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#### Abstract

D etailed Ferm i-surface structures are essential to describe the upper critical eld H c2 in typeII superconductors, as rst noticed by $H$ ohenberg and $W$ ertham er $\mathbb{P}$ hys. Rev. 153, 493 (1967)] and shown explicitly by Butler for high-purity cubic $N$ iobium $\mathbb{P}$ hys. Rev. Lett. 44,1516 (1980)]. $W$ e derive an $H_{c 2}$ equation for classic type-II superconductors $w$ hich is applicable to system $s w$ ith anisotropic Ferm i surfaces and/or energy gaps under arbitrary eld directions. It can be solved e ciently by using Ferm i surfaces from $a b$ initio electronic-structure calculations. Thus, it is expected to enhance our quantitative understanding on $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$. B ased on the form alism, we calculate $H_{c 2}$ curves for Ferm isurfaces of a three-dim ensional tight-binding $m$ odel $w$ ith cubic sym $m$ etry, an isotropic gap, and no im purity scatterings. It is found that, as the Ferm isurface approaches to the B rillouin zone boundary, the reduced critical eld $h\left(T=T_{c}\right)$, which is norm alized by the in itial slope at $T_{c}$, is enhanced signi cantly over the curve for the sphericalFerm isurface $w$ ith a m arked upward curvature. Thus, the Ferm i-surface an isotropy can be a m ain source of the upw ard curvature in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ near $T_{C}$.


PACS num bers: $74.25 .0 \mathrm{p}, 71.18 .+\mathrm{y}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

The upper critical eld $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is one of the most fundam ental quantities in type-II supperconductors. A fter the pioneering work by Abrikosovil based on the G inzburg-Landau (GL) equations ${ }_{\mu}^{2}$ theoretical e orts have been $m$ ade for its puantitative-description-at, alltem peratures ${ }^{31}$
H ow ever, we still have a lim ited success w hen com pared w ith those for the electronic structures in the norm al state $1^{2 \prime \prime}$. The purpose of the present paper is to provide a theoretical fram ework which enables us ab initio calculations of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ as accurate as electronic-structure calculations in the norm al state.

N ecessary ingredients to be included are (i) nonlocaleffects e ective at low tem peratures; (ii) im purity scattering; (iii) Ferm i-surface anisotropy; (iv) strong-coupling e ects; (v) gap anisotropy; (vi) m ixing of higher Landau levels in the spatial dependence of the pair potential; (vii) Landau_lopepl quantization in the quasiparticle energy $13,32,39,3,37,182$ (viii) uctuations beyond the m ean-eld theory ${ }^{4}$ which is num erically tractable, including all the e ects except (vii) and (viii).

An $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ equation considering the e ects, (i) and (ii) was obtained by $H$ elfand and $W$ ertham er ${ }^{6}$. It was extended by $H$ ohenberg and $W$ ertham eri to take the Ferm isurface anisotropy (iii) into account. Equations w ith the strong-coupling e ects (iv) were derived by E ilenberger and Am begaokan ${ }^{111}$ using M-atsubara frequencies and by W ertham er and M OM illan ${ }^{\frac{12}{2}}$ on the real energy axis, which are equivalent to one another. Schossm ann and Schachinger ${ }^{27!}$ ! later inconporated P auli param agnetism
into the strong-coupling equation. A though an equation including (i)-(iv) was presented by Langm ann, it is still rather com plicated for carrying out an actual nu$m$ erical computation. O $n$ the other hand, $R$ ieck and Schamberg ${ }^{301}$ presented an e cient H c2 equation where the e ects (i)-(iii) and (vi) were taken into account, and also ( v ) in the special case of the clean lim it. See also
 the strong-oupling ects (v) have also been considered. O ur study can be regarded-as a direct extension of the $R$ ieck-Schamberg equation ${ }^{3} 01$ to inconporate (i)(iv) sim ultaneously. To this end, we adopt a slightly di erent and (probably) m ore convenient procedure of using creation and annihilation operators. Wewill proceed with clarifying the connections with the R ieckSchamberg equation as explicitly as possible.

The rem arkable success of, the, sim pli ed B ardeenC ooper-Schrie er (BCS) theory 444 tells us that detailed electronic structures are rather irrelevant to the properties of classic superconductors at $H=0$. H ow ever, this is not the case for the properties of type-II superconductors in nite magnetic elds, especially in the clean, lim it, as rst recognized by $H$ ohenberg and $W$ ertham er ${ }^{19}$ Their e ort to include the Ferm i-surface anisotropy in the $H_{c 2}$ equation was $m$ otivated by the fact that the Helfand-w ertham er theory' using the spherical Ferm i surface show s neither qualitative nor quantitative agree$m$ ents wh hiexperim ents on clean type-II superconductors
 by ${ }_{1} 0_{0}^{\circ}, 1, w, a s$ obserypd, at low tem peratures in high-quality

reduced critical eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{h} \text { (t) } \frac{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}(\mathrm{t})}{\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{c} 2}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{dt} \dot{\mathbf{i}}=1} \quad \text { (t } \quad \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) \text {; } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

calculated by Helfand and W ertham erín hash (0)=0:727 in the clean lim it, whereas a later experim ent on highpurity Nb shows hh (0)i=1:06 for the average over eld directions ${ }^{511}$ H ohenberg and $W$ ertham elric carried out a perturbation expansion for cubic $m$ aterials $w$ ith respect to the nonlocalcorrection where the Ferm i-surface anisotropy enters. They could thereby provide a qualitative understanding of the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ anisotropy and the enhancem ent of hh ( t )i observed in Nb . They also derived an expression for hh (0)i applicable to anisptropic Ferm i surfaces. It was later used by $M$ attheiss ${ }^{14}$ to estim ate hh ( 0 ) $i=0: 989$ for $N . b$ based on his detailed electronicstructure calculation. T he strong dependence of $h$ ( $t$ ) in the clean lim it on detailed Fem i-surface structures can also be seen clearly in the num erical results from a m odel calculation by R ieck and Schamberg, ${ }^{\text {BOI }}$ and from the difference $h(0)=0: 727$ and $0: 591$ between spherical and cylindrical Ferm isurfaces, respectively ${ }^{4} 11$

On the other hand, it was show $n$ by $W$ ertham er and
 by only . 2\% for the sphericalFerm isurface and cannot be the $m$ ain reason for the enhancem ent of $h(0)$ in $N . b$.
$T$ he $m$ ost com plete calculation including the, e ects (i)-(iv) was perform ed on pure Nb by Butlert223: He solved the strang-coupling equation by E ilenberger and Ambegaokar, ${ }^{111}$ taking full account of the Ferm i-surface structure and the phonon spectra from his electronicstructure calculations. He could thereby obtain an excellent agreem ent with experim ents by, WW illiam son ${ }^{1501} \mathrm{w}$ ith hh (0)i=0:96 and by K erchner, alt aller experim ent by Sauerzopf et alm $1{ }^{111}$ on a high-purity N.b shows a larger value hh (0) $i=1: 06$, thereby suggesting that there $m$ ay be som e factors $m$ issing in Butler's calculation.

Theoretical considerations on the e,ects (v) and (vi),
 who rst included gap anisotropy ( v ) in the H c2 equation. $T$ hey both considered the nonlocale ect perturbatively adopting a separable pair potential. Takanaka studied $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ anisotropy observed in uniaxialcrystals, whereas Teichler applied his theory to the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ anisotropy in cubic Nb . T h is approach by-T, eichler w as extended by P roham $m$ er and Schachingertis to anisotropic polycrystals and used by W eber et all ${ }^{54}$ to analyze anisotropy e ects in Nb.

The mixing of higher Landau levels, (vi) was rst considered by Takanaka and N agashim $\mathrm{al}^{151}$ in extending the $H$ ohenberg $-N$ ertham er theory for cubic $m$ ateriall ${ }^{1}$ to higher orders in the nonlocal correction. It was also taken into account by Takanaka ${ }^{181}$, in the abovem entioned work, by Y oungner and K lem $\mathrm{m} \frac{24}{4}$ in their perturbation expansion $w$ ith respect to the nonlocal cor-
 for $p$-w ave superconductors, by $R$ ieck and Schamberg 30
for superconductors $w$ ith nearly cylindrical $m$, odelFerm i surfaces, and by $P$ roham $m$ er and $C$ arbotte ${ }^{29}$. for $d$-w ave superconductors. See also a recent work by M iranovic, $M$ achida, and $K$ ogan on $M \mathrm{gB}_{2}$ K9: A though it plays an im portant role in the presence of gap anisotropy $25^{512} 29$ th is $m$ ixing was not considered by Teichler
$N$ ow, one $m$ ay be convinced that calculations including (i)-(vi) are still absent. Especially, many of the theoretical e orts have been- forused- pnity on the spe-
 a detailed theory is still absent for the large positive (upward) curvature obsefved in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}\left(\mathrm{~T} . \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right.$ ) of layered superconductorst 5 tion by Takanaka ${ }^{181}$ and D alrym ple and $P$ rober ${ }^{571}$. B ased on these observations, we here derive an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ equation which is num erically tractable for anbitrary crystal structures and eld directions by using Ferm i surfaces from ab initio electronic-structure calculations. This kind of calculations has been perform ed only for Nb by Butler so fart $1_{1}^{2} 2^{23} \mathrm{M}$ aking such calculations possible for other $m$ aterials is expected to enhance our quantitative understanding on $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ substantially.

This paper is organized as follow s. Section II considers the weak-coupling $m$ odel with gap anisotropy and s-w ave im purity scattering. W e derive an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ equation valid at all tem peratures as well as an analytic expression for $H_{c 2}\left(T . T_{c}\right)$ up to second order in $1 \quad T=T_{C}$. The m ain analytic results of Sec . II are listed in Table I for an easy reference. Section III extends the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ equation so as to include p-w ave im purity scattering, spin-orbit im purity scattering, and strong electron-phonon interactions. Section IV presents num erical exam ples form odelFerm i surfaces of a three-dim ensionaltight-binding $m$ odelw ith cubic sym $m$ etry. Section $V$ sum $m$ arizes the paper. W e put $k_{B}=1$ throughout.

