Possible Force-Entropy Correlation

Enrique Canessa

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy

Abstract

A statistical therm odynam ic approach of moving particles form ing an elastic body is presented which leads to reveal molecular-mechanical properties of classical and nonextensive dynamical system s. We derive the Boltzmann-Gibbs (BG) entropy form and relate it to Newton's law of motion in relation to a distinct tensile force acting on the system s at constant volume and number of particles. T sallis generalization of the BG entropy is deduced assuming the therm all energy of the particles to be proportional to their energy states by the nonextensivity factor q 1.

PACS num bers: 05.70 Le; 05.70 Ln; 05.70 -a

Keywords: Statistical Physics and Thermodynamics; Probability theory; Nonlinear dynam ics; Nonextensive statistical mechanics

E-m ail: canessae@ ictp.trieste.it

I. OVERVIEW

It was pointed out long ago by Einstein that the foundations of statistical mechanics should lie on the underlying dynamics [1]. All statistical mechanics results should derive from the dynamics if the system's considered are system's consisting of moving particles.

W ithin the classical Boltzm ann-G ibbs (BG) statistics, it is still unkown how to derive from say Newton's law of motion the remarkable expression for the therm odynamic entropy [2]

$$S_{BG} = k_{B} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_{i} \ln p_{i} = \ln \frac{1}{p_{i}}^{+};$$
 (1)

where mean values < () > correspond P q (${}_{j}$). pE ven if this functional form has been profusely veri ed on isolated systems in them al equilibrium, a rigorous derivation on how S_{BG} descends from dynamics has been limited to a dilute gas only [1]. It is usually approximated via the statistics of a large ensemble of identical replicas (see, e.g., [3]). Only recently, there has been computational evidence on the fact that BG them al equilibrium distribution descends from Newton law [4].

A loo unclear at present [1, 2], is the connection between the dynam ics of a particle system and the T sallis nonextensive generalization of the BG entropy

$$S_{q} = k_{B} = h_{q} \frac{1}{p_{i}} + k_{B} = k_{B} = h_{q} \frac{1}{p_{i}} + k_{B} = k_{B} = \frac{1}{p_{i}} + \frac{1}{p_{i}$$

where

$$h_q p_i = \frac{p_i^{1 q} - 1}{1 q}$$
; (3)

which for q = 1, it coincides with S_{BG} giving positive Lyapunov exponents [5]. The nonextensivity is given by the pseudo-additivity property $S_q(A + B) = S_q(A) + S_q(B) + \frac{1}{k_B}S_q(A)S_q(B)$; A and B being two independent system s.

In order to shed some light on this physics dilemma, we propose a simple statistical therm odynamic model where moving particles of known type are assumed to form an elastic specimen (orbody). It is shown that this type of approach leads to reveal intrinsic molecularmechanical properties on classical and nonextensive dynamical systems in relation to a distinct tensile force acting on these systems at constant volume and number of particles. In particular we derive the entropy of Eq.(1) and relate it to Newton's second law F' = ma. A new scenario for the entropic index q in T sallis statistics in terms of the energy of the system is proposed.

II. FORMALISM

Let us consider a system of non-interacting moving particles and let p_i be the probability that the system is in the microstate i(= 1; ;W) given by the product of two positive functions u_i and w_i and satisfying the norm alization condition

Derivative of this relation with respect to changes in particle displacements x, considering particles of mass m to move at velocity $v = \frac{e_x}{e_t}$ and acceleration $a = \frac{e_v}{e_t}$, gives

$$\frac{X}{\sum_{i=1}^{M}} \frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \ln p_i = 0$$
(5)

or, alternatively,

$$\overset{X}{\overset{}}_{i=1} \left(\frac{@u_i}{@x} \right) \frac{p_i}{u_i} = \overset{X}{\overset{}}_{i=1} \left(\frac{@w_i}{@x} \right) \frac{p_i}{w_i} \quad : \tag{6}$$

Sum m ing up both sides by $\sum_{i=1}^{P} \left(\frac{\partial p_i}{\partial x}\right)$ in u_i it is straightforward to show that

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta x} < \ln u_i > = \frac{\theta}{\theta x} < \ln w_i > + \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\theta p_i}{\theta x}\right) \ln p_i \quad : \tag{7}$$

