## Localization and Interaction E ects in Strongly Underdoped La<sub>2 x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub>

Marta Z. Cieplak, A. Malinowski, S. Guha, and M. Berkowski  $^{1}$ 

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Physics, Polish Academ y of Sciences, 02 668 W arsaw, Poland

 $^2\,$  D epartm ent of P hysics and A stronom y, R utgers U niversity, P iscataway, N J 08855, U SA

(D ated: M arch 22, 2022)

The in-plane magnetoresistance (MR) in La<sub>2 x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> In s with 0.03 < x < 0.05 has been studied in the temperature range 1.6 K to 100 K, and in magnetic elds up to 14 T, parallel and perpendicular to the CuO<sub>2</sub> planes. The behavior of the MR is consistent with a predominant in uence of interaction e ects at high temperatures, switching gradually to a regime dominated by spin scattering at low T. W eak localization e ects are absent. A positive orbital MR appears close to the boundary between the antiferrom agnetic and the spin-glass phase, suggesting the onset of M aki-Thom pson superconducting uctuations deep inside the insulating phase.

PACS num bers: 74.25.-q, 74.25 Fy, 74.72 Dn, 74.76 Bz, 74.20 Mn

D oping of charge carriers drives the high- $T_c$  cuprates from the insulating and antiferrom angetic (AF) phase, to a spin-glass (SG), and to a m etallic and a superconducting (SC) phase. Understanding the nature of this evolution is a fundam entalbut still controversial problem . The properties of the underdoped regine are very unusual, som ehow related to the pseudogap opening observed by angle resolved photoem ission (ARPES)[1]. Some non-Ferm i-liquid theories propose the existence of hidden order, such as charge stripes, spin-charge separation, or orbital currents [2]. On the other hand, a recent Ferm iliquid m odel, with strong AF and SC uctuations of M aki-T hom pson (MT) type, accounts well for transport anom alies of the pseudogapped state [3].

A related puzzling, but less studied feature, is the conductance in the SG region. It is metallic-like at high tem peratures, changing gradually into variable range hopping (VRH) at low T [4, 5]. Recent ARPES experiment on the underdoped La<sub>2 x</sub>Sr<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> (LSCO) reveals the em ergence of sharp nodal quasiparticle (QP) peak [6]. The peak appears rst in the SG at x = 0.03, and its spectralweight grows linearly with the increase of x, suggesting that it is responsible for the metallic conductance at high T. The question arises what is the origin of the low-T localization of the QP states. Calculations show that a narrow QP band may arise in a system with disordered charge inhom ogeneities (stripes) [7], and there are suggestions that the charge stripes, combined with the weak localization, may account for the conductance behavior [8]. However, before considering these new approaches, one should study experim entally the low -T localization and compare it to the standard localization and interaction theories (LIT) for disordered system s [9].

In this study we probe, for the st time, the localization and interaction e ects in the SG regime of LSCO (0.03 < x < 0.048). We use MR measurements in the longitudinal (LMR) and transverse (TMR) con gurations, with the magnetic eld B parallel or perpendicular to the ab planes, respectively. In two-dimensional (2D) systems the LMR usually probes spin-related e ects, while the TMR may, in addition, contain orbital contributions [9]. We nd that the high-T metallic-like conduction displays features which are in qualitative agreement with the standard LIT picture. The most in portant noting is the absence of weak localization and the predominant inuence of interactions. The positive orbital MR suggests the onset of superconducting uctuations of the MT type deep inside the insulating phase, con ming the importance of the MT e ect suggested in Ref. 8].

O ur sam ples are c-axis aligned epitaxial lm s, about 6000 A thick, made by pulsed laser deposition on substrates of LaSrA D<sub>4</sub> [10]. To minimize the substrateinduced strain and the oxygen de ciency we select the

In s with the lowest resistivity and smallest surface roughness from a large body of specim ens, as described in Refs. [11, 12]. The Im shave resistivities about 30 to 60% larger than in the best bulk single crystals with the same x [5]. However, the superconductor-insulator (SI) transition occurs in  $\ln s$  at x = 0.05, just as it does in single crystals. In addition, we have found that the MR is insensitive to strain [11, 12], and the MR in the lms is the same as that in single crystals with the same x [13] so that we are led to believe that the data measured in these Ims relect the genuine behavior of the MR. The m easurem ents were done in the four-probe con guration, with magnetic elds up to 14 T, and tem peratures down to 1.6 K. The data were accumulated either by sweeping the eld or by sweeping the tem perature, and the results were found to be consistent with one another.

