

Aging in the glass phase of a 2D random periodic elastic system

Gregory Schehr¹ and Pierre Le Doussal²¹Theoretische Physik Universität des Saarlandes 66041 Saarbrücken Germany²CNRS-Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de l'École Normale Supérieure, 24 Rue Lhomond 75231 Paris, France
(Dated: April 14, 2024)

Using RG we investigate the non-equilibrium relaxation of the (Cardy-Ostlund) 2D random Sine-Gordon model, which describes pinned arrays of lines. Its statics exhibits a marginal ($\beta = 0$) glass phase for $T < T_g$ described by a line of fixed points. We obtain the universal scaling functions for two-time dynamical response and correlations near T_g for various initial conditions, as well as the autocorrelation exponent. The fluctuation dissipation ratio is found to be non-trivial and continuously dependent on T .

PACS numbers:

Tremendous progress has been achieved in recent years in the detailed understanding of the out of equilibrium relaxational dynamics (e.g. coarsening) of pure systems. For instance the scaling forms of response and correlations are well characterized and several autocorrelation and persistence exponents have been computed using powerful methods [1]. By contrast, analytical studies of aging properties of random and complex systems have been mostly achieved within mean field theory [2]. These works have unveiled the existence of non trivial fluctuation dissipation ratios (FDR), X . These generalize to non-equilibrium situations the fluctuation dissipation relation between (disorder averaged) integrated response and correlation [2] and can be interpreted as an effective temperature $T_e = T/X$ [3]. These were later investigated beyond mean field for pure systems. Although they appear to be trivial for some pure domain growth processes [4], they were later found to be novel universal quantities at pure critical points [5, 6].

The description of glassy states beyond mean field needs to take into account very broad distribution of relaxation times originating from rare sample to sample fluctuations and distribution of barrier heights [7]. In this context it is not obvious how (or whether) the out of equilibrium dynamical scaling valid for pure systems extends to this case. Also it is not obvious that various definitions of FDR (using different observables, or different configurational averages) are equivalent and meaningful [8, 9, 10]. Some results were obtained for the random mass Ising critical point, via RG. The dynamical (z) and autocorrelation (β_c) exponent were computed [11]. A definition of the FDR (for the zero mode) was found to be non trivial [12] and obtained to one loop.

Whether such results hold within a glass phase, away from a phase transition, is still an outstanding question. A prototype system which exhibits a glass phase, while analytically more tractable, is the disordered elastic manifold in a random potential [13]. It describes a variety of physical situations, both in or out of equilibrium, ranging from visualization of pinned domain wall relaxation in magnets [14, 15], non equilibrium transport in elec-

tronic glasses [16] to mesoscopic fluctuations in vortex physics [17]. Here we investigate the case of a periodic manifold, a case which can be mapped [18, 19] onto the so-called Cardy-Ostlund (CO), 2D-random Sine Gordon model, [20] defined by the hamiltonian:

$$H[\phi] = \int d^2x \left(\frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi(x))^2 - \text{Re}(\phi(x) \bar{\phi}(x')) \right) \quad (1)$$

where $\phi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$ is an XY phase (excluded vortices), $\phi(x)$ is a quenched gaussian random field, i.e. a complex variable, with random phase and $\langle \phi(x) \bar{\phi}(x') \rangle = g^{(2)}(x - x')$. The statics of (1) has been extensively studied analytically and numerically, in the context of planar flux line arrays (with displacement field $u = a\phi/(2\pi)$ and mean spacing a) and solid on solid models with disordered substrates. It is known to exhibit a glass phase [21, 22] below T_g ($= 4$) described by a line of fixed points perturbatively controlled by the small parameter $\epsilon = (T_g - T)/T_g$ (see however [23]). By contrast, its (non driven) non equilibrium dynamics has only been studied within gaussian variational approximation GVA [24], known already in statics to yield unreliable results for some observables [25]. There is thus the need for a controlled study via RG.

In this paper we study the relaxation dynamics for the CO model in the glass phase $T < T_g$ starting from various initial conditions at $t_i = 0$ (e.g. with the same correlations as the pure model at equilibrium at temperature T^0). We compute, to lowest order in ϵ using RG along the fixed line, the two time ($t^0 < t$) response R , as well as the connected C and, respectively, off-diagonal C correlations. These are found to be characterized by (T^0 -dependent) universal scaling functions of $q^2(t - t^0)$ and $t - t^0$, q being the wavevector. We find that an autocorrelation exponent can be consistently defined, i.e. with $\beta_c = \beta_R$, only from the connected correlation (while the decay of C is too slow). Similarly, the associated FDR, found to be a scaling function, reaches at large time separation ($t - t^0 \rightarrow \infty$) a non trivial (and to one loop, q -independent) limit X_1 which is non zero only for the connected correlation. This sheds light on relevant de-

nition of the FDR in a glass phase.

