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#### Abstract

W e develop the $K$ eldysh form alism for the polarization dynam ics of an open spin system. We apply it to the swapping betw een two qubit states in a m odel describing an NM R cross-polarization experim ent. The environm ent is a set of interacting spins. For fast uctuations in the environm ent, the analytical solution show se ects $m$ issed by the secular approxim ation of the $Q$ uantum $M$ aster Equation for the density $m$ atrix: a frequency decrease depending on the system -environm ent escape rate and the quantum quadratic short tim e behavior. Considering fill $\mathrm{mem}-$ ory of the bath correlations yields a progressive change of the swapping frequency.


## 1 Introduction

The characterization and controlof spin dynam ics in open and closed spin system s of interm ediate size rem ain a problem of great interest [ī1]. R ecently, such system s have becom e increasingly im portant in the em erging eld of quantum inform ation processing [2]l. The quantum interferences of these system sbecom e dam ped by the lack of isolation from the environm ent and one visualizes this phenom enon as decoherence. Indeed, the inclusion of the degrees of freedom of the environm ent $m$ ay easily becom $e$ an unsolvable problem and requires approxim ations not fully quanti ed. This $m$ otivates a revival of interest on previous

[^0]studies in various elds such as $N$ uclearM agnetic $R$ esonance $\overline{3} \bar{n}$, quantum trans port [] [i] and the quantum -classical correspondence problem [], on their application to em ergent elds like the quantum com putation $\bar{\sim}$ and $m$ olecular electronics $[10,11,1]$
$T$ he $m$ ost standard fram ew ork adopted to describe the system -environm ent interaction is the use of the $Q$ uantum $M$ aster Equation, derived from the Liouvillevon $N$ ew $m$ an equation $[14,1]$ in in a fast uctuation approxim ation. Interactions w th the environm ent occurat a rate given by the Ferm iG olden Rule (FGR) providing a dissipative $m$ echanism that could induce a non unitary dynam ics into the system. A $n$ overall (conservation) balance condition is obtained by im posing a convergence into the them alequilibrium state. W hile su cient form ost traditional applications, this approxim ation leaves aside im portant m em ory effects and interferences in the tim e dom ain produced by the coherent interaction betw een the system and the bath which are becom ing of increasing interest [1]].

The present work focuses on two spin correlation functions in sm all open system $s$ w ith environm entalinteractions under conditions where the dynam ical feedback e ects, that go beyond the Ferm iG olden Rule, becom e relevant. For this, we will resort to the K eldysh non-equilibrium form alism which leads to an integral solution of the Schrodinger equation. W hile this novel situation is presented here for the rst time, the form alism already inspired original experim ental and theoretical developm ents in coherent spin dynam ics involving quantum interferences in the tim e dom ain. In particular, it was used to develop the notion of polarization waves leading to m esoscopic echoes $[1], 1], 1]$, to establish the in uence of chaos on tim e reversal (Loschm idt echoes) ", to establish the possibility of a spin projection chrom atography [ $[2]=$ In . A rough account ofm any-body decoherence enabled the interpretation of anom alies in spin \di usion" experim ents as a m anifestation of the quantum zeno e ect [iN2. $W$ e now $m$ ake a leap forw ard in the developm ent of this form alism by show ing how it deals with open system s. The application to a case w ith an exact analytical solution [23] and where $m$ ore standard approxim ations can be obtained [3/] w ill show the potential of our proposal.

## 2 The K eldysh form alism for open system s

In this section we $m$ ake a brief introduction to the $K$ eldysh form alism, sum $m a-$ rizing our results for closed system sof $R$ ef. [21] $1_{1}^{1}$. O ur aim is to extend them to open system $s$. Let us start considering a system $w$ ith $M$ spins $1 / 2$. The spin correlation function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m ; n}(t)=\frac{h e q j \oint_{m}^{z}(t) \Im_{n}^{z}(0) j \text { eq } i}{h e q j \Im_{n}^{z}(0) \mathscr{S}_{n}^{z}(0) j \text { eq } i} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

