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#### Abstract

We consider a non-interacting Ferm i gas in a combined harm onic and periodic potential. $W$ e calculate the energy spectrum and sim ulate the $m$ otion of the gas after sudden replacem ent of the trap center. For di erent param eter regim es, the system presents dipole oscillations, dam ped oscillations around the replaced center, and localization. The behaviour is explained by the change of the energy spectrum from linear to quadratic.


PACS num bers: 03.75 .Ss, 03.75 Lm

## 1. Introduction

In solid state physics, electrons in an atom ic lattioe are $m$ odelled by ferm ions satisfying the Schrodinger equation in a periodic potential. A lkali atom $s$ in a periodic potential created by light have recently provided a new physical realization of the same
 controllable and open a w ide range of param eters for study, also the dynam ics. For bosonic atom s, quantum transport such as B loch oscillations have been observed 梳]. T he rst such experim ents on ferm ions in optical lattices have been realized very recently娮, ! !

Unlike electrons in bulk solids, the atom ic gases feel in addition to the lattioe an overall con ning potential, usually of harm onic shape. This harm onic trapping can be chosen weak and the system considered nearly hom ogeneous. On the other hand, it can be $m$ ade signi cant in order to induce interesting quantum transport experim ents:
 states and spectrum in a com bined harm onic and periodic potential have been studied
 using sem iclassical analysis. W e present here exact num erical treatm ent which gives a com plem entary point of view for understanding the problem, and provides a m ethod applicable for a wide range of param eter values. Since we consider non-interacting ferm ions, the only physical assum ptions we need are that the individual atom s obey the Schrodinger equation and that the equilibrium of the many-particle system can be described by the grand canonical ensem ble. T he physical model is considered in m ore
detail in Section ${ }_{2}$. D etails of the num erical im plem entation are discussed in Section Finally, in Section ${ }_{-1} \overline{-1}$, the results of the sim ulations are presented and discussed, and com pared w th the experim ents.

## 2. P hysicalm odel

W e consider a one-dim ensional optical lattice combined with a three-dim ensional quadratic potential. $T$ he quadratic potential is weak in the direction of the lattioe (axial direction) and tight in the rem aining tw o (radial) directions. The fill three-dim ensional H am iltonian operator for a single particle is

$$
H^{3 D}=\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m\left(!{ }_{a}^{2} x^{2}+!{ }_{r}^{2} y^{2}+!{ }_{r}^{2} z^{2}\right)+\frac{U}{2} \cos \left(\frac{4}{-x}\right)
$$

where $!{ }_{a}$ and $!_{r}$ are the axialand radial frequencies, respectively, $U$ is the lattioe height, and is the lattine wavelength. We shall consider the one-dim ensional system in the axial direction rst and deal $w$ ith the radial degrees of freedom later. Choosing the units ofenergy and length to be $\sim!{ }_{a}$ and $\overline{\sim=m!a}$, respectively, and using the notation $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{U}=2$ and $\mathrm{k}=4=$, the one-dim ensional H am iltonian can be w ritten as a sum

$$
H=H^{\circ}+H^{L}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+A \cos (k x)
$$

of the lattige potential $H^{L}=A \cos (\mathrm{kx})$ and the oscillator H am iltonian

$$
\mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}:
$$

Let $\left({ }_{n}\right)$ and $\left({ }_{n}\right)$ be the eigenfunctions and eigenenergies of $H$, respectively. $U$ sing the annihilation and creation operators $a_{n} ; a_{n}^{y}$ associated to the eigenfunctions we $m$ ay write the $m$ any-particle $H$ am iltonian in the ferm ionic Fock space as

$$
\hat{H}={ }_{n}^{X}{ }_{n} a_{n}^{y} a_{n}:
$$

Initially the system is in the statistical equilibrium and can be described by the grand canonical ensem ble

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0)=\frac{e^{(\hat{H} \quad N)}}{\operatorname{Tre}(\hat{H} N)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the chem ical potential, $N=P{ }_{n} a_{n}^{y} a_{n}$ is the num ber operator, and $=\frac{1}{k_{B} T}$. The tim e evolution of the system is given by

$$
(t)=e^{i \hat{H}^{d} t=\sim}(0) e^{i \hat{H}^{d} t=\sim}
$$

where $H^{d}$ is the $H$ am iltonian goveming the dynam ics of the system. Of course, $H^{d}$ m ust be di erent from the H am iltonian H used to calculate the initialequilibrium state
$(0)$, otherw ise the system $w$ illbe stable. In the experim ent, the displacem ent $d$ of the harm onic trap gives rise to the new H am iltonian

$$
H^{d}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}(x \quad d)^{2}+A \cos (k x) ;
$$

which starts the time evolution of the system. D isplacem ent of the trap center in the absense of the lattice leads to the dipole oscillations characteristic for a harm onic potential.

