

Breakdown of the Luttinger sum-rule at the Mott-Hubbard transition in the one-dimensional t_1 - t_2 Hubbard model.

Claudius Gros¹, Kay Hamacher² and Wolfgang Wenzel³

¹ Fakultät 7, Theoretische Physik, University of the Saarland, 66041 Saarbrücken, Germany.

² Dept. of Physics, University of California, San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, MC 0374, La Jolla, CA 92093, USA.

³ Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Institut für Nanotechnologie, Hermann-von-Helmholtz-Platz 1, 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany.

Abstract. { We investigate the momentum distribution function near the Mott-Hubbard transition in the one-dimensional t_1 - t_2 Hubbard model (the zig-zag Hubbard chain), with the density-matrix renormalization-group technique. We show that for strong interactions the Mott-Hubbard transition occurs between the metallic phase and an insulating dimerized phase with incommensurate spin excitations, suggesting a decoupling of magnetic and charge excitations not present in weak coupling. We illustrate the signatures for the Mott-Hubbard transition and the commensurate-incommensurate transition in the insulating spin-gaped state in their respective ground-state momentum distribution functions.

Introduction – Many aspects of the low-energy physics of an electronic system are influenced by the shape of its Fermi surface and the occupation of nearby states. Finite temperature, disorder or strong correlations may change the Fermi-surface geometry and/or topology and induce magnetic and other instabilities.

The investigation of the interplay of magnetic interactions, in particular the existence of incommensurate phases, with charge excitations emerges as one of the central issues in the physics of low-dimensional electronic systems [1-7]. The nature and mechanism of the Mott-Hubbard transition, as one of its most striking manifestations, has been subject to intense investigation for many years. It is therefore important to study the renormalization of individual Fermi-surface sections under the influence of electron-electron correlations in competition with frustrating interactions.

Recent investigations suggested a spontaneous, interaction induced deformation of the Fermi surface of the 2D (extended) Hubbard model close to half filling, indicating in part a violation of the Luttinger sum-rule [8-10]. The possibility of a relevant Fermi-surface renormalization near the Mott-Hubbard (MH) transition in one-dimensional generalized Hubbard models has been raised on the basis of a DMRG-study [11], drawing on arguments from results obtained for the Fermi-surface flow by RG [12,13].

In this letter we examine this Mott-Hubbard transition in one of the prototypical frustrated one-dimensional models: the half-filled zig-zag Hubbard ladder. For appropriate choices of the

parameters this model describes either the low-energy properties of Hubbard-ladders [12] or half-filled edge-sharing double-chain materials like SrCuO_2 [14] or LiV_2O_5 [15] for which the next-nearest neighbor hopping is expected to be substantial. The study of this system permits both the consideration of incommensurate phases and strong interactions. The former are difficult to study in the limit of infinite dimensions, where part of our present understanding of the Mott-Hubbard transition originates. The latter can now be treated adequately for one-dimensional systems using the density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG), which emerged in the last decade as a reliable tool to investigate the electronic structure of quasi-one-dimensional systems [16,17].

We find no renormalization to perfect-nesting of the Fermi-surface in the metallic state as the Mott-Hubbard transition is approached. We explicitly demonstrate that the dimerized state on the insulating side of the Mott-Hubbard transition has gaped incommensurate spin-excitations, which only later give way to an insulating phase with commensurate spin-excitations. Our results indicate that perfect-nesting is not a prerequisite for the Mott-Hubbard transition at finite values of the interaction. The opening of the charge gap decouples from changes in the nature of magnetic excitations, in stark contrast to the weak-coupling scenario, where Umklapp-scattering becomes relevant at perfect nesting and leads to the opening of a charge-gap. We report the characteristic signatures of the incommensurate insulating state in momentum-distribution function for future experimental analysis.

Model—The Hamiltonian of the zig-zag Hubbard ladder is given as:

$$H = \sum_{n=1;2}^X t_n c_{n+}^y c_n + \text{h.c.} + U \sum_n n_\uparrow n_\downarrow; \quad (1)$$

where the c_n^y , (c_n) are Fermion-creation (destruction) operators on site n and spin $= \uparrow, \downarrow$ and $n_\uparrow = c_n^\uparrow c_n^\uparrow$, $n_\downarrow = c_n^\downarrow c_n^\downarrow$.