The inset in Fig. 1 shows the T-dependence of the abplane conductivity, ab, at zero magnetic eld, for the  $m \le w \pm h \ge 0.03; 0.045$ , and 0.048, and  $k_F = 1 \ge 20K$ equal to 0.08, 0.35, and 0.57, respectively. Here  $k_F$  is the Ferm i wave vector, 1 is the mean-free path, and we use  $k_F l = hd_{ab} = e^2$  (d is the distance between the CuO<sub>2</sub> planes). This form ula underestim ates  $k_F \mid$  for nodal QP, ARPES shows that  $k_F$  l around node is much larger [6]. The main gures 1 (a) and 1 (b) show the T-dependence  $_0) = _0$ , where of the MR, de ned as  $=_0 = (B)$ 0 is the resistivity at zero eld, measured in the LMR and TMR con gurations. Below about 3K ab (T) approaches the M ott V R H law and both the LM R and T M R are large and negative. The appearance of the negative LM R coincides approximately with the development of



FIG.1: The T-dependence of the LMR (a) and TMR (b) at the magnetic eld of 14 T for La  $_{\rm X}$  Sr<sub>x</sub>CuO<sub>4</sub> lm s with x = 0.03;0.045, and 0.048. The inset shows the T-dependence of the <sub>ab</sub> at zero magnetic eld. (c) LMR and (d) TMR as a function of B for x = 0.048 for several xed temperatures indicated in the gures. The data below 4.2 K were obtained from temperature sweeps at xed elds.

the magnetic quasi-elastic neutron scattering intensity in the SG phase [14]. The magnitude of the negative MR is similar in two lm s with larger strontium contents, and it is substantially larger for x = 0.03. This suggests that the negative MR is a spin-related e ect, which becomes stronger as the AF correlations grow with the decrease of x. At higher T there is a gradual transition to a weaker T-dependence of the conductivity, ab / ln T. The slope  $S_0 = d(hT) = d(hT)$  increases by a factor of 3.5 when x grows from 0.03 to 0.048. This is accompanied by the gradual appearance of a positive contribution to both LMR and TMR. The TMR is always larger than the LM R, and the di erence increases as x grows. This indicates that there is a substantial orbital contribution to the MR (OMR) related to the presence of delocalized carriers at high T. The existence of the positive OMR is also evident from the dependencies of the LMR and the TMR on the magnetic eld, which are shown in Figs. 1 (c) and 1 (d) for x = 0.048 for several xed tem peratures. By analogy with conventional disordered metals we call the high-T range, the "weakly localized regime" (W L), and the low -T range, the "strongly localized regime" (SL). In the following we focus primarily on the W L regime.



FIG. 2: <sub>jj</sub> as a function of h (B =T) for a lm with x = 0.048. The data were taken during temperature sweeps at the constant elds indicated in the gure. The dotted lines are guides to the eye. The arrows identify the characteristic temperatures T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>3</sub> (de ned in the text) at 14 T. The solid lines, grey and black, show the common dependencies followed by the data. The inset shows the eld dependencies of T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>. The dashed line is tted to T<sub>1</sub> (B).

Fig. 2 shows the LMR data for x = 0.048, replotted as a magnetic-eld induced change of the conductivity,

 $_{ii} = 0^2$ , versus B = T. Qualitatively sim ilar be-;; = havior is observed for di erent x. We de ne three characteristic tem peratures,  $T_1 - T_3$ , which are shown in Fig. 2 for the data taken in a eld of 14 T.At T >  $T_1$ , which corresponds to B =T << 1, all data for di erent elds fol- $_{ij} = S_L (B = T)^2$  (solid grey low one common curve, line). The parameter  $S_L$  increases by a factor of about 5 as x grows from 0.03 to 0.048, an increase by about the same order of magnitude as the increase of  $S_0$  [15]. At  $T_1$ the positive contribution deviates from the quadratic dependence and saturates. This is followed by the appearance of a negative contribution, until at the tem perature  $T_2$  the data pin a second common curve, best described by a simple functional form  $tanh^2$  [c (B = T )] (solid ii black line). At the lowest T the negative LMR deviates from this curve and a new anomaly appears at  $T_3$ .

