

**Comment on "Memory Effects in an Interacting Magnetic Nanoparticle System" by Sun *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. , 91, 167206 (2003)**

In a recent letter Sun *et al.*[1] have presented interesting experimental results of history dependent magnetization in a monolayer of sputtered permalloy ( $\text{Ni}_{81}\text{Fe}_{19}$ ) clusters on a  $\text{SiO}_2$  substrate. Starting at a high (room) temperature ( $T = T_\infty$ ), the system is steadily cooled in a small magnetic field  $H$  and the magnetization  $M$  measured as a function of  $T$ . Subsequently at low temperatures the cooling is arrested at a few T-steps where  $H$  is first switched off and then restored after a wait of a few hours. From the lowest  $T$  the system is heated and  $M(T)$  measured in the presence of  $H$ . The heating path surprisingly shows wiggles in  $M(T)$  at all the T-steps where  $H$  was switched off during cooling, apparently keeping a memory of the temperature arrests! The authors attribute this to aging and concomitant memory-dependent effects found in the spin glass phase.

In this Comment we report (Fig.1) an identical phenomenon in systems of  $\text{NiFe}_2\text{O}_4$  particles embedded in a  $\text{SiO}_2$  matrix with two different interparticle spacings 4 nm (1) and 15 nm (2), which controls the strength of the dipolar interactions. Not only do we find the memory effect to be present in the non-interacting sample (2) (Fig.1(b)), indeed we find it to be *more* prominent than in the interacting case (1) (Fig.1(a)).




FIG. 1: Experimental  $M(T)$  curves during cooling (red) and heating (black) for the (a) interacting and (b) non-interacting cases

We demonstrate that the phenomenon observed in Ref.[1] and shown in Fig.1 can be simply attributed to a superposition of relaxation times[2]. Our calculated results, while corroborating the findings of Ref.[2], are based on a simpler model which also explains the suppression of this effect for the interacting sample. Assume that the system consists of single-domain particles of just two volumes  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Recall that the characteristic relaxation time for a noninteracting single domain par-




FIG. 2: Simulated arrested cooling and continuous heating for two different particle sizes. Inset shows the combined effect.

ticle  $\tau(V) \propto \exp[(KV \pm \mu HV)/k_B T]$ , where  $K$  is the anisotropy energy constant,  $\mu$  is the magnetic moment per unit volume,  $H$  the applied magnetic field and  $k_B$  the Boltzmann constant. We have only two relaxation times  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  associated with  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  ( $V_2 > V_1$ ). The time  $\tau_1$  is much smaller than the measurement time while  $\tau_2$  is much larger, at the lowest temperature ( $T_0$ ) of measurement.

Both  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are expected to be smaller than the measurement time at the highest temperature  $T_\infty$ . Therefore, in the intermediate temperature domain ( $T_0 \leq T \leq T_\infty$ ), some of the particles will equilibrate rapidly, thus showing superparamagnetism while the others will be 'blocked'. This is observed in Fig.2 where we have plotted  $M(T)$  separately for the two sets of particles under the same cooling and heating protocol. When  $H$  is zero, both sets of particles relax to  $M = 0$ , however, when  $H$  is turned on, particles 2 are blocked ( $M = 0$ ) while 1 show facile response. As  $T$  is increased again,  $M$  for 1 decreases with  $T$  while  $M$  for 2 *increases* thus producing a wiggle. This effect is seen only when the temperature of arrest is in-between the two respective blocking temperatures. In the interacting system, one has an additional term  $\propto V^2$  in the exponent of  $\tau_V$  in a meanfield picture which shifts both the blocking temperatures to higher  $T$  causing the wiggles to disappear.

Discussions with P. A. Sreeram are gratefully acknowledged.

S. Chakravarty<sup>1</sup>, A. Frydman<sup>2</sup>, M. Bandyopadhyay<sup>1</sup>, S. Dattagupta<sup>1</sup> and S. Sengupta<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, Block JD, Sector III, Salt Lake, Kolkata 700 098 INDIA.

<sup>2</sup> Dept. Of Physics, Bar Ilan University, Ramat Gan 52900, Israel.

[1] Y. Sun, M. B. Salamon, K. Garnier and R. S. Averback,

Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 167206 (2003)

arXiv:cond-mat/0311264 v2 9Feb 2004

[2] M. Sasaki, P. E. Jonsson, H. Takayama and P. Nordblad,