Let us first discuss a few known properties of the phase-diagram at half-filling, compare Fig. 1. We follow Balents and Fisher [18] and denote with

$$CnSm$$

a phase with $n=m$ gapless charge/spin modes. A Mott-Hubbard transition of type C0S1/C2S2 is predicted [12] by RG at half-filling for $t_2 = t_1/2$. This prediction should be valid at infinitesimal $U=t_1$.

In the limit of large U the model (1) transforms to the $J_1 - J_2$ chain

$$H_J = \sum_{n=1;2}^X J_n S_n \cdot S_{n+}; \quad (2)$$

with $J_n = 4t_n^2/U$. The $J_1 - J_2$ model spontaneously dimerizes [19] for big enough J_2 . The critical value for J_2/J_1 can be determined by examination of the nature of the lowest-lying excitation for finite clusters [20]. In the spin-singlet state C0S1 it is a triplet, in the dimerized state C0S0 it is a singlet (the dimerized state is doubly degenerate in the thermodynamic limit). One finds [20]: $\alpha_c = 0.2411 = (0.491)^2$.

Torib et al. [21] have extended the level-crossing study used to determine α_c for the $J_1 - J_2$ model to finite $U=t_1$ see the dashed line in Fig. 1. They propose that the dimerization line C0S1-C0S0 extends until $U=t_1 \rightarrow 0$ and connects to $t_2 = t_1/2$. This would consequently invalidate the weak-coupling RG-prediction of a Mott-Hubbard transition of type C0S1-C2S2. It seems, presently, more likely that the transition is of C0S0-CnSm type, with $n > 0$.




Fig. 1 { Phase diagram for the half-filled $t_1 - t_2$ Hubbard model as explained in the text. Included are the DMRG-result for the Mott-Hubbard transition at $U = 4t_1$ (filled diamonds) and for the commensurate-incommensurate transition in the insulating state (open diamonds). The dashed-dotted lines are guides to the eye. The states C_nS_m predicted by weak-coupling RG are denoted at the bottom of the phase diagram.

The Majumdar-Gosh point $J_2 = J_1/2$, also called disorder-point, has an exact valence-bond dimer ground-state. For $t_2/t_1 > 0.5 = (0.707)^2$ the short range spin-spin correlations become incommensurate. Due to the absence of long-range order [22], the peak in the static structure factor $S(q)$ moves away from $q=0$ only at the Lifschitz-point, which can be determined via DMRG [23] as $t_2/t_1 = 0.52063 = (0.7215)^2$.

The classical spin-wave solution to (2) yields a long-ranged spiral ground-state for $t_2/t_1 > 0.5$ [24,25]. A long-ranged ordered state is unstable towards quantum fluctuations in 1D, they become short-ranged. For the $J_1 - J_2$ model the quantum fluctuations lead to a dimerized state with finite dimerization and short ranged spiral (incommensurate) spin-spin correlations. They show up as a peak in $S(q)$ for an incommensurate wavevector q and width $1/\xi$, where ξ is the correlations length. There has been no study so far of the extension of the dimerized phase with incommensurate excitations, realized for $t_2/t_1 > 0.5$ in the limit of large $U=t_1$ to finite values of $U=t_1$. Here we propose that it connects to the metallic state.

Also included in Fig. 1 are the predictions for the critical U_c for the Mott-Hubbard transition by Torio et al. [21] and by Aebischer et al. [26]. It has turned out to be very difficult to determine numerically the location of this transition from estimates of the charge gap, extrapolated to the thermodynamic limit, due to the fact that the charge gap is exponentially small near the Mott-Hubbard transition. Here we find that a quite accurate lower bound for the the Mott-Hubbard transitions can be obtained from the study of the momentum distribution function.