gives the am ount of the $z$ com ponent of the local polarization at tim $e t$ on $m$ th site, provided that the system was, at time $t=0$; in its equilibrium state with a spin \up" added at the n -th site. Here, $\oint_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iH} \mathrm{t}=\sim} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{e}^{\text {iH } \mathrm{t}=\sim}$ is
the spin operator in the $H$ eisenberg representation and $j$ eq $\left.i={ }^{P}{ }_{N} a_{N} \quad{ }_{e q}^{(N)}\right)^{E}$ is the them odynam ical many-body equilibrium state constructed by adding states $w$ ith di erent num ber $N$ of spins up $w$ ith appropriate statistical w eights and random phases. $T$ he Jordan-W igner transform ation (JW T) [24 $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+} \operatorname{expfi}{ }^{\quad} \quad \begin{gathered}\mathrm{n} \\ \mathrm{m}=1 \\ 1\end{gathered} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g}$; establishes the relation betw een spin and ferm ion operators at site n : Sym bolsb ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{+}$and $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{n}}$; stand for the ferm ion creation and destruction operators, and $\oint_{n}$ are the rising and lowering spin operator $\oint_{n}=\oint_{n}^{x} \oint_{n}^{y}$, where $\oint_{n}^{u}(u=x ; y ; z)$ represents the $C$ artesian spin operator. The intial polarized state is described by the non-equilibrium state $j n: e: i=b_{h}^{+} j$ eq:i form ed by creating an excitation in the $n$-th site at $t=0$. Its further evolution
 $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}^{<}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}_{1}\right)=\frac{i}{\sim} \mathrm{~h} n: e: j \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1}\right) \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right) j \mathrm{j}:$ : :i which can be split into contributions $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{<(\mathbb{N})}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}_{1}\right)$ from each subspace $w$ ith N particles (or equivalently N spins up). $C$ onsidering that we are in the high tem perature regim e, i.e., $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ is much higher than any energy scale of the system, this enables us to rew rite Eq. (İ) as [21]

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{m ; n}(t)=\frac{2 \sim}{i} G_{m ; m}^{<}(t ; t) \quad 1 ; w \text { ith } \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

N otice that the non-equilibrium density $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}}^{<}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{t})$ depends implicitly on the index $n$ that indicates the site of the initial ( $t=0$ ) excitation. The expression for this initial condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}^{<(\mathbb{N})}(0 ; 0)=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\sim} \frac{\mathrm{~N} \quad 1}{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}+\frac{\mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{n} n ; 1}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the rst term is the equilibrium density and it can be seen that is identical for all the sites. The second term represents the non-equilibrium contribution where only the $n$-th site is di erent from zero. In general, this density function evolves under the Schrodinger equation expressed in the D anielew icz form [2\%], which becom es:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{m}}^{<(\mathbb{N})}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}_{1}\right)=\sim^{2} \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{R}(\mathbb{N})}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2} ; 0\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}^{<(\mathbb{N})}(0 ; 0) \mathrm{G}_{1 ; m}^{\mathrm{A}_{(\mathbb{N})}}\left(0 ; \mathrm{t}_{1}\right) \\
& \text { 1;k } \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $G_{m ; k}^{R(N)}$; and $G_{k ; m}^{A_{(N)}}$ are the exact retarded ( $t_{2}>t_{1}>0$ ) and advanced ( $0<t_{2}<t_{1}$ ) tw o particle propagators or $G$ reen's functions of the $m$ any-body system.