## II. W EAK-COUPLING H c2 EQUATION

A. Ferm i-surface harm on ics and gap an isotropy

W e rst specify the gap anisotropy in our consideration w ith respect to the Ferm i-surface harm onics. Th he Ferm isurface harm onics were introduced by A llen 181 as convenient polynom ials in solving the Boltzm ann and E liashberg equations. They were later used by Langm ann ${ }^{31}$, to derive an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ equation applicable to anisotropic Ferm i surfaces and anisotropic pairing interactions. H ow ever, the polynom ials constructed by A llen based on the G ram Schm idt orthonorm alization are not very convenient for treating the gap anisotropy. W e here adopt an alternative construction starting from- the pairing interaction $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0}\right)$ on the Ferm i surface, ${ }^{591}$ where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ denotes the Ferm i wavevector. Evidently $V\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0}\right)$ is H erm itian $\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$, and invariant under every sym $m$ etry operation $R$ of the group $G$ for the relevant crystal as $R V\left(k_{F} ; k_{F}^{0}\right) R^{1}=V\left(k_{F} ; k_{F}^{0}\right)$. We hence consider the

TABLE I: Equation num bers for the relevant analytic expressions to calculate $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$. The upper critical eld H c2 corresponds to the point where the $s m$ allest eigenvalue of the $H$ erm itian $m$ atrix $A=\left(A_{N N} 0\right)$ takes zero.

| $\mathrm{h}_{\text {- }} \mathrm{i}$ | ( $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) | B | 0 | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {c }}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\mathrm{VF}_{\text {F- }}+$ | $\mathrm{Cl}_{1} ; 2$ | ij | ${ }^{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {N-N }}{ }^{0}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}, 0}$ | ( x ) | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}\left(\mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ | B-1 | $\mathrm{B}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | R, | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (4) | (5) | ( ${ }^{\text {I }}$ ) | $\mathrm{hc}=2 \mathrm{e}$ | (A-5) | (15) | (118) | (19) | (2a) | [131) | (3Q) | (36) | (319) | (4]1] | (22) | (23) | (A-9a, | (24) |  |

follow ing eigenvalue problem:
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
d S_{F}^{0} \quad\left(k_{F}^{0}\right) V\left(k_{F} ; k_{F}^{0}\right) \quad(j)\left(k_{F}^{0}\right)=V^{(j)}(j)\left(k_{F}\right): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere $d S_{F}$ denotes an in nitesim al area on the Ferm isurface and $\left(k_{F}\right) \quad\left[\left(2 \beta N(0) j_{F}\right]^{1} w\right.$ th $v_{F}$ the Ferm ivelocity and $N(0)$ the density ofstates per one spin and per unit volum e at the Ferm ienergy in the norm alstate. The superscript denotes an irreducible representation of $G$, $j$ distinguishes di erent eigenvalues belonging to , and
speci es an eigenvector in ( ; j). This eigenvalue problem was also considered by Pokrovskii ${ }^{60}$ w thout specifying the sym $m$ etry. The basis functions thereby obtained naturally have all the properties of Ferm i-surface har$m$ onics introduced by A llen. Especially, they satisfy the orthonorm ally and com pleteness:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { h(j) }{ }_{0}^{\left({ }_{0}^{0} j^{0}\right)} i=0{ }_{j j^{0}}^{0} ;  \tag{3a}\\
& X \quad\left({ }^{j}\right)\left(k_{F}\right){ }^{(j)}\left(k_{F}^{0}\right)=\frac{{ }^{2}\left(k_{F} k_{F}^{0}\right)}{\left(k_{F}\right)} ;
\end{align*}
$$

> i denotes the Ferm i-surface average: Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hA i } \quad \mathrm{dS}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}\right): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eqs. $\overline{\underline{2}})$ and ( $\overline{\overline{3}} \overline{1}$ ), we obtain an altemative expression for the dim ensionless pairing interaction $\left(k_{F} ; k_{F}^{0}\right)$

N (0)V (k $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0}$ ) as

$$
\left(k_{F} ; k_{F}^{0}\right)=X_{j}^{X} \quad(j) \quad(j)\left(k_{F}\right) \quad(j) \quad\left(k_{F}^{0}\right):
$$

Thus, it is alw ays possible to express a general pairing interaction as a sum of separable interactions. N otioe that the above procedure is applicable also to m ultiband superconductors. Indeed, we only have to extend the integration over $k_{F}$ to all the Ferm isurfaces.

The Ferm i-surface ham onics can be constructed also from the coupling function $\left(k_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}},-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)_{1} \quad\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0}\right)$ in the strong-coupling $E$ liashberg theory ${ }^{611,62}$ where $"_{n}$ $(2 n+1) T$ is the $M$ atsubara energy. Indeed, we only have to specify an appropriate bosonic $M$ atsubara energy ! $1_{1} 21 \mathrm{~T}$ and set $\underline{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0}\right.$ ) $\quad\left[\left(k_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0} ;!_{1}\right)\right.$
$\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0}\right) \mathrm{HN}(0)$ in Eqs. $\left.\hat{6}_{-1}\right)$ and $(\underline{B})$. W e thereby obtain an altemative expression for the coupling function as

W e expect that this construction does not depend on the choice of ! ${ }_{1}$ substantially. It is worth noting that ab initio calculations of the coupling function are now possible for phonon-m ediated superconductors, as perform ed recently for $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{gB}_{2}{ }^{63}-\mathrm{H}$ ence ab initio constructions of the Ferm i-surface harm onics by Eq. (2, $\overline{2}$ ) can be carried out in principle.

From now on we consider the casesw here (i) the system has inversion sym $m$ etry and (ii) a single ( $j$ ) is relevant which belongs to an even-parity one-dim ensional representation. Indeed, these conditions are $m$ et for $m$ ost superconductors. H ereafter we will drop all the indices as ${ }^{(j)}\left(k_{F}\right)!\left(k_{F}\right)$, for exam ple, and choose $\left(k_{F}\right)$ as a real function.

## B . E ilenberger equations

N ow, let us derive an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ equation for the second-order transition in the weak-coupling $m$ odel $w$ ith $s$ w ave im purity scattering based on the quasiclassicale ilenberger
 from the G or'kov equations by assum ing a constant density of states near the Ferm i energy in the nom allstate and integrating out an irrelevant energy variable ${ }^{64,1295}$ Thus, phenom ena closely connected w ith either the energy dependence of the density of states ${ }^{261}, 0 x_{-}$that- $d$ iscreteness in the quasiparticle energy levels are beyond the scope of the present consideration. We also do not consider Josephson vortioss appearing in very anisotropic layered superconductors ${ }^{673}$. W thin the lim itations, how ever, the Eilenberger equations provide one of the $m$ ost conven ient starting points for deriving an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ equation, as seen_helow. This approach w as also adopted by $R$ ieck et als

W e take the extemal magnetic eld H along the z axis. In the presence of $P$ auli param agnetism, the average ux density $B$ in the bulk is connected with $H$ as $H=B \quad 4 \quad{ }_{n} B$, where $n$ is the norm al-state spin susceptibility. The fact that $n$ is $m u l t i p l i e d ~ b y ~ B ~ r a t h e r ~$ than $H$ corresponds to the fact that the spins respond to the true $m$ agnetic eld in the bulk. It hence follow s that $B$ is enhanced over $H$ as

$$
B=H=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 4 & n \tag{7}
\end{array}\right):
$$

The vector potential in the bulk at $H=H_{c 2}$ can be written accordingly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(r)=(0 ; B x ; 0): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The eld H is supposed to be along the direction ( $\sin \cos ^{\prime} ; \sin \sin$ ';cos ) in the crystallographic coordinates ( $X ; Y ; Z$ ). The two coordinate system $s$ are connected by the rotation $m$ atrix
as $R H=(0 ; 0 ; H)^{T}$, where ${ }^{T}$ denotes transpose. $W$ e assum $e$ that the vortex lattice is uniform along $z$.
$W$ ith the gap anisotropy speci ed by $\left(k_{F}\right)$ and in the presence of $P$ auli param agnetism, the $E$ ilenberger equations read

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{n} \quad i_{B} B+\frac{\sim}{2} h g i+\frac{1}{2} \sim V_{F} \quad @ f=\quad+\frac{\sim}{2} h f i g ; \tag{10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

(r) $\ln \frac{T_{c 0}}{T}=T_{n=1}^{X^{\perp}} \frac{(r)}{J_{n} j} \quad h \quad\left(k_{F}\right) f\left(V_{n} ; k_{F} ; r\right) i:$

Here $B$ is the Bohrmagneton, is the relaxation time by nonm agnetic im purity scattering in the second Bom approxim ation, (r) is the pair potential, and @ is dened by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { @ r } \underset{0}{2} \text { A ; } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0 \quad h c=2 e$ the ux quantum. W e will consider positively charged particles follow ing the convention; the case of electrons can be obtained directly by A ! A, i.e., reversing the $m$ agnetic- eld direction. The quasiclassical $G$ reen's functions $f$ and $g$ are connected by $g=$
 and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c} 0}$ denotes the transition tem perature in the clean $\lim$ it $=1$.

To obtain $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$, we form ally expand the quasiclassical $G$ reen's functions up to the rst order in as $f=f^{(1)}$ and $g=\operatorname{sgn}_{n}\left({ }_{\underline{n}}\right)$. Substituting the expressions into Eqs. ( $10{ }^{-1}$ ) and (10 ${ }^{\prime}$ ), w e obtain the linearized self-consistency equations as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}+\frac{\operatorname{sgn}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{2} \sim \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad @ f^{(1)}=\quad+\frac{\sim}{2} \mathrm{hf}{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{i} ;  \tag{12a}\\
& \ln \frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c} 0}}{\mathrm{~T}}=\quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \quad \mathrm{hf}{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{i} \quad \overline{j^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{j}} ; \tag{12b}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{n}{n}}_{\mu_{n}^{0}}^{n} \quad i_{B} B \operatorname{sgn}\left("_{n}\right) ; \quad x_{n} \quad j_{n}^{\prime \prime} j+\frac{\sim}{2}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## C. Operators and basis functions

It is useful to transform the gradient operator in Eq. (10²) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad @={\underset{\mathrm{F}}{+}} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)=\mathrm{p} \overline{2} 1_{c}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $l_{c}$ denotes $\frac{1}{\overline{2}}$ tim es the $m$ agnetic length as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{c} \mathrm{P} \overline{{ }_{0}=2 \mathrm{~B}}=\mathrm{P} \overline{\sim \mathrm{C}=2 \mathrm{eB}} \text { : } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators a and $a^{y}$ are de ned by

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{a}  \tag{16}\\
\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} & =\mathrm{p}_{\bar{c}}^{l_{c}} & \mathrm{c}_{1} & i_{c_{2}} \\
\mathrm{q} & \mathrm{@}_{\mathrm{x}} & \mathrm{ic}_{2} & \varrho_{\mathrm{y}}
\end{array}
$$

where the constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are constrained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}+\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}=2 ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\left[a ; a^{\mathrm{y}}\right]=1$. Finally, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}+}$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}+} \quad \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{FX}}+i \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{FY}}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constants ( $c_{1}, c_{2}$ ) can be xed conveniently by requiring that the gradient term in the G inzburg-Landau equation be expressed in term $s$ of $a^{\mathrm{Y}}$ a w ithout using aa and $a^{y} a^{y}$, i.e., the pair potential near $T_{c}$ be described in term $s$ of the low est Landau level only. As show $n$ in A ppendix A, this condition yields

where ${ }_{i j} i j\left(T_{C}\right)$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ij } \frac{24\left(T_{C}\right)^{3}}{7(3) h_{F}^{2} i_{n=0}} \frac{1}{{\underset{n}{3}}_{n}^{n}} h^{2} V_{F i} V_{F j} i+\frac{h \text { ih } V_{F i} V_{F j} i}{2{ }_{n}^{n}} \\
& +\frac{h \text { ih } V_{F i} V_{F j} i}{2{ }_{n}^{\prime}}+\frac{h \text { ih } i h V_{F i} V_{F j} i}{\left(2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{2}} \text {; } \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith the R iem ann zeta function. Notioe that ij is di$m$ ensionless, approaching to $i j$ as ! 1 for the spherical Fem i surface. It is a direct, generalization of the function introduced by G orkov'! to anisotropic system s .