Method – In the last decade the density matrix renormalization group [16] emerged as a




Fig. 2 { Illustration of the momentum-distribution function $n(k)$ for $L = 48$ sites, $U = 4t_1$ and $t_2/t_1 = 0.6; 0.8$. DMRG results for $N_\uparrow = N_\downarrow = L/2$ (filled circles) and for $N_\uparrow = L/2 + 2, N_\downarrow = L/2 - 2$ (triangles-up: majority-spin, triangles-down: minority-spin). The lines are fits by Eq. (4).

reliable tool for the study of electronically one-dimensional models [17]. For strongly interacting fermionic systems, the evaluation of $n(k)$ as the Fourier transform of the correlation function:

$$n(k) = \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n, n'=1}^L \cos(k(n - n')) \langle c_{n'}^\dagger c_n \rangle, \quad (3)$$

is numerically difficult and costly in the framework of the DMRG [16,17], in particular for periodic boundary conditions [27]. Here we report results from DMRG calculations on half-filled chains of length $L=48, 80$ using up-to 300 DMRG states, which we found sufficiently accurate to determine $n(k)$.

To obtain estimates for the Fermi wavevectors we have analyzed the momentum distribution function, see Eq. (3), obtained by DMRG, via two smoothed step functions at k_{F1} and k_{F2} with respective widths p_1 and p_2 [11]:

$$n(k) = a_0 + a_1 \operatorname{atan} \frac{k - k_{F1}}{p_1} + a_2 \operatorname{atan} \frac{k - k_{F2}}{p_2} : \quad (4)$$

The quality of fits by (4) to $n(k)$ is illustrated in Fig. 2. We will use the such obtained estimates for the Fermi wavevectors k_{F1} and k_{F2} in the further analysis.

Results – In order to elucidate the properties of the Mott-Hubbard transition [26] and of the incommensurate-commensurate transition in one dimensional frustrated systems, we studied the momentum-distribution function for a range of parameters that crosses all relevant phase transition-lines of the phase diagram of the 1D $t_1 - t_2$ Hubbard model [28]. In Fig. 3 we present our estimates for k_{F1} as a function of t_2/t_1 for $U = 4t_1$, in comparison with the




Fig. 3 { For $U = 4t_1$ and $L = 48; 80$ sites the DMRG results for k_{F1} and the difference $k_{F2} - k_{F1}$ (both in units of π) as obtained by fitting the DMRG results for $n(k)$ by Eq. (4), compare Fig. 2. The lines are guides to the eye. Below $t_2/t_1 = 0.74$ two things happen: k_{F1} renormalizes substantially with respect to its $U = 0$ -value (dashed line) and $k_{F2} - k_{F1}$ deviated from its Luttinger-sum-rule-value of 0.5.

result for $U = 0$. We note a substantial renormalization of k_{F1} towards larger values below $t_2/t_1 = 0.74$.

In the metallic state the Luttinger sum-rule states that the total volume of both Fermi seas together equals the number of electrons. At half-filling this statement is equivalent with $k_{F2} - k_{F1} = \pi/2$. Our DMRG-data for $(k_{F2} - k_{F1})/\pi$ presented in Fig. 3 indicates a violation of the Luttinger sum-rule below $t_2/t_1 = 0.74$. We therefore conclude that the Mott-Hubbard transition occurs in the vicinity of this point (as indicated in Fig. 1 by the filled diamond in Fig. 1) and that the system is insulating for $t_2/t_1 < 0.74$. Due to the exponentially small gap in this region our result for the position of the Mott-Hubbard transition is in fact a lower bound (in terms of t_2/t_1) for the exact transition point, as we would not be able to resolve numerically a possible exponentially small departure from the Luttinger-liquid sum-rule for $t_2/t_1 > 0.74$.

Next we turn to an analysis of the nature of the spin excitation in the vicinity of the Mott-Hubbard transition. The spin-excitation in the insulating state correspond to renormalized particle-hole excitations with a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$. They correspond therefore to states with $N_{\uparrow} = L/2 + N/2$ and $N_{\downarrow} = L/2 - N/2$. Using for numerical convenience $N = 2$ we have calculated the respective Fermi wavevectors for the majority $k_{F1,\uparrow}$ and minority $k_{F1,\downarrow}$ spins. We define the normalized shift for the Fermi wavevector as

$$k_{F1} = (k_{F1,\uparrow} - k_{F1,\downarrow})L/(N) : \quad (5)$$

The normalization in Eq. (5) is chosen such that $k_{F1} = 1$, independent of system size L , for case of a single Fermi sea. In the limit $t_2/t_1 \rightarrow 1$ the Hubbard zig-zag chain has two equally large Fermi seas, with equal Fermi velocities, and $k_{F1} \rightarrow 0.5$ in this limit, as we have verified numerically for large t_2/t_1 .