Let us also consider the purely therm odynam ic relation for the Helm holtz free energy A = E TS, assuming the temperature T and volume V to be independent variables with E the internal energy, A the Helm holtz free energy and S the entropy of the system. Therefore from Eq.(7) we can readily identify (or, alternatively, de ne) three quantities

$$A = k_B T ! < \ln u_i > = \sum_{i=1}^{X^V} p_i \ln u_i ;$$
 (8)

$$E = k_B T ! < \ln w_i > = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{W}} p_i \ln w_i ;$$
 (9)

$$\frac{@}{@x} (S=k_B) ! \qquad \stackrel{\text{W}}{\underset{i=1}{\longrightarrow}} (\frac{@p_i}{@x}) \ln p_i ; \qquad (10)$$

with k_B a constant added to have reduced units. The choice of the sign for E is to approximate the system energy as the sum of individual contributions (or mean energy) E ! < E >= $P_{i}p_{ii}$, where $_{i}=k_BT$ = $\ln w_{ii}$ represents their energy states, and thus obtain

$$w_i = \exp(i_i = k_B T) = 0$$
 : (11)

This relation is also found to be the solution of the dimensional equation: $\frac{(e \ln (p_i = u_i))}{(e_i = k_B T)} = 1$.

Furtherm ore from Eqs.(5) and (10) it follows im mediately that the entropy satis es

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta x}(S=k_B) = \frac{\theta}{\theta x} \sum_{i=1}^{W} p_i \ln p_i ; \qquad (12)$$

which is the basic result stated by the BG entropy of Eq.(1).

Since the moving particles are assumed to form an elastic body, then the total work along an in nitesimal elongation d'is given by $dW = dA = f \cdot d'$ for a change at constant T, with f a (compressive < 0, or expansive > 0) tensile force in the direction of '. This relation is obtainable directly from the rst and second laws of them odynamics in a reversible isotherm al process [6], assuming that there is not another force acting on the system in addition to f \ as for example due to hydrostatic pressure P (dW = P dV 0; recall volum e V here is an independent variable). Therefore, the dynamics of our particle system relating therm odynam ic quantities reduces to

$$F = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \Big|_{T} = k_{B} T \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Big|_{i=1} p_{i} \ln u_{i} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} \int_{T} T \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} \Big|_{T}$$
(13)

Thus through Eqs.(8) and (9) the sytem entropy can be correlated to New ton's law of motion in relation to a distinct tensile force acting on the system.

III. REMARKS

At a rst glance the choice for p_i in Eq.(4) m ay be seen quite arbitrary, but in view of the results derived for S, A and E starting only from this quantity it seems to be reasonable. As shown below, this choice can further be justified in relation with the measure for an inverse temperature for the system. Using Eqs.(4), (9), (11) and (12), we obtain at once

$$\frac{(S=k_{B})}{(E=k_{B}T)} = 1 + \frac{\ln u_{W}}{\ln w_{W}} = 1 \qquad \frac{k_{B}T}{w} \ln u_{W} ; \qquad (14)$$

where represents functions di erence between consecutive m icrostates uctuations.

A lthough we do not know how each of our u_i and w_i functions m ay depend explicitly on the displacement x, we can still estimate all thermodynamic relations from given average values of either u or w at each state i. For example, interesting to note are the results obtained when setting $u_i = 1=Z$, a sort of mean value for u (8i) and w_i variable. From Eqs.(4) and (11), it follows then that $p_i = \frac{e_i - \frac{i^{-k_B T}}{Z}}{Z}$, which corresponds to the G ibbs distribution in therm all equilibrium and satisf es $\frac{dp_i}{d_i} = \frac{p_i}{k_B T}$. Using the normalization condition for p_i we derive in turn the partition function $Z = \begin{bmatrix} P \\ i \end{bmatrix} e^{-i=k_B T}$, where the summation is over all microstates of the system. From this result and that of the energy states i plus Eq.(9), we obtain a relation for the mean energy of a system at temperature T as $\langle E \rangle = -\frac{g \ln Z}{g (1-k_B T)}$. For the Helm holtz free energy of Eq.(8) we can write $A = k_B T = -\ln Z$, which is also a well-known classical statistical mechanics result [3].