The operators in Eq. (1-), extends (a ; $a_{+}$) introduced by Helfand and $W$ ertham ery for anisotropic crystals. For uniaxial crystals, they reduce to the operators used by Takanaka $1^{184}$

U sing Eq. (1-1-1), we can also $m$ ake up a set $\triangleright \neq$ basis functions to describe vortex-lattice structures as ${ }^{7}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp _{\|} i \frac{n a_{1 x}}{\frac{l_{c}^{2}}{2}} y+{\underset{c}{2}}_{c} q_{x} \quad \frac{n a_{1 y}}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& P \frac{1}{2^{N} N!} H_{N} \frac{x \quad \underset{c}{f} q_{y} \quad n a_{x}}{C_{1} l_{c}}: \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $N=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; \quad$ denotes the Landau level, $q$ is an arbitrary chosen $m$ agnetic $B$ loch vector characterizing the broken translational sym $m$ etry of the vortex lattice and specifying the core locations, and $V$ is the volum e of the system. The quantities $a_{1 x}$ and $a_{2}$ are the com ponents of the basic vectors $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ in the xy plane, respectively, with $a_{2} k \hat{y}$ and $a_{1 x} a_{2}=2 \frac{f^{2}, N_{f}^{2}}{}$ denotes the number of the ux quantum in the system, and $H_{N}(x) \quad e^{2} \quad \frac{d}{d x}^{N} e^{x^{2}}$ is the $H$ em ite polynom ial. T he basis functionspre both orthonorm al and com plete, satisfying $a_{N q}=\bar{N}{ }_{N} 1_{q}$ and $a^{y}{ }_{N q}=\overline{N+1}{ }_{N+1 q}$.
$T$ he function $-(2 \overline{1})$ is a direct generalization ofthe $E$ ilenberger function ${ }^{10-}{ }_{\mathrm{N}}\left(r \dot{r}_{0}\right) \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{C}_{1}=\mathrm{C}_{2}=1$ to anisotropic Ferm i surfaces and energy gaps. For $q=0$ in the clean
 et al, to the creation and annihilation operators of Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right)$. $T$ hese operators have sim pli ed the derivation of the basis functions and will also $m$ ake the whole calculations below much easier and transparent.

## D. A nalytic expression of $H$ c2 near $T_{c}$

U sing Eq. (1-1]), it is also possible to obtain an analytic expression for $B_{c 2} \quad H_{c 2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 4 & n\end{array}\right)$ near $T_{c}$. Let us express it as

$$
\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c} 2}=\mathrm{B}_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{t} \tag{22}
\end{array}\right)+\mathrm{B}_{2}(1 \quad \mathrm{t})^{2} ;
$$

$w$ th $t \quad T=T_{C}$. The coe cients $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ determ ine the initial slope and the curvature, respectively.

It is show $n$ in A ppendix $A$ that $B_{1}$ is obtained as
where is the R iem ann zeta function, ij is given by Eq. (20.), and $R$ is de ned by

The factor $\sim h_{F}^{2} \mathrm{i}^{1=2}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ in the denom inator of Eq . (23) is essentially the BCS coherence length ${ }^{44} \mathrm{~A}$ lso, R is di$m$ ensionless and approaches unity for ! 1 . Equation (23) is a diract generalization of the result by $R$ ieck and Schamberg- ${ }^{30}$ for $\left(k_{F}\right)=1$ to the cases $w$ th gap anisotropy and for arbitrary strength of the im purity scattering.

It is convenient to express $h v_{F i} V_{F}{ }^{i}$ in Eq. (201) w ith respect to the crystallographic coordinates $(X ; \bar{Y} ; Z)$ to see the anisotropy in $B_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ anifestly. U sing Eq. $\left.\underline{\underline{\rho}}_{1}\right), \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Fx}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}}$ are rew ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{FX}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{FX}} \cos \cos ^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{FY}} \cos \sin ^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{V}_{Z} \sin \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{FY}}=\not \mathrm{YX}^{\prime} \sin ^{\prime}+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{FY}} \cos ^{\prime} \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { so that }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& h v_{F Y}^{2} i=h v_{F X}^{2} i \sin ^{2} r+h v_{F Y}^{2} i \cos ^{2} \text {, }  \tag{26}\\
& h v_{F X} V_{F Y} i=\left(h v_{F Y}^{2} i \quad h C_{X}^{2} \text { i) COS COS' } \sin ^{\prime}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

The quantities $h V_{F X} V_{F y} i$ and $h{ }^{2} V_{V_{X}} V_{F Y} i$ can be expressed sim ilarly in the crystallographic coordinates once
$\left(k_{F}\right)$ is given explicitly. In particular, when $\left(k_{F}\right)$ belongs to the $A_{1 g}$ representation, the expressions for the tw o averages are essentially the sam e as Eq. ( $\overline{2}_{1} \bar{\sigma}_{1}$ ). From Eqs. (23), (201), and (2G), we realize im $m$ ediately that the initial slope is isotropic when (i) $\left(k_{F}\right)$ belongs to $A_{1 g}$ and (ii) the crystal has cubic sym $m$ etry.
$T$ he expression for $B_{2}$ is $m$ ore com plicated as given explicitly by Eq. (A- $\bar{A} \overline{\mathrm{~A}} \overline{\mathrm{l}}$ ). It includes Ferm i-surface averages ofv $v_{\mathrm{Fx}}^{4}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{Fx}}^{2} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{Fy}}^{2}, \overline{\mathrm{e}}-\bar{c} .$, and enables us to estim ate the in itial curvature of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ given the Ferm i-surface structure.

> E. H c2 equation

We now derive an $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ equation which can be solved e ciently at all tem peratures. To this end, we transform Eqs. (12a') and ( $12 \mathbf{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{a}^{\prime}$ ) into algebraic equations by expand-


$$
\begin{gather*}
(r)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{N}=0} \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{Nq}(\mathrm{r}) ;  \tag{27a}\\
f^{(1)}\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}} ; r\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{N}=0} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{I}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) \quad \mathrm{Nq}(\mathrm{r}): \tag{27b}
\end{gather*}
$$

 ( 12 b ), $m$ ultiply them by $\mathrm{Nqq}(\mathrm{r})$, and perform integrations over r. Equations (12a) and (12bal are thereby transform ed into

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N} 0} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{NN}} \circ \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{N} 0}^{(1)}=\mathrm{N}^{+}+\frac{\sim}{2} h f_{\mathrm{N}}^{(1)} i ;
$$

$$
N \ln \frac{T_{C 0}}{T}=T_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \quad h_{N}^{(1)} i \frac{N}{J^{\prime \prime} j^{j}} ;
$$

(28b)
$w$ here the $m$ atrix $M$ is tridiagonal as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{NN}}{ }^{0} \quad{\underset{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{NN}} \mathrm{~N}^{Q}+\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{N}+1}^{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{N}^{0} 1} \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{~N}} \quad{\mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{N}^{0}+1 ;} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sim_{\mathrm{F}}+\operatorname{sgn}\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{2^{P} \overline{2} I_{c}}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e nst focus on Eq. (2-8ai) and introduce the $m$ atrix K by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{NN}}{ }^{0} \quad\left(\mathrm{M}^{1}\right)_{\mathrm{NN}} 0 ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which necessarily has the sam e sym m etry as M

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{N} N} \circ\left("_{\mathrm{n}} ; \quad\right) & =\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{N} 0_{\mathrm{N}}}\left("_{\mathrm{n}} ; \quad\right)=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{N} N} \circ\left(\quad_{\mathrm{n}} ;\right. \\
& =\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{N} 0_{\mathrm{N}}}\left(\quad_{\mathrm{n}} ;\right): \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Eq} \cdot(\underline{2} \mathbf{- 1} \mathbf{- 1})$ is solved form ally as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N}^{(1)}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{NN} 0}^{\mathrm{X} 0} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{N}} 0+\frac{\sim}{2} \mathrm{hf}_{\mathrm{N} 0}^{(1)} \mathrm{i}: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the Ferm i-surface average to obtain $\mathrm{hf}_{\mathrm{N}}^{(1)} \mathrm{i}$ and substituting it back into Eq. (33), we arrive at an expression for the vector $\mathrm{f}^{(1)} \quad\left(\mathrm{f}_{0}^{(1)} ; \mathrm{f}_{1}^{(1)} ; \mathrm{f}_{2}^{(1)} ; \quad\right.$ T as)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}^{(1)}=\mathrm{K}+\frac{\sim}{2} \mathrm{~K} \quad \mathrm{I} \quad \frac{\sim \mathrm{hK} i^{1}}{2} h \mathrm{~K} \quad i \quad ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $I$ the unit $m$ atrix in the Landau-level indices and

$$
(0 ; 1 ; 2 ; \quad \text { T. })_{-=}
$$

 obtain the condition that Eq. (28bin) has a nontrivial solution for as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} A=0 ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ atrix $A$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
A=I \ln \frac{T}{T_{c 0}} & +T_{n=1}^{X^{A}} \frac{I}{J_{n}^{\prime} j} h K^{2} i \\
& \frac{\sim}{2} h K \text { i } \frac{\sim h K i}{2} h K i ; \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith $I$ the unit $m$ atrix in the Landau-level indides. T he upper critical eld $B_{c 2}$ corresponds to the highest eld where Eq. (35) is satis ed, w ith B and H connected by Eq. ( $\bar{I}_{1}$ ). Put it another way, $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ is determ ined by requiring that the sm allest eigenvalue of A be zero. N otice that $A$ is $H$ erm itian, as can be show $n$ by using Eq. (321), so that it can be diagonalized easily.