W e want caloulate the time evolution of the expectation value of the position operator. The task is greatly simpli ed by the fact that we can represent any $m$ anyparticle state by a single-particle state () when calculating expectation values of single-particle observables. This reduction is discussed in m ore detail in the A ppendix. $T$ he single-particle state ( $(0)$ ) corresponding to the grand canonical ensemble ( 0 ) is a diagonal operator in the energy eigenbasis. T he diagonalelem ents are given by the Ferm i-D irac distribution

$$
f(")=\frac{1}{1+e^{~}}:
$$

W hen the H am iltonian operator is itself a single-particle operator, which is the case for non-interactig Ferm i gas, the reduced state obeys the ordinary Schrodinger equation. Hence the nal form ula for the $m$ otion of the Ferm igas is sim ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
h X i(t)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(e^{i H d^{d} t=\sim} \quad((0)) e^{i H d^{d} t=\sim} X\right) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where X is the position operator.
A s the nal step we consider dim ensional reduction from three dim ensions to one. Even though we are interested in the $m$ otion of the Ferm igas only in one dim ension, the physical system is naturally three-dim ensional. H ow ever, we can sim ply trace out the radial degrees of freedom since they are independent of the observable which we are $m$ easuring. In practice, this is done by replacing the Ferm i-D irac distribution by the e ective one-dim ensional energy distribution

$$
f_{e f f}(")=\underbrace{X^{1}}_{k ; 1=0} f\left("+(k+1) \sim!_{r}\right):
$$

where the m ultiples of $\sim!_{r}$ exhaust the energy spectra of the independent oscillators in the radialdirections.
3. N um erical im plem entation

The tim e evolution of the center ofm ass of the non-interacting Ferm igas trapped in an optical lattioe was calculated using the form ula $(\underline{2})$. The rst task was to diagonalize the Ham iltonian. A ctually there were two Ham iltonians $H$ and $H^{d}$ to be considered corresponding to the two positions of the trap. H ow ever, we m ade the sim plifying assum ption that the displacem ent of the trap was a multiple of the lattioe wavelength (this is justi ed when the latter is $m$ uch sm aller than the form er). T hen by a sym $m$ etry argum ent the two sets of eigenfunctions were obtained from each other by a simple translation. A coording to the form ula ( $\underset{\sim}{\overline{1}})$ ) the in itial equilibrium state (0) is diagonal in the eigenstate basis of the initial H am ittonian H , and the diagonal elem ents are determ ined by the corresponding eigenvalues. The chem ical potential was tted to $m$ atch the particle num ber. By a change ofbasis, the state $m$ atrix $w$ as then transform ed
to the eigenstate basis of the displaced H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}$. The position operator X was also expressed in this basis. By de nition, the H am iltonian itself $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}$ is diagonal in this basis, so that the tim e evolution was easy to calculate using form ula (2) (2).

Them ain di culty was to nd a basis suitable for diagonalizing the $H$ am iltonian num erically. W e used the Hem ite functions

$$
n(x)=\left(2^{n} n!{ }^{p}-\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{x^{2}}{2}} H_{n}(x)
$$

where $H_{n}$ is the nth Herm ite polynom ial. W ith this choige the harm onic oscillator $H$ am iltonian $H^{\circ}$ becom es diagonalw ith $m$ atrix elem ents

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{nm}}^{\circ}=(\mathrm{n}+1=2)_{\mathrm{nm}}
$$

and even the $m$ atrix elem ents of the lattice part $H^{\text {L }}$ can be calculated analytically. To be exact, the $m$ atrix elem ents are recovered as $H_{n m}^{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{ARe}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{nm}}\right)$ where

$$
I_{n m}=I_{n m}(k):=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}}{ }_{n}(x)_{m}(x) e^{i k x} d x:
$$

