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#### Abstract

Q uantum kicked rotor was recently realized in experim ents with cold atom ic gases and standing optical waves. A s predicted, it exhibits dynam ical localization in the $m$ om entum space. H ere we consider the w eak localization regin e concentrating on the E hrenfest tim e scale. The later accounts for the spread-tim e of a m inim al wavepacket and is proportional to the logarithm of the P lanck constant. We show that the onset of the dynam ical localization is essentially delayed by four Ehrenfest tim es and give quantitative predictions suitable for an experim ental veri cation.


PACS num bers: 05.45.-a, $42.50 . \mathrm{Vk}$, 72.15. R n

U nprecedented degree of control reached in experi$m$ ents $w$ th ultra-cold atom ic gases [1] [1] allow s to investigate various fundam ental quantum phenom ena. A realization ofquantum kicked rotor (QKR) is one such possi-
 To this end cold atom s are placed in a spatially periodic potential $V_{0} \cos \left(2 k_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{x}\right)$ created by two counterpropagated opticalbeam $s$. T he potential is sw itched on periodically for a short time $p$, giving a kick to the atom S ; here T is a period of such kicks. The evolution of the atom ic $m$ om enta distribution $m$ ay bem onitored after a certain num ber of kicks. If the gas is su ciently dilute [G], one $m$ ay $m$ odel it $w$ ith the single\{particle $H$ am iltonian, that upon the proper rescaling takes the form [7] of the QKR :

$$
\hat{H}=\frac{1}{2} \hat{I}^{2}+K \cos _{n}^{X} \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
n \tag{1}
\end{array}\right):
$$

Here $\quad 2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{x}$ and time is m easured in units of the kick period, T. The m om entum operator is de ned as $\hat{\mathrm{I}}=$ ik @ , where the dim ensionless $P$ lanck constant is given by $k=8 h T k_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}=(2 \mathrm{~m})$. Finally, the classical stochastic param eter is $K=k V_{0}=h$.

The classical kicked rotor is known to have the rich and complicated behavior $\left[\frac{[0}{1}\right]$. In particular, for su ciently large K ( 5), it exhibits the chaotic di usion in the space of angular $m$ om entum $\left[{ }^{[10}\right]$. The latter is associated w th the di usive expansion of an initially shanp m om enta distribution: $\mathrm{hl}^{2}(t) i \quad h(l(t) \quad l(0)\} i=2 D_{c 1} t$ (dashed line on F ig. (11-1'). For su ciently large K , the classical di usion constant $m$ ay be approxim ated by $K^{2}=4$ [8]1. The higher order correction is an oscillatory function of the stochastic param eter, i.e., $D_{c l}(K) \quad \frac{1}{4} K^{2}(1$ $\left.3 J_{2}(\mathbb{K})+2 J_{2}^{2}(\mathbb{K})\right)\left[\overline{9}, \overline{1}, \overline{1} 0_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. It was realized a while ago [ $\left.\left.11 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}, 1\right] 2\right]$, that quantum interference destroys the diusion in the long time lim it and leads to localization: $\mathrm{hl}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}!\quad{ }^{2}$ at $\mathrm{t}^{>} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cl}}=\mathrm{k}^{2}={ }^{2}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cl}}$, where the localization length is given by $=D_{c 1}=\mathrm{k}$. For a large localization length $k$, there is a long crossover regim $e$, $1<t<t_{4}$, betw een the classical di usion and quantum


FIG.1: Them om entum dispersion for $K=6: 1$ and $k=0: 6$ \{ full line; the classical lim it (k ! 0) \{ dashed line, standard weak localization ( $t_{\mathrm{E}}=0$ ) \{ dashed-dotted line; the lim it $2!0, \mathrm{Eq} .\left(\mathrm{B}^{1}\right)\{$ long-dashed line.

