m$ etric case.

A cknow ledgm ents

The author is grateful to A M. Garc a-G arc a, S. Tida, and G. Schw iete for usefiul discussions and reading the $m$ anuscript. The nancial support by the SF B /T ransregio 12 is acknow ledged.

APPENDIX A: CONTRACTION RULES

$$
\text { 1. C alculation for } F_{1}
$$

C onsider the functional

$$
\mathrm{F}_{1}^{(2)}=\frac{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{Z}}}{\mathrm{~V}} \operatorname{str}(r \mathrm{P})^{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{iz}}{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \operatorname{str} k_{F} \mathrm{P}^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i z}{\mathrm{~V}}{ }^{\mathrm{B}} \quad \mathrm{Z} \quad \operatorname{strk}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{P}^{2} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{B}}=(1 \quad \mathrm{k})=2$ and $\mathrm{z}=\quad=$. The $\mathrm{P} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{a}-$ trix is a 44 superm atrix including nonzero $m$ odes and is given by Eq. (50) . Since this functional breaks superSym $m$ etry for $F \in B$, the function $D_{1}(Z ; B ; F)=$ $D \widetilde{\exp }\left(\mathrm{~F}_{1}^{(2)}\right)$ is not nom alized to unity. W e calculate this function and derive the contraction rules.

> U sing the explicit param etrization $\left[\overline{[5}_{1}^{1}\right)$, w e w rite $\mathrm{F}_{1}^{(2)}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{1}^{(2)}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{q} \in \mathrm{0}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{a} \mathrm{~b} \quad(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{G}^{1} \underset{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~B}} \underset{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{~B}} \underset{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}} \quad \text { (q) } \\
& \text { X } \\
& (q) G^{1}(q) \text {; } \\
& \text { qも } 0 \\
& G=\operatorname{diag}((q ; \quad+) ;(q ; \quad) ;(q ; \quad \text { F }) ;(q ; \quad \text { в })) ; \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $+=\left(B^{B}+{ }_{F}\right)=2$ and the di usion propagator is given by Eq. (2~) $\left.\mathbf{2 d}_{-1}\right)$ Then the functional integral is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& D_{1}\left(Z_{i} \boldsymbol{i}_{F}\right)=D(;) e^{F_{1}^{(2)}} \\
& =\sum_{q^{2} \neq 0} \frac{(q ; \quad \text { в })(q ; \quad \text { F })}{2(q ;+)}{ }^{1=2} \\
& \left.=\sum_{q 0 ; q^{2} \in 0} \frac{\left(D q^{2} i^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(D q^{2}\right)\left(D q^{2}\right.} i_{F}\right) \text {; } \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(;) \quad e^{F_{1}^{(2)}}=\frac{1}{2} D_{1}(z ; \text { в; } F) G: \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (q) and ( q) are not independent ofeach other, the square root appears in $D$. W e used the periodic boundary condition and $q_{i}=2 n_{i}=L, n_{i}$ is integer.

U sing the result w e obtain the contraction rules for the $m$ atrix $P$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.+\operatorname{strk}_{i} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{x}} \operatorname{strk}_{j} \mathrm{~B} \quad \mathrm{x} \quad \operatorname{str} k_{i} \mathrm{~A} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{y}} \operatorname{strk}_{j} \mathrm{~B} \quad \mathrm{y}\right) \text {; } \\
& h \operatorname{htrAP}(x) \operatorname{str} B P(y) i=\frac{1}{4}{ }_{i ; j=F ; B}^{X} \quad x \quad y ; \frac{i+\quad j}{2} \\
& \operatorname{str}\left(k_{i} A k_{j} B \quad k_{i} A \quad{ }_{z} k_{j} B \quad z_{z}+k_{i} A \quad{ }_{x} k_{j} B \quad x \quad k_{i} A \quad y \quad k_{j} B \quad y\right) ; \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are arbitrary superm atrices and $h \quad i=2$. E ect of the double-trace term


