O scillations of m agnetoresistance of 2D E G in a weak m agnetic eld under m icrow ave irradiation

A.E.Patrakov and I.I.Lyapilin
Institute of Metal Physics, UD of RAS, Yekaterinburg, Russia

Under microwave irradiation, in 2D electron systems with high lling factors oscillations of longitudinal magnetoresistance appear in the range of magnetic elds where ordinary SdH oscillations are suppressed. An unusual beat-like behaviour of these oscillations in weak magnetic elds (B $0.02\,\mathrm{T}$) was attributed to the zero spin splitting previously. We propose an alternate explanation of this beat-like structure on the basis of the Boltzmann kinetic equation, without any reference to the spin-orbit interaction.

The interest to theoretical investigations of non-linear transport phenom ena in two-dimensional electron systems (2DES) has grown substantially due to new experimental results obtained in very clean 2DES samples. It has been discovered independently by two experimental groups [1, 2] that the resistance of two-dimensional highmobility electron gas in GaAs=AlGaAs heterostructures reveals a series of new features in its dependence on magnetic eld, temperature, radiation power, etc. Under microwave irradiation, in 2DES with high lling factors oscillations of longitudinal magnetoresistance appear in the range of magnetic elds where ordinary SdH oscillations are suppressed.

Among the new e ects, an unusual behavior of longitudinal magnetoresistance in weak magnetic elds 0:02 T is worth mentioning. E.g., in experiments by Zudov [1] performed in GaAs=AlGaAs heterostructures (with electron mobility = $25 10^6$ cm²/Vs and electron density $n_e = 3.5 10^{11}$ cm 2) vanishing of am plitude of magnetoresistance oscillations has been observed at B = 110 T. Upon further lowering of the magnetic eld, the amplitude of oscillations grows again (see the inset in Fig. 1). This feature of magnetoresistance has been interpreted as a beat. The analogouse ect has been also observed by M ani [3] in G aA s=A lG aA s heterostructures (with electron mobility = 15 10^6 cm $^2/V$ s and 10^{11} cm 2). Vanishing of the amplidensity $n_e = 3$ tude of oscillations has been observed in the vicinity of B = 240 G (see Fig. 2). A coording to [3], the magnetic eld at which the amplitude of magnetoresistance oscillations vanishes does not depend on microw ave radiation frequency for the same sample, although the e ect itself is visible only in the presence of radiation. The observed \beats" were associated by Maniwith \zero-spin splitting" resulting from the spin-orbit interaction [4,5].

In this paper we will show that the nature of the observed \beat" can be understood in a simple fram ework without the need for any spin-orbit interaction. First, let's mention important conditions of the experiments discussed above: the e ect is seen at




FIG. 1: R_{xx} (T = 1 K) in the presence of microwave vs! $_c$ =! (for clarity, plots have been o set vertically). The inset shows with magnication the part of the plot, corresponding to the frequency of 57 GHz and weak magnetic elds. At B . 0:1 kG the \beat" can be seen. (This gure was taken from [1])




FIG. 2: The resistance R_{xx} of 2D EG in the GaAs=AlGaAs heterostructure with and without microwave irradiation. The \beat" visible in resistance oscillations is expanded in the inset. (This gure was taken from [3])

It follows that the e ect has a quasiclassical nature. Here is the momentum relaxation time, ! < ! $_{\text{c}}$ is the radiation and cyclotron frequencies respectively, denotes the Ferm ienergy, T is the temperature expressed in units of energy.

Since the \beat" expresses itself in the classical range of magnetic elds, we will start from the Boltzmann kinetic equation. Taking into account that the electrons

E lectronic address: Lyapilin@ im p.uran.ru

are driven from the equilibrium both by external dc electric eld and the electric eld of radiation, we have:

$$\frac{\text{@f(p)}}{\text{@t}} = \text{eE}_{dc} \frac{\text{@f(p)}}{\text{@p}} = \frac{e}{mc} \text{ p} \quad \text{H } \text{]} \frac{\text{@f(p)}}{\text{@p}} = \text{I}_{st} \text{[f(p)]};$$
(1)

Here E $_{\rm dc}$ is the strength of the external dc eld. The right hand side is the collision integral. We assume that the main electron scattering mechanism is the elastic scattering on impurities:

$$I_{st}[f(p)] = \frac{f(p) - f_0(p)}{f_0(p)}; \qquad (2)$$

where f_0 (p) is the equilibrium distribution function, is the relaxation time. $\frac{\text{@f(p)}}{\text{@t}}$ is the rate of distribution function change due to transitions between Landau levels caused by microw ave radiation:

$$\frac{\text{@f(p)}}{\text{@t}} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ w_{pp^{\circ}} \text{(f(p^{0})} & f(p)); \end{array} (3)$$

where w_{pp^0} is the probability of the electron transition from the state with the kinetic momentum p to the state with the kinetic momentum p^0 in a unit of time due to microwave radiation.

The non-equilibrium distribution function can be represented in the following form:

$$f(p) = f_0("(p)) + pg("(p));$$
 (4)

where f_0 ("(p)) is the equilibrium distribution function and g ("(p)) is an unknown function that depends only on electron energy.