## localization.

It was suggested in Ref. [13], that the $Q K R m$ ay be $m$ apped onto the one\{dim ensionalA nderson localization w ith the long range disorder. T he universallong \{ tim ebehavior of the latter is described by the non \{ linear sigm a \{ m odel $[14]$, resulting in the standard weak \{locajization correction $\left.[1]_{1}, 1\right]: \quad h l^{2}(t) i=2 D_{c 1} t\left(1 \quad 0: 75 \quad t=t_{1}\right)$
 tion is linear in $k$ and non-analytic in tim $e$. In an apparent contradiction $w$ th this fact, explicit studies [1] 11 1 ] of the rst few kicks show only renorm alization of the di usion constant starting from term $s k^{2}$. The aim of this paper is to develop a quantitative description of the classical to quantum crossover for the $Q K R$ that, in particular, accounts for these con icting observations.

It is know $n$ in various contexts [1] [1] that such crossover involves an additional tim e scale, $t$, called an Ehrenfest (or breaking) tim e. For a generic quantum mapping, it was rst shown by Berm an and Zaslavski [20 quantum corrections becom e com parable to the classical lim it at the time $t_{\mathrm{E}}$. This is the tim e needed for a m inim alquantum wavepacket, $0 l_{0}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$, to spread uniform ly over the angular direction. D ue to the chaotic mo-
tion, trajectories diverge as $(t)=0 e^{t}$, where is the classical Lyapunov exponent. For $K \quad 1,=\ln (\mathrm{K}=2)$ [ $\overline{1} 1]$. Estim ating $l_{0} \quad \mathrm{~K} \quad 0$ \{ a typical $m$ om enta dispersion after one kick, one nds for the Ehren fest tim e:

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{~K}}{\mathrm{k}}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

 ate, $1<t_{t}<t_{4}$, tim scale is indeed relevant for the quantum evolution of classically chaotic system s. This observation w as put on the quantitative basis in $R$ ef. [iz $]$ in the context of localization caused by classical scatterers. In this paper we adopt $m$ ethods of $R$ ef. [23] to the essentially di erent problem of the QKR.

In the leading order in $k$ we found for the $m$ om entum dispersion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h l^{2}(t) i=2 D_{c 1} t \quad \frac{8 k^{p} \overline{D_{c l}}}{3^{P}-}(t \quad 4 t)(t \quad 4 t)^{3=2} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $t$ ) is the step function (long-dashed line on Fig. ( $\left.{ }_{1}^{1} 1 \mathbf{1}\right)$. At relatively large $t i m e, t_{ \pm} t<t_{L}$ our result approaches the standard weak \{localization, $m$ entioned above. H ow ever, corrections of the order of $k$ are absent fort 4t. The delay is caused by the interference nature of the localization. Indeed, the rst correction originates from the interference of tw o closed $\{$ loop counter\{propagating tra jectories, see Fig. in'. It takes tim e about $t_{t}$ for classical tra jectories passing through (alm ost) the sam e value of the $m$ om entum to diverge and take counter\{propagating roots. As a result, the interference e ects are practically absent at sm aller tim es and show up only after $4 t_{\text {E }}$.

O ne may show that the time interval $0 \quad t \quad 4 \neq$ is protected from higher order weak localization corrections as well. For exam ple, in the second order w eak localization correction there are two diagram s [24] proportional to $k^{2}(t \quad m t)(t \quad m t)^{2} w$ th $m=6$ and $m=8$ correspondingly. This fact agrees $w$ ith the perturbative stud-
 where no localization e ects were seen. (T hough the classical di usion coe cient, D cl, is renorm alized as an analytic function of $k^{2}$.) It is important to $m$ ention, how ever, that in reality there is no non \{analyticity at the point $t=4 t_{E}$ as $m$ ay seem from Eq. ( $\hat{3}^{-}$). . The sm all localization corrections, non \{analytic in $k$, do exist for $t<4 t_{\text {E }}$. They are associated $w$ ith the uctuations of the Ehrenfest time. Since in the quantum mechanics the $m$ inimpl separation between the trajectories is about $0 \quad k=K$, it takes a nite time ( $\ddagger$ ) for them to diverge. This time may uctuate depending on initial conditions. The uctuations are characterized by the time scale $t_{ \pm}=2 t_{E}={ }^{2}$, where (cf. Eq. $\bar{Z}$ )) $2=h^{2} i \quad h^{2} \quad 0: 82$ for su ciently large $K$ and the angular brackets denote averaging over the initialangle. The interference betw een rare tra jectories, diverging