W e arem ainly interested in the case ( $\overline{\mathrm{B}} ; \mathrm{F}$ ) $=(1 ; 1)$, and $(1 ; 1)$. The rst case $(1 ; 1)$ corresponds to standard perturbation theory. T he free energy does not break supersym $m$ etry and we nd $D(z ; 1 ; 1)=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{hstrAP}(x) B P(y) i= & \frac{1}{4}(x \quad y ;) \\
& \left(\operatorname{str} A \operatorname{str} B \quad \operatorname{str} A{ }_{z} \operatorname{str} B \quad z\right. \\
& +\operatorname{strA} \times \operatorname{str} B x \\
& \left.\operatorname{strA}{ }_{y} \operatorname{str} B \quad y\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

hstrAP (x)strBP(y)i=$\frac{1}{4}(x \quad y ;)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{str}(\mathrm{AB} \\
+ & \left.A_{z}{ }_{z} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~B} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{y}}\right):(\mathrm{A} 6)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case $(1 ; 1)$, supersym $m$ etry is broken and this is used for calculations in the AA's dom ain $1 \quad z$. The function $D$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{1}(z ; 1 ; 1) & =\sum_{q 0 ; q^{2} \in 0} \frac{\left(D q^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(D q^{2}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{n 0 ; n^{2} \in 0} \frac{g^{2}\left(4^{2} n^{2}\right)^{2}}{g^{2}\left(4^{2} n^{2}\right)^{2}+z^{2}}: \text { (A 7) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G(\mathrm{q})=\operatorname{diag}\left(+i+; \mathrm{C} \begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{F} & ; \mathrm{C} & \text { в }
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{B} ;+=\overline{2} \frac{1}{\mathrm{Dq}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{B} ;+}} \text {; } \\
& C=\frac{1}{1+\frac{D_{1} q^{2}}{-}(F} \quad \text { B) }: \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

A s a result, D and contraction rules are modied in the follow ing way. The contraction for ( $\quad$; $F)=(1 ; 1)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{hstr} A P(x) B P(y) i=\frac{1}{4}(x \quad y ;)\left(\operatorname{str} A \operatorname{str} B \quad \operatorname{str} A z_{z} \operatorname{str} B \quad z+\operatorname{str} A \quad x \operatorname{str} B \quad x \quad \operatorname{str} A \quad \text { y } \operatorname{str} B \quad y\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2} 2(x \quad y ;) \operatorname{str} A_{x} B \quad x ; \\
& h \operatorname{str} A P(x) \operatorname{str} B P(y) i=\frac{1}{4}(x \quad y ;) \operatorname{str}\left(A B \quad A_{z} B \quad z_{z}+A_{x} B \quad x \quad A \quad{ }_{y} B \quad y\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2} 2(x \quad y ;) \operatorname{str} A x_{x} \operatorname{str} B x ; \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$

where the propagator 2 in $m$ om entum space is given by


$$
D_{1}(z ; 1 ; \quad 1)=\sum_{q 0 ; q^{2} \neq 0} \frac{\left(D q^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(D q^{2}\right)^{2}}{\text { i } D_{1} q^{2}+{ }^{2}}^{2}:(A 10)
$$

3. C alcu lation for $\mathrm{F}_{2}$

C onsider

$$
\mathrm{F}_{2}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2} ; \mathrm{z}_{3} ; \mathrm{z}_{4}\right)={\frac{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{V}}}{}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{str}(\mathrm{rP})^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\frac{\mathrm{iz}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}} & \operatorname{strk}_{\mathrm{F}} & { }_{1} \mathrm{P}^{2} & \frac{\mathrm{iz}_{2}}{\mathrm{~V}} & \operatorname{strk}_{\mathrm{B}} \\
{ }_{1} \mathrm{P}^{2} \\
\frac{\mathrm{iz}}{\mathrm{~V}} & \operatorname{strk}_{\mathrm{F}} & { }_{2} \mathrm{P}^{2} & \frac{\mathrm{iz}_{4}}{\mathrm{~V}} & \operatorname{strk}_{\mathrm{B}}
\end{array}{ }_{2} \mathrm{P}^{2} ; \text { (A 11) }
$$

E q. (88) . This case is considered in the sam e way as the case of $\mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{(2)}$. We neglect the double-trace term contribution for sim plicity. T he result is expressed for the functional integral as
where $1_{1 ; 2}=(1 \quad)=2$ and $z_{1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4}=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4=$. The $P$ m atrix is an 88 superm atrix and is param etrized as