The rst term in the rhs of Eq．（⿳亠二口刂彡）can be seen as a generalization of the integral form of the（reduced）density matrix（ $(t)=e^{\text {iH } t=\sim}(0) e^{j H} t=\sim$ ） pro jected over a basis of single particle excitations in its real space represen－ tation．This term is all one needs to solve system s such as a nite or in nite one dim ensional chain with nearest neighbors X Y interaction［21］．In contrast， system $s$ w ith topological defects $\bar{p} \overline{2} \overline{3}]$ long range interaction or Ising term $s$ in the spin $H$ am iltonian present com plex $m$ any－body e ects in the particle descrip－ tion．These lead to $m$ ean－life，$I m{ }^{R}$ ；of the single particle states，producing the non－conservation of probability on the retarded and advanced propagators， $G^{R}$ and $G^{A}$ ．In this case，the second term would collect incoherent rein jections， given by＜；that com pensate any eventual \leak＂from the coherent evolution． They also can account for processes not conserving the spin projection．A key idea in this paper is that a sim ilar e ect of density non－conservation appears when one attem pts to reduce the whole X Y system into a \system＂of 2 spins and an \environm ent＂w ith M 2 spins．U nder these conditions the sum in Eq． （ $\bar{\beta}_{1}^{\prime}$ ）w ill run only over the subspaces allow ed w ithin the $\backslash$ system＂， $\mathrm{N}=1 ; 2: \mathrm{T}$ he e ects of the \environm ent＂will be included in the form of self－energy term $s$ ，
$\underset{k ; 1}{<}\left(t_{k} ; t_{1}\right)$ and $\underset{k ; 1}{R}\left(t_{k} ; t_{1}\right) m$ odifying the reduced \system＂．
 index only over the \system＂，the result can be seen as the sum of two con－ tributions reproducing the structure of Eq．（Fin ${ }_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ ．Then，the rst term w ill be called the coherent contribution because it is related to the initial condition w ithin the \system＂．The evolution of this initial density decays w ith tim etas a consequence of its escape tow ards the region called the \environm ent＂．
$T$ he second term will account for the them odynam ical nature of the \envi－ ronm ent＂when M ！ 1 ．It can be seen as a boundary condition that modi es the density of the \system＂．If the m ean occupation of the \environm ent＂is lower than that of the \system＂，there will be a ux of probability from the \system＂to the \environm ent＂included in the form alism through the retarded and advanced propagators．O $n$ the other hand，if the \environm ent＂m ean oc－ cupation were higher than that of the \system＂，it w ould establish a probability
ow from the \environm ent＂to the \system＂and this could be seen as if the \environm ent＂were in jecting probability into the \system＂．The evolution of th is in jected probability is described by the second term in Eq．（הु）which w illbe called the incoherent contribution．Thus，the probability w ithin the \system＂ would be fed by the \environm ent＂．

In general term s Eq．（ take pro tof the inform ation hidden in the tim e correlations，it is convenient to use the new tim e－energy variables $[t ; "][2]$ ．$T$ his is inspired in the $W$ igner co－ ordinates that exploit the spatial correlations to de ne the position－m om entum variables $\left[x ; p_{x}\right]$ ．A ppendix A show $s$ how this procedure is perform ed．A pplying this technique to Eq．（F⿳一巛工二灬．）we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\stackrel{(N)}{(N)}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{t})=}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{expf} \text { i! (t } \ddagger) \frac{d!}{2} \frac{d "}{2 \sim} d t_{i} \text { : } \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

W ew ill apply this form alism to a system of M spins $\frac{1}{2}$ arranged in a chain. Their interaction through an X Y coupling enables the sw apping betw een nearest neighbor spins. In the presence of a $m$ agnetic eld, the $H$ am iltonian is
which has a Zeem an part, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{z}}$, proportional to $\oint_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{w}$ ith $\sim_{\mathrm{n}}$ the Zeem an energy; and a sw apping ( $\dot{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{op}$ ) tem, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{y}$; where $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1}$ is the coupling betw een sites n and $\mathrm{n}+1$.

This simpli ed model can be used as an approxim ation to real ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ system $s$ in an NM R cross-polarization (CP) experim ent ${ }^{3} \overline{0}_{1}^{1}, 1$ the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nuclei, close to the H artm ann H ahn condition, as the rst two sites of the linear chain and the rest of the chain would represent the proton spin bath or \environm ent".

Instead of solving a high dim ensional spin $H$ am iltonian ( $\overline{1}_{1}$ ) describing the \system " plus the \spin bath", the JW T provides a m ap into a ferm ionic system. For a one dim ensionalchain or ring w th nearest neighbor interactions the dim ension of the $H$ ilbert space can be reduced from $2^{\mathrm{M}}$ to M enabling the calculation of di erent aspects of spin dynam ics $[1]$ [ $\left.{ }_{[ }^{1} 1_{1}^{1}\right] . S$ ince the interaction is restricted to nearest neighbors, the only non-zero

 non-interacting ferm ions. The eigenfunctions (N) of these sub-spaces are expressed as single Slater determ inants buitt-up with the single particle wave functions' of energy ". Under these conditions $G_{m}^{R} \underset{i n}{(N)}=G_{m}^{R}$;n for all $N$ :

## 3 A tw o-spin system connected to a spin bath

W e label the \system" sites w th the numbers 1 for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and 0 for the
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ containing the initial excitation. Thus, we want to obtain an analytical
expression for the localpolarization at each site of the \system " that, according to Eq. $\overline{(\overline{2}})$, is proportional to the particle density $G$ reen's function $G_{i ; i}^{<}$( $t$ ) (for $i=1 ; 0)$.