FIG.2: The rst quantum correction to the density \{density correlator: (a) one\{loop weak localization diagram; (b) its im age in the $m$ om entum space; (c) sem iclassical H ikam ibox.
faster than the typicalones, leads to quantum corrections at $t<4 t_{ \pm}$of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h l^{2}(t) i=2 D_{c 1} t \quad \frac{\frac{5}{4} k P}{3=256} \overline{D_{c l}}\left(t_{ \pm}\right)^{3=2} f \quad \frac{4 t_{ \pm}}{P} t_{ \pm} \quad ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(0)=1$ and $f(x)=6^{p} 2=\frac{5}{4} x^{5=2} e^{x^{2}=16}$ for $x$ 1. Localization correction inchuding e ect of the Ehrenfest time uctuations is depicted on $F i g$. 11 full line. It is rather close to the prediction of Eq. (3) (long-dashed line), how ever the singularity at $t=4 t_{\mathrm{t}}$ is rounded.
$H$ aving outlined our $m$ ain results, we tum now to som e details of the calculations. O ne starts from introducing the exact one perind evolution operator as: $\hat{U}=\exp i(K=k) \cos { }^{\wedge} \exp \quad i \hat{1} \hat{}=(2 k)$. All physical quantities $m$ ay be expressed in term $s$ of the $m$ atrix elem ents of $\hat{U}^{n}$, where $n$ stays for the num ber of kicks (tim e). W e shall be particularly interested in the four\{ point density $\{d e n s i t y ~ c o r r e l a t o r, ~ d e ~ n e d ~ a s: ~$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n ; n^{0}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where 71 i denote $m$ om entum eigenstates. $F$ irst we note that averaging over $(!++!)=2$ leads to $n=n^{0}$ [14. $\left.{ }_{2}^{\prime}\right]$. Then perform ing the standard $W$ igner transform, one passes to the variables $l=\left(l_{+}+1\right)=2$ and $\{$ the Fourier transform of $l_{+} \quad l$ (and sim ilarly for the prim e variables). Since the $m$ ost unstable direction of the underlying classical dynam ics is along the direction initially sm ooth distribution quickly relaxes in this direction. Thus, averaging over and ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ay be perform ed.

The resulting correlator depends only on the relative m om entum 1 l and frequency ! !+ !. Introducing nally angle' as the Fourier im age of $1 \quad P$, one ends up with $D=D(r ;!)$. Its classical lim 五, $D_{0}(r ;!), m$ ay be found e.g. by using the diagram $m$ atic technique of Ref. $1 \overline{1}_{1}$, where in the large $K$ lim it it corresponds to the fam ily of ladder diagram $s$. A ltematively, one $m$ ay show from Eq. ( $\bar{T}$ ) that $D_{0}$ satis es classical Liouville equation. U pon the proper regularization $[\overline{9}, 1]$ view ed as a coarse graining in the angular direction, one arrives to the classicaldi usion propagator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{0}(\prime ;!)=\quad \mathrm{i}!+\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cl}}{ }^{\prime 2} \quad{ }^{1}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This classicallim it re ects the di usion in them om entum space: $h l^{2}(t) i=2 D{ }_{c 1} t$, $w$ th the di usion coe cient, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{K})$, studied extensively in the literature $\left[\mathrm{g}_{1}^{\prime}, 1,1 \mathrm{Id}\right]$.