路

For example, $D_{2}\left(z ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)=D_{1}\left(z_{1}\right), D_{2}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; \quad z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)=D_{1}\left(z_{2}\right)$, and $D_{2}\left(z ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)=$ $D_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) D_{1}\left(z_{2}\right) D_{1}^{2}\left[\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)=2\right] D_{1}^{2}\left[\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)=2\right]$. The contraction rule is given by

$$
\operatorname{hstrAP}(x) B P(y) i=\frac{1}{4} \begin{gathered}
X \\
;=F ; B \quad i ; j=1 ; 2
\end{gathered} \quad \text { ij } \quad(x \quad y)
$$

$$
h s t r A P(x) \operatorname{str} B P(y) i=\frac{1}{4} \quad X \quad X \quad X \quad \text { X } \quad \text { i } \quad \text { i } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{str}\left(k \quad{ }_{i} A k \quad j_{j} B \quad k \quad i \quad z A k \quad j \quad z_{i} B+k \quad i \quad x A k \quad j \quad x B \quad k \quad i \quad y A k \quad j \quad y B\right) ; \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i j(x)=(x ;(i+j)=2)$, and ${ }_{1 F}=1$, $1 B=2,2 F=3,2 B=4$. The case $\left(z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{3} ; z_{4}\right)$ ! $\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)$ corresponds to standard perturbation and we nd

APPENDIX B:CALCULATION OF THE TW O-LEVELCORRELATION FUNCTION

$$
\text { 1. Zero } m \text { ode integration }
$$

In this section we derive Eq.(95) by integrating the zero-m ode variables of the nonperturbative param etrization ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. A s before, the param etrization is slightly modi ed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ch}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(0)} \mathscr{\mathscr { C }}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{u}}^{(0)} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ch}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{u}} ; \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to elim inate the grassm ann variables of unitary part in $F_{2}$. For the pre-exponential term, dependence of the
grassm ann variables on $U_{u}$ is explicitly written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { strk } 1 \quad z Q(x) \text { strk } 2 \quad z Q(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4 \quad \operatorname{str} \quad 1 \quad z \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{ch}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{(0)} Q(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{(0)} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ch}} \\
& \text { str } 2{ }_{z} T_{c h} T_{u}{ }^{(0)} \mathscr{Q}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{(0)} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{ch}} \text { : } \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

The neglected term s do not contribute to integration of the $G$ rassm ann variables. The rst term does not include the grassm ann variables and.$W$ e can set $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{(0)}=1$ and have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{hstrAP}(x) B P(y) i=\frac{1}{4}{ }_{i ; j=1 ; 2}^{X} \quad x \quad y ; \frac{i^{+} j}{2} \quad\left(\operatorname{str} A \quad i \operatorname{str} B \quad j \quad \operatorname{str} A \quad i \quad{ }_{z} \operatorname{str} B \quad j \quad z\right. \\
& +\operatorname{str} A \text { i } x \operatorname{str} B \text { j } x \quad \operatorname{str} A \text { i } y \operatorname{str} B \text { j } y) \text {; } \\
& \operatorname{hstrAP}(x) \operatorname{str} B P(y) i=\frac{1}{4}{ }_{i ; j=1 ; 2}^{X} \quad x \quad y ; \frac{i+j}{2} \operatorname{str}\left(A_{i} B \quad j \quad A_{i} \quad z^{i} B \quad j \quad z\right. \tag{A14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{2}\left(z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{3} ; z_{4}\right)=\mathrm{DQe}^{\mathrm{F}_{2}^{(2)}}{ }_{\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{3} ; z_{4}\right)}^{\mathrm{Z}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ch}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ch}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{3} ; \mathrm{z}_{4}\right) \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{u}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2} ; \mathrm{z}_{3} ; \mathrm{z}_{4}\right) \text {; } \\
& D_{c h}\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)=\sum_{q 0 ; q^{2} \notin 0}^{Y} \frac{D q^{2} \frac{i}{2}\left(l_{1}+\right)^{2}}{\left(D q^{2} i_{1}\right)\left(D q^{2} i_{2}\right)} ; \\
& D_{u}\left(z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{3} ; z_{4}\right)=Y_{q} 0 ; q^{2} \in 0 \text { D } \frac{D q^{2}}{D^{2}} \frac{\frac{i}{2}\left(1+{ }_{4}\right)}{\frac{i}{2}\left(1+{ }_{3}\right)} \frac{D q^{2}}{D q^{2}} \frac{\frac{i}{2}(2+3)}{\frac{i}{2}\left(2+{ }_{4}\right)}: \tag{A12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q=T_{c h} \widetilde{Q} T_{c h}$. It still includes the zerom ode variables of the chiralpart $T_{c h}$. Since the chiralpart param etriza-
 obtained. It inchudes a perturbative part and connected and disconnected parts.
$N$ ext we consider the second contribution which includes only the connected part. It is obtained by integrations of and as