In these conditions, the e ective (reduced) H am iltonian is:

$$
H=\begin{array}{ccc}
E & V  \tag{8}\\
V & E_{0}+\begin{array}{c}
R \\
0
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

where E $1 \sim{ }_{1}$ and $E_{0} \sim{ }_{0}$, are site energies and $V=\frac{J}{2}$ represents the swapping strength. For sim plicity we will take E $\quad 1=E_{0}$. T he selfenergy ${ }_{0}^{R}$ renorm alizes the site energy of the 0 -th site due to the action of the rest of the chain [ $3^{-2}$ ]. T his procedure $m$ akes possible to trace out all the degrees of freedom of the environm ent w ithout loss of inform ation. It satis es

$$
{ }_{0}^{R}\left({ }^{R}\right)=\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{C}}\right)^{2}{ }_{1}^{R}\left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right) ;
$$

where $V_{0}$ is the system -environm ent coupling through the 0 -th site. In a nite chain ${ }_{1}^{R}(")$ can be calculated using the recurrence relations
stating w ith ${ }_{M}^{R}(")=0: H$ ere $E_{c}$ is the center of the energy band of the hom ogeneous linear chain that is acting as the environm ent, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is the nearest neighbor hopping within the chain. In this case, ${ }_{0}^{R}(")$ is the ratio betw een polynom ials of degree $M \quad 3$ and $M \quad 2$ on ": The roots of the denom inator are the $M \quad 2$ eigenenergies of the environm ent. This functionaldependence accounts exactly for the $m$ em ory e ects in the \environm ent" and describes a variety of interference phenom ena such as quantum beats and $m$ esoscopic echoes. In order to include the e ect of irreversible loss of in form ation and sim plify the calculations, we let the num ber of spins conform ing the linear chain tends to in nity, that
 a D yson equation $\left[\frac{4}{4}\right]$. W hen the energy " lies w thin the band of propagating excitations, $\mathcal{J}^{\prime \prime} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathfrak{j} \quad 2 \mathrm{JV}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{j}$; the solution is

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{R}(") & =c^{(")} \quad \begin{array}{r}
i_{c}(") \\
r
\end{array}  \tag{10}\\
& =\frac{" \quad E_{c}}{2} \quad \text { i } V_{c}^{2} \quad\left(\frac{E_{c}}{2}\right)^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

For the present problem wew illw ork w ith the assum ption that $\mathrm{E}_{0} \mathrm{E}{ }_{1} \mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{V} ; \mathrm{V}_{0}$ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}: T$ hism eans that the tem poral uctuations of the environm ent are faster than any characteristic tim e of the adopted m odel. This approxim ation allow s us to consider that $\left.\operatorname{Im}{ }_{0}^{R}(")\right)^{\prime}\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{c}}\right)^{2}$ c $\left(E_{0}\right)=$ and the level $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ becom es broaden according to the Ferm iG olden Rule. Since typically, $E_{0}{ }^{\prime} E_{c}$; the corresponding shift $\left.\operatorname{Re}{ }_{0}^{R}()^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{c}}\right)^{2} \quad$ c $\left(E_{0}\right)$ is a sm all correction that can be neglected.

In order to obtain the contribution of the coherent term in Eq. ( need to compute the Fourier transform of the product of two propagators
a) $\begin{array}{rl}P_{\uparrow} \uparrow \\ 1 & 0\end{array}$
b)
c)


Figure 1: a) Schem atic representation of the spin system at timet=0:The shaded region stands for the them odynam ic equilibrium state at high tem perature and establish a background probability level. The black lling represents the excess of probability over the equilibrium state which is responsible for the observed dynam ics. In b) the sam e system as in a) after the JW $T$, that is, under the particle point of view. $N$ ote that in this situation the background contribution is rem oved and the dynam ics is described by the excess of probability $P$. In c) we represent the com plem entary problem of the case b). H ere the black lling stands for the hole that represent the excitation. In this representation it is easier to calculate the $m$ em ory e ects in the bath (see text).