The rst quantum correction to Eq. (i) is given by the one\{ loop weak \{localization diagram, $\overline{\mathrm{F}}$ ig. itha. It describes the interference of the two counter\{propagating tra jectories, passing through (alm ost) the sam e point in the $m$ om entum space, $F$ ig. ${ }_{2}$ ind. In the $W$ igner representation such correction takes the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{X}\left(l_{0} ; 0 ; l_{1} ; 1\right) D_{0}\left(l_{;} ; l_{0} ; ~ o\right) D_{0}\left(l_{1} ; 1 ; l^{0} ;{ }^{0}{ }^{0}\right. \text {; (7) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where ! argum ent is om itted to shorten notations. The operator $\hat{X}$ stays for the H ikam ibox $[\underline{[2 G]}]$, which is given by
$\hat{X}=\quad \exp \frac{K^{2}(0)^{4}}{4 k^{2}}+4 i \frac{I_{0} \quad 0}{k} \quad D_{c l} r_{1_{0}}^{2}+r_{l_{1}}^{2} ;$
where $0 \quad 0+1$ and $l_{0} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll} & \exists\end{array}\right)=2$. It is clear from this expression that the quantum correction, Eq. $\left.\overline{[7}_{1}\right)$, is non $\left\{\right.$ zero as long as $l_{0}<k$ and, therefore, it is proportional to k . T he sem iclassical C ooperon $C\left(l_{0} ; 0 ; l_{1} ; 1\right)$ gives the probability of retum to (alm ost) the sam emomentum, $l_{1} \quad$, at (alm ost) the opposite angle, 10 . If these conditions w ere strict, such $m o-$ tion would be forbidden by the tim e\{reversal sym $m$ etry. $T$ he quantum uncertainty $m$ akes it possible. It takes, how ever, a long time tg $m$ agnify the initially sm all angular variation $0^{\prime}, \overline{k=K}$ (this estim ate as well as $I_{0}, \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{kK}}$ follow s directly from Eq. ([q)) up to n 1 , when the usual di usion takes place.

To take this fact into account we divide the C ooperon trajectory onto two parts: the Ehrenfest region, where
n 1 and the di usive region with ${ }_{n}>1$. We denote the corresponding propagators ${\underset{R}{2}}^{S} \mathrm{~W}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ and $w$ rite in the tim e representation $C(t)=d t^{0} W \quad\left(t^{0}\right) C_{0}(t$ $2 t^{0}$ ) (cf. Fig. $12-1 / \mathrm{b}$ ), where, as we show below, $\mathrm{t}^{0}$ t. $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{o}-$ tice that the di usive part of the tra jectory is shortened by $2 \mathrm{t}^{0}$, leading to $\mathrm{C}(!)=W(2!) \mathrm{C}_{0}(!)$. The di usive

C ooperon, $C_{0}\left(l_{0} \quad \exists_{\mathrm{R}}!\right.$ ! , has the sam e form as Eq. (G) and thus $C_{0}(0 ;!) \quad d^{\prime}\left(D_{c 1}{ }^{2} \quad \text { i! }\right)^{1}$.

To evaluate propagator $W$ (2!) in the Ehrenfest regim $e$, we de ne $W(z ; n)$ as a probability to reach the deviation $n \quad e^{z}$ during $n$ kicks, starting from an initially sm all variation, $0^{\prime} \quad \bar{k}=\mathrm{K}$. A ccording to the classical equations (the standard $m a p$ ) $n=n l_{1}+l_{n}$ and $l_{n}=l_{n} 1+K \sin n 1$, the variation evolves as $n_{n}=n_{1}\left(1+K \cos n_{1}\right)+2 l_{n} 1$. Since $l_{0}{ }^{\prime} K$, in the leadingorder in $K \quad 1$ the evolution of is given by $n \quad 0^{2}{ }_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{~K}$ cos $j$. Taking the logarithm of this expression, one obtains
where the averaging is perform ed over the infial distribution of 0 [w ith the typical scale $0 \quad \bar{k}=\mathrm{K}$, cf. Eq. $(\bar{q})]$ as wellas over dynam ics of the fast variable, that is $l_{n}=n$. For $K \quad 1$, onem ay treat cos $j$ after successive kicks as independent random variables and em ploy the central lim titing theorem to perform the averaging in Eq. $\left(\underline{g_{1}}\right)$. A s a result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(z ; n) \quad \exp \frac{\left(z \quad \ln ^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{n}\right)^{2}}{2 \mathrm{n} 2} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Lyapunov exponent $\overline{\text { phen }}$ and its dispersion 2 are de ned as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \ln \mathfrak{k} \cos \ddot{\mathrm{p}}=\ln (\mathrm{K}=2) ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
2 \quad \ln ^{2} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{~K} \cos \mathrm{j} \quad{ }^{2}=\text { (3) } \quad \ln ^{2} 2 \quad 0: 82 \text {; }
$$