W e were able to derive explicit closed expressions for $I_{n m}(k)$ as nite polynom ials of $k$. H ow ever, they are rather cum bersom e and not very useful in practice. In num erical calculations the integrals are $m$ ost easily obtained from the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n m}=e^{\frac{1}{4} k^{2}} i^{n+m}\left(\frac{n m!}{2^{n+m}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_{n m} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{n m}$ satis es the recursion equation

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{nm}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n} 1 ; \mathrm{m}} \quad 2 \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{n} 1 ; \mathrm{m}} \quad 1 \tag{4}
\end{array}\right)=\mathrm{n}
$$

w ith the intial conditions $\mathrm{h}_{00}=1$ and $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{nm}}=0$ if either of the indioes is negative. We proved form ulas ( $(\underset{1}{-})$ ) and ( is e cient but num erically unstable. Therefore high precision arithm etics had to be used.

Since Fourier transform F is a unitary transform on the space of square integrable functions and since $H$ erm ite fiunctions are eigenfunctions of $F, F{ }_{n}=i^{n}{ }_{n}$, we can also use the above form ulas to calculate the convolutions

$$
n(x) m\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{~d}) \mathrm{dx}=\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}} I_{\mathrm{nm}}(\mathrm{~d}): ~
\end{array}\right.
$$

A part from being interesting in their own right, these convolutions give the $m$ atrix elem ents of the change ofbasis from $(\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x})$ ) to the translated basis ( $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{d})$ ), which is exactly what was needed to transform the state $m$ atrix from the eigenstate basis of $H$ to that of $H^{d}$. Hence form ulas ( $(\underset{1}{3})$ and $(\underline{4} \mathbf{4})$ were in double use in the im plem entation.

For som e values of the param eters it was bene cial to use the scaled Herm ite functions $\left({ }^{P}{ }_{n}(x)\right)$ w ith $>1$ in the diagonalization to im prove resolution. Even in this case the $m$ atrix elem ents needed are easily derived from the above form ulas. In the sim ulations we used less than 3000 basis vectors and calculated typically a few hundred eigenstates. T his was probably not enough for all the cases but at this point our com puter resouroes becam e a lim iting factor.


Figure 1. Time evolution of the center of $m$ ass of the ferm ion cloud at the lattice height $s=0$ (grey line), $s=3$ (black line), and $s=8$ (dashed line).


Figure 2. E nergy spectrum ofa single atom in the com bined one-dim ensionalpotential at the lattice height $s=0$ (grey line), $s=3$ (black line), and $s=8$ (dashed line). The energy unit is the energy quantum of the axial harm onic oscillator. The ground state energies are set to zero. A transition from a linear spectrum (harm onic oscillator) to a quadratic one occurs when the lattioe is im posed. The quadratic part of the spectrum corresponds to localized states. N on-localized states appear again for higher energies, see the black line which becom es linear for $n>450$.

## 4. Results

W e used the above $m$ ethods to sim ulate the $m$ otion of the free Ferm i gas of potassium atom $s$ in an optical lattioe con ned in a m agnetic potential and com pared them to the
 experim ent described in [5] was $T=90 \mathrm{nK}$, the lattioe wavelength $=863 \mathrm{~nm}$, the axial and radial frequencies $!_{a}=2 \quad 24 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ and $!_{\mathrm{r}}=2 \quad 275 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$, respectively, and the average atom num ber 25000. Recall that $k=4=$. The depth $U=2 A=s E_{R}$ of the lattice given in the units of recoil energy $E_{R}=h^{2}=2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ was varied. Initially the trap was replaced by 15 m to excite oscillations. The results of the sim ulation are shown in $F$ igure' '1.1. The energies of the low est lying single-particle eigenstates are also plotted against the ordinal num ber in $F$ igure' ${ }^{2}, \overline{-}$, to give som e qualitative insight to the system.

In the absence of the lattioe potential ( $s=0$ ) the system is reduced to a harm onic oscillator and the average position oscillates freely show ing the characteristic dipole oscillation in a harm onic potential. N one of the eigenstates is localized and the corresponding energy spectrum is linear. T he energy quantum or the di erence betw een the consecutive eigenvalues gives the frequency of the oscillations.

W hen the height of the lattige is increased to $s=3$, both localized and nonlocalized eigenstates play a role. T he oscillations of the system are dam ped, which can be understood as follow s. A ccording to the form ula ( $\overline{2}$ ), the center ofm ass of the cloud is a sum periodic term swhose frequencies are given by the di erences ( $"_{n} \quad "_{m}$ )=~ betw een the eigenvalues ${ }_{n}$ of the dynam ical H am iltonian. W hen the eigenvalues are not evenly spaced, destructive interference causes dephasing.