The evaluation of the incoherent contribution requires som e explanation


$$
{ }_{\mathrm{k} ; 1}^{\ll}\left(" ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\mathrm{i} 20(") \mathrm{f}_{1}\left(" ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{k} ; 0} 0 ; 1 ;
$$

with $0(")=$ as was previously presented and $f_{n}\left(" ; t_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}+P \quad$ ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) stands for the occupation factor of the spin bath. Initially, all the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nuclei
are equally polarized and this represents the initial condition at $t=0$ for the environm ent. A schem atic representation of this situation can be seen in $F$ ig. ${ }_{11}^{11}(a): A l l$ the dynam ics arises from the excess of probability $P$ at the ${ }^{1} H$ sites. It is interesting to note, as w ill be explained later, that the background probability (shaded region) does not contribute to the dynam ics of the system, neither in $\mathrm{P}_{0 ; 0}(\mathrm{t})$ nor in $\mathrm{P} \quad 1 ; 0(\mathrm{t})$ : H aving this in m ind, the initial condition, in the particle language can be expressed $w$ th a norm alized occupation factor $f_{n}\left(" ; t_{i}\right)=1 \quad\left(\frac{k}{k}\right)$ for the 0 -th site ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ nucleus) and the spin bath, while the 1-th site is em pty of excitation $f_{1}\left(" ; t_{i}\right)=0 \quad(t)$, as can be seen in $F i g$. . $\underline{I}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ (b). At $t=0$ we allow the environm ent to interact $w$ th the system and starts in jecting probability into the system through the 0 -th site. T hen we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{k ; 1}{<}\left(" ; t_{i}\right)=i\left(t_{i}\right) 2 \quad k ; 0 \quad 0 ; 1: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate Eq. $(\underline{\bar{Z}})$ we need $\mathrm{G}_{0 ; 0}^{<}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{t})$ from Eq. $\left.\overline{\bar{G}}\right)$ which is determ ined by Eq. (111). Taking into account that for a two spin system the sum in eq. ([ַ̄) has only two term $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{N}=1$ and $\mathrm{N}=2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{0 ; 0}(\mathrm{t})=1 \frac{\operatorname{expf} \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\sim} \mathrm{~g}}{2 \cos ^{2}()}+\frac{\operatorname{expf} \frac{\mathrm{t}}{\sim} g}{2 \cos ^{2}()} \cos (\mathrm{t}) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherewehave de ned $=\frac{2 V}{\sim}^{\mathrm{q}} \overline{1} \frac{(5)^{2}}{2 \mathrm{~V}}$ and $=\arctan \quad \frac{2 \mathrm{~V}}{}{ }^{2} 1^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
The sam e calculations for $\mathrm{G}^{<}{ }_{1 ; 1}(t ; t)$ leads to

$$
P_{1 ; 0}(t)=1 \frac{\operatorname{expf} \frac{t}{\sim} g}{2 \cos ^{2}()} \frac{\operatorname{expf} \frac{t}{\sim} g}{2 \cos ^{2}()} \cos \left(\begin{array}{ll}
t & 2): \tag{13}
\end{array}\right.
$$

 w ith the sum of the coherent evolution of the rst term in Eq. (4, ) and the term corresponding to the in jection in the $N=2$ sub-space. This justi es $F$ ig. $\bar{Y}_{1}^{11}$ (b):

N ote that for ! 0 the above expressions tend to the dynam ics in two isolated sites:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{0 ; 0}(t) & =\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2} \cos (2 V t=\sim) ;  \tag{14}\\
P_{1 ; 0}(t) & =\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \cos (2 V t=\sim) \quad=1 \quad j \cos (V t=\sim)_{j}^{2}: \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

It is also interesting to note that the characteristic tim e for the decay of the probability, $2=\tilde{\sim}$; is exactly tw ice that of a single site $w$ ith the sam e environm ent. The interpretation of this is that due to the symm etry adopted $\left(E_{0}=E{ }_{1}\right)$ the particle is half of the tim $e$ on each site being less a ected by the interaction $w$ ith the spin bath.

Figure $\overline{12}$, show s the behavior of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ polarization. It can be seen that it reaches the value of 1 periodically, converging to the equilibrium value of 1 at