Equation $\left(3{ }^{3} \mathbf{W}_{1}\right)$ tells us that central to determ ining $B_{c}$ lies the calculation of $K_{N N} 0$ de ned by Eqs. (2-9) and
(31) . A n e cient algorithm for it $w$ as already developed in Sec. IIF of Ref. 41 1.1, which is sum m arized as follow s. Let us de ne $R_{N} \quad \mathbb{N}=0 ; 1 ; 2$;
) $\operatorname{Pand}(N=1 ; 2$;
by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}} 1=\left(1+\mathrm{Nx}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{1} \tag{37a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}+1}=\left(1+\mathrm{N} \mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{1} ; \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=1 ; \tag{37b}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively, w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \quad j \neq \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $K_{N N}$ ofor $N \quad N^{0}$ can be obtained by
w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{N} \quad \mathrm{P} \bar{N}!_{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{N}}}^{R_{k}} \tag{40a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he expression of $K_{N N} 0$ for $N<N^{0}$ follow s im m ediately by Eq. (321).
 w ritten altematively as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N} \text { (x) } \quad \frac{2}{\mathrm{~N}!}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{s^{N} H_{N}(s)}{1+2 x^{2} s^{2}} e^{s^{2}} d s \\
& =\frac{1}{\overline{N!}!}{ }_{0}^{1} s^{N} \exp \quad s \quad \frac{x^{2}}{2} s^{2} d s \\
& =2^{N} \frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{~N}}!z^{N+1} e^{z^{2}}{ }^{N} \operatorname{erfc}(z) \text {; } \tag{41a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& N(x) \quad \frac{1}{\bar{N}!} P^{x} \overline{2} i \\
& H_{N} \quad \frac{i}{\overline{2} x}  \tag{41b}\\
&=\frac{1}{y^{N}} \overline{N^{N}} e^{y^{2}=2} \frac{d}{d y} e^{y^{2}=2}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively, where $z \quad 1=\frac{p}{=} 2 x$ and $N^{\operatorname{erfc}}(z)$ denotes the repeated integral of the error function 733 $_{13} \mathrm{~T}$ he latter function $N(x)$ is an $\frac{N}{2}$ th-order ( $\frac{N}{2}$ th-order) polynom ial of $x^{2}$ for $N=$ even (odd).

Thus, the key quantity $K_{N N} 0$ is given here in a com pact separable form $w$ ith respect to $N$ and $N^{0}$. This is a plausible feature for perform ing num erical calculations, $w h i c h ~ m$ ay be considered as one of the $m$ ain advantages of the present form alism over that of Langm ann $\mathbf{I}^{331}$ O ur $\mathrm{K}_{00}$ in Eq. (39) is m ore-qonvenient than Eq. (26) of H Ohenberg and $\bar{W}$ ertham errin in that $H_{c 2}$ near $T_{c}$ is described
in term s of the low est Landau level for anbitrary crystal structures.

 the paper (see also Table I). T hey enable use cient calculations of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ at all tem peratures based on the Ferm i surfaces from $a b$ initio electronic-structure calculations. They form, a direct extension of the $R$ ieck-Schamberg equation ${ }^{30}$ - to the cases $w$ ith gap anisotropy and arbitrary strength of the im purity scattering. Indeed, Eq. ( 4 (12a) $)$ is w ritten altematively as

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2 \mathrm{~N}}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{p} \frac{1}{(2 \mathrm{~N})!2^{\mathrm{N}} z^{2 \mathrm{~N}}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(2 \mathrm{z}^{2}\right) ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $z \quad 1=\frac{p}{=} \overline{2} x$, where $P_{N}$ is the polynpm ial de ned below Eq. (6) of R ieck and Schamberg $\frac{30}{}$ Substituting this result and the last expression of Eq. (41a) into Eq. (3'영) , it can be checked directly that $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{K}_{2 \mathrm{~N}}{ }^{0} 2 \mathrm{~N}$ for $\mathrm{N}^{0} \quad \mathrm{~N}$, is equalto $M_{2 N}{ }^{2}{ }_{2 N}$ in Eq. (6) ofR ieck and Schamberg ${ }^{301}$ $U$ sing this fact, one can show that the $m$ atrix $A$ in $E q$. (3G) reduces to the corresponding m atrix in Eq. (5) of R ieck and Schamberg either (i) for the isotropic gap with arbitrary im purity scattering or (ii) in the clean lim it $w$ ith an arbitrary gap structure. H ere we have adopted $x$ in Eq. (3"Bí) as a variable instead of $z$, because $x$ rem ains nite at nite tem peratures.
From Eq. (3-1 $)$ and the symmetry ! for $V_{F}$ !
$V_{F}$, we realize that $h K_{2 N} ; 2 \mathrm{~N}{ }^{0}+1 i^{\prime} h K_{2 N} ; 2 \mathrm{~N}{ }^{0}+1$ i, and $h K_{2 N} ; 2 \mathrm{~N}{ }^{0}+1{ }^{2} \mathrm{i}$ all vanish in the present case where (i) the system has inversion sym $m$ etry and (ii) ( $k_{F}$ ) belongs to an even-parity representation. It hence follows that we only have to consider $\mathrm{N}=$ even Landau levels in the calculation of Eq. (3G). To obtain a matrix ele$m$ ent of Eq . (3-1), we have to perform a Ferm i surface integral for each $n$ and perform the sum $m$ ation over $n$, which is wellw ithin the capacity of $m$ odem com puters, how ever. A ctual calculations of the sm allest eigenvalue $m$ ay be perform ed by taking only $N \quad N_{\text {cut }}$ Landau levels into account, and the convergence can be checked by increasing $N_{\text {cut }}$. We can put $N_{\text {cut }}=0$ near $T_{c}$ due to Eq. (1- $\left.\overline{9}_{1}\right)$, and have to increase $N$ cut as the tem perature is low ered. H ow ever, excellent convergence is expected at all tem peratures by choosing $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cut }} .20$.

## III. EXTENSIONSOFTHEH c2EQUATION

W e extend the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ equation of Sec . II in severaldirections.

## A. p-w ave im purity scattering

W e rst take p-wave im purity scattering into account. In this case, E q. (inal) is replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{n} \quad i_{B} B+\frac{\sim}{2} h g i+\frac{3 \sim}{21} \hat{k}_{F} \quad \hat{k} f g i^{0}+\frac{1}{2} \sim v_{F} \quad @ f \\
= & +\frac{\sim}{2} h f i+\frac{3 \sim}{21} \hat{k}_{F} \hat{k} \hat{f} f i^{0} g ; \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h \hat{k}_{F}^{0} g i^{0} \quad 1 \hat{k}_{F}^{0} g\left({ }_{n} ; k_{F}^{0} ; r\right) i^{0}$, for exam ple, and $\hat{k}_{F}$ $k_{F}=\mathrm{hk}_{\mathrm{F}}^{2} \mathrm{i}^{1=2} . N$ otice that $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is not a unit vector in general. Linearizing Eq. (4) $\overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) w ith respect to, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}+\frac{\operatorname{sgn}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{2} \sim \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad @ f^{(1)}= & +\frac{\sim}{2} h f^{(1)} i \\
& +\frac{3 \sim}{2_{1}} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{F}} \text { 领 } \mathrm{f}^{(1)} i^{0} ; \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

w th ${ }_{n}^{0}$ de ned by Eq. (13).
$F$ irst of all, we derive expressions for $T_{c}$ at $H=0$, the coe cients ( $c_{1} ; \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ) in Eq. (1 1 ), and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ near $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ up to the rst order in $1 \quad t_{1}$, based on Eq. (44) and follow ing the procedure in Sec. 'A.'. It tums out that we only need a change of the de nition of ij from Eq. (2d) into

$$
\text { ij } \begin{align*}
& \frac{24\left(T_{C}\right)^{3}}{7(3) h_{F}^{2} i_{n=0}^{2}} \frac{1}{u_{n}^{3}} v_{F i} V_{F j}+{\frac{h i}{2 ~_{n}=\sim}}^{2} \\
&+\frac{3}{2_{1} u_{n}=\sim}\left(P^{y} Q{ }^{1} P\right)_{i j} ; \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $m$ atrioes $P$ and $Q$ are de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad+\frac{\mathrm{hi}}{2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime} \dot{j} \sim} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{Fi}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Fj}} ;  \tag{46a}\\
& Q_{\mathrm{ij}} \quad \text { ij } \frac{3 \sim}{2_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}}} h \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{Fi}} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{Fj}} \mathrm{i}: \tag{46b}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hen $T_{c},\left(C_{1} ; C_{2}\right)$, and $B_{1}$ in Eq. (2zi) are given by the
 tively.
 into an algebraic equation. The resulting equation can solved in the sam e way as Eq. (33) to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1)}=K \quad+\frac{\sim}{2} h f^{(1)} i+\frac{3 \sim}{2} \hat{k}_{F} \quad \hat{k} f f^{(1)} i^{0} ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is given by Eq. (3'9ㅇ). It is convenient to introduce the quantities:

$$
p_{0} \quad \begin{array}{r}
\frac{\sim}{2} \tag{48}
\end{array} ; \quad p_{j} \quad \frac{r}{\frac{3 \sim}{2}} \hat{k}_{F j} \quad(j=x ; y ; z):
$$

Then from Eq. $\left(\overline{4} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$, we obtain self-consistent equations for hpo $f^{(1)} i$ and $\mathrm{hp}_{j}^{-} \mathrm{f}^{(1)}$ i as

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{2} h p_{0} f^{(1)} i^{3} \quad 2 h p_{0} K \quad i \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h p_{z} f^{(1)} i \quad h p_{z} K \text { i }
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ here the $m$ atrix $W$ is de ned by

# $$
{ }^{2} \text { I hiofKi }
$$ <br> $$
h_{8 p} p_{x} K i \quad h_{8} p_{y} K i
$$ <br> $\operatorname{hg}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{Ki}^{31}$ <br>  <br> $$
\begin{equation*} \left.h_{z} p_{0} K i \quad h p_{z} p_{x} K i \quad h p_{z} p_{y} K i \quad h p_{z}\right)^{3} K i \tag{50} \end{equation*}
$$ 

The complex conjugations in Eqs. (49) and (5d) are not necessary here but for a later convenience. $\bar{N}$ otice the symm etry $W_{l m}\left("_{n} ;\right)=W_{m l}\left(\__{n} ;\right)$ in the $m$ atrix elem ents of W, as seen from Eq. (32). U sing Eq. (4) in Eq. (4-1), we obtain an explicit expression for $f^{(1)}$ as

$$
f^{(1)}=K+p_{0} K p_{x} K p_{y} K p_{z} K w \begin{array}{rl}
2 & h p_{0} K \\
i^{3} \\
6  \tag{51}\\
6 p_{x} K & i \neq 7 \\
4 h p_{y} K & i 5 \\
h p_{z} K & i
\end{array}:
$$

 thereby nd that Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{6})$ is replaced by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=I \ln \frac{T}{T_{c 0}}+T_{n=1}^{X^{A}} \frac{I}{J^{\prime n} j} \quad h K^{2}{ }_{i} \\
& { }^{2} h_{p_{0} K} i^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{hp}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~K} \text { i } \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

A s before, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ is determ ined by requiring that the sm allest eigenvalue of Eq . (52) be zero. This A is Hem itian, as can be show $n$ by using_Eq. (32) and $W_{\mathrm{lm}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} ;\right.$ ) $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{ml}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.$; ). Thus, Eq. (52) can be diagonalized easily.

