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#### Abstract

The coherent m anipulation of quantum states is one of the m ain tasks required in quantum com putation. In this paper we dem onstrate that it is possible to control coherently the electronic position of a particle in a quantum -dot array. By tuning an extemalac electric eld we can selectively suppress the tunneling between dots, trapping the particle in a determ ined region of the array. The problem is treated non-perturbatively by a tim e-dependent $H$ am iltonian in the e ective $m$ ass approxim ation and using $F$ loquet theory. $W e$ nd that the quasienergy spectrum exhibits crossings at certain eld intensities that result in the selective suppression of tunneling.


PACS num bers: $78.67 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{c}, 72.20 \mathrm{Ht}, 73.40 . \mathrm{Gk}$
K eyw ords: dynam ic localization, quantum dot, tunneling, ac eld

The search for a solid-state based quantum com puter device has attracted a lot of interest in the physics com $m$ unity recently. The ability to $m$ anipulate a quantum state and $m$ easure it is one of the $m$ ost im portant and pressing challenges to be addressed in real im plem entations. Fortunately great advances in this area have been achieved recently. A good exam ple of that are the Rabi oscillations observed -ip, equciton states of self-assem bled


O ur work here is based on the coherent destrpation of tunneling (CDT) of a driven two-level system ${ }^{515} 16$ which the tunneling of one particle in a sym $m$ etric double well potential is suppressed for som e special value of frequency and eld intensity. W e propose a system in which one can selectively suppress the tunneling betw een individualquantum dots tuned by the extemalac electric eld. Thise ect provides onew ith a di erent experim ental handle to control a quantum m echanical system. By suitable variation of the frequency and applied ac eld am plitude, one can precise the location of one electron in them ultidot array. To dem onstrate this e ect, ourm odel em ploys an e ective $m$ ass nearest-neighbor tight-biding approxim ation (NNTB) ? using $F$ loquet theory and the direct integration of the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation.

The H am iltonian for an electron in an array of identical QD s under a strong ac eld w thin NNTB is written as

$$
H={ }_{j}^{X} T_{e}\left(a_{j+1}^{y} a_{j}+h: c:\right)+{ }_{j}^{X} e F \operatorname{dja} a_{j}^{y} a_{j} \cos (!t+\quad) ;
$$

where $T_{e}$ is the hopping $m$ atrix elem ent, $a_{j}^{y}$ and $a_{j}$ are, respectively, the electron creation and annihilation operator in the dot $j, e$ is the electronic charge, $F$ is the eld intensity, $d$ is the separation betw een dots, ! is the eld frequency, and is the phase of the drive eld. This phase, rst thought to be a non-relevant factor, is in fact quite an im portant param eter in the GDT at sm aller frequency, as we have recently shown $1^{11}$ Indeed, a phase
$==2$ produces a much better dynam ic localization
than any other possible phase, and consequently it is our choioe here.

Since $H$ is periodic in time $(H(t)=H(t+)$, where $=2=$ ! is the periad) we can $m$ ake use of the standard $F$ loquet theory ${ }^{1 / 142}$ and w rite the solutions of the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation as $(t)=$ $\exp (i=t=h) u(t)$, where $u(t)$, the so-called $F$ loquet state, is also periodic in time $w$ ith the sam e period, and " is the $F$ loquet characteristic exponent or quasienergy, which can be obtained from the eigenvalue equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \quad \text { ih } \frac{@}{@ t} \quad u(t)=u(t): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that this equation is similar to the tim eindependent Schrodinger equation $w$ ith $H=H \quad$ ih $C_{t}$ playing the role of a tim e-independent H am iltonian. U sing this analogy we can explore a com bined dynam ic party operation: $z$ ! $z$;t! $t+=2$, under which the operator $H$ is invariant. As a consequence, each $F$ loquet state is either even or odd under this operation ${ }^{221}$ $Q$ uasienergies of di erent \dynam ic parity" $m$ ay cross, while an avoided crossing is expected as a function ofextemal param eters, such as the eld intensity, for states w ith the sam e parity.

