Super uid vs Ferrom agnetic Behaviour in a Bose G as of Spin-1/2 A tom s

S.Ashhab

Department of Physics, O hio State University, 174 West Eighteenth Avenue, Columbus, O hio 43210 A ugust 31, 2004

A bstract

We study the therm odynamic phases of a gas of spin-1/2 atom s in the Hartree-Fock approximation. Our main result is that, for repulsive or weakly-attractive inter-component interaction strength, the super uid and ferrom agnetic phase transitions occur at the same temperature. For strongly-attractive inter-component interaction strength, however, the ferrom agnetic phase transition occurs at a higher temperature than the super uid phase transition. We also not that the presence of a condensate acts as an elective magnetic eld that polarizes the normal cloud. We nally comment on the validity of the Hartree-Fock approximation in describing di erent phenomena in this system.

In recent years, studies of multi-component Bose-Einstein Condensates (BEC) have revealed a variety of interesting phenom ena that reject qualitatively dijerent ideas from spin-less condensates [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Gases of spin-1/2 [1], spin-1 [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and spin-2 [8, 9] atom s have been studied in considerable detail, both experimentally and theoretically. New physical phenom ena include fragmented states [5], coreless vortices [6] and complex spin dynamics [7, 8, 9]. Truely spin-1/2 particles are fermions. However, a gas of spin-1/2 atom s that obey Bose statistics (note that in nonrelativistic systems, there is no a priori connection between spin and statistics). Examples of such gases are mixtures of 87 Rb atom ic gases in two hyper ne states and spin-polarized Hydrogen [1, 10].

It is well known that in a gas of noninteracting spin-1/2 bosonic atom s, the emergence of super uid order is accompanied by the emergence of ferrom agnetic order [11, 12]. A natural question that arises in that context is whether these two orders would remain connected if we include the e ects of interatom ic interactions. Perhaps a clearer way to pose the question is as follows: is it possible to have one of those two orders (i.e. super uid or ferrom agnetic) without having the other? One can give a number of elementary arguments that hint one way or the other. On the one hand, in the noninteracting system the connection between the two orders follows from the symmetry of the wave function and is not related to any therm odynam ic argum ents (except for saying that the norm all cloud is unpolarized). That would suggest a rather robust connection between super uid and ferrom agnetic behaviours. On the other hand, if one considers the form of the order param eters or the symmetry of the Ham iltonian, there is no reason to believe that the two orders must be related. Keeping in m ind certain caveats, the super uid order parameter can be chosen as $\hat{h_{*}}(r)i^{2} + \hat{h_{*}}(r)i^{2}$, whereas the ferrom agnetic order parameter can be chosen as $p_{ij}h_{i}^{\gamma}(r) \sim p_{ij} (r)i$, where $\sim p_{ij}$ is the vector of Pauli spin m atrices, and the creation and annihilation operators used above will be explained when we give the Ham iltonian of the system below. The noninteracting Ham iltonian obeys SU (2) symmetry, whereas the interacting Ham iltonian obeys U (1) U (1) symmetry. Furthermore, it has been shown recently by Yang and Lithat the gound state of this system with no spin-dependent interactions is ferrom agnetic, even if the interactions are strong enough to completely suppress super uidity [13]. The energies of low -lying excitations, however, also drops to zero for such strong interactions. It is therefore not obvious, at rst sight, whether or not one order can exist without the other at nite tem perature. A similar problem for a Bose gas of spin-1 atom swith ferrom agnetic interactions has been considered by Gu and Klemm [14]. One clear di erence between spin-1/2 and spin-1 atoms is that with the latter one has a parameter that determines whether interatom ic interactions are ferrom agnetic or antiferrom agnetic, even in a rotation-symmetric system. With spin-1/2 atom s, apart from some obvious cases that we shall explain shortly, it is in general not in mediately clear whether a certain set of interaction parameters describes ferrom agnetic interatom ic interactions. In fact, as explained above, a rotation-symmetric gas of spin-1/2atom s always exhibits ferrom agnetic behaviour.