Let us start by a short discussion of the statics. The 2-point correlation function exhibits anomalous growth

$$\overline{h(\mathbf{x})h(\mathbf{x}')}(0) = A(\lambda) \ln^2(x) + O(\ln x) \quad (2)$$

The RG to one loop [26] yields the universal [27] $A(\lambda) = 2^{-2} + O(\lambda^{-3})$. Numerical simulations near T_c [28] and at $T = 0$ agree qualitatively, and yield $A(\lambda = 1) = a_2 = 0.57$ [29] and $A(\lambda = 1) = 2(2 - \sqrt{2})B = 0.51$ [30]. A recent work [31] claims an exact solution for the 2-point correlation using a conjectured mapping onto disordered free fermion model. The result, translated in the present variables would yield $A(\lambda) = 2^{-2}(1 - \lambda^{-2})$. This however is clearly incompatible with numerics, showing that there is more (non perturbative?) physics to be understood even in the statics of this model. A more direct signature of the glassy nature of the phase is the sample to sample susceptibility fluctuations [22] which are found (within one loop RG) to be universal and $O(\lambda)$ along the fixed line. The free energy exponent in this glass phase is $\nu = 0$, indicating a free energy landscape with logarithmic roughness. This is consistent with the findings in the equilibrium dynamics: an anomalous diffusion exponent [32], continuously varying along the fixed line has been computed in RG which indicates a logarithmic growth of energy barriers with scale. These properties are characteristic of a marginal glass (by contrast with the case $\nu > 0$ described by a $T = 0$ fixed point). It is reminiscent of a related and simpler case of a vortex in a random gauge XY model where a freezing transition (at $z_c = 4$) was found along a line of fixed points [33].

The relaxational dynamics of the CO model (1) is described by a Langevin equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle = \frac{H[\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle]}{\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle} + \langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle \quad (3)$$

where the thermal noise $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle$ is such that $h(\mathbf{x}; t) = 0$, $h(\mathbf{x}; t) \langle \mathbf{x}^0; t^0 \rangle = 2T^{(2)}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^0)(t - t^0)$ where $T < T_g$ is the temperature and γ the friction ($\gamma = 1$ in the following). The system at initial time $t_i (= 0)$ is prepared in an equilibrium state of (1) without disorder at temperature $T^0 = T$, $[\langle \mathbf{x}; t=0 \rangle; \langle \mathbf{x}; t=0 \rangle] = T^0 q^{-2}$, q_{ij} being the Fourier transform, w.r.t. space coordinates, of the field $\langle \mathbf{x}; t \rangle$. Since the disorder is irrelevant above T_g , this choice of initial condition for $T^0 > T_g$ describes a quench from a high temperature phase to the glass phase ($T < T_g$). A quench to high temperature ($T > T_g$) is studied in [34].

We will focus on the correlation $C_{tt^0}^q$ and the connected (w.r.t. the thermal fluctuations) correlation $C_{tt^0}^c$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{tt^0}^q &= \overline{h_{qt} h_{qt^0}} \\ C_{tt^0}^c &= \overline{h_{qt} h_{qt^0}} - \overline{h_{qt}} \overline{h_{qt^0}} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and the response $R_{tt^0}^q$ to a small external field f_{qt^0}

$$R_{tt^0}^q = \frac{h_{qt^0}}{f_{qt^0}}; \quad t > t^0 \quad (5)$$

where $\overline{\cdot}$, h_{qt} and $[\cdot]_i$ denote respectively averages w.r.t. disorder, thermal fluctuations and initial conditions. We focus on the FDR $X_{tt^0}^q$ associated to the observable [2]:

$$\frac{1}{X_{tt^0}^q} = \frac{\partial_{t^0} C_{tt^0}^q}{TR_{tt^0}^q} \quad (6)$$

defined such that $X_{tt^0}^q = 1$ at equilibrium, i.e. when response and correlations depend only on $t - t^0$ [35].