Figure 2: The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ polarization (solid line) is com posed by the sum of a coherent part (dash-dotted line) and an incoherent contribution (dashed line). For com parison, the evolution obtained w ith the secular approxim ation of $R$ ef. [3्1] (dotted line) is shown denoted as M K BE.P aram eters: $=\mathrm{V}=0.25$
the exponential rate $1=2$. The rst $m$ axim um occurs at a relatively short time com pared w ith 2 :This feature is used in the spin sw ap operation by stopping rfirradiation (and hence the interaction) at a $m$ axim altransfer. T he maxim a in our curves of ${ }_{1 ; 0}(t)$ are alw ays equal to one because of the sym $m$ etry adopted $\left(E_{0}=E \quad 1\right)$. H ow ever, only the rst $m$ axim a of the coherent com ponent decaying as $\exp [t=2$ ]; i.e. about 0.7 for our choice of param eters, w ould be usefiul in quantum inform ation processing. T he incoherent com ponent of the polarization, having no de nite phase relation $w$ ith respect to the original state, bears no inform ation on the quantum evolution. This can be observed by NM R inter-
 presents high frequency oscillations consequence of the interference betw een the polarization am plitude that survived at the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and the com ponent retuming after w andering in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ system. This interference would be dim inished if, in the last CP, one uses the second $m$ axim um .
 for $t=0$ as can be seen in $F$ ig $\overline{3}$. This expresses that the quantum nature of the problem has not disappeared $w$ thin the present approxim ation, in contrast w ith the result obtained by using the secular approxim ation $V$, standard
 considering X Y coupling betw een the system and the spin reservoir, we obtain for the norm alized polarization of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nucleus

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1 ; 0}(t)=1 \quad \frac{\operatorname{expf} \frac{t}{\sim} g}{2} \quad \frac{\operatorname{expf} \frac{t}{\sim} g}{2} \cos (2 V t=\sim): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both Eqs. (13) and (1-G) are obtained considering the fast uctuations approxim ation which leads to $c(")=$ constant. H ow ever, com paring Eqs. (13른) and (1-1]) it can be seen that the $m$ ain di erences betw een them are the decrease of the swapping frequency and the extra phase that result from the $K$ eldysh form alism. The frequency decrease is a natural e ect of the dam ping in an harm onic oscillator and hence its $m$ eaning is clear. The extra phase provides the correct quadratic behavior for short tim es. B oth e ects would introduce corrections up to a $10 \%$ if one attem pts an estim ation of the dipolar frequency (here $2 \mathrm{~V}=\sim$ ) from the rst experim entalm axim um. How ever, if the frequency is evaluated from the FT of the signal it di ens from the dipolar one in a factor of $\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & (2 \mathrm{~V}\end{array}\right)^{2}\right)^{1=2}$. T his can have im portant consequences $w$ hen one attem pts to perform a quanti cation of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ average distances [ [33]

## 4 M em ory e ects of the spin bath

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ polarization, $\mathrm{P}_{1 ; 0}(t)$, in the K eldysh form alism arises from the coherent evolution of the in itialparticle density, forw hich the environm ent is a $\backslash \operatorname{sink}$ ", and an incoherent contribution where the bath acts as a particle \source". This can be com pared w th the com plem entary fram ew ork. Instead of dealing w th a \particle" problem let us consider it as a \hole" problem (Fig. ${ }_{1}^{11}$ (b) and (c) respectively). O $n$ these grounds, at $t=0$; all the sites are occupied except for the


Figure 3: It is show $n$ the short tim e regim e for the Polarization function for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nucleous obtained w ithin the K eldysh form alism (solid line) in contrast w th the the evolution obtained with the seccular approxim ation (MKBE) of Ref. [3्1] (doted line). P aram eters: $=\mathrm{V}=0.25$
\hole" excitation at the 1-th site. See Fig. (c) where the black color stands for the hole excitation. At later tim es this excitation evolves in the system and also propagates through the reservoir. The \environm ent" does not have holes to in ject back into the \system " but those evolved coherently from the initial hole (i.e. < 0). H ere the environm ent is a perfect $\backslash \operatorname{sink} "$. Thus all the dynam ics would be coherent, in the sense previously explained. If we add the result obtained in this case w th that of Eq. (13) we obtain a one for all tim es consequence of the particle-hole sym $m$ etry. This is a particularly good test of the consistency of the form alism because in each result the \environm ent" is set in a di erent fram ew ork. It also show $s$ that the background polarization does not contribute to the dynam ics.

This \hole" picture can help us to get a very interesting insight on the dynam ics in a case where the $m$ em ory on the environm ent becom es relevant. C onsider, for exam ple, the case $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{0}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{E} \mathrm{I}_{1}$. The nite version of this e ective $H$ am iltonian applies to the actual experim ents reported in $R$ ef. [34]. In this case, the sim plifying approxim ations of the fast uctuations regim e are not justi ed. H ow ever, the exact dynam ics of the system can be analytically obtained if one considers an in nite chain. This enables the use of Eq. (10.) to evaluate the propagator in the rst term of Eq. ( $\left.\overline{(\underline{6}}{ }^{\prime}\right)$. T he integration gives the rst B essel function, hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{1 ; 0}(\mathrm{t})=1 \quad \frac{\sim}{\mathrm{tV}} \mathrm{~J}_{1}(2 \mathrm{tV}=\sim)^{2}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