In a symm etric double QD the levels present strictly di erent parity, and they $m$ ay exh ibit crossings $w$ ith eld unless the sym $m$ etry is broken. Ifwe vary the eld intensity, and the quasienergy levels cross, a CD T is expected, since a splltting betw een levels com es from this inter-dot tunneling. This CDT result is well known in the high frequency lim it, and it occurs at eld values satisfying the zeroes of the $B$ essel function, $J_{0}(e F d=h!)=0$. As we have show in Ref. 12,12 , and extended by $C$ re eld, 13 CD T m ay also occur at low er frequency w ith decreasing degree of localization and at di erent intensities of the driven eld. The dynam ics of the system can be drastically di erent, depending on the num bers of dots. We note that for this e ect to be observed, the frequency of the driven eld cannot be excessively high, com pared


F IG . 1: (C olor online) $F$ irst $B$ rillouin zone of quasienergies (in units of $h$ !) as function of ac eld intensity ( $e F d=h$ !), for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}!=0 \cdot 2$. In set show s am pli cation of collapse region, show ing crossings and anticrossing that follow a de nite pattem according to dynam ical sym $m$ etries. The two vertical lines indicate eld intensity $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ of pair crossings and are used later in num erical sim ulation.
w th the tunneling probability betw een nearest dots, as otherw ise the level splitting w ill be so sm all as to be unnotioeable. It should also be pointed out that in the lim it of an in nite num ber of identical Q D s the levels form a $m$ iniband $w$ ith bandw idth of $4 \mathrm{~T}_{e}$, and since there is an in nite num ber of levels in that range, they are in nitesim ally separated, so that even at low frequencies the levels collapse at the zeroes of the B essel function. $N$ otice that the applicability, of, the, NNTB in that lim it system has
 just a few QDs and we assum e weak coupling between them, so that the NNTB can be applied w ith con dence. N otice also that we are considering a di erent kind of dynam ic localization since we do not focus only in the probability to nd the particle in the sam e initial state, but in a determ ined region of the dot array that $m$ ay not be necessarily the initial localized state. In fact the particle stays con ned to a determ ined multidot region of the array.

In our analysis, we focus on the dynam ics of four Q D s. H ow ever, we show that sim ilar results can be obtained for other num bers of QD s as well. In Fig. II' we show the quasienergy spectrum as a function of the eld intensity for the ratio $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}!=0: 2$ exhibiting the strong dependence on eld am plitude and the expected collapse of the spectrum near eld values forwhich $J_{0}(e F d=h!)=0$. N otice how ever, as show n in the inset, that the region of the levelcollapse is in fact a region w ith severalcrossings and anticrossings follow ing a well-de ned pattem given by the dynam ic parity. The two vertical lines in the inset are shown to indicate the two eld values, $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, where pairs of levels cross and are the choiges of eld intensity used for the num erical sim ulations below.

O ur num erical sim ulation is done by direct integration

(b)

FIG. 2: (C olor online) T im e evolution of probability to nd one particle in each one of the QDS in a four-dot array for $e F_{1} d=h!$ ' $2: 38$, and starting the system $w$ th the particle in: (a) dot 1, and (b) dot 2. Lower panel is a schem atic representation of the dynam ics of the system, as seen in the respective tim e evolution of the occupation probability ofeach dot. The full circle represents the position of the particle at time zero, crosses indicate the suppression of tunneling through that barrier and arrows indicate that tunneling is possible.
of the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation and follow ed by calculations of the occupation probability for di erent choices of intial conditions. In Fig. the tim e evolution of the system for the eld intensity $F_{1}$ corresponding to the rst vertical line in the inset of Fig. $I_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(e F_{1} \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{h}\right.$ ! ' $2: 38$ ), assum ing that at tim e zero the particle is in the rst QD.N otice that the particle is basically frozen in that dot, which $m$ eans that for this choige of eld we can e ectively suppress the tunneling in the barrier betw een dots 1 and 2. This is what the bottom cartoon in Fig. indicates that the tunneling is not allow ed and that the e ective interdot tunneling am plitude $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{e}}!0$. On the other hand, ifw e start the system $w$ ith the particle in dot 2, F ig. . $21.11(\mathrm{l})$ show s that the particle can tunnelback and forth betw een dots 2 and 3, while the e ective tunneling is not only suppressed betw een dots 1 and 2, but betw een 3 and 4 also. The bottom panel represents the dynam ics for this initial condition. W e can then conclude that for this rst choice of eld intensity one can selectively block the tunneling of the electron through the outer barriers, so that the_only open barrier for tunneling is the one in the $m$ iddlet ${ }^{18}$