It is straightforw ard to extend E q. (52') to a m ore general im purity scattering $w$ ith the $k_{F}$-dependent relaxation time $\left(k_{F_{-}} ; k_{\mathrm{F}_{-}}^{0}\right)$. To this end, we apply the procedure of Eqs. (2, $\left.\overline{2}^{1}\right)-\left(\underline{S}_{1}\right)$ to $1=\left(k_{F} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0}\right)$ to expand it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left(k_{F} ; k_{F}^{0}\right)}=X_{j}^{X} \frac{{ }^{(j)}\left(k_{F}\right)^{(j)}\left(k_{F}\right)}{(j)} \text {; } \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1=(j)$ and ${ }^{(j)}\left(k_{F}\right)$ denote an eigenvalue and its eigenfiunction, respectively. $W$ e then realize that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{(j)} \sqrt{\frac{\sim}{2(j)}}(j)\left(k_{F}\right) \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

substitutes for $p_{0}$ and $p_{j}$ in Eq. (52).

## B . Spin-orb it im purity scattering

 for high- eld superconducting alloysw ith shortm ean free paths, P auli param agnetism has to be inconporated simultaneously w ith spin-orbit im purity scattering. They presented a theory valid for so, where so is spinorbit_scattering time. It was later generalized by R ieck et allı for an arbitrary value of so. This e ect can also be taken into account easily in the form ulation.

In the presence of spin-orbit im purity scattering, Eq. (10-a) is replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
& "_{n} \quad i_{B} B+\frac{\sim}{2} h g i+\frac{\sim \mathrm{C}_{\text {SO }}}{2 \text { so }} h \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0} \mathrm{~J}^{2} g i^{0}+\frac{1}{2} \sim \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad @ f \\
& =\quad+\frac{\sim}{2} h f i+\frac{\sim_{\text {SO }}}{2 \text { so }_{s o}} h \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{F}}^{0} \mathrm{~J} f \mathrm{i}^{0} \mathrm{~g} ; \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

 $m$ ake the argum ent transparent, it is useful to introduce the quantities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& q_{0} \quad \begin{array}{r}
\frac{\sim}{2}
\end{array} \quad q_{i j} \quad \frac{r}{\frac{\sim C_{S O}}{2 \text { so }^{\prime}}} \hat{k}_{F i} \hat{k}_{F j}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
2
\end{array}\right) ; \tag{56b}
\end{align*}
$$

and the vectors:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \quad\left(\mathrm{p}^{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{xx}} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{yy}} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{zz}} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{xy}} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{yz}} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{zx}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} ; \tag{57a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \quad\left(q ; q_{x x} ; q_{y y} ; q_{z z} ; q_{x y} ; q_{z z} ; q_{z x}\right)^{T}: \tag{57b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then Eq. (55) linearized w ith respect to is written in term sofeq. $\left(5 Z_{1}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}+\frac{\operatorname{sgn}\left(\mathrm{"n}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{2} \sim \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad @ f^{(1)}=\quad+\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{hq} \mathrm{f}^{(1)} \mathrm{i} ; \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{n}^{0}$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad i_{B} B \operatorname{sgn}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad j_{n}^{\prime \prime} j+\mathrm{p} \quad \text { hqi: } \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice $p$ hqi=hpi $q$.
It follow sfrom the procedure in Sec. An the $T_{c}$ at $H=0$ satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{T_{c 0}}{T_{c}}=2 T_{c}^{T_{c}}{ }_{n=0}^{x_{n}} \quad \frac{1}{\mu_{n}} \quad \frac{2}{u_{n}} \quad \frac{p^{T}}{u_{n}} Q^{1} \frac{q}{u_{n}} ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ atrix $Q$ is de ned by ( $r ; s=0 ; x x$;

$$
Q_{r s}=r s \frac{q_{r} p_{s}}{u_{n}}:
$$

A lso, ij in Eq. (2] ${ }^{(2)}$ should be m odi ed into

$$
\text { ij } \begin{array}{r}
\frac{24\left(T_{C}\right)^{3}}{7(3) h h_{F}^{2} i_{n=0}} \frac{V_{F i} V_{F j}}{k_{n}^{3}}+\frac{p^{T}}{k_{n}} Q^{1} q \\
+p^{T} Q^{1} \frac{q}{k_{n}} \quad: \tag{62}
\end{array}
$$

$F$ inally, $R$ in Eq. ( $\mathbf{2 L}_{4}^{-4}$ ) is replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
& R=1 \quad 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}}} \quad \frac{{ }_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{2 \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \mathrm{hq}^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}^{2}} \\
& +\frac{p h_{i j}^{n}}{\mathbf{N}_{n}^{2}} Q^{1} \frac{q}{\mathbf{u}_{n}} \quad \|_{n} \frac{p^{T}}{\mu_{n}} Q^{1} \frac{q}{\mathbf{N}_{n}^{2}} \\
& m_{n} \frac{p^{T}}{\mu_{n}} Q^{1} \frac{q^{T} p}{\mu_{n}^{2}} Q^{1} \frac{q}{u_{n}}: \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

W ith the abovem odi cations, $T_{c},\left(C_{1} ; C_{2}\right)$, and $B_{1}$ in Eq.
 W e now transform Eq. (58i) into an algebraic equation by using Eqs. (14-1) and (271). The resulting equation can solved in the same way as Eq. (33). W e thereby obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1)}=K \quad \underbrace{X}_{r} p_{r} \mathrm{Kho}_{r} f^{(1)} i ; \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is given by Eq. ( 3 id) with $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0}$ replaced by Eq. ( 5 gid $)$. From Eq. ( $6 \mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), we obtain self-consistent equations for hoo $f^{(1)}$ i and hoij $f^{(1)}$ i as
$w$ here the $m$ atrix $W$ is de ned by

W

| 2 I | hapoki | $\mathrm{hap}_{\mathrm{xx}} \mathrm{K} i$ | $\mathrm{hq}_{\mathrm{fly}} \mathrm{K}$ i | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6$ | $\mathrm{hg}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{~K}$ i I | $\mathrm{hqx}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{xx}} \mathrm{K} i$ | hax $\mathrm{Pyy}^{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{i}$ | 7 |
|  | $\mathrm{hag}_{y} \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{Ki}$ | $h_{W_{y}} p_{x x} \mathrm{Ki}$ I | $\mathrm{hayy}_{y} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{yy}} \mathrm{K} i$ | 7 |
|  | $\mathrm{haz}_{z} \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{~K} i$ | $\mathrm{haz}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{xx}} \mathrm{K} i$ | $\mathrm{hqza}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{py}^{\text {K }} \mathrm{i}$ | 7 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{ha}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{~K}$ i | $\mathrm{hg}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{xx}} \mathrm{K} i$ | $\mathrm{hf}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{yy}} \mathrm{K}$ i | 7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{haz}_{z} \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{~K}$ i | $\mathrm{haz}_{z} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{xx}} \mathrm{K}$ i | $\mathrm{haz}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{pyy}^{\text {K }} \mathrm{i}$ | 7 5 |
|  | $\mathrm{hq}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{p}_{0} \mathrm{~K}$ i | $\mathrm{hg}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{xx}} \mathrm{K}$ i | $\mathrm{hg}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{yy}} \mathrm{K}$ i |  |

sion for $f^{(1)}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{2} \mathrm{hg}_{0} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{i}^{3} \\
& f^{(1)}=K \quad+p_{0} K p_{x x} K p_{y y} K \\
& \text { w } \begin{array}{r}
6 \\
6 \\
h_{4 x} \mathrm{~K} \\
\mathrm{hog}_{y y} \mathrm{~K} \\
\text { i } \\
\text { i7 } \\
7
\end{array} \\
& { }^{2} \mathrm{hp}_{0} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{i}^{3} \\
& =K+q_{0} K q_{x x} K q_{y y} K
\end{aligned}
$$

 latter expression originates from the self-consistency equations for hpof $f^{(1)} i$ and $h_{i j} f^{(1)}$ i sim ilar to Eq. (65). F inally, let us substitute Eq. $\left(\overline{6} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ into Eq. (28 $\left.\mathbf{b}_{1}^{1}\right)$. $\overline{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{e}$ thereby nd that Eq. ( 3 B $\bar{\sigma}_{1}$ ) is replaced by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=I \ln \frac{T}{T_{c 0}}+T_{n=1}^{X^{I}} \frac{I}{J_{n} j} \quad h K^{2} i
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =I \ln \frac{T}{T_{c 0}}+T_{n=1}^{X^{I}} \frac{I}{J_{n}^{\prime} j} \quad h K^{2}{ }_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

A s before, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ is determ ined by requiring that the sm allest eigenvalue of Eq . (6\%) be zero. This A is Herm itian,
 $W_{m l}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.$; ) , which can be diagonalized easily.

## C. Strong electron-phonon interactions

We nally consider the e ects of strong electronphonon interactions w thin the fram ew ork of the E liashberg theory $-11_{1}^{1,62} \mathrm{~W}$ e adopt the notations used by A llen and B.M itrovia ${ }^{621}$. except the replacem ent Z ! .
$T$ he E ilenberger equations w ere extended by $T$ eich lent $7^{-1} 4^{\prime \prime}$ to include the strong-coupling e ects. They can also be derived directly from the equations given by $A$ llep and


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{"}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~B}+\frac{\sim}{2} \mathrm{hgi}+\frac{1}{2} \sim \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad @ \mathrm{f}=\quad+\frac{\sim}{2} h f i \mathrm{~g} ; \tag{69a}
\end{equation*}
$$


$w$ here $n_{c 0}$ corresponds to the $M$ atsubara frequency about ve tim es as large as the D ebye frequency ${ }^{621} \mathrm{~W}$ e have retained full $k_{F}$ dependence of in Eq. ( 69 a ), because the contribution from other pairing channels, which $m$ ay be negligible for the pair potential, can be substantial for the renorm alization factor Z .