The dynamics (3) of the CO model (1) is then studied using the standard MSR formalism [36, 37], using the Ito prescription. The correlations (4) and response (5) are then obtained from a dynamical (disorder averaged) generating functional or, equivalently, as functional derivatives of the corresponding dynamical effective action. This functional can be perturbatively computed [38] using the Exact RG equation associated to the multi-local operators expansion introduced in [39]. It allows to handle arbitrary cutoff functions $c(q^2 = 2\frac{t}{t^0})$ and check universality, independence w.r.t. $c(x)$ and the ultraviolet scale Λ_0 . It describes the evolution of Γ when an additional infrared cutoff Λ_1 is lowered from Λ_0 to its final value $\Lambda_1 \rightarrow 0$ where a fixed point of order $O(\lambda)$ is reached. In this limit, one obtains $R_{tt^0}^q$ and $C_{tt^0}^q$ (for $t > t^0$) from

$$\partial_t R_{tt^0}^q + (q^2 \int_{t_i}^t dt_1 \Gamma_{tt_1}^q) R_{tt^0}^q + \int_{t_i}^t dt_1 \Gamma_{tt_1}^q R_{t_1 t^0}^q = (t - t^0) \quad (7)$$

$$C_{tt^0}^c = 2T \int_{t_i}^t dt_1 R_{tt_1}^q R_{t_1 t^0}^q + \int_{t_i}^t dt_1 \int_{t_i}^t dt_2 R_{tt_1}^q D_{t_1 t_2}^c R_{t_1 t^0}^q \quad (8)$$

where the self energy $\Gamma_{t_1 t_2}$ and the noise-disorder kernel $D_{t_1 t_2}^c$ are directly obtained from Γ at the fixed point [40]. One finds (for details see [38, 41]):

$$\Gamma_{tt^0}^q = 2^{-1} e^{-\gamma} R_{tt^0}^{x=0} e^{\frac{1}{2}(B_{tt^0}^{(0)} + B_{tt^0}^{(d)})} \quad (9)$$

$$D_{tt^0}^c = T g 2^{-1} e^{-\gamma} e^{\frac{1}{2}(B_{tt^0}^{(0)} + B_{tt^0}^{(d)})} (1 - e^{C_{tt^0}^{(d)}}) \quad (10)$$

where γ is the Euler constant, $B_{tt^0}^{(d)} = C_{tt^0}^{(d)} + C_{tt^0}^{(d)}$, $2C_{tt^0}^{(d)}, C_{tt^0}^{(d)} = (t + t^0) \Gamma(t - t^0)$ ($\Gamma(t - t^0)$ is the bare Dirichlet propagator at coinciding points, $B_{tt^0}^{(0)} = (2 - \gamma)(t + t^0)$ (γ), arising from the average over the initial condition. We have defined $\Gamma(t) = \frac{T}{4} R_a \hat{C}(a) \ln(\frac{2}{t} + \frac{a}{2})$, using the parameterization $c(x) = \frac{a}{4} \hat{C}(a) e^{-ax}$ for the cutoff function, and denote $R_{tt^0}^{x=0} = (t - t^0) \frac{a}{4} \hat{C}(a) (\frac{2}{t} (t - t^0) + \frac{a}{2})^{-1}$ the bare response at coinciding points. Up to a boundary term, the correlation $C_{tt^0}^q$ satisfies (8) setting the $e^{C_{tt^0}^{(d)}}$ term to zero in the above expression for $D_{tt^0}^c$.

Studying Eq. (7) (setting $t_i = 0$), in the scaling regime $q = 0$, $1, \frac{2}{t^0}; \frac{2}{t^0} \rightarrow 1$ and keeping:

$$v = \tilde{q}(t - t^0); \quad u = \frac{t}{t^0} \quad (11)$$

xed, one finds a solution consistent with the scaling form :

$$R_{tt^0}^q = q^{z-2} \frac{t}{t^0}^R F_R(q^2(t-t^0); t=t^0) \quad (12)$$

similar to the form obtained for the response for critical systems [11, 37, 42]. Here the two exponents z and R are identified from the logarithmic singularities of the scaling function, respectively at $u \rightarrow 1$ and $u \rightarrow 1$. We find that z identifies with the equilibrium dynamical exponent $z = 2 + 2e^E + O(\epsilon^2)$ and is thus, as expected independent of initial conditions under study. The exponent R in (12), characteristic of long time non-equilibrium relaxation is also independent of :