Let us now explore the second choice of eld intensity $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, the second vertical line in the inset of F ig. ${ }^{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$, $\left(e F_{2} \mathrm{~d}=\mathrm{h}\right.$ ! ' $2: 4$ ). This $s m$ all but discemible tuning of


FIG. 3: (C olor online) $T$ im e evolution of the probability to nd a particle in one of the QD sfor eF $d=h!$ ' $2: 40$, starting the system with the particle in: (a) dot 1, and (b) dot 2. Lower panel is a schem atic representation of the dynam ics. $H$ ere, the $m$ iddle barrier tunneling is suppressed.
the eld intensity yields a com pletely di erent result. At that point there are two crossings in the spectrum. In Fig. ${ }_{1}^{\prime \prime}(a)$, we show the results when we start the system with the particle in dot 1, and in Fig. İ木 (b) starting in dot 2. The picture for both initial conditions does not change at all, except for a -phase in the oscillation (that is provided by the initial state). The e ective tunnelings can be sum $m$ arized in the respective bottom panels of that gure, and we can see that now we can block the tunneling betw een dots 2 and 3. Sim ilar behavior and conclusions are reached for intialconditions in either dot 3 or 4 .

These exam ples represent an exciting result since by simply tuning the eld intensity from $F_{1}$ to $F_{2}$, one can choose which barrier is allow ed or blocked for tunneling. N otice that this dot or site selectivity is achieved despite the ac eld being applied to the entire structure, which suggests interesting applications. $N$ otice, $m$ oreover, that the am ount of eld intensity needed to change this condition is relative to the frequency of the driving eld, i.e., the ratio $T_{e}=h$ !. It is also interesting that the separation between the tw o tunneling suppressing elds is not a m onotonic function of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}$ !. Figure ' $\underline{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ ' (a) show s how these two crossing points change $w$ th the ratio $T_{e}=h$ !. $T$ he solid line show sthe rst crossing at eld intensity $\mathrm{F}_{1}$, and the dashed line is the result for $\mathrm{F}_{2}$. N otioe that in the high frequency regim e (sm aller ratio $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}$ !) the crossings occur very close to each other and at eld intensities satisfying the condition $J_{0}(e F d=h!)=0$. A s a result, all barriers present a suppression of tunneling at the sam e


F IG . 4: (C olor online) (a) C rossing in the collapse region as indicated by vertical lines in the inset of $\mathrm{Fig} .1_{1}^{1}$, as function of the ratio $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}$ !. In the high frequency lim it (lower ratio $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}$ !) the crossings occur basically at the sam e point (zeroes of B essel function). Inset show $s$ am pli cation of quasienergy spectrum for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}!=0: 7$. (b) E scape probability for a particle in itially in one region of the quantum -dot array. Solid red line is for the equivalent rst crossing and represents the escape probability of the Q D 1, considering this dot as the in itial condition. D otted blue line continuation show $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ when the crossing disappears. D ashed green line is for the second crossing and represents escape probability of the rst tw O Q D s assum ing that the system starts in QD 1 .
value of eld intensity in the high frequency regin e 'ind $^{-17}$ For low er frequencies and given $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}$, the crossings becom e separated and di erent barriers are selectively closed at di erent elds. A t even low er frequency $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}$ ! > $0: 4$, the rst crossing disappears (indicated by the dotted blue line continuation) as the coupling betw een quasienergy levels one and tree, and two and four increases. This results in an e ective gap in the quasienergy spectrum (see inset of F ig. 'I'1 (a) for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}!=0: 7$ ) that basically kills the CDT for that value of eld intensity and frequency.