In the present Paper, we shall try to obtain the answer to the above-posed question in the Hartree-Fock (i.e. m ean-eld) approximation. We do that by calculating the free energy as a function of the macroscopic therm odynamic variables and minimizing the free energy with respect to those variables. Since similar approximations, as well as a number of system atic eld-theoretic calculations, are not reliable in predicting the super uid transition tem perature in a spinless system (see e.g. [15, 16], also see Appendix A), our results are necessarily plaqued by the same type of unreliability, and they cannot be considered conclusive. The advantage of using the the Hartree-Fock approximation, however, is that whenever it gives correct results, it gives them with a simple physical explanation. At the end of our treatment, we shall give our intuitive assessment of which results we believe describe real physical phenomena and which results we believe are merely artifacts of the Hartree-Fock approximation.

One rather trivial phenomenon in the context of spin-1/2 atom s occurs when the two internal states have di erent internal energies. In that case, the gas can be alm ost com pletely polarized at m icrokelvin tem peratrues, assuming them ical equilibrium between the two spin states is reached. A lthough that phenom enon can be considered one form of ferrom agnetic behaviour, we are not interested in it. A nother ferrom agnetic behaviour that we do not wish to consider here occurs when the two intra-component interaction strengths are su ciently di erent. In that case it can, under certain conditions, be favourable for the majority of the atom s to occupy a single spin state. The system we shall consider in this Paper, which is also the most experimentally-relevant system of spin-1/2 atoms, is the one where the total spin along som e axis is conserved, but the total spin perpendicular to that axis is not conserved. In the language of spin systems, one says that the longitudinal spin-relaxation time T_1 is much longer than the time scale of perform ing the experiment, whereas the transverse spinrelaxation time T_2 is shorter than the time scale of perform ing the experiment. We take the longitudinal axis to be the z-axis. Note that in the situation described above, and assuming that one starts with no net magnetization along the z-axis, the macroscopic magnetization expected for a condensate of noninteracting atom s lies entirely in the xy-plane.

Let us take a Bose gas of spin-1/2 atom s in a three dimensional box. The Ham iltonian of the system can be expressed as:

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{kin} + \hat{H}_{int}; \qquad (1)$$

where

$$\hat{H}_{kin} = \frac{h^2}{2m} dr \sum_{x=1,4}^{X} (r) r^2 (r); \qquad (2)$$

$$\hat{H}_{int} = \frac{Z}{dr} \frac{X}{r} \frac{g_{0}}{2} \gamma_{y}(r) \gamma_{0}(r) \circ (r) \gamma_{r}(r); \qquad (3)$$

m is the atom icm ass, $^{\gamma}$ (r) is an operator that creates an atom at position r in spin state (the spin states " and # correspond to the z-component of atom ic spin being equal to 1=2, respectively), $^{\circ}$ (r) is its H emmitian conjugate, g $_{\circ} = 4$ h²a $_{\circ}$ =m, and a $_{\circ}$ is the scattering length between two atoms in spin states and $^{\circ}$. We assume that the number of atom s in the and $^{\circ}$ spin states is individually conserved, whereas the total spin in the xy-plane is not conserved. Furtherm ore, we shall assume that there is an equal number of atom s in the two spin states " and # [17]. In order to avoid dealing with the possibility ofm echanical collapse of the gas or phase separation of the two components, we shall assume that both g_{nn} and g_{nn} are positive and that $g_{nn}^2 < g_{nn}g_{nn}$.

We now derive an expression for the free energy of the above-described system as a function of the macroscopic therm odynamic variables and use it to determ ine the state of the system for a given tem perature. The m acroscopic variables are the number of atom s in the condensate N_o, the total spin of the condensate S_c, the number of atom s in the norm alcloud N_N, and the total spin of the norm alcloud S_N. We use the canonical ensemble, where the constraint of xed total number of atom s N_o + N_N is in posed explicitly. Since we are dealing with a m acroscopic system, we treat the above variables as classical variables. A condensate of spin-1/2 atom s is ferror agnetic as a result of B ose symmetry [11, 12]. Its total spin S_c is equal to N_o=2 [i.e. $\hat{S}_c^2 = N_o=2(N_o=2+1)$]. On the other hand, the only constraint on the total spin of the norm alcloud is that β_N j N_N =2. Therefore, we express the x, y and z components of the norm alcloud as ($N_N s_N ? cos'_o; N_o=2 sin_o sin'_o; N_o=2 cos_o$) and those of the norm alcloud as ($N_N s_N ? cos'_N; N_N s_N ? sin'_N$; $N_o=2 cos_o$). Note that with the above values of condensate and norm al-cloud spins, we have taken into account our assumption that the z-com ponent of the total spin must vanish. For any given set of values of the them odynam ic variables, the free energy is given by:

$$F = h\hat{H}_{kin}i + h\hat{H}_{int}i TS$$
(4)

where T is the temperature and S is the entropy of the system. The entropy S and the expectation values are calculated by considering all the di erent m icroscopic con gurations corresponding to the given values of the m acroscopic variables. We expression that it takes in the free energy, which we denote by F_{ideal} . The condensate does not contribute to those terms in the free energy. Therefore we only need to evaluate F_{ideal} for a norm all cloud of N_N atoms with total spin S_N. As we shall see below, the interaction energy is constant to leading order for all the di erent m icroscopic con gurations in the Hartree-Fock therm odynam ic ensemble for given N_N and S_N. Therefore, F_{ideal} is given by the same expression that it takes in the noninteracting system. The free energy of a spinless noninteracting uniform Bose gas is given by [18]:

$$F_{ideal}$$
 $M_{kin}i$ TS (5)

=
$$N_N k_B T$$
 ln z $\frac{g_{5=2}(z)}{g_{3=2}(z)}$; (6)

where $k_{\scriptscriptstyle B}\,$ is Boltzm ann's constant and z is given by

$$g_{3=2}(z) = n_N t^3;$$
 (7)

$$g_{j}(z) \qquad \sum_{l=1}^{N} \frac{z^{l}}{l^{j}}; \qquad (8)$$

t
$$\frac{\overset{\text{u}}{}}{\frac{2}{m}\frac{h^2}{k_B T}}$$
; (9)

 $n_N = N_N = V$, and V is the volume of the sample. F_{ideal} of the system at hand is given by the sum of two terms of the above form of F_{ideal} for two independent Bose gases, one with N_N (1=2 + s_N) atoms and the other with N_N (1=2 s_N) atoms, where $s_N = \frac{1}{s_N^2 + N_o^2 \cos^2 \sigma = 4N_N^2}$:

$$\frac{F_{ideal}}{N_{N} k_{B} T} = \frac{1}{2} + s_{N} \qquad \ln z_{+} \qquad \frac{g_{5=2} (z_{+})}{g_{3=2} (z_{+})} + \frac{1}{2} \qquad s_{N} \qquad \ln z \qquad \frac{g_{5=2} (z_{-})}{g_{3=2} (z_{-})} ; \qquad (10)$$

where z are given by

$$g_{3=2}(z) = n_N \frac{3}{t} \frac{1}{2} \quad s_N$$
 : (11)

Note that since z_t cannot exceed the value 1, s_N must obey the condition $n_N \frac{3}{t}(1=2+s_N)$ $g_{3=2}$ (1). Also note that F_{ideal} is a monotonically increasing function of s_N . If we take the limit $s_N \ !$ 0, we not that:

$$\frac{F_{ideal}}{N_{N} k_{B} T} = + s_{N}^{2} + s_{N}^{4} + O(s_{N}^{6}); \qquad (12)$$

where

$$= \ln z \quad \frac{g_{5=2}(z)}{g_{3=2}(z)}; \tag{13}$$

$$= 2\frac{g_{3=2}(z)}{g_{1=2}(z)};$$
(14)

$$= \frac{2g_{3=2}^{3}(z)}{3} \overset{0}{\underline{g}} \frac{3g_{1=2}^{2}(z)}{g_{1=2}^{5}(z)} - \frac{g_{3=2}(z)}{g_{1=2}^{4}(z)}^{1} ; \qquad (15)$$

and z is evaluated from Eq. (11) with $s_N = 0$. When z = 0, = 2 and = 4=3, and as $z \nmid 1$, / const: $\overline{1}$ z whereas decreases slightly from the value 4=3 and remains nite. We now calculate the interaction energy in the Hartree-Fock approximation. In that approximation we assume that there is no coherence between states of di erent relative momentum of a pair of interacting atom s (i.e. $h_{k_1}^{Y} a_{k_2}^{Y} a_{k_3} a_{k_4} i$ vanishes unless the momenta of the creation operators m atch those of the annihilation operators, not just the sum of the momenta). The two-particle correlation functions needed to evaluate the interaction energy can then be straightforwardly calculated to give:

$$h_{*}^{Y}(\mathbf{r})_{*}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{r})_{*}^{n}(\mathbf{r})_{*}^{n}(\mathbf{r}) = n_{o}^{2} \cos^{4} \frac{o}{2} + \frac{n_{N}^{2}}{2} + \frac{n_{o}^{2}}{2} + \frac{n_{o}^{2}}{n_{N}} \cos^{-2} + 2n_{o}n_{N} \cos^{2} \frac{o}{2} + \frac{n_{o}}{n_{N}} \cos(16)$$