A rst observation is that the frequency above is roughly increased by a factor
 zeroth of the Bessel function it is clear that the frequency increases slightly w th time. These are $m$ em ory e ects of the environm ent that are dependent on the interplay betw een the spectral density of the bath and that of the system.
$W$ e notioe that the $m$ em ory e ect can also appear in other condition for the bath. For exam ple, if the proton nuclei have random polarizations and the density excitation is at site 1 , i.e. in $F$ ig. 1 representing the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ sites lled up to the shaded region; and the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ site w ith an occupation $\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{P}$. In this case the excitation propagates over a background level (shaded region) that does not contribute to the dynam ics. T he schem atic view of this in itial condition is equivalent to that of $F$ ig. 覓 (c) where now the black lling represents a particle excitation. The solution of the polarization is the rst Bessel function, $P \quad 1 ; 1(t)=\tilde{\tilde{t V}} J_{1}(2 t V=\sim)^{2}$ : A part from the nite size $m$ esoscopic e ect, this is precisely the situation observed in Ref. ${ }^{[3} \overline{4} \overline{4}$ l, al though w thout enough resolution for a quantitative com parison. T he e ect of a progressive $m$ odi cation of the sw apping frequency is often observed in $m$ any experim ental situations such as CP experim ents. D epending on the particular system, the swapping frequency can accelerate or slow down. Reported exam ples are $F$ ig. 5 on $R$ ef. [1d] and $F$ ig. 4 on $R$ ef. [3]. so far show s that environm ental correlations have fundam ental im portance in the dynam ics and deserve further attention.

## 5 C onclusions

Sum $m$ arizing, we have solved the Schrodinger equation $w$ ith in the $K$ eldysh for$m$ alism $w$ ith a source boundary condition which results in an in jection of quantum waves $w$ thout de nite phase relation $w$ ith the initial state. The $m$ odel proposed allow ed us to consider the e ect of the environm ent over the system via the decay of the in itial state followed with an incoherent in jection. W e obtained analyticalexpressions for the polarization ofeach of the com ponents of a ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ system coupled to a spin bath, im proving the result obtained through the application of the secular approxim ation [i] in standard density $m$ atrix calculation.

O fparticular interest is the inclusion oftem poralcorrelationsw ithin the spin bath in a m odelwhich has exact solution. On one side, it enabled us to show a novel result: $m$ em ory e ects can produce a progressive change of the swapping frequency. O $n$ the other side, th is results $w$ ill serve to test approxim atem ethods developed to dealw ith com plex correlations.

In general, our analytical results based in the spin-particle $m$ apping, allow a deeper understanding of the polarization dynam ics. They $m$ ay constitute a starting point for the study of other problem $s$, such as di erent topologies [2] $w$ ith XY interaction and the extension to dipolar and isotropic couplings.
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## A ppendix A

Let us de ne the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0 ; 0}^{<\text {inc: }(\mathbb{N})}\left(t_{2} ; t_{1}\right)=X_{n ; m}^{X^{Z} t_{2} Z t_{t_{1}}} G_{0 ; m}^{R(\mathbb{N})}\left(t_{2} ; t_{m}\right) \underset{m ; N}{<(\mathbb{N})}\left(t_{m} ; t_{n}\right) G_{n ; 0}^{A_{(N)}\left(t_{n} ; t_{1}\right) d t_{m} d t_{n} ; ~} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the second term in Eq. (5). A sim ilar expression holds for the coherent part. T he $m$ anipulation that follow $s$ is independent on the subspace index $(\mathbb{N})$,
and we will keep it im plicit. Rew riting the integrand in Eq. (1) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}_{0 ; m}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \underset{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}{<}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n} ; 0}^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{t}_{1}\right) \\
& \left.={\underset{Z}{\mathrm{G}}}^{\mathrm{R}}{ }_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \underset{\mathrm{Z}}{\left(\mathrm{t}_{2}\right.} \quad \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}_{2}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}}{2}\right) \underset{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}{<}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}}{2}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n} ; 0}^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{t} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{t}_{1}}{2}\right) \\
& =\quad \mathrm{G}_{0 ; \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(\text { " }_{\mathrm{R}} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}_{2}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}}{2}\right) \underset{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}{<}\left("^{0} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}}{2}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n} ; 0}^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\text { " }_{\mathrm{A}} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{t}_{1}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let's de ne the $m$ acroscopic tim e as $t=\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{2}+t_{1}\right)$ and the quantum correlation time $t=t_{2} \quad t$ which have related tim e scales of the in jection processes as $t_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{m}+t_{n}\right)$ and $t_{i}=t_{m} \quad \hbar_{1}: T$ hese tim e scales are associated with " $=\frac{1}{2}\left({ }^{2}+"_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$; the energies characterizing the quantum correlation, and ! = $\frac{1}{\sim}$ ( ${ }_{\mathrm{R}} \quad \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ) the frequencies in the observables. The argum ent in the exponential function becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& "_{R} t_{2} \quad "_{R} t_{m}+"_{A} t_{n} \quad "_{A} t_{1} \\
& ="_{R} t+"_{R} \frac{t}{2} \quad "_{R} t_{i} \quad "_{R} \frac{t_{i}}{2}+"_{A} t_{i} \quad "_{A} \frac{t_{i}}{2} \quad "_{A} t+"_{A} \frac{t}{2} \\
& =\sim!\left(t \quad t_{i}\right)+" t \quad " i_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