Let us analyse next a second case, i.e., the case of having an averaged w (8i) and u_i as the variable. To derive T sallis statistics we assume the therm all energy of the particles k_B T to be proportional to their energy states by the nonextensivity (integer) factor q 1 for all the i-m icrostates. From Eq.(11) and $q \in 1$ this is equivalent to consider

$$\ln w_{i} = \frac{1}{1 q}$$
 (15)

Using the \ln_q de nition of Eq.(3) in conjunction with Eqs.(4) and (15), we then get the relation $\ln_q (1=p_i)$ $\ln (p_i=u_i) = \frac{p_i^{q-1}}{q \cdot 1}$. For $q \mid 1$ and by Taylor expansion [2] we can also approximate $p_i^q = p_i p_i^{(1-q)} = p_i \exp(\ln p_i^{(1-q)})$ $p_i [1 + (1-q) \ln p_i^{-1}]$. Thus in this limit $\ln_q p_i^{-1}$ $\ln p_i^{-1}$. These results imply that

$$\ln u_{i} = \frac{p_{i}^{q-1}}{q-1} \quad : \tag{16}$$

Therefore within our statistical therm odynam ic approach it follows that

$$A = k_{B} T \qquad \stackrel{X}{=} p_{i} \frac{p_{i}^{q 1}}{q 1} ; E = k_{B} T \qquad \stackrel{X}{=} p_{i} \frac{1}{1 q} = = k_{B} T ; \qquad (17)$$

whence

$$S=k_{B} = E = k_{B}T \quad A = k_{B}T \quad \frac{1 \sum_{i=1}^{P} p_{i}^{q}}{q \quad 1} ;$$
 (18)

which corresponds to the entropy term of Eq.(2) introduced by T sallis. As in Ref.[2], if we assume equiprobability $p_i = 1=W$ (8i) we also obtain $S = \ln_q W$.

Possible values of the q index are constrained by the logarithm functions of Eqs.(15) and (16). The condition 0 p_i u_iw_i 1 (8i) implies that 0 $\exp(1=(1 \ q)) \exp(p_i^{q^1}=(q^1))$ 1. Hence we can deduce that $_i(q)$ $(p_i^{q^1} \ 1)=(q \ 1)$ 0, due to the positive and continue nature of the exponential function. Then the limiting behaviour of this function as q ! 1 is obtained by L'Hôpital's rule because this is an indeterminate form of type $\frac{1}{1}$. We found $\lim_{q! 1} i(q) = \ln p_i$, hence 0 $\exp(\ln p_i)$ 1. From this result we also have 0 $p_i^{q \ 1}$ 1 (8i;8q), which via Eq.(15) it implies that $\ln w_i = i(q)$ 0. This, in turn, implies a positive w_i in agreement with Eq.(11) such that $0 < w_i$ 1. Therefore we can conclude that the range of validity of our statistical thermodynamic model for T sallis statistics is q " (1;1].

On the other hand, using Eqs.(15) and (16) for (u,w) at the upper m icrostate i = W, Eq.(14) for $q \in 1$ takes the form

$$\frac{(S=k_{B})}{(E=k_{B}T)} \quad 1 \quad p_{W}^{q \ 1} \quad :$$
(19)

This implies that the therm odynam ic de nition for the tem perature at constant volum e and num ber of particles $T = \frac{@E}{@S}$ follows for q >> 1 since, in physical terms, $p_w = 1$ for large subextensive systems. For superextensive systems with integers q < 1, this relation becomes negative. For q = 1, from Eq.(14) we readily found $\frac{(S=k_B)}{(E=k_BT)} = 1$ as should be expected since $S_{q=1}$ recovers S_{BG} .

Finally for the force on the system within T sallis statistics we have

$$F = k_B T \frac{\theta}{\theta x} - \frac{P_{W_{i=1}} p_i^q}{q 1} = ma;$$
 (20)

which gives a physical signi cance to the q-values representing complex systems and correlates them to the system dynamics.

In sum mary, the new insights gained by our statistical them odynam is approach of moving particles forming an elastic body are on the molecular-mechanical properties derived for classical and nonextensive dynamical systems including a possible correlation between force and entropy in the system. Our initial factorization for $p_i = u_i w_i$ may still be seen as rather arbitrary, but we have shown that this simple multiplicative form leads to interesting connections between an applied stretching force (tension) and therm odynam ics quantities of dynamical systems [7]. Such class of norm alized product of positive functions appears form ally, e.g. in the analysis of stochastic processes on graphs according to the H ammersky-C li ord Theorem.

^[1] E.G.D.Cohen, Phys.A 305, 19 (2002).

^[2] C. T sallis, arX iv cond-m at/0312500.

^[3] F.M andl, "Statistical Physics" (John W iley & Sons, 2nd Edition, 1988).

- [4] F.Baldovin, L.G.M oyano and C.T sallis, arX iv cond-m at/0402635.
- [5] C.Tsallis, J.Stat. Phys. 52, 479 (1988).
- [6] L R G. Trebar, "The Physics of Rubber E lasticity" (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1975).
- [7] This work was inspired on prelim inary ideas discussed by the Author in Phys.A 328,44 (2003), where set of num bers played the role of classical particles.