W e linearize Eqs; ( $\left.\overline{6} \underline{\sigma}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ w th respect to and repeat the procedure in Sec. 'A.' up to the zeroth order in $1 \quad t$. It then follow $s$ that $T_{c}$ at $\vec{H}=0$ is determ ined by the condition that the $s m$ allest eigenvalue of the follow ing $m$ atrix be zero:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{nn}^{0}}^{(0)} \mathrm{nn} 0 & \mathrm{~T}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}\right. & \mathrm{n}^{0}
\end{array}\right) \quad
\end{array}\right] \frac{2}{\bar{u}_{\mathrm{n}^{0}}},
$$

where $Z^{(0)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{(0)}\left("_{n} ; k_{F}\right)=1+\frac{T}{n_{n}}{ }_{n}{ }^{c 0}=n_{c 0} h\left(k_{F} ; k_{F}^{0} ; "_{n} \quad n_{n^{0}}\right) i^{0} \operatorname{sgn}\left("_{n^{0}}\right) ; \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mu_{n}$ is de ned together $w$ ith $\mu_{n}^{0}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \quad Z^{(0)} j_{n}^{\prime} j+\frac{\sim}{2} ; \quad \mu_{n}^{0} \quad{ }_{n}^{n} \quad i_{B} B \operatorname{sgn}\left("_{n}\right): \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e next $x\left(c_{1} ; c_{2}\right)$ in Eq. (1-1]) conveniently. For the weak-coupling $m$ odel, we have xed it by using Eq. (Ā $\overline{\text { G/ }}$ ) near $T_{c}$ so that the coe cient of aa vanishes, i.e., there is no $m$ ixing of higher Landau levels in the $H_{c 2}$ equation near $T_{c}$. H ow ever, the coe cient of aa in the corresponding strong-coupling equation becom es frequency dependent. It hence follows that, even near $T_{c}$, there is no choioe for ( $c_{1} ; c_{2}$ ) which prevents $m$ ixing of higher Landau levels from the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ equation. W e here adopt the weak-coupling expression in Eq. (1d).

W e now consider the $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ equation and repeat the sam e calculations as those in Sec.IIB.W e thereby nd that Eq. (3-1) is replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{\sim}{2} h K^{0} i \operatorname{I} \frac{\sim h^{0} i_{i}^{1}}{2} h K^{0} i_{N N 0} ; \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K^{0} K\left({ }_{n}{ }^{0}\right.$; ) which also has $k_{F}$ dependence through $Z^{(0) 0}=Z^{(0)}\left({ }_{n^{0}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$. As before, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ is determ ined by requiring that the sm allest eigenvalue of Eq . (73ㄴㄴ) be zero.
$\bar{W}$ e m ay altematively use, instead of Eq. (73) , the m atrix:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{n N ; n^{0} N^{0}}^{0}=(\quad)_{n n^{0} N_{N}}^{1} \quad n n^{0} \quad T K^{2} i
\end{aligned}
$$

where ( ) ${ }^{1}$ denotes inverse $m$ atrix of . It is Herm itian for ${ }_{B} B!0$, and also acquire the property by combining $\mathrm{n}>0$ and $\mathrm{n}<0$ elem ents.

## IV . M ODELCALCULATIONS

W e now present results of a m odelcalculation based on the form alism developed above. W e restrict ourselves to the w eak-coupling $m$ odelofSec. II $w$ ith an isotropic gap, no im purities, and no Pauli param agnetism. A s for the energy-band structure, we adopt a tight-binding model in the sim ple cubic lattice whose dispersion is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{k}=2 t f \cos \left(k_{\mathrm{x}} a\right)+\cos \left(k_{\mathrm{y}} a\right)+\cos \left(k_{\mathrm{z}} a\right) g: \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere a denotes lattice spacing of the cubic unit cell and $t$ is the nearest-neighbor transfer integral. $W$ e set $t=a=1$ in the follow ing. T he corresponding Fem i surfaces are plotted in F ig. .1 for various values of the Ferm i energy $"_{F}$. For $"_{F} 6$, i.e., near the bottom of the band, the Ferm i surface is alm ost spherical w ith slight distortion due to the cubic symmetry. As $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}$ increases, the cubic distortion is gradually enhanced. Then at $"_{F}=2$, the Ferm isurface touches the B rillouin-zone boundary at $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad(0 ; 0 ; \quad) ;(0 ; \quad ; \quad ; 0) ;(\quad ; 0 ; 0)$. A bove this critical Ferm ienergy, the topology of the Ferm isurface changes as show $n$ in $F$ ig. $I_{1}^{\prime \prime}(c)$. It is interesting to see how such a topological change of the Ferm i surface a ects $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$.

W e com puted H c2 based on Eq. (3릉) in the clean lim it w ithout P auli param agnetism. T he Ferm i-surface average in Eq. (3-1) was perform ed by two di erent $m$ ethods. For general values of $"_{F}$, we used the linear tetrahedron $m$ ethod which is applicable to any structure of the Ferm i surface. In this $m$ ethod, the irreducible $B$ rillouin zone is divided into a collection of sm all tetrahedra. From each tetrahedron which intersects the Ferm i surface, a segm ent of the Ferm i surface is obtained as a polygon by a linear interpolation of the energy band. $N$ um erical integrations over the Ferm i surface w ere then perform ed as a sum over those polygons. A nother description of the Ferm isurface is possible for $"_{F}<2$, where we can adopt the polarcoordinate $k=(k \sin \quad \cos ; k \sin \sin ; k \cos )$ and the Ferm i surface $k_{F}=k_{F}(;)$ is obtained by solving the equation $"_{k}="_{F}$ num erically for each (; ). An integration over the Ferm isurface is then perform ed


FIG.1: Ferm iSurfaces of the tight-binding $m$ odel in the sim ple cubic lattice. The Fem ienergies are: (a) $"_{F}=3$, (b) 2 , (c) 1 , and (d) 0.


F IG . 2: C urves of the reduced critical eld $h_{d}(t)$ for the cubic tight-binding $m$ odel $w$ ith $"_{F}=2: 02$ (dotted lines), $"_{F}=3$ (solid lines), and $"_{F}!6$ (i.e., the spherical Ferm i surface; dash-dotted line). The eld directions are d= [111], [110], and [100] from top to bottom in each case.
by using the variables ( ; ). W e perform ed both types of calculations to check the num erical convergence of the tetrahedron $m$ ethod. E xcellent agreem entsw ere achieved generally by using 3375 tetrahedrons. A n exception is the region " $"_{F} \quad$ 2, where larger num ber of tetrahedronsw as necessary due to the singularity around $k_{x}$.
$T$ he in nite $m$ atrix $A_{N N} 0$ in Eq. ( 3 (G) was approxi-

TABLE II: The ratio $\mathrm{B}_{2}=\mathrm{B}_{1}$ for the eld directions [100], [110], and [111] in the cases $"_{F}=3$ and 2:02. Thequantities $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ are de ned in Eq. (22). The values should be com pared with 0.13 for the sphericalFerm isurface.

| $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | $[100]$ | $[110]$ | $[111]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.33 |
| $2: 02$ | 0.44 | 0.78 | 0.90 |

$m$ ated by a nite $m$ atrix of $N$; $N^{0} \quad N_{\text {cut }}$, and the convergence was checked by increasing $N$ cut. The choice $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cut }}=0$ is su cient for $\mathrm{T} . \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and it w as found num erically that $\mathrm{N}_{\text {cut }}=8$ yields enough convergence for all eld directions at the low est tem peratures. It was also found that higher Landau levels of $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ contribute to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ by only $4 \%$ even at $T=T_{C}=0: 05$. Thus, the low est-Landaulevel approxim ation to the pair potential is excellent for this cubic lattioe. T his is not generally the case, how ever, and the contribution of higher Landau levels can be considerable for low -sym m etry crystals, as w ill be reported elsew here ${ }^{\text {ㄴ․ }}$

B efore presenting any detailed results, it is w orth noting that the GL equations, $1,1,1 / 2$ ters only through the e ective-m ass tensor, cannot explain possible anisotropy of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ in cubic sym $m$ etry, as already pointed out by $H$ ohenberg and $W$ ertham er ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~T} \mathrm{I}_{1} \mathrm{Th}$ is G L theory is valid near $T_{c}$ so that the upper critical eld for $T$. $T_{c}$ should be isotropic in the present $m$ odel. $T$ he anisotropy of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ in cubic symm etry em erges gradually at low er tem peratures, as seen below.

W e calculated the reduced critical eld $h$ (t) de ned by Eq. [11) for the magnetic eld directions $d=$ [100], [110], and $[111]$; we denote them as $h_{d}(t)$. Figure presents $h_{d}(t)$ for $"_{F}=3$ and $2: 02$ as a function of $t=T=T$. For $"_{F}=3, h(t)$ is alm ost isotropic for $t \& 0: 8$ and cannot be distinguished from the curve for the spherical Ferm i surface. At low er tem peratures, the anisotropy appears gradually. W hereas $\mathrm{h}_{[100]}(\mathrm{t})$ is reduced from the value for the sphericalFem i surface, $h_{[111]}(t)$ and $h_{[110]}(t)$ are enhanced due to the cubic distortion of the Ferm isurface. At $t=0: 05, h_{[111]}(t)$ and $h_{[110]}(t)$ are larger than $\mathrm{h}_{[1001}(\mathrm{t})$ by $19 \%$ and $15 \%$, respectively. In another case $"_{F}=\quad$ 2:02 where the Ferm i surface nearly touches the B rillouin zone boundary, $h_{d}(t)$ are rem arkably enhanced for all eld directions. Especially, $h_{[111]}(t)$ and $h_{[110]}(t)$ at low tem peratures exhibit values about 60-70\% larger than those for the sphericalFerm i surface.