$$h_{*}^{Y}(\mathbf{r})_{*}^{\gamma}(\mathbf{r})_{*}^{n}(\mathbf{r})_{*}^{n}(\mathbf{r}) = n_{o}^{2} \sin^{4} \frac{o}{2} + \frac{n_{N}^{2}}{2} + \frac{n_{o}^{2}}{2} + \frac{n_{o}}{n_{N}} \cos^{-2} + 2n_{o}n_{N} \sin^{2} \frac{o}{2} + \frac{n_{o}}{n_{N}} \cos(17)$$

$$h_{\#}^{Y}(\mathbf{r})_{\#}^{Y}(\mathbf{r})_{\#}^{(\mathbf{r})}(\mathbf{r})_{\#}^{(\mathbf{r})}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{n_{o} + n_{N}}{2}^{2} + n_{N}^{2} s_{N}^{2} + n_{o} n_{N} s_{N} s_{N}$$

where $n_o = N_o = V$. By direct substitution of the above correlation functions, one can nd the expression for the interaction energy $h\hat{H}_{int}i$ of the system. The state of the system can now be determined by minimizing the free energy:

$$\frac{F}{V} = f_{\circ} g n_{\circ}^{2} \cos_{\circ} \frac{g_{+}}{2} n_{\circ}^{2} \cos^{2}_{\circ} + g_{"\#} n_{N}^{2} s_{N}^{2} + g_{"\#} n_{\circ} n_{N} s_{N} s_{N} \sin_{\circ} \cos(\prime_{\circ} \prime_{N})
+ \frac{F_{ideal}(N_{N}; k_{B}T; s_{N}; \cos_{\circ})}{V};$$
(19)

where

$$f_{o} = \frac{g}{2}n_{o}^{2} + gn_{N}^{2} + 2gn_{o}n_{N}$$

= $g(n_{o} + n_{N})^{2} - \frac{g_{+}}{2}n_{o}^{2}$ (20)

$$g = \frac{g_{""} + g_{\#\#} + 2g_{"\#}}{4}$$
(21)

$$g = \frac{g_{""} + g_{\#} + g_{"\#}}{4}$$
(22)

$$g = \frac{g_{""} g_{\#}}{4}$$
 (23)

Note that the fourth and fth terms in Eq. (19), among others, result from exhangeinteractions. Those two terms describe scattering processes where an atom with spin " and another with spin # exchange their m om enta. In the expression for the free energy (Eq. 19), the second and third term s favour maxim izing joos $_{o}j$ (i.e. having nite values of the z-component of the condensate and norm alcloud), whereas the fifh and sixth terms favour taking cos o = 0. The second term can be understood quite intuitively as follows. Since the interaction term is magnied in the normal cloud as compared to the condensate (because of the exchange term s), the norm al atom s tend to accumulate in the spin state with lessrepulsive interactions. For example, if we take $q_{""} > q_{##}$, we nd that the norm all cloud will have an excess of atom s in the # spin state, leaving the condensate with an excess of atom s in the "spin state. Since in this Paper we are not interested in that phenom enon, we elim inate it by taking $g_{\mu} = g_{\mu}$. The third term in Eq. (19) can also be understood by considering the absence of exchange interaction terms within the condensate. Since we are requiring that half the atom s have spin " and the other half have spin #, it is straightforward to see that in order to gain the greatest reduction in interaction energy, the condensate atom s tend to accumulate in the same spin state (either " or #). The competition between the third term and last two terms of Eq. (19) determ ines whether the condensate and norm all choud will have any net m agnetization along the z-axis. C learly, at low enough tem peratures, the third term will win, and one will have a nite z-component of the polarization of the condensate and norm all cloud (note that the net polarization of the entire cloud must vanish, which is one of our main assumptions). That result is quite interesting in its own right. However, since the main question addressed in this Paper concerns the phase transitions, we focus our attention on those relatively-high tem peratures. W e m in im ize the free energy with respect to n_0 , \cos and s_{N_2} at any given combination of the parameters $q_{""}$, $q_{"#}$ and T to nd the therm odynam ic phases of the system. The results of a num erical calculation of the order of the phase transition are shown in Fig. 1. It is also worth making some analytical remarks about the bahaviour of the system . For clarity we address the following two cases separately (in the following remarks, we shall in plicitly use a result that we found from our num erical calculation, namely that cos remains negligibly small close to the transition temperatures): Case 1: $g_{\#} > 0$. If $n_0 \notin 0$, the free energy is minimized by taking '___ ′_N = (ie the polarizations of the condensate and norm all cloud point in opposite directions) and a nite value of s_{N} ?. In the lim it $n_o = n_N$ 1, ī