The inset to Fig. 2 shows the eld dependence of  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_3$ .  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ , which are related to the negative contribution of the LM R, are eld-independent. Apparently the spin-related scattering is in uenced mainly by the temperature, not by the external magnetic eld. This suggests the presence of strong internal elds and is consistent with SG ordering driven by the temperature-induced localization of carriers. It is most likely that the low -T LM R results from the suppression of spin-disorder (sd) scattering by the magnetic eld, that the sim ple functional form re ects the dependence of the localm agnetization on B = T, and that the reduction of the scattering rate below the SG freezing temperature leads to the anom aly around  $T_3$ . M ore discussion of this behavior will be presented elsew here.

On the other hand  $T_1$  increases with B. We associate the positive contribution in the WL regime with



orb as a function of T for lm swith x = 0.03, FIG.3: (a) 0.045, and 0.048 at a magnetic eld of 14 T. The data for x = 0.03 are multiplied by 10. (b)  $S_{orb}^{1=2} = [$ orb]<sup>1=2</sup>=B as a function of T on a double logarithm ic scale. The data for x = 0.048 are shown for several magnetic elds between 4 T and 14 T, and the data for x = 0.03 and x = 0.045 are for eld of 14 T. The solid lines are tted to the data at 14 а T and at T > 16 K. The inset in (b) shows the exponent p as a function of x. (c)  $_{orb}$  as a function of  $\ln B = T$  for x = 0:048.  $T_{\text{ol}}$  and  $T_{\text{ol}}$  are crossover tem peratures shown for a eld of 14 T. The inset in (c) shows the eld dependencies of  $T_{\text{ol}}$  and  $T_{\text{o2}}$  . The dashed line is the slope of the dependence  $T_{\text{ol}}\left(B\right)$  for high magnetic elds.

the e ect of Zeem an splitting in the particle-hole (p-h) interaction channel. According to LIT [9], in a 2D system this e ect should be described by the dependence

g<sub>2</sub>(h), where h = g  $_B B = k_B T$ , and g<sub>2</sub>(h) is a function which has limiting behaviors g<sub>2</sub> = 0.084h<sup>2</sup> for h << 1, and g<sub>2</sub> = ln (h=1.3) for h >> 1. Therefore, we expect the deviations from the (B=T)<sup>2</sup> curve to occur when g  $_B B$   $k_B T$ , i.e. when T=B = g  $_B = k_B = g$  0.67 K/T. The straight line tted to the dependence of T<sub>1</sub> on B has a slope T<sub>1</sub>=B of about (2.0 0.4) K/T, giving an e ective g-factor of about (3 0.6), enhanced in comparison with the free-electron value. The tted line has a

nite intercept, which is not predicted by the theory. The deviations from theory are probably the results of the increasing in uence of sd scattering as the tem perature  $T_2$  is approached from above, although the enhancement of g m ay be also a genuine e ect caused by strong internal elds in the SG phase.

N ext we consider the TM R. If the spin-orbit coupling is sm all, one expects that the LM R is isotropic. A ssum ing tentatively that this is the case, we extract the OMR by subtracting the LMR from the TMR.Fig. 3a shows that

 $_{\rm orb}$  is positive, and has the form of a pronounced maximum, which rapidly increases with an increase of x. This OMR of the insulating samples evolves smoothly into a large OMR for SC  $\,$  ms with x > 0.06 which we have studied previously [13]. In SC  $\,$  ms the OMR diverges above the SC transition temperature. A recent Ferm i liquid theory explains the OMR divergence, together with other anom alous transport properties below the pseudogap opening, by the interplay of strong AF and SC  $\,$  uctuations of the MT type  $\beta$ ]. The smooth evolution across the SI transition suggests that the origin of the positive OMR may be similar below and above the SI transition, possibly related to MT  $\,$  uctuations.