Increasing the lattioe height also increases the o set of the dipolar oscillations since $m$ ore and $m$ ore particles becom e localized. W hen the height of the lattige is increased to $s=8, l o c a l i z e d$ eigenstates dom inate. $T$ he system is alm ost frozen to its in itialposition. The energy spectnum becom es alm ost quadratic. T he spectnum corresponds to states that are localized in individual lattice potential sites. N eighbouring sites are shifted in energy according to the superim posed harm onic potential, therefore the quadratic form of the spectrum (di erence in energy of two localized particles depends essentially only on their locations in the lattice). A ctually each energy is doubly degenerate (the sym $m$ etric and the antisym $m$ etric states form ed of lattioe sites at opposite sides of the center) which can be resolved by a closer look at the spectrum . Therefore, to be accurate, localized particles correspond to a supenpositions of these doubly degenerate states. R epresentative exam ples of localized and non-localized eigenstates are show $n$ in Figure ${ }_{1}^{2}{ }_{1}$.

It is notew orthy that in the interm ediary region low est-lying states are not localized. $T$ his is indicated by the linear parts in the spectra near origin. Hence the atom $s$ do not tend to localize when the system is cooled down to ultra-low tem peratures, on the contrary. N ote that also higher energy states may be unlocalized, i.e. have a linear spectrum, as is show $n$ for one set of param eter values in $F$ igure ' $\overline{2}$. 1 This is associated $w$ th the existence of higher bands of the optical lattice potential. Such statesm ay a ect the localization and oscillation behaviour of the gas signi cantly in higher tem peratures.

The results are in very good qualitative and quantitative agreem ent both $w$ th the experim ent and the sem iclassical approxim ation presented in $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{T}\right]$. W e com pared our sim ulations also to two earlier experim ents $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[-1,1} \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ experim entalists observed oscillations with considerably larger am plitude and higher frequency than w hat was predicted by the sim ulations. W e believe that this was sim ply because the physical param eters used in these experim ents were m uch m ore dem anding for our num erical $m$ ethod and would have required $m$ ore eigenstates to be calculated than was currently possible. T he larger the displacem ent and the particle num ber, and the higher the tem perature, the $m$ ore eigenstates are needed for reliable results. T he com puter resources at our disposal w ere rather $m$ odest so that we have hardly pushed the $m$ ethod to its lim its.


Figure 3. The two eigenstates (a) and (c) of the low est energy associated to the potential (e) w th param eters $k=3$ and $A=10$ form a quasidegenerate pair. In a superposition of such states, the particle is localized in one of the wells of the potential (e). Relating to Figure 2, such states correspond to a doubly degenerate pair of eigenvalues in a quadratic spectrum . The eigenstates (b) and (d) associated to the potential ( f ) w ith param eters $\mathrm{k}=12$ and $\mathrm{A}=30$ are not localized. $T$ hey resem ble the eigenstates of the harm onic oscillator, m odulated by the lattige potential. They correspond to a linear spectrum and their energies are not degenerate.

## 5. Conclusions

W e have analyzed the energy spectrum and motion of a Ferm i gas in a combined potential. The harm onic potential alone has a linear spectrum and shows dipole oscillations of the gas when the trap center is displaced. Introducing the periodic potential transform $s$ the spectrum gradually into quadratic one and the gas becom es localized. Interm ediate cases show dam ped oscillations around the displaced center position. O ur approach is com plem entary to the sem iclassical analysis presented in
 values which are not too challenging from the num erical point of view the results agree also quantitatively w ith both the experim ents and the sem iclassical analysis.

It is im portant to note that the quantum transport in the com bined harm onic and periodic potential is qualitatively rather di erent from the B loch oscillation orW annierStark ladder behaviour in tilted periodic potentials. The two cases approach each other only when the gasm oves in a region where the harm onic trap can be approxim ated by a linear potential. This requires a m uch shallow er trap than that used in the experim ents [-3,


A cknow ledgm ents
W e thank G.M odugno, H.O tt and M. Inguscio for usefuldiscussions. W e acknow ledge the nancial support from the A cadem y of Finland (P roject Nos. 53903, 205470), Em il A altonen foundation and European Com m ission IST -2001-38877 (Q UPROD IS).