$$s_{N?} = \frac{g_{"\#}n_o}{2(k_B T + g_{"\#}n_N)} + O - \frac{k_B T n_o^3}{(k_B T + g_{"\#}n_N)^4}$$
(24)

Note that above the BEC critical tem perature T_c , i.e. when $n_o = 0$, the norm all cloud is not

polarized at all. Below T_c the physics can be understood in terms of the condensate acting as an elective magnetic eld that partially polarizes a paramagnetic normal cloud. Since the super uid transition occurs at a temperature where $k_B T = g_*n$ (see Appendix A), we not that:

$$n_{\rm N} s_{\rm N} \, {}_{?} \, < \, \frac{n_{\rm o}}{2}$$
 (25)

Therefore, the polarization of the norm all cloud is smaller than that of the condensate, and the net polarization does not vanish. Note that if we calculate the exact expression for $n_N s_{N,2}$, substitute it in Eq. (19) and minimize F with respect to n_o (keeping $n_o + n_N$ xed), we not that the global minimum of F jumps discontinuously from a point with $n_o = 0$ to a point with a nite value of n_o . That would suggest a rst-order phase transition to the super uid phase, which is also ferrom agnetic. However, the Hartree-Fock approximation predicts a rst-order phase transition in a spinless Bose gas [15] (also see Appendix A), and we therefore suspect that this result must be an artifact of the approximation.

Case 2: $g_{"\#} < 0$. In this case the free energy is minimized by taking $'_{o} \quad '_{N} = 0$ (ie the polarizations of the condensate and norm all cloud point in the same direction). The term $g_{"\#}n_{N}^{2} s_{N}^{2}$, favours a polarized norm all cloud. That suggests that the gas might exhibit ferrom agnetic behaviour even if $n_{o} = 0$, as we shall see shortly. Using the small s_{N} ? expression for the ideal-gas free energy (Eq. 12) we not that the free energy is minimized by choosing

$$s_{N_{2}} = \frac{jg_{\#}jn_{o}}{2(k_{B}T jg_{\#}jn_{N})} + O \frac{k_{B}Tn_{o}^{3}}{(k_{B}T jg_{\#}jn_{N})^{4}} ;$$
(26)

if $k_B T \quad jg_{\#} jn_N \quad jg_{"\#} jn_o$. As in case 1, the condensate acts as an elective magnetic eld that polarizes the normal cloud. One can also immediately see that the normal gas exhibits ferrom agnetic behaviour when $k_B T \quad jg_{\#} jn_N$ becomes negative. Note that since = 0 when $T = T_c^{\circ}$ 1, the ferrom agnetic phase transition must occur at a temperature higher than that of the ideal-gas BEC phase transition T_c° . A ssum ing that $n_o = 0$ just below the ferrom agnetic phase transition occurs at a temperature where:

$$2\frac{g_{3=2}(z)}{g_{1=2}(z)}k_{B}T_{ferro} = jg_{\#}jn_{N};$$
(27)

where z is given by:

$$\frac{g_{3=2}(z)}{g_{3=2}(1)} = -\frac{T_{c}^{\circ}}{T_{ferro}}^{3=2} :$$
(28)

Just below the transition tem perature, the spin grows as:

$$s_{\rm N} = \frac{k_{\rm B} (T_{\rm ferro} T)}{2}; \qquad (29)$$

Note that if $jg_{"\#}j$ is smaller than a certain value, the ferrom agnetic transition temperature can be smaller than the super uid transition temperature, which is also higher than T_c° for positive $g_{""}$. In that case there would be a single (rst-order) phase transition, just as in the case $g_{"\#} > 0$. However, as can be seen from Fig. 1 and as explained in Appendices A and B, there is a region in parameter space where the ferrom agnetic transition temperature is higher than the super uid transition temperature.