Fig. 3c shows  $_{\rm orb}$  as a function of B =T for x = 0.048. At the highest tem peratures, which correspond to sm allB =T, the data follow a (B =T)<sup>2</sup>-dependence. Below the tem perature  $T_{o1}$  a crossover occurs to a weaker dependence, and at a still low er tem perature,  $T_{o2}$ , the O M R decreases rapidly. The eld dependencies of  $T_{o1}$  and  $T_{o2}$ , show n in the inset, reveal that  $T_{o2}$  is constant. In fact, com parison with Fig. 2 tells us that  $T_{o2}$  closely m atches the tem perature  $T_2$ , below which sd scattering dom inates the LM R .W e conclude that the low -T suppression of the OM R is associated with strong sd scattering. On the other hand  $T_{o1}$  increases with increasing eld.

W hen x decreases,  $_{\rm orb}$  is still proportional to B<sup>2</sup>, but the T-dependence changes. We derive the relation  $orb = S_{orb}(T) B^2$ , where  $S_{orb}(T)$  is the for each x, coe cient which depends on tem perature as a power-law, T  $^{2p}$ , with the exponent p which changes with x. Sorb In Fig. 3b we plot  $S_{orb}^{1=2} = [$ orb]<sup>1=2</sup>=B as a function of T on a double logarithm ic scale. Note  $\;$  rst that  $S_{\rm orb}$ decreases by two orders of m agnitude when x drops from 0.048 to 0.03. This may be compared with the change of  $S_0$  or  $S_L$ , both of which decrease by a much smaller factor. This shows that the suppression of the OMR is not simply related to the decrease of concentration of delocalized carriers, but involves a process which is more strongly dependent on x. The slope of the linear dependence gives the exponent p, which is shown as a function of x in the inset to Fig. 3b. It reduces approxim ately linearly with a decrease of x, from 0:99 0:03 for x = 0:048, to 0:27 0:1 for x = 0:03. Extrapolating the linear dependence p(x) to p = 0 we get the value of x above which the OMR is observed,  $x_c = 0.023$ . This is close to x = 0.02, which is the boundary between the AF phase and the SG phase. A pparently the OMR is detectable as soon as the concentration of carriers is large enough to frustrate the long-range AF order.

A coording to LIT [9] a positive OMR may be caused by weak localization in the presence of strong spin-orbit scattering. However, a change of sign of the OMR for high magnetic elds is then expected, and we see no trace of that. A nother possible source of the positive OMR is the particle-particle (p-p) interaction channel. A particular type of p-p scattering is analogous to the MT process of electron interactions with SC uctuations [6]. The magnitude of this e ect is very small for normal metals with repulsive interactions, but may be large in systems with attractive interactions. The functional form of the OMR is the same as that caused by weak localization, with two distinct dependencies on the magnetic eld,  $(B = B_{in})^2$  for  $B << B_{in}$  and  $\ln (B = B_{in})$  for  $B >> B_{in}$ . Here  $B_{in} = \sim = 4eD_{in}$ , where D is the diffusion coe cient, and  $_{in}$  is the inelastic scattering time, usually given by  $_{in}$  T  $^p$ , with an exponent p which depends on the scattering mechanism.

These predictions would be consistent with our experin ent, if we interpret the  $T_{o1}$  (B)-line as a crossover between distinct dependencies on  $B = B_{in}$ . To avoid the inuence of sd scattering we t the straight line to the  $T_{o1}$  (B) data at highest magnetic elds. W e get  $T=B_{in}$  = 12 K/T, and from this we obtain D  $_{\rm in}$  = 198T  $^{-1}$  nm  $^2$  . The inelastic scattering length,  $L_{in} = (D_{in})^{1=2}$ , is about 30 A at 20 K. The decrease of x to 0.03 reduces this distance to about 10 A. This may be compared to the m ean-free path estim ated from ARPES experiment, 16 A at 20 K for x = 0.03 [6]. The agreement between these two experiments is very good. Using the di usion coe cient (from conductivity) for x = 0.048 lm, 0:39 cm<sup>2</sup>/s at 20 K we get the inelastic scatter-D 2:6 10 <sup>13</sup> s (this should be treated as ing time <sub>in</sub> an upper bound since D from conductivity is underestim ated). The magnitude of  $_{\rm in}$  is at least two orders of m agnitude sm aller than in conventional disordered m etals, revealing much stronger interactions. On the other hand, the exponent p = 1 for x = 0.048 is consistent with the expectations for electron-electron interactions in a disordered 2D m etal [9]. The reduction of p with the decrease of x is anom alous, pointing to an unusual strong scattering weakly dependent on T.