A ppendix
In this appendix we form ulate the reduction from $m$ any-particle to single-particle state in the language of sym plectic geom etry. This form ulation $m$ ay be helpful in using the reduction in a $m$ ore system atic way and perhaps for $m$ ore general purposes.

Let us rst recall som e concepts of classical m echanics using a point-like particle $m$ oving in three-dim ensionalspace as an exam ple. The con guration space ofthe particle is just $C=R^{3}$ whereas the coordinates of phase space $M \quad R^{3} R^{3}$ include the $m$ om entum $p$ as well as the position $x$. In $m$ athem atical term $s$, the phase space is the cotangent space T C of the con guration space and it com es equipped with a canonical non-degenerate two-form

$$
!=\int_{k=1}^{X^{3}} d p^{k} \wedge d x^{k}
$$

which is known as the sym plectic form while the pair (M;) is referred to as a sym plectic m anifold. The sym $m$ etries of the phase space are the di eom onphism sofM preserving!. A coordingly, in nitesim al sym $m$ etries are the vector elds A on $M$ whose Lie derivative satisfy $L_{A}!=0$. Then each in nitesim alsym $m$ etry generates a one-param eter group of sym $m$ etries at least locally, where the correspondence is given by the ow of the vector eld.

U nder som e m ild conditions we can associate a classical observable or a function $H_{A}$ on the phase space $M$ to an in nitesim al symmetry $A$. The observable is de ned by the equation

$$
!(A ; B)=d H_{A}(B)
$$

which is required to be valid for all vector elds B on M . In particular, since the tim eevolution of a classicalm echanical system preserves the sym plectic form, it is generated by an observable, which is know as the H am iltonian. In the exam ple above it is clear that both translations and rotations are sym $m$ etries of the phase space. A $n$ in nitesim al translation is just a three-vector t $2 \mathrm{R}^{3}$, and the corresponding observable is

$$
H_{t}(x ; p)=p \quad t:
$$

Sim ilarly, an in nitesim al rotation can be given by a three-vector ras $r$ R where the com ponents of $R$ are the in nitesim al rotations about the coordinate axes. The associated classical observable is then

$$
H_{r}(x ; p)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & p
\end{array}\right) \quad r:
$$

$T$ he general pattem em enging is that given a Lie algebra $g$ of in nitesim al sym m etries, the collection of associated observables is m ost conveniently expressed as a m ap from the phase space $M$ to the dualg, that is, the space of linear $m$ aps $g!R$. In this way we associated the $m$ om entum $p$ to the translations and the im pulse $m$ om ent $x \quad p$ to the rotations. Therefore the $m$ ap $: M \quad!g$ de ned by the equation

$$
((x) ; A)=H_{A}(x)
$$

for allA 2 g and x 2 M is known as the m om ent m ap. The L ie group $G$ corresponding to the $L$ ie algebra $g$ acts naturally on both $g$ and $g$, and the $m$ om ent $m$ ap is equivariant in the sense that $(g x)=g(x)$ for all $g$ in $G$.

Retuming to quantum mechanics, let $H$ be a Hibert space, on which a com pact group $G$ acts unitarily. This is the general fram ew ork for a quantum $m$ echanical system w th the sym m etry group G. N ow the projective space

$$
P(H)=f v 2 H \quad j k v k=1 g=U(1)
$$

of pure states is canonically a sym plectic manifold, on which $G$ acts preserving the sym plectic form. H ence from the point of view of sym plectic geom etry, all that we have said previously applies.

Let $g$ be the Lie algebra of $G$. The observable associated to an in nitesim al symmetry A 2 g is calculated to be the expectation value $H_{A}(v)=h v \neq \hat{A}$ jvi where $\hat{A}$ stands for the representation of $A$ in $H$. There is also the $m$ om ent $m$ ap
: P (H) ! g
from the projective space to the dual of $g$ de ned by the equation

$$
\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{v})=(\mathrm{v}) ; \mathrm{A}\right):
$$

$H$ ence the expectation value of $\hat{A}$ in the vector state $v$ is given by h $\hat{A} i=($ (v); $A$ ). W e can extend the $m$ om ent $m$ ap to any state by linearity. Then the $m$ om ent $m$ ap im age
( ) is a kind of reduced state which can be used to calculate expectation values for all observables in $g$. The point is that ( ) m ay be a much simpler object than the state we started w ith. Furthem ore, the equivariance of the $m$ om ent $m$ ap $m$ eans that

$$
\left(\hat{U} \quad \hat{U}^{1}\right)=U \quad() U^{1}
$$

for every elem ent $U$ of $G$. In particular, if the $H$ am iltonian $H$ itself belongs to $g$, then the tim e evolution of the reduced state ( ) is given by the usualSchrodinger equation.