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{2}(1 ; 2)=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} \mathrm{ds}_{1} \mathrm{ds}_{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{B}}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{F}}}{2} \frac{4 \mathrm{~s}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}}{\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1 ; 2} ; \mathrm{S}_{1 ; 2} ;_{\mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{F}}\right) ; \\
& I\left(z_{1 ; 2} ; S_{1 ; 2} ;^{\prime}{ }_{B ; F}\right)=\frac{@}{@ z_{1}} \frac{@ z_{2}}{\mathrm{Z}_{2}} D \widetilde{Q} Q_{c h} e^{F Q]} \text {; } \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q(x)=T_{c h} T_{u}^{(0)} \widetilde{Q}(x) T_{u}{ }^{(0)} T_{c h}, Q_{c h}=T_{c h} \quad{ }_{z} T_{c h}$, and $z_{1 ; 2}=\quad{ }_{1 ; 2}=. W$ e exam ine str ${ }^{\wedge} \quad{ }_{z} Q(x)$ to integrate out variables in $Q \mathrm{ch}$. The expression is sim pli ed if we apply the saddle point approxim ation we use in the follow ing. At the saddle-point we have $\sin ^{\wedge}=0$ and $\sin ^{\wedge}=0$. This approxim ation leads to the reduction

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{str}^{\wedge}{ }_{z} Q(x)!\quad{ }_{1} \operatorname{str} \frac{\cos ^{\wedge}+}{2} \cos _{1}+\left(\cosh { }_{1 B} \quad \cos { }_{1 F}\right)_{1} 1^{i}{ }_{z} \sigma(x) \\
& +{ }_{2} \operatorname{str} \frac{\cos ^{\wedge}}{2} \cos _{2}+\left(\cosh { }_{2 B} \quad \operatorname{Cos}{ }_{2 F}\right)_{2} 2^{i}{ }_{z} \mathscr{Q}(x): \tag{B5}
\end{align*}
$$

A gain we stress that this approxim ation is justi ed at second order in $1=g$. Substituting this expression, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& I\left(z ; ;^{\prime}\right)=D Q e^{F_{k \text { in }}} I_{1}\left(Z_{1} ; s\right) I_{2}\left(Z_{2} ; s\right) ; \tag{B6}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \frac{\mathrm{z}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~V}}{ }_{\mathrm{x}} \operatorname{str} \frac{\cos ^{\wedge}}{2} \cos _{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{z}}} \widetilde{Q}(\mathrm{x}) \text {; } \tag{B7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{k \text { in }}$ is the kinetic part in $F_{2}$. The variable' is not included in the integrand in our approxim ation. Integrations of the rem aining zerom ode variables are carried out and we nd

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{i}(z ; s)=i\left(s_{1} \quad s+C_{i}\right) e^{i z\left(s_{1} s_{2}\right)+i z C_{i}}+\frac{1^{Z}}{4} d_{i B} d_{i F} \frac{i B}{i F} \quad 1 \\
& 1+i z \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{iB}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{iF}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{iB}_{\mathrm{i}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{iF}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{i z}{2}\left(s_{1} \quad s+C_{i}\right)\left(s_{1}+s_{2} \quad D_{i}\right) J_{0} \quad z s_{1}+z \frac{C_{i} \quad D_{i}}{2} \quad J_{0} \quad z s_{2} \quad \frac{C_{i}+D_{i}}{2}: \tag{B8}
\end{align*}
$$