We have obtained k_{F1} by fitting the respective momentum distribution functions for the majority and minority spins, see Fig. 2, by Eq. (4). The results are presented in Fig. 4.




Fig. 4 { The normalized shift k_{F1} , see Eq. (5) for $L = 48; 80$ sites and $U = 4t_1$. The lines are guides to the eye. For comparison, we have reproduced the results for $k_{F2} - k_{F1}$ from Fig. 3.

We notice that $k_{F1} = 1$ for small t_2/t_1 , implying commensurate spin excitations. A well defined kink in k_{F1} at $t_2/t_1 = 0.64 - 0.66$ indicates a second-order transition to a state with incommensurate spin-excitations (denoted by the open diamond in Fig. 1).

These results imply that the Mott-Hubbard transition takes place for Fermi-wavevectors at arbitrary, incommensurate values, and the Fermi-wavevectors do not renormalize towards perfect nesting $k_{F1} = \pi/2$ and $k_{F2} = 0$. In weak-coupling, Umklapp-scattering becomes relevant at perfect nesting and leads to the opening of a charge-gap. Our results indicate, that effective perfect-nesting is not necessary for the Mott-Hubbard transition for finite values of the interaction-strength.

Discussion – The interplay between magnetic order and carrier mobility in strongly interacting systems, with the Mott-Hubbard transition as one important manifestation, has long been subject to intense investigation. For the two-dimensional (2D) case, important for experimental realizations, exact analytical or numerical techniques are still lacking. It is known that in 2D the commensurate ordered phase for the nearest-neighbor Hubbard model is unstable with respect to helical fluctuations [1,2] either upon doping or in the presence of frustrating next-nearest-neighbor interactions. Other, even more exotic phases [3,4] have also been proposed, in particular in the context of studies into the mechanism of high-temperature superconductivity. Our investigation of the one-dimensional case offers new insight into driving forces behind the MH transitions: nesting, i.e. the divergence of the magnetic susceptibility due to special features of the Fermi surface geometry emerges as sufficient, but apparently not a necessary condition of the MH transition [29]. This result is inaccessible by weak coupling theory and indicates the existence of a generic mechanism for charge carrier freezing that is independent of dominant Umklapp scattering processes. Spin-charge separation in Luttinger liquids may enhance this effect in one-dimension, but similar strong-coupling scenarios must be present also in higher dimensions to explain the MH transition between incommensurate insulators and metals in doped or frustrated 2D systems.

Acknowledgments – We thank D. Baeriswyl and G.I. Japaridze for stimulating discussions. We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, the BMFT and the von-Neumann-

Center for Scientific Computation for financial support. KH is supported through a Liebig-Fellowship of the Fonds der chemischen Industrie.