$$R = e^E + O(\epsilon^2) \quad (13)$$

One also finds, with this choice of R , that $\lim_{u \rightarrow 1} F_R(v; u) = F_{R1}(v)$. The full scaling function $F_R(v; u)$ however depends on the initial conditions and is universal (up to a single overall non-universal length scale $q \rightarrow q$). Its explicit expression, given in [41], contains both non-equilibrium and equilibrium ($u \rightarrow 1$) regimes. We have checked to this order that it naturally splits into $F_R(v; u) = F_R^{\text{eq}}(v) + F_R^{\text{noneq}}(v; u)$. Here we give the non-equilibrium scaling function only in the large time separation limit $u \rightarrow 1$:

$$F_{R1}^{\text{noneq}}(v) = e^v \int_0^{Z_v} dt_2 \int_0^{Z_{t_2}} dt_1 (e^{t_2-t_1} - 1)^{\sim_{t_1 t_2}} + \sim_{t_1 t_2} = e^E \frac{1}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} \frac{t_2 + t_1}{2} \frac{1}{t_2 t_1} \quad (14)$$

where the constant $\sim_{t_1 t_2} = \frac{R_1}{1} \int_0^{R_1} dt_2 \int_0^{R_1} dt_1 \sim_{t_1 t_2}$ is a monotonic decreasing function of ϵ . The large v behaviour is a power law. The form (14) is convergent due to the subtraction of the pole at $t_2 = t_1$. The logarithmic divergence associated to this pole yields a non trivial z exponent. The subtracted piece precisely gives the equilibrium scaling function for the response $F_R^{\text{eq}}(v)$, up to $O(\epsilon^2)$:

$$F_R^{\text{eq}}(v) = e^v + e^E ((v-1) \text{Ei}(v) e^v + e^v - 1) \quad (15)$$

where $\text{Ei}(v)$ is the exponential integral. The same result is also obtained if t_1 is taken to be $t_1 = 1$ from the outset (e.g. at large but fixed system size), showing that the nonequilibrium regime of the scaling function merges smoothly with the equilibrium one [43].

We now turn to correlation functions. To obtain the equilibrium correlation one can simply use FDT which holds in this regime (i.e. $X_{tt^0}^q = 1$) and $C_{tt^0}^{\text{eq}} = T q^{z-2} F_C^{\text{eq}}(v)$, with $\partial_v F_C^{\text{eq}}(v) = F_R^{\text{eq}}(v)$. One finds that at equilibrium and to this order in ϵ , C and C coincide.

The non equilibrium connected correlation is already non trivial in the absence of disorder [44]. It also

takes a scaling form $C_{tt^0}^q = T q^{z-2} F_C^q(q^2(t-t^0); t=t^0)$ with $F_C^q(v; u) = e^{v/2} e^{\frac{u+1}{u-1}}$, $z = 2$. The FDR $X_{tt^0}^q = (1 + e^{2\frac{v}{u-1}})^{-1}$ interpolates between 1 and $1/2$ as $u = t/t^0$ increases from 1 to ∞ (for $q \neq 0$), while $X_{tt^0}^{q=0} = \frac{1}{2}$ the "random walk" value. In presence of disorder one can solve (8) perturbatively in ϵ using the above solution for the response. One obtains that $C_{tt^0}^q$, in the scaling regime, is consistent with the scaling form [45]

$$C_{tt^0}^q = \frac{T}{q^2} \frac{t}{t^0}^C F_C(q^2(t-t^0); t=t^0) \quad (16)$$

The calculation [41] shows that $C = R$, yielding an autocorrelation exponent $C = z(C-1)$:

$$C = 2 + O(\epsilon^2) \quad (17)$$

This value of C is also such that $\lim_{u \rightarrow 1} u F_C(v; u) = F_{C1}(v)$. We have obtained the complete expression of $F_C(v; u)$ [41] from which we can extract the large u behaviour. We then discover the relation, valid for any

$$F_{C1}(v) = (2 + 4e^E) v F_{R1}(v) + O(\epsilon^2) \quad (18)$$

Having determined both response and correlation we now obtain, in the scaling regime, the FDR (6). It is also characterized by the universal scaling function

$$\frac{1}{X_{tt^0}^q} = F_X(q^2(t-t^0); t=t^0) \quad (19)$$

which also depends on ϵ and has a complicated form [41]. In the limit of large time separation i.e. $q^2(t-t^0) \rightarrow \infty$, $t=t^0 \rightarrow 1$, using (14, 18) it simplifies into:

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 1} \frac{1}{X_{tt^0}^q} = 2 + 2e^E + O(\epsilon^2) = \frac{1}{X_1} \quad (20)$$

independently of v and ϵ , i.e. of (small) wavevector and initial condition. There is thus a non trivial asymptotic FDR in the CO glass phase, which similarly to the case of pure critical points is in the interval $[1/2; 0]$. Here however it continuously depends on temperature T .