At $"_{F}=3, h_{[111]}(t)$ and $h_{[110]}(t)$ near $T_{C}$ show sm all upw ard curvature, whereas $h_{[100]}(t)$ rem ains alm ost identicalw ith the curve for the sphericalFem isurface. This di erence $m$ ay be quanti ed by the ratio $B_{2}=B_{1}$ de ned in Eq. (2-2). It was num erically evaluated by using the Ferm i velocity on the Ferm i surface and shown in Table $\overline{\text { ini. }}$. T he values for the directions [110] and [111] are larger than 0:13 for the spherical Ferm i surface. Thus, calculated $B_{2}=B_{1}$ values well describe the di erence in


FIG. 3: The reduced upper critical eld $h_{d}(t)$ at $t=0: 05$ as a function of the Ferm i energy $"_{F}$. The eld directions are $\mathrm{d}=$ [111], [110], and [100] from top to bottom, respectively.
$h$ ( $t$ ) for $t .1$ am ong eld directions. The upw ard curvature is $m$ ore and $m$ ore pronounced as the Ferm isurface approaches the Brillouin zone boundary, as can be seen clearly in $F$ ig. 2 for $"_{F}=2: 02$. The corresponding ratio $\mathrm{B}_{2}=\mathrm{B}_{1}$ for the [110] and [111] directions are about three tim es larger than those for $"_{F}=3$. Thus, the present calculation clearly indicates that the Ferm i surface anisotropy can be a $m$ ain source of the upward curvature in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C} 2}$ near $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$.

In $F$ ig. . As $"_{F}$ ! 6, the angle dependence of $h_{d}(t)$ vanishes and it converges to the value for the sphericalFerm i surface. A $s "_{F}$ is increased from 6, cubic distortion is gradually introduced to the Ferm i surface as shown in $F$ ig. $\mathbf{I}_{1}^{1}$, and $h_{d}(t)$ gradually develops anisotropy as a consequence. For $6<\#_{F}$. 2:5, curves of $h_{[1001}(t)$ fallbelow that for the sphericalFem isurface, whereash ${ }_{[110]}(t)$ and $h_{[111]}(t)$ are enhanced over it. A s "F approaches to $2, h_{d}(t)$ is enhanced signi cantly irrespective of the eld direction. Indeed, $h_{d}(t)$ for every eld direction show sa singularity at $"_{F}=2$ where the Ferm isurface touches the $B$ rillouin zone at $k_{X}$ w ith vanishing Ferm $i$ velocity $v_{F}$ at these points. A s a result, the contribution around these points becom es im portant in the integration $\mathrm{hK}_{\mathrm{N} N}$ oi over the Ferm isurface at low tem peratures. This is the origin of the enhancem ent of $h_{d}(t)$ around $"_{F}=2$. For $"_{F}>2$, the di erence betw een $h_{[110]}$ and $h_{[111]}$ is larger than that for $"_{F}$. 2:5. This $m$ ay be attributed to the topological di erence of the Ferm i surface. At $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}=0$, the tightbinding band is half-lled and the Ferm i-surface nesting occurs. H ow ever, $h_{d}(t)$ does not show any singularity around this energy.

Finally, we present results on the higher Landau-level contributions to the pair potential (r) which is expanded as Eq. (27al). In general, when the system has $n$-fold symmetry around the eld direction, $m$ ixing of higher Landau levels with multiples of $n$ develops as the tem perature is low ered $\frac{\eta d}{} \mathrm{~F}$ igure ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}=0$ as a function of $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ for $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{F}}=3$ (solid lines) and $"_{F}=2: 02$ (dotted lines) with (a) $\mathrm{Hk}[100](\mathbb{N}=4 ; 8$


F IG . 4: The ratio $\mathrm{N}=0$ of the expansion coe cients in Eq. (27d) as a function of tem perature with (a) $\mathrm{H} k$ [100], (b) $\mathrm{H} k$ [110], and (c) $\mathrm{H} k$ [111]. The solid and dotted lines correspond to $"_{F}=3$ and $"_{F}=2: 02$, respectively, $w$ ith (a) $\mathrm{N}=4 ; 8$ from bottom to top (b) $\mathrm{N}=2 ; 4 ; 6$ from bottom to top, and (c) $\mathrm{N}=6$.
from bottom to top lines), (b) $\mathrm{H} k[110](\mathbb{N}=2 ; 4 ; 6$ from bottom to top lines), and (c) $\mathrm{H} k$ [111] ( $\mathrm{N}=6$ ). One can clearly observe a general tendency that the $m$ ixing is $m$ ore pronounced as the sym $m$ etry around $H$ becom es lower as well as $"_{F}$ approaches closer to 2. Especially when $H \mathrm{k}$ [110] and $"_{F}=2: 02$, the $\mathrm{N}=2$ contribution reaches up to nearly 15\% of the low est Landau-levelcontribution as T ! 0. The results suggest that the.-Jow est-Landau-levelapproxim ation for the pair potentia 19 is not quantitatively reliable at low tem peratures for the eld along low -sym m etry directions, for com plicated Ferm i surfaces $w$ ith divergences in the com ponents of $v_{F}$ perpendicular to $H$, or for low -sym m etry crystals.

## V. SUM M ARY

W e have derived an e cient H c2 equation inconporating Ferm i-surface anisotropy, gap anisotropy, and im purity scattering sim ultaneously. B asic results of Sec. II are sum $m$ arized in Table $I$. $T$ his $H_{c 2}$ equation- is a direct extension of the $R$ ieck-Schamberg equation ${ }^{301}$ and reduces to the latter either (i) for the isotropic gap with arbitrary im purity scattering or (ii) in the clean lim it with an arbitrary gap structure, as show $n$ around Eq. (42). The operators introduced in Eq. (1-G) have been helpful to $m$ ake the derivation simpler than that by $R$ ieck et alr ${ }^{3311} \mathrm{~T}$ he present $m$ ethod $w$ ill be $m$ ore suitable for extending the consideration to $\mathrm{m} u$ ulti-com ponent-orderparam eter system s or to elds below H c2.

W e have also obtained a couple of analytic expressions near $T_{C}$ (i) for $H_{c 2}$ up to the second order in $1 \quad T=T_{C}$ and (ii) for the pair potential up to the rst order in
 ( $\left.\bar{A}-\overline{-} \bar{\prime} \bar{l}_{1}\right)$ and ( $\left.\bar{A} \overline{-} \overline{-}\right)$. They are useful to estim ate the in itial curvature of $\overline{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ as well as the m ixing of higher Landau levels in the pair potential.

The $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ equation of Sec . II has also been extended in Sec. III to include p-w ave im purity scattering, spin-orbit im purity scattering, and strong electron-phonon interactions.
$F$ inally, we have presented num erical exam ples in Sec. IV perform ed for $m$ odel Ferm isurfaces from the threedim ensional tight-binding $m$ odel. The results clearly dem onstrate crucial im portance of including detailed Ferm i-surface structures in the calculation of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$. It has been found that, as the Ferm i surface approaches the Brillouin zone boundary, the reduced critical eld $h$ ( t ) in Eq. ( $\mathbf{I I}_{1}$ ) is m uch enhanced over the value for the isotropic $m$ odel w th a signi cant upw ard curvature near $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$.

It is very interesting to see to what degree the upper critical eld of classic type-II superconductors can be described quantitatively by calculations using realistic Ferm isurfaces. The result by Butle $2^{2, t^{3}} 3$ on high-purity N iobium provides prom ise to this issue. W e have perform ed detailed_evaluations of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ for various $m$ aterials based on Eq. (35든) by using Ferm i surfaces from densityfunctional electronic-structure calculations as an input. $T$ he results are reported elsew here ${ }^{751}$.
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APPENDIX A: DETERM INATION OF ( $\mathrm{C}_{1} ; \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ) AND ANALYTIC EXPRESSION OF H c2 NEAR T $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$
 veniently so that $H{ }_{c 2}$ near $T_{c}$ can be described in term $s$ of the low est Landau level only. $W$ e also derive analytic expressions for $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ in Eq. (22) so that one can calculate them once the relevant Fem i-surface structure is given.

In the region $T . T_{c}$ where $I_{c}$ ! 1 in Eq. (14), we can perform a perturbation expansion $w$ ith respect to the gradient operator $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{F}}$ @. The equation for the th-order solution $f^{(1)}(=0 ; 1 ; \quad)$ is obtained from 'Eq. $^{-1}$ (12a) as
with $f_{1}^{(1)}=0$. Noting $\quad\left(k_{F}\right)=\left(k_{F}\right)$, we solve Eq. ( $\left.\bar{A}_{-1}^{-1}\right)$ self-consistently for $h f{ }^{(1)}$ i, put the resulting expression back into Eq. (AN-1) to express $f^{(1)}$ explicitly, and nally take the Ferm i-surface average $h f^{(1)}$ i. This procedure yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& h f_{0}^{(1)} i=\frac{1}{\mu_{n}^{0}} h^{2} i+\frac{\sim h i^{2}}{2 J_{n} \rho} \quad ;  \tag{A2a}\\
& \text { * } \tag{A2b}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { サ* }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{\sim}{2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{n} ~} \rho}+\frac{\sim \mathrm{hi}}{2 \mathrm{~J}_{n} \rho} \quad\left(\sim \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}} \quad \text { ® }^{2}\right)^{2^{\#}} \quad ; \text { (A 2c) }
\end{aligned}
$$

w ith $J_{n} \rho \quad J_{n} j \quad i_{B} B \operatorname{sgn}\left({ }_{n} n_{n}\right)$, and $h f_{1}^{(1)} i=h f_{3}^{(1)} i=0$. Let us substitute Eq. (A-2) into Eq. (12 $\overline{2} \mathbf{a}$ ), replace the gradient operator by the right-hand side of Eq. (14), put $B=B_{c 2}$ in $l_{c}$ of Eq. ( $\left.15_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, and expand $J_{n} \rho \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to ${ }_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c} 2}=\jmath^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{n} j$. W e thereby obtain the self-consistency equation near $T_{c}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{w}_{0 ; 0}+\frac{\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{c} 2}}{\mathrm{~B}_{1}} \mathrm{w}_{2 ; 2} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{w}_{2 ; 2} \text { aa } \quad \mathrm{w}_{2 ; 0}\left(a a^{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} a\right) \\
& +{\frac{B_{c 2}}{B_{1}}}^{2} w_{4 ; 4} a^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{w}_{4 ; 4} \text { aaaa } \\
& w_{4} ; 2 a a^{y} a^{y} a^{y}+a^{y} a a^{y} a^{y}+a^{y} a^{y} a a^{y}+a^{y} a^{y} a^{y} a \\
& w_{4 ; 2} a^{\mathrm{Y}} a \mathrm{aa}+a a^{\mathrm{Y}} a \mathrm{a}+2 a a^{\mathrm{Y}} a+\operatorname{abaa}^{\mathrm{Y}} \\
& +w_{4 ; 0 a} a a^{\mathrm{y}} a a^{\mathrm{y}}+a^{\mathrm{y}} a 2 a^{\mathrm{Y}}+a a^{\mathrm{y}} a^{\mathrm{y}} a+a^{\mathrm{Y}} a a^{\mathrm{Y}} a \\
& +w_{4 ; 0 b} a a a^{y} a^{y}+a^{y} a^{y} a a+w_{p}=0: \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere $B_{1}$ is given in Eq. (22), which is inconporated into the denom inator for convenience. The functions w ; $=$
${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$; (T) and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{T})$ are dim ensionless and de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{w}_{0 ; 0} \text { (T) } \quad \ln \frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c} 0}}{\mathrm{~T}} \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{~h} \underset{\mathrm{I}}{ } \quad 2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{A}}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{n}}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}} \text {; } \tag{A4a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (A 4c) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{\sim}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}}+\frac{\sim \mathrm{h} i}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{F}+}^{2} \quad+\frac{\sim \mathrm{h} i}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\prime}} \dot{\mathrm{J}}_{\mathrm{F}}+\frac{2}{J} \quad ; \tag{A4e}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{\sim}{2 \text { "n }_{n}}+\frac{\sim h i}{2 \text { n }_{n}} v_{\mathrm{F}+}^{2} 2^{\#} ; \quad \text { (A 4g) } \\
& W_{P}(T) \quad\left(B_{B} B_{1}\right)^{2} T_{n=0}^{X^{2}} \frac{h i^{2}}{n_{n}^{3}}+\frac{1 h_{n}^{2}}{\sum_{n}^{3}}:(A 4 h)
\end{aligned}
$$