We now sum marize our results and comment on them . We found that when $g_{"\#} > 0$, there is a single transition to a phase that has both super uid and ferrom agnetic order, and the

phase transition is rst order. We believe that the rst-order nature of the phase transition is an artifact of the Hartree-Fock approximation. However, since the physical mechanisms favouring an unpolarized gas (interaction energy) and those favouring an unpolarized norm al gas (entropy) are both real physical mechanisms, we suspect that as soon as there is a

nite fraction of atom s in the condensate, the induced polarization of the norm all cloud will be smaller than the polarization of the condensate, and there will in fact be a single phase transition to a state with both super uid and ferrom agnetic order. In the case $g_{"\#} < 0$, we found that it is possible, for large enough $j_{g_{"}\#}j$ to have two phase transitions. At tem perature T_{ferro} , we found a second-order phase transition to a ferrom agnetic phase with no super uid order. We suspect that that result will persist even beyond the Hartree-Fock approximation, because that transition occurs above the super uid transition tem perature, and therefore uctuations in the super uid order phase transition to a super uid phase (with ferrom agnetic order). As above, we believe that the super uid phase transition will also be second-order. Based on sim ilar elementary arguments alone, we cannot comment on the location of the boundary separating the single-transition and double-transition regimes.

In conclusion, we have perform ed a Hartree-Fock (m ean-eld) calculation to study the phase transitions in a gas of spin-1/2 bosonic atom s. W e found that it is possible to have ferrom agnetic order with no super uid order, but not vice versa. W e also found that the phase transition to the ferrom agnetic non-super uid phase is second-order, whereas any transition to a super uid phase is rst order. W e suspect that the result of a nonsuper uid ferrom agnetic phase describes a real physical phenom enon. Judging from the Hartree-Fock results in the spinless case, how ever, we believe that in the real system, all phase transitions will turn out to be second order in nature. D ue to the unreliability of the Hartree-Fock approxim ation near the super uid transition tem perature, further study is required to con rm or refute the results of this Paper.

The author would like to thank T.-L. Ho for useful discussions and Q.Gu for useful correspondence about the results of Ref. [14]. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation through G rant Nos. DMR-0071630 and DMR-0109255 and by NASA through G rant Nos. NAG 8-1441 and NAG 8-1765.

Appendix A:

In this Appendix we show that the Hartree-Fock approximation predicts a rst-order phase transition in a weakly-interacting spinless Bose gas [15] (Here we are assuming repulsive interactions). Using similar arguments to those used in the main text, we not that the free energy density as a function of condensate number density n_o and normal cloud number density n_N is given by:

$$\frac{F}{V} = n_{\rm N} \quad \ln z \quad \frac{g_{5=2}(z)}{g_{3=2}(z)} \quad \frac{g}{2}n_{\rm o}^2 + g(n_{\rm o} + n_{\rm N})^2;$$
(30)

where

$$g_{3=2}(z) = n_N \frac{2 h^2}{m k_B T}^{! 3=2};$$
 (31)

with the constraint that the right-hand side of Eq. (31) cannot be greater than $g_{3=2}$ (1). The dependence of (F (n_o) F (0))=V on n_o (keeping the total density xed) is shown schem at ically in Fig. A 1. C learly this calculation predicts a rst-order phase transition at a tem perature

 $T_c > T_c^{\circ}$, where T_c° is the transition tem perature of the noninteracting system. By expanding F = V in powers of n_o , we not that, to leading order in na^3 , the shift in transition tem perature is given by:

$$\frac{T_{c}}{T_{c}^{o}} = 1.08 \,(na^{3})^{1=3}:$$
(32)

Note that as long as g > 0, we not that z < 1 even below the transition tem perature. Also note that when applying the above analysis to the situation discussed in this Paper, e.g. by taking $a_{"\#} = 0$, som e additional factors of 2 appear that lead to replacing the factor 1.08 in Eq. (32) by 0.86, with that equation now relating $(T_c - T_c^\circ) = T_c^\circ$ to $(na_{""}^3)^{1=3}$. A ppendix B:

$$\lim_{z! \ 1} \frac{g_{3=2}(z)}{p} \frac{g_{3=2}(1)}{1 \ z} = 3:545;$$
(33)

which gives the asymptotic function:

$$g_{3=2}(z) \quad g_{3=2}(1) \qquad 3:545 \overline{1 z}:$$
 (34)

n

The asymptotic limits of the functions $g_{1=2}(z)$; $g_{1=2}(z)$; \dots can be derived using the above approximation for $g_{3=2}(z)$ and the relation $dg_j(z)=dz = g_{j-1}(z)=z$. Using the asymptotic form $s \circ fg_{3=2}(z)$ and $g_{1=2}(z)$ in Eq. (27), we derive that

$$\frac{T_{ferro}}{T_{c}^{\circ}} = 1.84 \text{ nja}_{\#} \text{j}^{3}$$
(35)