In the application we have in $m$ ind $G$ is the group of unitary transform ations of the single-particle $H$ ibert space $V$ and $g m$ ay be identi ed $w$ ith the single-particle observables or the $H$ erm itian operators on $V$. U sing the pairing ( $B ; A$ ) $=\operatorname{Tr}(B A)$ we $m$ ay identify $g w$ ith its dual. Let $H$ be the ferm ionic Fock space associated to $V$. Then G acts naturally on H and by the general theory

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{AB}} \hat{i}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{A^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}(() \mathrm{A}) \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $m$ any-particle state and single-particle observable A. It is easy to check that
() is a positive operator w ith trace

$$
\operatorname{Tr}()=\operatorname{Tr}(() I)=\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{I})=\operatorname{Tr}(N)=h N i
$$

since the num ber operator N is indeed the representation of I 2 g in the ferm ionic Fodk space.

In conclusion, we can represent any $m$ any-particle state by a single-particle state ( ) when calculating expectation values of single-particle observables. It is easy to calculate ( ) explicitly in a given basis ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) of V using equation annihilation and creation operators associated to this basis, them atrix elem ents of ( ) are

$$
()_{\mathrm{nm}}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(a_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{y}} a_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{h} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i}:
$$

A pplying the above procedure to the grand canonical ensem ble (1i1) yields
where

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{x}}
$$

is the Ferm i-D irac distribution which is usually derived using the partition function approach. The preceeding discussion was not just for the sake of an appealing generalization but also to show that the reduction to the single-particle state form alism gives not only the energy distribution but a state matrix obeying the Schrodinger equation.

## R eferences

[1] C ataliottiF S, B urger S, Fort C , M addaloniP , M inardiF , T rom bettoniA , Sm erziA and Inguscio M 2001 Science 293843
Denschlag J H, Sim sarian J E, H a ner H, M cKenzie C, Browaeys A, Cho D, Helm erson K, R olston S L and Phillips W D 2002 J. Phys. B:At. M ol. Opt. Phys. 353095
Liu W M , Fan W B, Zheng W M, Liang J Q and ChuiS T 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 88170408
G huck M , K eck F, K olovsky A R and K orsch H J 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 863116
G reiner M , M andelO, Esslinger T, H ansch T W and B loch IN ature 41539
A nderson B P and K asevich M A 1998 Science 2821686
[2] D ahan M B , Peik E, Reichel J, C astin Y and Salom on C 1996 P hys. Rev. Lett. 764508
W ikinson S R, Bharucha C F, M adison K W, Q ian Niu and Raizen M G 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 764512
M orsch O , M uller J H , C ristianiM , C iam piniD and A rim ondo E Phys. Rev. Lett. 87140402
[3] M odugno G , Ferlaino F , H eidem ann R , R oatiG and Inguscio M 2003 Phys. Rev. A 68011601 (R)
[4] O tt H , de M irandes E , Ferlaino F , R oatiG , M odugno G and Inguscio M 2004 P hys. Rev. Lett. 92 160601
[5] P ezze L, P itaevskii L P, Sm erzi A, Stringari S, M odugno G , de M irandes E, Ferlaino F , O tt H , Roatig and Inguscio M 2004 P reprint
[6] Roati G, de M irandes E, Ferlaino F, O tt H, M odugno G and Inguscio M 2004 P reprint ioond "-- - mat/0402328
[7] R igolM and M uram atsu A 2003 P reprintiond-mat/0311444
[8] H ooley C and Q uintanilla J 2003 Preprint'cond-m at/0312079
[9] K ennedy T A B 2004 P reprinticond-mat/040216a
[10] O rso G , P itaevskiiL P and StringariS 2004 P reprint iond-m at/0402532
[11] Rodr gues M and Tom a P 2004 Phys. Rev. A 69041602 (R)
[12] W outers M , Tem pere J and D evreese J T 2003 P reprinticond mat/0312154,