To better understand how good is this CD T at values of eld given by $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ we can m onitor the m axim um probability for the particle tunneling out of the region of dots we are considering (see Ref. '12'). Low er values for this represent a good CD T.F igure, '4 (b) show s the escape probability for eld intensities $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ provided by the crossings in $F$ ig. ' $1_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{a})$, as function of the ratio $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}$ ! (frequency). The solid line is the result for the rst crossing $F_{1}$, and is the escape probability out of dot 1, de ned as the $m$ axim um value reached by $P_{2}+P_{3}+P_{4}$, assum ing that the system starts $w$ th the particle in dot 1 . The


F IG . 5: (C olor online) C ollapse region of the rst B rillouin zone of quasienergies (in units of $h$ !) as function of the ac
eld intensity (eF $d=h!$ ), for $T_{e}=h$ ! $=0: 2$ for: (a) an array of six QDs and (b) an array of ve QDs. Lower cartoons are the schem atic representation of the dynam ic of the respective arrays of $Q D$ s at eld intensity at crossing points given by $F_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$.
sam e result is clearly obtained if the system starts w ith the particle in dot 2 and one m onitors the m axim um probability to nd it in dot 1. The dotted blue line that follow s the solid red line in $F$ ig. . $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ (b) is the result for eld values $F_{1}$ at frequencies when there are no $m$ ore crossing, but a gap in the quasienergy spectrum . N otice this goes to unity quickly as the e ective tunneling becom es possible as a result of having a gap in the quasienergy spectrum . The dashed green line in Fig. 'ill (b) is the result for the second level crossing eld $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, representing the escape probability of the dots 1 and 2 (since for this choige of eld we suppress the tunneling betw een dots 2 and 3 ), which is the $m$ axim um value reached by $P_{3}+P_{4}$ starting the system $w$ ith the particle in either dots 1 or
2. N otice that for frequencies around $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{h}$ ! , 0:3 the system still presents a good CD T forboth crossings, and they occurs at eld intensity $w$ th signi cant separation.

O ur analysis w as given for four Q D s, but the sam e behavior can be obtained for other nite num ber of dots. $T$ he quasienergy spectrum presentstw ocrossings, $F_{1}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, in the collapse region aswe can see in F ig. and (b) for ve QD s, respectively. The rst crossing $F_{1}$ basically suppresses the tunneling betw een dots 1 and 2, and betw een the two last QD s. This result is schem atically represented in the bottom cartoons for $F_{1}$ for either ve or six QDs. The second crossing in the spectrum, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, suppress the tunneling betw een pairs of quantum dots, for exam ple, in the six Q D s cases, the tunneling betw een dots 2 and 3, and 4 and 5 as schem atically represented in the low erbottom panelofF ig. 'ris (a). A $n$ interesting result appears for odd num bers of $Q \bar{D} s$, since in this case there is a di erent num ber of even and odd states. This fact allow sfor the dot in the $m$ iddle to becom e a true trap for the particle, since tunneling in both directions can be suppressed. For example, the case of ve QDs for the second crossing, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$, as schem atically represented by the low erbottom cartoon ofF ig. 'is (d), suppress the tunneling betw een the dots 2 and 3, and 3 and 4. So, if we could start the system, or m anipulate it, in a state localized in that dot and choose this value of eld intensity $\left(F_{2}\right)$ we could in principle trap the particle in the $m$ iddle of the quantum -dot array.

In conclusion, we have show $n$ that it is possible to suppress selectively the tunneling betw een quantum dots by a simple tuning from $F_{1}$ to $F_{2}$, the intensity of an applied ac eld. $W$ ith this tool one could $m$ anipulate the position of the particle in a quantum -dot array, and assist in its initialization and control.
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