C om paring the results of Appendices A and B, one would expect the boundary between the two regions, i.e. those corresponding to a single and double phase transitions, to occur when $j_{a_{"\#}} j_{=a_{""}} = 0.47$. The value of the ratio $j_{a_{"\#}} j_{=a_{""}}$ for the actual boundary will be higher than 0.47 if one takes into account the fact that a negative value of $a_{"\#}$ reduces T_c , in agreement with the results of our num erical calculation shown in Fig. 1.

F igu res

Fig. 1: Regions of di erent behaviour in a gas of spin-1/2 atom s. The x and y axes are given by $g_1 \quad g_*n=k_B T_c^\circ$ and $g_2 \quad g_*n=k_B T_c^\circ$. In region I we nd a single phase transition to a super uid ferrom agnetic phase. In region II we nd two phase transitions, with the ferrom agnetic transition occurring at a higher temperature than the super uid transition. Regions III and IV were not considered in this Paper because of possible instabilities: the form er being susceptible to phase separation between the two spin species, and the latter susceptible to the whole cloud imploding because the net interatom ic forces are attractive in that region.

Fig. A1: Schematic plot of the free energy F (n_o) F (0) of a spinless Bose gas as a function of condensate fraction n_o for $T > T_c$ (solid line), $T = T_c$ (dashed line), $T_c > T > T_c^\circ$ (dash-double-dotted line). The dotted line is the x axis and serves as a guide to nd the temperature of the rst-order phase transition. As the temperature

is lowered, the point that m in in izes F jumps discontinuously from 0 to a nite value at temperature T_c that is higher than the noninteracting transition temperature T_c° .

References

- [1] C.J.M yatt, E.A.Burt, R.W.Ghrist, EA.Cornell, and C.E.W iem an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 586 (1997).
- [2] J. Stenger, S. Inouye, D.M. Stamper-Kurn, H.-J. Miesner, A.P. Chikkatur, and W. Ketterle, Nature 396, 345 (1998).
- [3] T.Ohmiand K.Machida, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67, 1822 (1998).
- [4] T.-L.Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 742 (1998).
- [5] C.K.Law, H.Pu, and N.P.Bigelow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5257 (1998).
- [6] A.E.Leanhardt, Y.Shin, D.Kielpinski, D.E.Pritchard, and W.Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 140403 (2003).
- [7] H.Pu, C.K.Law, S.Raghavan, J.H.Eberly, and N.P.Bigelow, Phys. Rev. A 60, 1463 (1999).
- [8] H. Schmalphann, M. Erhard, J. Kronjager, M. Kottke, S. van Staa, L. Cacciapuoti, J. J. Arlt, K. Bongs, and K. Sengstock, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 040402 (2004).
- [9] M.-S. Chang, C.D. Ham ley, M.D. Barrett, J.A. Sauer, K.M. Fortier, W. Zhang, L. You, and M. S. Chapman, cond-m at/0309164.
- [10] I.J.Bonakle, T.M Brill, W .Yao, B.J.Verhaar, and IF.Silvera, J.Low Temp.Phys. 113,211 (1998).
- [11] E D. Siggia and A E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 1423 (1980).
- [12] T.-L.Ho and L.Yin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2302 (2000).
- [13] K.Yang and Y.Q.Li, Int.J.M od.Phys.B 17, 1027 (2003).
- [14] Q.Gu and R.A.K lemm, Phys. Rev. A 68, 031604 (R) (2003).
- [15] G.Baym and G.Grinstein, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2897 (1977).
- [16] See e.g. A. L. Fetter and J. D. W alecka, Quantum Theory of M any-Particle Systems (M oG raw H ill, New York, 1971).
- [17] The reason why we are restricting our analysis to states where the numbers of atom s in the " and # states are equal is to simplify the algebra. In the regimes of interest to us, the state of the system that m in in izes the free energy will satisfy that condition even if it is not in posed externally.
- [18] See e.g.K. Huang, Statistical Mechanics (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1963).