## 2. A A's dom ain



$$
W_{1}(1 ; 2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad h \quad f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) e^{i z_{1}\left(A_{B 1}+A_{F 1}\right)}+g_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) e^{2 i z_{1}+i Z_{1}\left(A_{B 1} A_{F 1}\right)^{i}}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{D} \widetilde{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)} f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+e^{2 i z_{1}} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2} ; z_{2}\right) g_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) g_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) \\
& +e^{2 i z_{2} F\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)} f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) g_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)+e^{2 i\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) F\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)} g_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) g_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) ; \tag{B9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{i}(z)=1+\frac{1}{8 z^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{B i} \quad A_{F i}\right)+ \\
& g_{i}(z)=\frac{1}{2 z}+\frac{i}{8 z^{2}}+\quad ; \tag{B10}
\end{align*}
$$

and $F$ is the supersym $m$ etry breaking functional

$$
\begin{gather*}
F\left(z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{3} ; z_{4}\right)=\frac{D}{4 V}^{Z} \operatorname{str}(r \widetilde{Q})^{2} \\
+\frac{i^{Z}}{2 V} \operatorname{str}\left(z_{1} k_{F}+z_{2} k_{B}\right) 1_{z}(\widetilde{Q} \\
+\frac{i}{2 V} \operatorname{str}\left(z_{3} k_{F}+z_{4} k_{B}\right) \quad 2 \quad z(\widetilde{Q}
\end{gather*}
$$

z ):(B 11)
$T$ he condition $z_{1}=z_{2}$ and $z_{3}=z_{4}$ recovers supersym $m e^{-}$ try. A s before we expand the nonzero m odes $\widetilde{Q}$ in term s of the $P$ atrix and use the contraction rules derived in
 supersymm etry $\left.\mathbb{E}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)=F_{2}\right]$ and is nothing but the purely perturbative contribution. Its connected part gives the rst term in Eq. (98) . The second (third) term in Eq. (B_-1) gives the second (third) term in Eq. (981). For
the leading order contribution, we use

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \\
& D \widetilde{Q} e^{\mathrm{F}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)} \quad \mathrm{D}_{1} ; \\
& \operatorname{strk}{ }_{2} P^{2}{ }_{F}^{(0)}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)=2^{X}+\quad \text {; } \\
& \text { Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

For the last term in Eq. $(\underline{-1} \overline{9})$, we have

> Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{DQe} \mathrm{Q}^{\mathrm{F}\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)} \quad \mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{+}^{2} \mathrm{D}^{2}: \tag{B13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The disconnected part is included in this contribution and is subtracted to give the fourth and fth term $s$ in Eq. (98').

The purely connected part $W_{2}$ is calculated using the asym ptotic form of the B essel function. W e obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.e^{i z_{2} s_{1}+\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{1} A\right.} A^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right) \quad \frac{i}{4}+() e^{i z_{2} s_{2} \frac{1}{2}\left(s_{2} A_{+} A_{+}^{\prime}\right)} \frac{i}{4}+() \quad \text { kin } ; \tag{B14}
\end{align*}
$$

where ( ) denotes the com plex con jugate of the preceding term. Integrationsof $\mathbf{§}_{\mathrm{f} 2}$ are evaluated to nd the asym ptotic form

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{1}{\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)}+\mathrm{e}^{2 i\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) F\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)}+\left(z_{1 ; 2}!\quad z_{; 2}\right)^{i} \\
& +\frac{i}{z_{1}^{2} \quad \frac{z}{2}_{2}^{2}} e^{2 i z_{1} F\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)}+e^{2 i z_{1} F\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)} \\
& +e^{2 i z_{2} F\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)}+e^{2 i z_{2} F\left(z_{1} ; z_{1} ; z_{2} ; z_{2}\right)}\left(Z_{i 2}!z_{; 2}\right)^{i} \text {; } \tag{B15}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, keeping second order in $P$ for the functional $F$ and using the form ula ( (A_12), we obtain the result (99).
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