and also

$$
"^{0}\left(t_{m} \quad \hbar_{H}\right)="^{0} t_{i}:
$$

The G reen's functions take the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}_{0 ; \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{R}}\left({ }^{\mathrm{R}} ; \mathrm{t}_{2}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\mathrm{G}_{0 ; \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{R}}\left("+\frac{\sim!}{2} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}}{4}\right) \\
& \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n} ; 0}^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{t}_{1}}{2}\right)=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n} ; 0}^{\mathrm{A}}\left({ }^{(\prime} \quad \frac{\mu!}{2} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}}{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}}{4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$F$ inally due to the fact that the transform ation have the property that its Jacobian is equalto one, we have $d t_{m} d t_{n}=d t_{i} d t_{i}$ and $d "_{R} d "_{A}=\sim d " d!: R e p l a c i n g$ all these expressions in the integral of Eq. (18 $\mathbf{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { X } \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{t}_{2}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{t}_{1}} \\
& \mathrm{G}_{0 ; \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \underset{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}{<}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n} ; 0}^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{t}_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}} d t_{\mathrm{n}} \\
& Z^{n} Z^{m} Z^{t_{0}} Z^{t_{0}} Z \\
& =\quad \mathrm{G}_{0 ; \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{R}}\left("+\frac{\sim!}{2} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}}{4}\right) \underset{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}{<}\left(\text { " }^{0} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n} ; 0}^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\boldsymbol{\prime} \quad \frac{\mu!}{2} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}}{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{t}+\frac{\mathrm{t}}{}}{4}\right) \\
& \text { expf i[~! (t } i t+" t \quad "{ }_{i} t+"^{0} t_{i}=\sim g^{2} t_{i} d \quad t_{i} \frac{d "}{2 \sim} \frac{d^{0}}{2} \sim \frac{d!}{2} \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we can express Eq. (1-q) as

$$
\mathrm{G}_{0 ; 0}^{<\text {inc: }}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{G}_{0 ; 0}^{<\text {inc: }}(\| ; \mathrm{t}) \exp \left[\text { i" } \mathrm{t}=\sim \frac{\mathrm{d} "}{2 \sim}\right. \text {; }
$$

and using the last two expressions we can identify

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{G}_{0 ; \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{R}}\left("+\frac{\sim!}{2} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}}{2}+\frac{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}}{4}\right) \underset{m ; n}{<}\left(\mathbf{n}^{0} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n} ; 0}^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathrm{l} \quad \frac{\sim!}{2} ; \frac{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}}{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{t}}{4}\right) \\
& \exp \left[i \left[\left(\sim!\left(t \quad i t \quad " t+"^{0} t_{i}\right)=\sim\right] d t_{i} d t \frac{d!}{2} \frac{d "^{0}}{2 \sim}\right.\right. \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

A sim ilar expression holds for the coherent term .
Integrating in energy we obtain $\mathrm{G}_{0 ; 0}^{<}(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{t})$ : If we consider that the system H am iltonian is tim e independent the last com plex expression sim pli es to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left[\text { i! (t i) ] } \frac{d!}{2} \frac{d^{\prime \prime}}{2 \sim} d t_{i} ;\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

which is sim ilar to the second term in Eq. (G).
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