REFERENCES

- [1] B.I. Shraiman and E.D. Siggia, "Spiral phase of a doped quantum antiferromagnet", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 1564 (1989).
- [2] S. Sarker, C. Jayaprakash, H.R. Krishnamurthy, W. Wenzel, "Spiral States in the Square Lattice Hubbard Model", *Phys. Rev. B* **43**, R8775 (1991).
- [3] J. Zaanen and O. Gunnarsson, "Charged magnetic domain lines and the magnetism of high- T_c oxides", *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 7391 (1989).
- [4] D. Poilblanc, T.M. Rice, "Charged solitons in the Hartree-Fock approximation to the large- U Hubbard model", *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 9749 (1989).
- [5] S.R. White and D.J. Scalapino, "Phase separation and stripe formation in the two-dimensional t - J model: A comparison of numerical results", *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 6320 (2000).
- [6] E. Jeckelmann, "Ground-State Phase Diagram of a Half-Filled One-Dimensional Extended Hubbard Model", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 236401 (2002).
- [7] A.W. Sandvik, L. Balents, D.K. Campbell, "Ground state phases of the Half-Filled One-Dimensional Extended Hubbard Model", *cond-mat/0401472*.
- [8] C. Gros and R. Valent, *Annalen der Phys.* **3**, 460 (1994) and *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 418 (1993); D. Senechal, D. Perez and D. Poulaille, "Cluster perturbation theory for Hubbard models", *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 075129 (2002).
- [9] S. Yoda and K. Yamada, "Weak-coupling approach to interaction-induced deformation of the Fermi surface in the two-dimensional Hubbard model", *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 7886 (1999).
- [10] B. Valenzuela and M.A.H. Vozmediano, "Deformation of the Fermi surface in the extended Hubbard model", *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 153103 (2001).
- [11] K. Hamacher, C. Gros and W. Wenzel, "Interaction-Induced Collapse of a Section of the Fermi Sea in the Zigzag Hubbard Ladder", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 217203 (2002).
- [12] K. Louis, J.V. Alvarez and C. Gros, "Fermi surface renormalization in Hubbard ladders", *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 113106 (2001).
- [13] S. Dusuel and B. Douçot, "Interaction-induced Fermi surface deformations in quasi-one-dimensional electronic systems", *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 205111 (2003).
- [14] T.M. Rice, S. Gopalan and M. Sigrist, *Europhys. Lett.* **23**, 445 (1993).
- [15] R. Valent, T. Saha-Dasgupta, J.V. Alvarez, K. Pozgajic and C. Gros, "Modeling the Electronic Behavior of LiV_2O_5 : A Microscopic Study", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 5381 (2001).
- [16] S.R. White, "Density matrix formulation for quantum renormalization groups", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2863 (1992) and S.R. White, "Density matrix algorithms for quantum renormalization groups", *Phys. Rev. B* **48**, 10345 (1993).
- [17] "Density-Matrix Renormalization: A New Numerical Method in Physics", I. Peschel, X. Wang, M. Kaulke and K. Hallberg (eds.), Springer, Lecture Notes in Physics, Berlin, (1999).
- [18] L. Balents and M.P.A. Fisher, "Weak-coupling phase diagram of the two-chain Hubbard model", *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 12133 (1996).
- [19] F.D.M. Haldane, "Spontaneous dimerization in the $S=1/2$ Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chain with competing interactions", *Phys. Rev. B* **25**, 4925 (1982).
- [20] K. Nomura and K. Okamoto, "Critical properties of $S=1/2$ antiferromagnetic XXZ chain with next-nearest-neighbour interactions", *J. Phys. A* **27**, 5773 (1994).
- [21] M.E. Torio, A.A. Alija and H.A. Ceccatto, "Phase diagram of the $t-t'$ U chain at half filling", *cond-mat/0302106*.
- [22] U. Schollwöck, Th. Jolicoeur and T. Garel, "Onset of incommensurability at the valence-bond-solid point in the $S=1$ quantum spin chain", *Phys. Rev. B* **53**, 3304 (1996).
- [23] R. Bursill, G.A. Gehring, D.J.J. Farnell, J.B. Parkinson, T. Xiang and C. Zeng, "Numerical and

approximate analytical results for the frustrated spin-1/2 quantum spin chain", J. Phys.-Cond. Mat. 7, 8605 (1995).

- [24] S. Rao and D. Sen, "Semiclassical and field theoretic studies of Heisenberg antiferromagnetic chains with frustration and dimerization", J. Phys. Cond. Mat. 9 1831 (1997).
- [25] S.R. White and I. A. A. Eck, "Dimerization and incommensurate spiral spin correlations in the zigzag spin chain: Analogies to the Kondo lattice", Phys. Rev. B 54, 9862 (1996).
- [26] C. Aebischer, D. Baeriswyl and R.M. Noack, "Dielectric Catastrophe at the Mott Transition", Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 468 (2001); and C. Aebischer, Doktorarbeit.
- [27] S. Qin, S. Liang, S. Su and L. Yu, "Density-matrix renormalization-group calculation of correlation functions in the one-dimensional Hubbard model", Phys. Rev. B 52, R5475 (1995).
- [28] S. Daul and R.M. Noack, "Phase diagram of the half-filled Hubbard chain with next-nearest-neighbor hopping", Phys. Rev. B 61, 1646 (2000).
- [29] C. Jayaprakash, W. Wenzel, H.R. Krishnamurthy, "Metal Insulator Transition in the Hubbard Model on a Triangular Lattice", Europhys. Lett. 15, 625 (1991)