the angular brackets imply integration over. The E hren fest evolution crosses over to the usual di usion at n $1, m$ eaning $z p 0$. Perform ing nally the Fourier transform asW (!) $\quad{ }_{n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}!\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{W} \quad(0 ; \mathrm{n})$ and em ploying the de nition of the E hrenfest tim e, Eq. (2, $\mathbf{l}_{1}$ ), and the fact that
2 , one nds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(2!)=\exp 2 i!t_{E} \quad \frac{2!^{2}{ }^{2} t_{E}}{2}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

D ue to the tim e\{reversal invariance there is an exact sym $m$ etry betw een divergence and convergence of the tw o classical trajectories involved in the weak \{localization correction. This symmetry is ilhustrated on Fig. izic. $T$ herefore it takes an additional time for the two distinct sem iclassical di usons to arrive to the point $I_{0} \quad \exists$ and $0 \quad 1$, bringing, thus, another factor W (2!). In a slightly di erent language, one $m$ ay de ne the H kam i box for a classically chaotic system $\left[2 \overline{2} \overline{3}_{1},{ }_{2}^{2} \bar{Z}_{1}\right]$ as $2 \mathrm{~kW}^{2}(2!) \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{cl}} \mathrm{r}_{1}^{2}$, where one factor W (2!) com es from the two legs of the C ooperon, while another originates from the two di usons. Finally, the quantum correction, Eq. $(\overline{7}(\overline{1})$, reduces to the renorm alization of the diffusion coe cient in the classical propagator, Eq. (\$Q) ${ }^{-1}$, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& D(!)=D_{c l}+D(!) w \text { th } \\
& D(!)=\frac{k D_{c l}}{} W^{2}(2!) \frac{d^{\prime}}{i!+D_{c l}{ }^{2}}: \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (12-1) and ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ constitute the $m$ ain analytical results of this work. They describe quantitatively dynam ical weak \{localization of the Q KR w ith the account for the Ehrenfest tim e phenom ena. O ne $m$ ay nally express the tim evolution of the $m$ om entum dispersion in term s of the frequency\{dependent di usion coe cient. $T$ he exact relation reads as:

$$
h \mathrm{l}^{2}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}!}{\frac{1}{!^{2}} \mathrm{e}(!): ~}
$$

Neglecting uctuations of the Ehrenfest time ( 2 ! 0 in Eq. (12)) and perform ing frequency and angle integrations in Eqs. (14), (13), one obtains Eq. (3) for the m om enta dispersion. N otioe that in this approxim ation the evolution is purely classical at $t$ 4t. To account for the quantum corrections at $t^{<} 4 t_{\text {E }}$ one needs to keep the 2 term in Eq. (121). The straightforw ard integration leads to Eq. (4 $\underline{4}^{-1}$ ).

O ur results, Eqs. (了, $\overline{1}),(\underline{4})$, are expected to be quantitatively accurate if separations betw een the relevant time scales: $1<t_{ \pm}<t_{I}$ are large enough. This is the case when the two dim ensionless constants satisfy inequalities: $\mathrm{k}<1<\mathrm{K}$. (In the experim ents, we are aw are of $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1,1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ has to do w the the dephasing time, [2d]. The later $m$ ay originate from non \{perfect periodicity of the kicks (noise) [2 $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$spontaneous em ission
 the nature of the dephasing tim e, one needs to ensure $t_{ \pm}<\quad$ to observe the weak localization.
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