Inserting (4) into the kinetic equation and linearizing upon E $_{\mbox{\scriptsize dc}}$, we obtain:

The main e ect of the ac electric eld amounts to changes in electron energy (and not its momentum). Taking all simplications mentioned into account, we can express the kinetic equation in the following form:

We shall search for a solution of (6) in the form of a power series on w_{pp^0} . Up to the linear term s, we have:

$$g(") = g_{0}(") \qquad \frac{1 + !_{c}^{2} ^{2}}{1 + !_{c}^{2} ^{2}} \stackrel{e}{m} ((1 \quad !_{c}^{2} ^{2}) E_{dc} + X$$

$$2 [!_{c} \quad E_{dc}]) \qquad w_{pp^{0}} \quad \frac{e f_{0}("^{0})}{e m^{0}} \quad \frac{e f_{0}(")}{e m} ; \quad (7)$$

where

$$g_0 = \frac{e}{1 + !_c^2 m} (E_{dc} + [!_c E_{dc}]) \frac{(e f_0)}{(e f_0)}$$
: (8)

Here ! $_{\rm c}$ = (eH)= (m c) and it has been taken into account that E $_{\rm dc}$? H .

Perform ing simple transform ations of (7), we obtain:

$$g(") = g_{0}(") \qquad \frac{2}{1 + \frac{1}{c}^{2}} = \frac{e}{m} ((1 + \frac{1}{c}^{2}) E_{dc} + \frac{2}{c} + \frac{e}{m} ((1 + \frac{1}{c}^{2}) E_{dc} + \frac{e}{m} ((1 + \frac{1}{c}) E_{dc} + \frac{e}{m} ((1 + \frac{$$

where w / E_{ac}^2 in 1 is ini / E_{ac}^2 n=!_c / E_{ac}^2 n=!_c / E_{ac}^2 n=!_c, E_{ac} is the amplitude of the ac electric eld with the frequency of!, (") is the density of states, and E_{ac}^2 is the magnetic length.

U sing the correction to the distribution function calculated above, we not the current density caused by radiation:

$$j = \frac{2e}{(2 \sim)^2 _0 m} \begin{bmatrix} Z_2 & Z_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} p^0 dp^0 d' ("^0) p^0 (p^0 g ("^0)); \quad (10)$$

where $_0 = m = (2 \sim^2)$ is the density of states without the magnetic eld for one spin direction.

Inserting the explicit form of g from (9) into (10), we obtain for diagonal components of the conductivity tensor:

Taking the energy integral under the assumption of strong degeneracy of electrons, we have:

$$\begin{array}{c} _{xx}^{ph} / \frac{^{2} (!_{c}^{2} ^{2} 1)}{!_{c}^{2} (1 + !_{c}^{2} ^{2})^{2}} () \\ X \\ ^{2} (\sim !) (\sim !_{c}^{2}) (\sim !_{c}^{2}) ; \end{array} (12)$$

where is the Fermi energy. Using an explicit expression for the density of states [6]

($_{\rm f}$ is a single-particle lifetime without magnetic eld) we obtain the following expression for diagonal photoconductivity:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{ph} & \text{ph; SdH} \\
\text{xx} & \text{xx}
\end{array} / \frac{!^{2} (!_{c}^{2} 1)^{2}}{!_{c}^{2} (1 + !_{c}^{2})^{2}} \cos \frac{2!}{!_{c}} \qquad (14)$$




FIG . 3: 0 scillations of 2D ES photoconductivity in the m agnetic eld, ! = 10

It follows from (14) that photon-assisted conductivity as a function of inverse magnetic eld gives rise to slow oscillations: / $\cos(2!=!_c)$, whereas usual SdH oscillations denoted as $_{\rm xx}^{\rm ph;\ SdH}$ contain factors like $\cos(2=\sim!_c)$, are fast and their amplitude decreases rapidly when increasing temperature.

The dependency of photoconductivity upon $!_c=!$, calculated according to Eq. (14), is plotted on Fig. 3.

It also follows from Eq. (14) that at ! $_{\text{C}}=1$ the amplitude of radiation-induced magnetoresistance oscillations vanishes. This is the very fact responsible for the \beat" observed in experiments [1, 2]. Note that the observation of the node of the \beat" in stronger magnetic elds in experiments [2] as compared to [1] is (as one can easily verify) a direct consequence of the lower electron mobility in experiments [2].

(1984).

^[1] M.A. Zudov, R.R. Du, L.N. Pfei er, K.W. W est. Phys. Rev. Lett. bf 90, 046807 (2003).

^[2] R. G. Mani, J. H. Smet, K. von Klitzing, V. Narayanamurti. Nature. 420, 646 (2002).

^[3] R.G.M aniet.al, arX iv:cond-m at/0303034

^[4] Y.A.Bychkov and E.I.Rashba, J.Phys.C.17, 6039

^[5] G. Lom m er, F. M alcher, and U. Rossler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 728 (1988)

^[6] T.Ando, A.B. Fow ler and F. Stem. Rev. Mod. Phys. 54, 437 (1982).