 w ; in Eq. ( $\bar{A} \overline{3}$ ) up to the $\frac{4}{2}$ th order in 1 t. We also put $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{P}}(\overline{\mathrm{T}})^{-1}=\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$. This procedure yields three equations corresponding to order $1,1 \quad t$, and ( $1 \quad t^{\prime}$ ). $T$ he equation of order 1 is given by $\mathrm{w}_{0 ; 0}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)=0$. It determ ines $T_{c}$ at $H=0$ by

$$
\ln \frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c} 0}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \mathrm{~h} & \text { ? } \tag{A5}
\end{array}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sim}{4} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad ;
$$

$w$ ith $(x)$ the digam $m$ a function.
The equation of order 1 t in Eq. ( $(\bar{A}-\bar{\sim})$ is obtained as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{w}_{0 ; 0}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) \quad \mathrm{w}_{2 ; 0}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)\left(2 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}+1\right) \\
& \quad+\mathrm{w}_{2 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{w}_{2 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right) \mathrm{aa} \quad(\mathrm{r})=0: \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

To solve it, we use the arbitrariness in ( $\mathrm{c}_{1} ; \mathrm{c}_{2}$ ) and im pose
 is transform ed into a dim ensionless form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x x C_{2}^{2}+2 i x_{x y} C_{1} C_{2} \quad y y C_{1}^{2}=0 ; \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{ij}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{ij}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ is de ned by Eq. ( $2 \overline{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O}_{1}$ ). Equation ( $\bar{A}_{-} \bar{T}_{1}$ ) can be solved easily in term $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. Substituting the resulting expression into Eq. $\left(\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ and choosing $c_{1}$ real, we obtain Eq. (19-19).

N ow that $\mathrm{w}_{2 ; 2}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)=0$ in Eq. $(\mathrm{A}-\overline{\mathrm{C}})$, the highest eld for a nontrivial solution corresponds to the low est Landau level where $w_{2 ; 0}\left(T_{C}\right)=T_{C} w_{0 ; 0}^{0}\left(T_{C}\right)$. Introducing $R$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{w}_{0 ; 0}^{0}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}\right)$ _which is given explicitly as Eq. (241), and using Eqs. (A 4a'), (A 4G), (18), and (192), we obtain the expression for $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ as Eq. (23 $3_{1}^{1)}$.
W e nally consider the equation oforder (1 t) $)^{2}$ in Eq. $\left(\bar{A} \overline{3}_{-1}^{-}\right)$and expand the pair potential as

$$
(r)=0 \quad 0 \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{r})+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { t) } r_{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{q} \tag{A8}
\end{array} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)+\mathrm{r}_{4} \quad 4 \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{r}) ;
$$

where ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{r})$ is de ned by Eq. (211), and ( $0 ; r_{2} ; r_{4}$ ) are the expansion coe cients $w$ ith $\left(r_{2} ; r_{4}\right)$ describing relative $m$ ixing of higher Landau levels in the pair potential. Let us substitute Eq. (A (A) into Eq. (A $\mathrm{A}_{-1}^{2}$ ), m ultiply the equation of order (1 $\quad t)^{2}$ by $\mathrm{Na}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{r})$, and perform integration over $r$. $T$ he resulting equations for $N=0 ; 2 ; 4$ yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{B}_{2}=\frac{\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \mathrm{w}_{0 ; 0}^{(2)}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{w}_{2 ; 0}^{0}+\mathrm{w}_{4 ; 0 \mathrm{a}}+2 \mathrm{w}_{4 ; 0 \mathrm{~b}}+\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{P}}}{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~B}_{1} ;  \tag{A9a}\\
\mathrm{r}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{w}_{2 ; 2}^{0}+6 \mathrm{w}_{4 ; 2}}{2 \overline{2} \mathrm{R}} ; \quad \mathrm{r}_{4}=\frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{6}_{\mathrm{w}_{4 ; 4}}}{4 \mathrm{R}} ; \tag{A9b}
\end{gather*}
$$


 at $T_{c}$. In the clean lim it ! 1 , these functions acquire sim ple expressions as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2} \mathrm{~W}_{0 ; 0}^{(2)}=1 ; \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{~W}_{2 ; 0}^{0}=2 ; \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{~W}_{2 ; 2}^{0}=0 \text {; (A10a) } \\
& \mathrm{w}_{4}=\frac{31 \quad(5)}{\left[\begin{array}{l}
7 \\
7
\end{array} \mathrm{~h}^{2} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{F}+} \mathrm{J}\right.} \frac{\mathrm{J}^{4}}{\mathrm{~h}^{2} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{F}}+\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{F}+} \mathrm{i}^{2}} \text { i } ;  \tag{A10b}\\
& W_{P}=\frac{7(3)\left({ }_{B} B_{1}\right)^{2}}{4\left(T_{C}\right)^{2}} ; \tag{A10c}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $=0 ; 2 ; 4$ and $\mathrm{w}_{4 ; 0} \quad \mathrm{w}_{4} ; 0 \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{w}_{4 ; 0 \mathrm{~b}}$. Equation $(\bar{A} \overline{-} \overline{-1})$ w ith Eq. ( $\bar{A} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{0})$ includes the result by $H$ ohenberg and $W$ ertham era for cubic $m$ aterials, and also the one
by Takanakal ${ }^{-181}$ for uniaxial $m$ aterials in the relevant order, both exœpt the $P$ auli term $w_{P}$. Thus, we have extended the, results by $H$ ohenberg and $W$ ertham er and by Takanaka ${ }^{18}$ to arbitrary crystal structures and im purityscattering tim e, including also $P$ auli param agnetism .

Equation (A_9) reveals a close connection of both the curvature in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c} 2}\left(\mathrm{~T} . \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ and the m ixing of higher Landau levels in (r) w ith the Ferm i-surface structure. For exam ple, we realize from Eq. ( $\left.\bar{A} \overline{9} \overline{\mathrm{~d}} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{w}$ ith Eqs. ( $\overline{\mathrm{A}} \overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{q}}$ ), (18), and (2G) that the mixing of $\mathrm{N}=2$ Landau level is absent for cubic $m$ aterials $w$ here $c_{1}=c_{2}=1$. $T$ his is not the case for low sym $m$ etry crystals, how ever. Equation $(\bar{A} \overline{-} \overline{-})$ enables us to estim ate the curvature and the $m$ ixing based on Ferm i-surface structures from detailed electronic-structure calculations.

APPENDIX B:PROOFOFEQ. (41'1)
The rst expression in Eq. ( $\overline{\text { A1 }}{ }^{-1}$ al $)$ can be proved by induction as follow s. F irst of all, $0_{0}=R_{0}$ is transform ed from Eq. $\left.(37)^{-1}\right) a^{4}{ }^{61}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\frac{1}{1+\frac{x^{2}}{1+\frac{2 x^{2}}{1+}}}=\frac{P_{\overline{2}}}{x} e^{1=2 x^{2}} \quad Z_{1=}^{p}{ }_{2} x^{s^{2}} d s \\
& =Z_{Z_{1}^{0}}^{Z_{1}} \exp \quad s \frac{x^{2}}{2} s^{2} d s=p^{2} Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{e^{s^{2}}}{1+2 x^{2} s^{2}} d s \\
& =Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{2 s e^{s^{2}}}{1+2 x^{2} s^{2}} d s: \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, Eq. (41a) holds for $N=0$. The last expression in Eq. (B11) is the sam e integralw hich appears in Eq. (26) of $H$ ohenberg and $W$ ertham er ${ }^{191} \mathrm{~W}$ e next rew rite Eq. (37a) w ith respect to N in Eq. (40a ${ }^{\prime}$ ) as
 holds for $N=1$. Proceeding to the general case, $w-\overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{a}-$ sum e that Eq. (41a) is valid for $N \quad M \quad 1$. W e also rem em ber the follow ing properties of the H erm ite polynom ials:
$H_{N}(s)$
$2 \mathrm{sH}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1(\mathrm{~s})+2(\mathbb{N}$

1) $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 2$ (s) $=0$;
(B 3a)
$Z_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{k} H_{N}(s) e^{s^{2}} d s=0 \quad(k \quad N \quad 1): \tag{B3b}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{P} \frac{1}{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{x}^{2}}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{M} & 1
\end{array}+\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{M}} \quad 1 \mathrm{M} \quad 2\right] \\
& =\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{2 s^{M}{ }^{2}\left[\mathrm{sH}_{M}{ }^{1}(\mathrm{~s})+(M \quad 1) H_{M} \quad 2(s)\right] e^{s^{2}}}{\mathrm{M}!x^{2}\left(1+2 x^{2} s^{2}\right)} d s \\
& =Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{s^{M}{ }^{2} H_{M}(s) e^{s^{2}}}{M!x^{2}\left(1+2 x^{2} s^{2}\right)} d s \\
& =Z_{1} \frac{s^{M}{ }^{2}{ }^{2} H_{M}(s) e^{s^{2}}}{M!x^{2}} 1 \frac{1}{1+2 x^{2} s^{2}} d s \\
& =p \frac{2}{M!} \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{s^{M} H_{M}(s) e^{s^{2}}}{1+2 x^{2} s^{2}} d s: \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have established the rst expression in Eq. (41-1). . The proof for the second expression proceeds in the sam e way by using partial integrations for 0 and
 sim ilarly by induction, starting from $\mathrm{R}_{1}=1$ and using
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