As in previous works [6, 10, 12] one can also examine the "diffusive" mode $q = 0$. It is possible to obtain a simple analytical form for any $u = t/t^0$ in the case $\epsilon = 0$ (at initial conditions $\langle x; t = 0 \rangle = 0$), up to order $O(\epsilon^2)$

$$\frac{1}{X_{tt^0}^{q=0}} = F_X^{\text{di}}(u) = 2 + 2e^E \frac{1}{u} \text{atanh} \frac{1}{u} \quad (21)$$

Although this quantity depends on ϵ in general it reaches an ϵ -independent limit for $u \rightarrow 1$. It is also interesting to compute $X_{tt^0}^{x=0}$ in real space. In previous works [6], it was argued that

$$\lim_{t^0 \rightarrow 1} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} X_{tt^0}^{x=0} = \lim_{t^0 \rightarrow 1} \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} X_{tt^0}^{q=0} = X_1 \quad (22)$$

The v -independence found above (20) puts in the present case these heuristic arguments on firmer grounds [6].

Following the discussion in [2], this X_1 leads to an effective temperature $T_e = T/X_1$, that can be measured by a thermometer coupled to the field $\langle x; t \rangle$. Indeed, Fourier transforming Eq. (12, 16), one checks that the local $R_{tt}^{x=0}$ and $C_{tt}^{x=0}$ are precisely of the form given in [47].

It turns out that it is crucial to consider the connected correlation to obtain a non trivial FDR. We have also performed the calculation [38] for the correlation function C_{tt}^q (4). It exhibits a scaling form similar to (16) which decreases more slowly than C_{tt}^q for large u . If we impose $\lim_{u \rightarrow 1} u F_C(v; u) = F_{C1}(v)$ one finds, for $\beta = 0$ that $c = c_0 + \frac{1}{2}$, leading to $c = 1 - e^{\beta} + O(\beta^2)$. The FDR is found to be $X_{tt}^{q=0} = (2 + e^{\beta} \bar{u})^{-1}$ and thus does not seem to approach (uniformly in β) a non trivial limit at large u . Thus, although both correlations give the same equilibrium result to order $O(\beta)$, only the connected one, as defined here, yields a non trivial asymptotic FDR in the non equilibrium regime. For $\beta \neq 0$, the large u behavior is dominated by the initial condition and $F_C(v; u) \rightarrow F_{C1}(v)$ [42, 48]. The present considerations are also of interest for pure systems when initial conditions are non zero, e.g. in a quench from an ordered phase (or correlated initial conditions $\beta \neq 0$) [34, 42, 48]. Indeed, in that case one can distinguish connected and non-connected correlations (4) which can also lead to different behaviours of the FDR.

We have found a physically relevant glass phase in which one can show analytically the existence of a non trivial FDR. It is a robust quantity independent of the initial condition under study and, for some observables appear to be related to an effective temperature T_e . Its continuous dependence on T reflects the marginal character of this glass phase. Our analytical predictions can be tested in numerical simulations [49]. They are in good agreement near T_g and it would be interesting to investigate the aging behaviour at lower temperature, where hints of some new physics already appear in the statics.

GS acknowledges the financial support provided through the European Community's Human Potential Program under contract HPRN-CT-2002-00307, DYGLAGEMEM.

[1] A. J. Bray, Adv. Phys. 43, 357 (1994).

[2] For a recent review see L. F. Cugliandolo, Dynamics of glassy systems to appear in Slow relaxation and nonequilibrium dynamics in condensed matter, J. L. Barrat et al., Springer-Verlag, 2002.

[3] L. F. Cugliandolo et al., Phys. Rev. E 55, 3898 (1997)

[4] L. Berthier et al., Eur. Phys. J. B, 11, 635 (1999).

[5] C. Godreche and J. Luck, J. Phys. : Condens. Matter 14, 1589 (2002).