The previous MR experiments on metallic (but nonsuperconducting) high- $T_c$  systems found a power-law T – dependence of in with small p, close to our results for x = 0:03, but the OMR was negative, caused by weak localization [17, 18]. It is tempting to speculate that the small value of p may be a generic feature of a narrow nodal QP band, which becomes evident in the absence of the M T process. However, the di erences between the previous cases and the LSCO system may be more profound, including, for example, the di erences in the electronic structure and the opening of the pseudogap, the magnitude of spin-related scattering, etc. More experiments on the SG samples for di erent cuprates are needed to search for sim ilar OMR behavior in other system s.

We now consider what are the implications of these results for the understanding of the SI transition in cuprates. First, the behavior of the positive OMR suggests that it originates in the MT contribution, and this is in a very good agreem ent with the Ferm i-liquid description of the pseudogap state proposed in R ef.[3]. How ever, it is not yet clear how far into the insulating SG phase this description m ay be extended. In addition, our discussion is based on the qualitative com parison with the standard LIT, which m ay not exactly hold for the narrow QP band. Secondly, our results indicate that the model of conducting stripes combined with weak localization e ect is not su cient to describe the conductance in strongly underdoped LSCO.On the other hand, it should be stressed that the appearance of the positive OMR deep in the insulating phase does not rule out the theories based on the charge inhom ogeneity picture. One can im agine that the uctuations may be enhanced in the regions with ΜТ high concentration of carriers. More elaborate models based on a stripe picture are needed, incorporating the presence of MT uctuations.

In conclusion, we have revealed the origin of the effective localization of the QP states at low temperatures in the strongly underdoped LSCO. The MR experiment shows that the weak localization e ect is absent. Instead, these are the interaction e ects which play the decisive role. The LIT picture [9] gives a good qualitative description of the MR in the WL regime, reproducing the dependence on the eld and temperature. It suggests the onset of the MT uctuations at the AF-SG phase boundary.

W e appreciate discussions with T.D ietland P.Lindenfeld. This work was supported by the Polish Comm ittee for Scienti c Research, KBN, grant 2 P03B 044 23.

- [L] Andrea D am ascelli, ZhiX un Shen, and Zahid Hussain, Rev.M od.Phys. (2002).
- [2] S. Sachdev and S.-C. Zhang, Science 295, 452 (2002).
- [3] H.Kontani, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 237003 (2002).
- [4] M.A.Kastner, et al, Rev.Mod.Phys.70, 897 (1998).
- [5] Y.Ando, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 017001 (2001).
- [6] T.Yoshida, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 027001 (2003).
- [7] M. Granath, et al., Phys. Rev. B 65, 184501 (2002).
- [8] V.V.Moshchalkov, J.Vanacken, and L. Trappeniers,
- Phys.Rev.B 64, 214504 (2001). [9] Patrick A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Rev. Mod.
- Phys. 57, 287 (1985). [10] M.Z.Cieplak, et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 65, 3383 (1994).
- [1] M. F. Gimble et al. Phys. Dec. 00, 3303 (1991).
- [11] M.Z.Cieplak, et al., Phys.Rev.B 65, 100504R (2002).

- [12] A.Malinowski, et al., Phys. Rev. B 66, 104512 (2002).
- [13] F.F.Balakirev, et al., Phys. Rev. B 57, R 8083 (1998).
- [14] S.W akim oto, et al, Phys.Rev.B 60, R769 (1999).
- [15] In conventional disordered system s the parameters  $S_{\rm L}$
- and S<sub>0</sub> and the LIT equations [9] could be used to estim ate the relative contribution of the localization and interaction e ects. However, numerical coe cients in these equations will be probably modil ed in case of narrow nodal QP band and it is not immediately obvious to us how to modify them.
- [16] A. I. Larkin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. P is m a Red. 31, 239 (1980) [JETP Lett. 31, 219 (1980)].
- [17] T.W. Jing, et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 67, 761 (1991).
- [18] S.J.Hagen, et al., Phys. Rev. B 45, R 515 (1992).