- [6] P. Calabrese and A. Gambassi, Phys. Rev. E 65, 066120 (2002); Phys. Rev. E 66, 066101 (2002).
- [7] L. Balents and P. Le Doussal, Europhys. Lett. 65, 685 (2004).
- [8] H. E. Castillo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 88, 237201 (2002).
- [9] D. S. Fisher et al., Phys. Rev. E 64, 066107 (2001).
- [10] P. Mayer et al. Phys. Rev. E 68, 016116 (2003).
- [11] J. G. K. Kissner, Phys. Rev. B 46, 2676 (1992); K. Oerding and H. K. Janssen, J. Phys. A 28, 4271 (1995).
- [12] P. Calabrese and A. Gambassi, Phys. Rev. B 66, 212407, (2002).
- [13] G. B. Latter et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 1125 (1994); T. Giamarchi and P. Le Doussal, in Spin Glasses and Random fields, edited by A. P. Young, Statics and dynamics of disordered elastic systems, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998); T. Nattermann and S. Scheidl, Adv. Phys. 49, 607 (2000).
- [14] S. Lemery et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 849 (1998).
- [15] T. Shibauchi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett., 87, 267201 (2001).
- [16] O. Cohen et al., Phys. Rev. B 50, 10442, (1994).
- [17] C. Bolle et al., Nature 399, 43, (1999).
- [18] T. Nattermann et al., Europhys. Lett. 16, 295 (1991).
- [19] T. Giamarchi and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. B 52, 1242 (1995).
- [20] J. L. Cardy and S. Ostlund, Phys. Rev. B 25, 6899 (1982).
- [21] J. Toner and D. P. Di Lorenzo, Phys. Rev. B 41, 632 (1990).
- [22] T. Hwa and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2466 (1994).
- [23] S. Ares et al., Europhys. Lett. 66, 552 (2004). A. Sanchez et al. Phys. Rev. E 62, 3219 (2000).
- [24] D. Cule and Y. Shapir Phys. Rev. B 51, 3305 (1995).
- [25] It exhibits standard mean field phenomenology, with a non trivial $X = T/T_g$ (and its static equivalent [19]) for each mode q , somewhat different from the picture emerging here from the RG (where q and t must be scaled).
- [26] for review see D. Carpentier, P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. B 55 12128 (1997).
- [27] being fixed by the connected thermal correlation.
- [28] C. Zeng et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4860 (1999) and Ref. therein.
- [29] H. Rieger and U. Blasum, Phys. Rev. B 55, R7394 (1997).
- [30] C. Zeng et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3204 (1996).
- [31] S. Guruswamy et al., Nucl. Phys. B 583 FS 475 (2000).
- [32] Y. Y. Goldschmidt and B. Shaub, Nucl. Phys. B 251, 77 (1985); Y. C. Tsai and Y. Shapir, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1773 (1992).
- [33] D. Carpentier and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. E 63 026110 (2001); H. Castillo and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 4859 (2001).
- [34] L. Berthier et al., J. Phys. A 34, 1805 (2001).
- [35] Different definitions of X_{tt}^q , e.g. involving C_{tt}^q , are shown to give trivial results in the nonequilibrium regime.
- [36] P. C. Martin et al., Phys. Rev. A 8, 423 (1973).
- [37] H. K. Janssen et al., Z. Phys. B 73, 539 (1989).
- [38] G. Schehr and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. E 68, 046101 (2003).
- [39] P. Chauve and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. E 64, 051102 (2001); S. Scheidl and Y. Dincor, cond-mat/0006048.
- [40] all results here are thus valid for $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} q, \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} 1-t^0$
- [41] G. Schehr and P. Le Doussal to be published.
- [42] A. Picone and M. Henkel, J. Phys. A 35, 5575 (2002).
- [43] since equilibrium is defined from $t^0 \rightarrow 1$ at fixed β , this is not an obvious statement given [40].

- [44] L.F. Cugliandolo, J.Phys.(France) 4, 1641 (1994).
- [45] $\chi = 0$ to all orders in ϵ , from Statistical Tilt Symmetry [46].
- [46] U. Schulz et al, J. Stat. Phys. 51, 1 (1988).
- [47] See formula above Eq. (14.4) in [2].
- [48] A.J. Bray et al, Phys.Rev.B 43, 3699 (1991).
- [49] G. Schehr and H. Rieger to be published.